1. <https://jyarbrou-su.github.io/ist263/lab06/labo6copyOFWebFinal.png>
2. four links
   1. <https://jyarbrou-su.github.io/ist263/lab06/contact.html>
   2. <https://jyarbrou-su.github.io/ist263/lab06/skills.html>
   3. <https://jyarbrou-su.github.io/ist263/lab06/yarbrough-bio.html>
   4. <https://jyarbrou-su.github.io/ist263/lab06/skills.css>
3. **I could use the property direction. I could use this for those that wish to translate the webpage to their language and some of those languages might read right to left and not left to right.**
4. Peer review
   1. Who’s copy document did you review? **William Higgins**
   2. What typos are in your partner’s copy document? **there needs to be a comma before for “categories at the moment, automotive/home-care and software. “ “Such as” in the first page should be lower case. Last sentence of the first page is a run on.**
   3. What didn’t they communicate clearly? Give me details here?

**The first page wants to treat the entire website like a consolidated wiki, but the second page talks about garbage disposal. To myself, the reader, it seems like a random segway that was hard to follow. On that same page it goes to cold weather car tips. I couldn’t find the connection between these two topics and why they would be on the same page.**

* 1. Did the copy seem like it was too much/not enough? Explain**. It seemed like it was not enough. For an information site it only had one or two paragraphs about the topics. I would have liked to see a little more content per page.**
  2. Where could your partner use headings, bullet points, etc. to make the copy stand out more to users**? They had headings, maybe use bullet points to preface the what the content would be for paragraph. This way the user could go directly to the info they want rather than having to read the whole paragraph.**
  3. Any other comments?
     1. **I love the idea behind it. It will definitely require a lot of writing to fill out.**