Let $S = \{ \varphi_1 \ \varphi_1 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{ \varrho_1 : \mathbb{Z} \} \}$ be a set of maps. We will show that this set is uncountable. Assume for the sake of contradiction that S is countable. Then, $S \sim IN$, and by symmetry, $IN \sim S$. Thus, there is a bijection $f: IN \longrightarrow S$. We can use this bijection to label our maps in S:

$$f(1) = \varphi_1 = 1abcl$$

$$f(2) = \varphi_2$$

$$f(k) = \varphi_k$$

Now, notice that Z is countable, by THM3 from Week 7.

Thus, Z~IN, and by symmetry, IN~Z. This means

there exists a bijection 9: IN -> 7Z. We can

use this bijection to label our integers:

$$g(1) = n,$$

 $g(2) = n_2$
 $g(k) = n_k$

Now, take a map in S, Isay Pk, and notice that Pk assigns a O or a I for every integer. This means

We can list the maps in S and record the values for each integer:

	0	^ <u>~</u>	N ₃	Ny	the the sta
4,	b,,	p15	b ₁₃	Ьц	50° 5°
Pz.	b21	brz	623	psh	w v v
43	p31	b32	b33	634	w v v
•	1	1	1	1	
9 k	bki	bez	bk3	bk4	
9 b	1	,	ţ	(

Here, bij is the value of $Q_i(n_i)$. In other words:

(Notice, we have identified each map with a one-sided string of O's and I's.)

Now, define a new map (p^*) where for every i.e.N, $(p^*(n_i)) \neq (p_i^*(n_i))$.

We can do this since $(P_i(n_i) \in \{0, 1\}, so we can always choose the number that <math>(P_i(n_i) : s not.$ (For example, if $(P_i(n_i) = 1, then we pick <math>(P_i(n_i) = 0.)$)

Now, notice that Q^* is a map from 7L to 80,13, so it should be in our list. In other words, $Q^* = Q_K$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. However, $Q^*(n_K) \neq Q_K(n_K)$ for any k, so it cannot be in our list! Thus, our assertion that S is countable is wrong. We can conclude S is uncountable. (Note that there are infinitely many maps in S.)

- 2. a) $\varphi = \emptyset$
 - b) P = [[13]
 - c) 9, = { {213, {23}} P2 = { 81,23}
 - d) P, = { {13, {23, {33}}} P2 = { {1,23, {3}} P3 = { {1,33, 123} P4 = { {2,33, {13}} P== { {1,2,33}.

3.

see next page!

- We first show that "congruence modulo m" is an equivalence relation by showing that it satisfies the definition of an equivalence relation. Let $n_1, n_2, n_3, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - $0 \quad n n = 0 = 0 \cdot m.$ Since $0 \in 7L$, $n \equiv n \mod m$ as desired.
 - 2) Assume $n_1 \equiv n_2 \mod m$. Then $n_1 n_2 = qm$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. That means that $n_2 n_1 = (-q)m$, and $-q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $n_2 \equiv n_1 \mod m$, as desired.
 - Assume $n_1 = n_2 \mod m$ and $n_2 = n_3 \mod m$. Then $n_1 \cdot n_2 = q_1 \cdot m$ and $n_2 n_3 = q_2 \cdot m$ for some integers q_1 and q_2 . Notice that:

$$(n_1-n_2)+(n_2-n_3) = (q_1m)+(q_2m)$$

 $n_1-n_3 = (q_1+q_2)m$.

Since 9,+9z ∈ 7L, n, = n3 mod m, as desired.

Thus, "congruence modulo m" satisfies the definition of an equivalence relation, so it is an equivalence relation.

We now show that the residue classes are the parts of the corresponding partition.

By Theorem 6, we know all of the parts are of the form

for some ne7L. Notice

$$P_{n} = \{ \tilde{n} \in 7L : n = \tilde{n} \text{ mod } m \}$$

$$= \{ \tilde{n} \in 7L : n = \tilde{n} \text{ mod } m \}$$

$$= \{ \tilde{n} \in 7L : n - \tilde{n} = qm \text{ for some } q \in 7L \}$$

Using the Division Algorithm, we know that

$$\begin{cases} n = q_1 m + k \\ \tilde{n} = q_2 m + \tilde{k} \end{cases}$$

for some integers q, qz, k, and k where O&K&M-1 and O&K&M-1. So,

$$P_{n=q,m+k} = \{ q_2m + \hat{k} \in \mathbb{Z} : q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le \hat{k} \le m-1, \text{ and } (q_1m+k) - (q_2m + \hat{k}) = q_m \}$$

= $\{q_{2m+\tilde{K}} \in 7L : q_{2} \in 7L, 0 \leq \tilde{K} \leq m-1, \text{ and } k-\tilde{K} = (q_{2}-q_{1}+q)m \},$

Now, observe that $|k-\tilde{k}| \le m-1$ since $0 \le k \le m-1$ and $0 \le \tilde{k} \le m-1$. Thus, $|q_2-q_1+q_2| \le m-1$, and since $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $|q_2-q_1+q_2| \le \frac{m-1}{m}$. $|q_2-q_1+q_2| \le \frac{m-1}{m}$ (if for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$), since m-1 < m, so we see that since $|q_2-q_1+q_2| = 0$.

Hence,

$$P_{n=q,m+k} = \frac{2}{3} q_{zm+k} \in \mathbb{Z} : q_{z} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le k \le m-1, and k-k=0$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} q_{zm+k} \in \mathbb{Z} : q_{z} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

This is the same as

~ qm+k∈7L', q∈7L3

for some OEKEM-1. Now, since n was

arbitrary in the beginning, we see that any part must be of this form for some knowhere OEKEM-1.

We now need to show that every k occurs as a part, i.e. { qm+k: qEZ} is non-empty for each k ∈ {20,1,..., m-13. To see this, notice O∈Z and

 $0 \in \{qm+0 : q \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ since $0 = 0 \cdot m + 0$, $1 \in \{qm+1 : q \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ since $1 = 0 \cdot m + 1$,

M-1 & Egm+(m-1): qe7L3 since m-1 = 0:m+1.

Thus, each set is non-empty, so it is really a part!

(Notice, we get the partition for free by Theorem 6!)