Computability Theory IX Undecidability

Qingshui Xue

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Dec. 7, 2015

Assignment 3 was announced!

The deadline is Dec. 19.

UNDECIDABILITY

Decidability and Undecidability

A predicate $M(\mathbf{x})$ is decidable if its characteristic function $c_M(\mathbf{x})$ given by

$$c_M(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ holds}, \\ 0, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ does not hold}. \end{cases}$$

is computable.

Decidability and Undecidability

A predicate $M(\mathbf{x})$ is decidable if its characteristic function $c_M(\mathbf{x})$ given by

$$c_M(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ holds}, \\ 0, & \text{if } M(\mathbf{x}) \text{ does not hold.} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

The predicate $M(\mathbf{x})$ is undecidable if it is not decidable.

Theorem

The problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' is undecidable.

Theorem

The problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' is undecidable.

Proof

The characteristic function of this problem is given by

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in W_X, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin W_X. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

The problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' is undecidable.

Proof

The characteristic function of this problem is given by

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Suppose c(x) was computable. Then the function g(x) defined below would also be computable.

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c(x) = 0, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } c(x) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

The problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' is undecidable.

Proof

The characteristic function of this problem is given by

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Suppose c(x) was computable. Then the function g(x) defined below would also be computable.

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c(x) = 0, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } c(x) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let m be an index for g. Then

$$m \in W_m \text{ iff } c(m) = 0 \text{ iff } m \notin W_m.$$

Corollary

There is a computable function h such that both ' $x \in Dom(h)$ ' and ' $x \in Ran(h)$ ' are undecidable.

Corollary

There is a computable function h such that both ' $x \in Dom(h)$ ' and ' $x \in Ran(h)$ ' are undecidable.

Proof

Let

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Corollary

There is a computable function h such that both ' $x \in Dom(h)$ ' and ' $x \in Ran(h)$ ' are undecidable.

Proof

Let

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $x \in Dom(h)$ iff $x \in W_x$ iff $x \in Ran(h)$.

Theorem

The problem ' $\phi_X(y)$ is defined' is undecidable.

Theorem

The problem ' $\phi_X(y)$ is defined' is undecidable.

Proof

If $y \in W_x$ were decidable then $x \in W_x$ would be decidable.

Theorem

The problem ' $\phi_X(y)$ is defined' is undecidable.

Proof

If $y \in W_x$ were decidable then $x \in W_x$ would be decidable.

This is known as Halting Problem.

Theorem

The problem ' $\phi_X(y)$ is defined' is undecidable.

Proof

If $y \in W_X$ were decidable then $x \in W_X$ would be decidable.

This is known as Halting Problem.

In this proof we have reduced the problem ' $x \in W_x$ ' to the problem ' $y \in W_x$ '. The reduction shows that the latter is at least as hard as the former.

Theorem

The problem ' $\phi_X = \mathbf{0}$ ' is undecidable.

Theorem

The problem ' $\phi_X = \mathbf{0}$ ' is undecidable.

Proof

Consider the function f defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

The problem ' $\phi_X = \mathbf{0}$ ' is undecidable.

Proof

Consider the function *f* defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

Theorem

The problem ' $\phi_X = \mathbf{0}$ ' is undecidable.

Proof

Consider the function *f* defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

It is clear that $\phi_{k(x)} = \mathbf{0}$ iff $x \in W_x$.

Corollary

The problem ' $\phi_{x} \simeq \phi_{y}$ ' is undecidable.

Theorem

Let *c* be any number. The followings are undecidable.

- (a) Acceptance Problem: ' $c \in W_x$ ',
- (b) Printing Problem: ' $c \in E_x$ '.

Theorem

Let *c* be any number. The followings are undecidable.

- (a) Acceptance Problem: ' $c \in W_X$ ',
- (b) Printing Problem: ' $c \in E_X$ '.

Proof

Consider the function *f* defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

Let *c* be any number. The followings are undecidable.

- (a) Acceptance Problem: ' $c \in W_X$ ',
- (b) Printing Problem: ' $c \in E_x$ '.

Proof

Consider the function *f* defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

Theorem

Let *c* be any number. The followings are undecidable.

- (a) Acceptance Problem: ' $c \in W_X$ ',
- (b) Printing Problem: ' $c \in E_x$ '.

Proof

Consider the function *f* defined by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By s-m-n theorem there is some total computable function k(x) such that $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x,y)$.

It is clear that $c \in W_{k(x)}$ iff $x \in W_x$ iff $c \in E_{k(x)}$.



MORE ON UNDECIDABILITY

Exercise I

 $x \in E_x$

Exercise II

$$W_x = W_y$$

Exercise III

$$\phi_X(y) = 0$$

Exercise IV

 E_{x} is infinite.

Exercise V

' $\phi_{x} = g$ ', where g is any fixed computable function.