$egin{array}{c} VE203 \\ Assignment \ 3 \end{array}$

Jiang Yicheng 515370910224

October 7, 2016

1 Roots of Unity

1.1

 $\forall a, b \in S, |a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b| = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$, so $a \cdot b \in S$.

 $\forall a, b, c \in S, \ a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ holds because of the property of multiplication of complex numbers. So the associativity holds.

Since |1| = 1 and $1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \in S$. Moreover, $\forall a \in S, a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$, so 1 is a unit element.

$$\forall a \in S, |a| = 1 \neq 0$$
, so $1 = \frac{1}{|a|} = \left| \frac{1}{a} \right|$, and therefore $\frac{1}{a} \in S$. Since $\forall a \in S, a \cdot \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{a} \cdot a = 1$ and 1 is a unit element, then for any element in S, its inverse exists in S.

To sum up, (S, \cdot) is a group.

1.2

 $\forall a, b \in S(n), (a \cdot b)^n = a^n \cdot b^n = 1 \cdot 1 = 1, \text{ so } a \cdot b \in S.$

 $\forall a, b, c \in S(n), a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ holds because of the property of multiplication of complex numbers. So the associativity holds.

Since $1^n = 1$ and $1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \in S(n)$. Moreover, $\forall a \in S, a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$, so 1 is a unit element.

$$\forall a \in S(n), a^n = 1 \neq 0$$
, so $1 = \frac{1}{a^n} = \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^n$, and therefore $\frac{1}{a} \in S(n)$. Since $\forall a \in S, a \cdot \frac{1}{a} = 1$

 $\frac{1}{a} \cdot a = 1$ and 1 is a unit element, then for any element in S(n), its inverse exists in S(n).

To sum up, $(S(n), \cdot)$ is a group.

2 Matrix Groups

2.1

$$\forall A(\varphi_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi_1) & -\sin(\varphi_1) \\ \sin(\varphi_1) & \cos(\varphi_1) \end{pmatrix}, A(\varphi_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi_2) & -\sin(\varphi_2) \\ \sin(\varphi_2) & \cos(\varphi_2) \end{pmatrix} \in S,$$

$$A(\varphi_1) \cdot A(\varphi_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi_1) & -\sin(\varphi_1) \\ \sin(\varphi_1) & \cos(\varphi_1) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi_2) & -\sin(\varphi_2) \\ \sin(\varphi_2) & \cos(\varphi_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) & -\sin(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \\ \sin(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) & \cos(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

so $A(\varphi_1) \cdot A(\varphi_2) \in S$.

 $\forall A(\varphi_1), A(\varphi_2), A(\varphi_3) \in S, A(\varphi_1) \cdot (A(\varphi_2) \cdot A(\varphi_3)) = (A(\varphi_1) \cdot A(\varphi_2)) \cdot A(\varphi_3)$ holds because of the property of matrix multiplication. So the associativity holds.

Since $A(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in S$, and $\forall A(\varphi) \in S$, $A(0) \cdot A(\varphi) = A(\varphi) \cdot A(0) = A(\varphi)$, so A(0) is a unit element.

 $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{R}, A(\varphi) \in S, A(-\varphi) \in S$. Since

$$\begin{split} A(\varphi) \cdot A(-\varphi) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi + (-\varphi)) & -\sin(\varphi + (-\varphi)) \\ \sin(\varphi + (-\varphi)) & \cos(\varphi + (-\varphi)) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(0) & -\sin(0) \\ \sin(0) & \cos(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= A(0) \end{split}$$

which is a unit element, then for any element in S, its inverse exists in S.

To sum up, (S, \cdot) is a group.

2.2

a) $\forall A, B \in SL(n, \mathbb{R}), det(A \cdot B) = det(A) \cdot det(B) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \text{ so } A \cdot B \in SL(n, \mathbb{R}).$

 $\forall A, B, C \in SL(n, \mathbb{R}), \ A \cdot (B \cdot C) = (A \cdot B) \cdot C$ holds because of the property of matrix multiplication. So the associativity holds.

Since $\det(\mathbb{1}) = 1$ where $\mathbb{1}$ is the unit matrix and $\mathbb{1} \in Mat(n \times n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{1} \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. And we know that $\forall A \in SL(n, \mathbb{R}), A \cdot \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1} \cdot A = A$, so $\mathbb{1}$ is a unit element.

 $\forall A \in SL(n,\mathbb{R}), \ det(A) = 1, \text{ so } A \text{ is invertible, i.e. } \exists A^{-1} \text{ such that } A \cdot A^{-1} = A^{-1} \cdot A = \mathbb{1}.$

Then $det(A) \cdot det(A^{-1}) = det(A \cdot A^{-1}) = det(\mathbb{1}) = 1$. So $det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{det(A)} = 1$. So $A^{-1} \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

To sum up, $(SL(n, \mathbb{R}), \cdot)$ is a group.

b) $\forall A, B \in SL(n, \mathbb{R}),$

$$(A \cdot B) \cdot (A \cdot B)^T = (A \cdot B) \cdot (B^T \cdot A^T)) = A \cdot (B \cdot B^{-1}) \cdot A^{-1}$$

$$= A \cdot \mathbb{1} \cdot A^{-1} = A \cdot A^{-1}$$

$$= \mathbb{1}$$

so $(A \cdot B)^{-1} = (A \cdot B)^T$. So $A \cdot B \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

 $\forall A, B, C \in O(n, \mathbb{R}), \ A \cdot (B \cdot C) = (A \cdot B) \cdot C$ holds because of the property of matrix multiplication. So the associativity holds.

Since $(\mathbb{1})^T = \mathbb{1} = (\mathbb{1})^{-1}$ where $\mathbb{1}$ is the unit matrix, $\mathbb{1} \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$. And we know that $\forall A \in O(n, \mathbb{R}), A \cdot \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1} \cdot A = A$, so $\mathbb{1}$ is a unit element.

 $\forall A \in O(n,\mathbb{R}), A \text{ is invertible, i.e. } \exists A^{-1} \text{ such that } A \cdot A^{-1} = A^{-1} \cdot A = \mathbb{1}.$ Then

$$A \cdot A^{-1} = \mathbb{1} \Rightarrow (A^{-1})^T \cdot A^T = (A \cdot A^{-1})^T = (\mathbb{1})^T = \mathbb{1} \Rightarrow (A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$$

Since $A^T = A^{-1}$, $(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^{-1}$. So $A^{-1} \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$.

To sum up, $(O(n, \mathbb{R}), \cdot)$ is a group.

c) From a)b) we can prove that $\forall A, B \in SO(n, \mathbb{R}), A \cdot B \in SO(n, \mathbb{R}).$

 $\forall A, B, C \in SO(n, \mathbb{R}), A \cdot (B \cdot C) = (A \cdot B) \cdot C$ holds because of the property of matrix multiplication. So the associativity holds.

Since $\det(\mathbb{1}) = 1$, $(\mathbb{1})^T = \mathbb{1} = (\mathbb{1})^{-1}$ where $\mathbb{1}$ is the unit matrix, $\mathbb{1} \in SO(n, \mathbb{R})$. And we know that $\forall A \in SO(n, \mathbb{R})$, $A \cdot \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1} \cdot A = A$, so $\mathbb{1}$ is a unit element.

 $\forall A \in SO(n, \mathbb{R}), \text{ from } \mathbf{a})\mathbf{b}), \text{ we can prove that } \exists A^{-1} \in Mat(n \times n, \mathbb{R}), A \cdot A^{-1} = 1, det(A^{-1}) = 1 \text{ and } (A^{-1})^T = (A^{-1})^{-1}. \text{ So } A^{-1} \in SO(n, \mathbb{R}).$

To sum up, $(SO(n, \mathbb{R}), \cdot)$ is a group.

3

3.1

- 1. $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, (m, m) \in R \text{ since } 2 | 0, \text{i.e.} 2 | (m m), \text{ which shows that the relation is reflexive.}$
- 2. $\forall (m,n) \in \mathbb{R}, 2 | (n-m)$. So 2 | (m-n). So $(n,m) \in \mathbb{R}$. So the relation is symmetric.
- 3. $\forall (m,n), (n,p) \in R, 2|(n-m), 2|(p-n)$. So 2|((n-m)+(p-n)), i.e. 2|(p-m). So $(m,p) \in R$. So the relation is transitivity.

To sum up, \sim is an equivalence relation.

3.2

Denote $2\mathbb{Z}$ as the set of all even number, and $2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ as the set of all odd number. Then

$$2\mathbb{Z} \cap 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 = \emptyset,$$
 $2\mathbb{Z} \cup 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 = \mathbb{Z}$

so $\{2\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathbb{Z} + 1\}$ is a partition of a set \mathbb{Z} . We can see that this is induced by \sim since $\forall a, b \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ or $2\mathbb{Z} + 1, 2 | (b - a)$, so $a \sim b$; while $\forall a \in 2\mathbb{Z}, b \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, b - a, a - b$ is not even number. So

$$a \in [b] \Leftrightarrow a \sim b \Leftrightarrow a, b \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ or } 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$$

To sum up, the partition induced by \sim is $\{2\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathbb{Z} + 1\}$.

3.3

From 3.2 we know that $2\mathbb{Z} = [a]$ if a is even and $2\mathbb{Z} + 1 = [a]$ if a is odd.

- 1. $\forall m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z}, m+n, m \cdot n \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \text{ so } [m+n] = 2\mathbb{Z}, [m \cdot n] = 2\mathbb{Z}$
- 2. $\forall m, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, m + n \in 2\mathbb{Z}, m \cdot n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, \text{ so } [m + n] = 2\mathbb{Z}, [m \cdot n] = 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$
- 3. $\forall m \in 2\mathbb{Z}, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, m + n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, m \cdot n \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \text{ so } [m+n] = 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, [m \cdot n] = 2\mathbb{Z}$
- 4. $\forall m \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, n \in 2\mathbb{Z}, m + n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, m \cdot n \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \text{ so } [m + n] = 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, [m \cdot n] = 2\mathbb{Z}$

So we can see that these operations are independent of the representatives m and n of each class.

3.4

 $\forall [a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, since $a + b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $[a] + [b] = [a + b] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

 $\forall [a], [b], [c] \in \mathbb{Z}_2, [a] + ([b] + [c]) = [a] + [b+c] = [a+(b+c)] = [(a+b)+c] = [a+b] + [c] = ([a] + [b]) + [c].$ So the associativity holds.

 $\forall [a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_2, [a] + [b] = [a+b] = [b+a] = [b] + [a].$ So commutativity holds.

Since $[0] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\forall [a] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, [a] + [0] = [0] + [a] = [0 + a] = [a], so [0] is a unit element.

 $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, [a], [-a] \in \mathbb{Z}_2, \text{ and } [a] + [-a] = [-a] + [a] = [-a + a] = [0] \text{ which is a unit element,}$ so for any element in \mathbb{Z}_2 , its inverse exists in \mathbb{Z}_2 .

So $(\mathbb{Z}_2,+)$ is an abelian group.

 $\forall [a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, since $a \cdot b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $[a] \cdot [b] = [a \cdot b] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

 $\forall [a], [b], [c] \in \mathbb{Z}_2, [a] \cdot ([b] \cdot [c]) = [a] \cdot [b \cdot c] = [a \cdot (b \cdot c)] = [(a \cdot b) \cdot c] = [a \cdot b] \cdot [c] = ([a] \cdot [b]) \cdot [c].$ So the associativity holds.

 $\forall [a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_2, [a] \cdot [b] = [a \cdot b] = [b \cdot a] = [b] \cdot [a].$ So commutativity holds.

Since $[1] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\forall [a] \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, $[a] \cdot [1] = [1] \cdot [a] = [1 \cdot a] = [a]$, so [1] is a unit element.

 $\forall [a], [b], [c] \in \mathbb{Z}_2, [a] \cdot ([b] + [c]) = [a] \cdot [b + c] = [a \cdot (b + c)] = [a \cdot b + a \cdot c] = [a \cdot b] + [a \cdot c] = [a] \cdot [b] + [a] \cdot [c], \text{ and } ([b] + [c]) \cdot [a] = [a] \cdot ([b] + [c]) = [a \cdot b] + [a \cdot c] = [b \cdot a] + [c \cdot a] = [b] \cdot [a] + [c] \cdot [a].$ So distributivity holds.

So $(\mathbb{Z}_2,+,\cdot)$ is a commutative ring.

Since [0] is unit element of addition and [1] is unit element of multiplication, $[0] = 2\mathbb{Z}, [1] = 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, then $[0] \neq [1]$.

$$\forall [a] \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \setminus \{[0]\}, \ a \neq 0, \text{ so } \frac{1}{a} \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } [a] \cdot [\frac{1}{a}] = [a \cdot \frac{1}{a}] = [1]$$

To sum up, $(\mathbb{Z}_2, +, \cdot)$ is a field.

4

Since $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $|a| + |b| \neq 0$, according to Bezout's Lemma, $\exists x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$gcd(a,b) = ax_0 + by_0$$

 $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ k \cdot gcd(a,b) = k \cdot (ax_0 + by_0) = a(kx_0) + b(ky_0), \text{ since } kx_0, ky_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \ k \cdot gcd(a,b) \in T(a,b).$ So all integer multiples of gcd(a,b) are in T(a,b).

On the other hand, set d = gcd(a, b), $\forall n \in T(a, b), \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that n = ax + by. Since d|a and d|b, d|(ax + by). So there exists some integer k such that $n = ax + by = k \cdot d$. So n is in the set of all integer multiples of gcd(a, b).

To sum up, $T(a,b) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n = ax + by, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the set of all integer multiples of gcd(a,b).

5

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, according to Division Algorithm, there exists unique $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$n = 3q + r,$$
 $r = 0, 1, 2$

- 1. If n = 3q + 0, then $n^2 = 9q^2 = 3 \cdot 3q^2$. Since $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $3q^2 \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n^2 = 3k$.
- 2. If n = 3q + 1, then $n^2 = 9q^2 + 6q + 1 = 3 \cdot (3q^2 + 2q) + 1$. Since $n^2 \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{Z}, 3q^2 + 2q \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $3q^2 + 2q < 0$, then $3q^2 + 2q \leq -1$ and $n^2 = 3 \cdot (3q^2 + 2q) + 1 \leq -2 < 0$ which is contradiction. So $3q^2 + 2q \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n^2 = 3k + 1$.
- 3. If n = 3q+2, then $n^2 = 9q^2+12q+4 = 3 \cdot (3q^2+4q+1)+1$. Since $n^2 \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{Z}, 3q^2+2q \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $3q^2+4q+1<0$, then $3q^2+4q+1\leqslant -1$ and $n^2=3\cdot (3q^2+4q+1)+1\leqslant -2<0$ which is contradiction. So $3q^2+4q+1\in \mathbb{N}$. So $\exists k\in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n^2=3k+1$.

To sum up, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that either $n^2 = 3k$ or $n^2 = 3k + 1$.

6

According to Lemma 1.6.20, since $a + n, a, 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a + n = a \cdot 1 + n$, then

$$gcd(a+n,a) = gcd(a,n)$$

Since gcd(a, n)|n, gcd(a + n, a)|n. Then gcd(a + 1, a)|1 for n = 1. So $gcd(a + 1, a) = \pm 1$. Since gcd(a + 1, a) > 0, gcd(a + 1, a) = 1. So a and a + 1 are relatively prime.

To sum up, qcd(a, a + n) divides n, and a and a + 1 are always relatively prime.

7

$7.1 \quad 56x + 72y = 40$

$$56x + 72y = 40 \Leftrightarrow 7x + 9y = 5$$
$$9 = 1 \cdot 7 + 2$$
$$7 = 3 \cdot 2 + 1$$
$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0$$

So according to The Euclidean Algorithm, gcd(7,9) = 1. And $20 \cdot 7 - 15 \cdot 9 = 5$, so x = 20, y = -15 is a solution. So all the solutions are

$$x = 20 + \frac{9}{1}t = 20 + 9t, y = -15 - \frac{7}{1}t = -15 - 7t, t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

To sum up, all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 56x + 72y = 40 are

$$x = 20 + 9t, y = -15 - 7t, t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$7.2 \quad 84x-439y=156$

$$-439 = -6 \cdot 84 + 65$$

$$84 = 1 \cdot 65 + 19$$

$$65 = 3 \cdot 19 + 8$$

$$19 = 2 \cdot 8 + 3$$

$$8 = 2 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0$$

So according to The Euclidean Algorithm, gcd(84, -439) = 1. And $84 \cdot (-25272) - 439 \cdot (-4836) = 156$, so x = -25272, y = -4836 is a solution. So all the solutions are

$$x = -25272 + \frac{-439}{1}t = -25272 - 439t, y = -4836 - \frac{84}{1}t = -4836 - 84t, t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

To sum up, all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 84x - 439y = 156 are

$$x = -25272 - 439t, y = -4836 - 84t, t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

8

8.1

Since $a, b \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, then according to Bezout's Lemma, $\exists x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$1 = qcd(a, b) = ax_0 + by_0 = ax_0 - b(-y_0)$$

According to Division Algorithm, set

$$x_0 = k_1 \cdot b + r_1 \qquad k_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leqslant r_1 < b$$

$$-y_0 = k_2 \cdot a + r_2$$
 $k_2, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leqslant r_2 < a$

Set $m = max\{-k_1, -k_2\}, n = min\{-k_1 - 1, -k_2 - 1\}, \text{ then since } a, b \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \forall k \ge m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$x_0 + kb \geqslant x_0 + mb \geqslant k_1b + r_1 + (-k_1)b = r_1 \geqslant 0$$

$$-y_0 + ka \ge -y_0 + ma \ge k_2a + r_2 + (-k_2)a = r_2 \ge 0$$

And $\forall k \leqslant n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$x_0 + kb \le x_0 + nb \le k_1b + r_1 + (-k_1 - 1)b = r_1 - b < 0$$

$$-y_0 + ka \le -y_0 + na \le k_2a + r_2 + (-k_2 - 1)a = r_2 - a < 0$$

Then if $c \ge 0$, $\forall k \ge m$, $c(x_0 + kb)$, $c(-y_0 + ka) \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a(c(x_0 + kb)) - b(c(-y_0 + ka)) = c(ax_0 + by_0) = c$$

if c < 0, $\forall k \leq n$, $c(x_0 + kb)$, $c(-y_0 + ka) \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a(c(x_0 + kb)) - b(c(-y_0 + ka)) = c(ax_0 + by_0) = c$$

so $\forall c \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist infinitely many solutions $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ of the Diophantine equation ax - by = c.

8.2

$$-158 = -3 \cdot 57 + 13$$

$$57 = 4 \cdot 13 + 5$$

$$13 = 2 \cdot 5 + 3$$

$$5 = 1 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0$$

So according to The Euclidean Algorithm, gcd(-158,57)=1. And $-158\cdot(-154)+57\cdot(-427)=-7$, so x=-154,y=-427 is a solution of -158x+57y=-7, i.e. $158x-57y=7,x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$. So all the solutions are

$$x = -154 + \frac{-57}{1}t = -154 - 57t, y = -427 - \frac{158}{1}t = -427 - 158t, t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

To find all solution in \mathbb{N} , let $x \ge 0, y \ge 0$, we get that

$$\begin{cases} -154 - 57t \geqslant 0 \\ -427 - 158t \geqslant 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow t \leqslant -427/158 \approx -2.7$$

To sum up, all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that 158x - 57y = 7 are

$$x = -154 - 57t, y = -427 - 158t, t \le -3, t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

9

9.1

Proof: Use induction to prove

- 1. When k = 0, n = 3k + 1 = 1 which cannot be factored into two smaller integers each of which belongs to S. So 1 is a prime and the statement holds.
- 2. Assume that when $k \leq m$ the statement holds, then for k = m + 1
 - (a) 3(m+1) + 1 is a prime
 - (b) 3(m+1)+1 can be factored into two smaller integers a,b each of which belongs to S. Then according to the assumption, a,b are either prime or a product of primes. Since 3(m+1)+1=ab, 3(m+1)+1 is a product of primes.

So 3(m+1)+1 is either a prime or a product of primes. So the statement holds when k=m+1

From 1.2., any member of S is either prime or a product of primes.

9.2

$$1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, 34, 37, 40, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55, \cdots$$

 $3 \cdot 73 + 1 = 220 = 4 \cdot 55 = 10 \cdot 22$. We can see that 4,10,22,55 are all primes, so it is possible for an element of S to be factored into primes in more than one way.

10

10.1

Proof: Assume that $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that 4k+3 is a prime and 4k+3|d. Since D is finite and p is the largest prime in D, $4k+3 \in D$ and $(4k+3)|(3 \cdot 7 \cdot \cdots p)$. So $(4k+3)|(4 \cdot (3 \cdot 7 \cdot \cdots p) - d)$, i.e. (4k+3)|1. So $4k+3=\pm 1$. Since $k \in \mathbb{N}$, this is impossible. So no prime of the form $4 \cdot k+3$ divides d.

10.2

First we know that 2|4k, 2|4k + 2, while d is odd, so d doesn't have prime factors in the form of 4k, 4k + 2. Since we have proved that no prime of the form $4 \cdot k + 3$ divides d, then if d is not a prime, it can only have the prime factor in the form of 4k + 1. $\forall k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(4k_1+1)(4k_2+1) = 4(4k_1k_2+k_1+k_2)+1$$

so d is in the form of 4k + 1. However, since $d = 4((3 \cdot 7 \cdot \cdots p) - 1) + 3$ is of the form 4k + 3, this is contradiction.

So we can conclude that d is a prime, and therefore d is not divisible by $4 \cdot k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

10.3

We have seen that d is a prime in the form of 4k+3, and $d=4((3\cdot 7\cdot \cdots p)-1)+3>4(2p-1)+3=8p-1>p$. So there exists some more primes of the form 4k+3 which are greater than p. While we have assumed that prime of the form 4k+3 is finite and p is the largest one, then it leads to contradition. So there is an infinite number of primes of the form $4\cdot n+3$.