

# Computability Theory I

## Introduction

Qingshui Xue

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Sep. 14, 2015

# Instructor and Teaching Assistant

- ▶ Qingshui Xue

# Instructor and Teaching Assistant

- ▶ Qingshui Xue

- ▶ Homepage:

- <http://www.cs.sjtu.edu.cn/en/PeopleDetail.aspx?id=265>

- ▶ Email: [xue-qsh@cs.sjtu.edu.cn](mailto:xue-qsh@cs.sjtu.edu.cn)

- ▶ Office: Rm. 3-335, SEIEE

- ▶ Phone: [3420-4642](tel:34204642)

- ▶ Mobile Phone: [159-0073-9251](tel:15900739251)

# Instructor and Teaching Assistant

- ▶ Qingshui Xue
  - ▶ Homepage:  
<http://www.cs.sjtu.edu.cn/en/PeopleDetail.aspx?id=265>
  - ▶ Email: [xue-qsh@cs.sjtu.edu.cn](mailto:xue-qsh@cs.sjtu.edu.cn)
  - ▶ Office: Rm. 3-335, SEIEE
  - ▶ Phone: [3420-4642](tel:3420-4642)
  - ▶ Mobile Phone: [159-0073-9251](tel:159-0073-9251)
- ▶ TA:

# Instructor and Teaching Assistant

- ▶ Qingshui Xue
  - ▶ Homepage:  
<http://www.cs.sjtu.edu.cn/en/PeopleDetail.aspx?id=265>
  - ▶ Email: [xue-qsh@cs.sjtu.edu.cn](mailto:xue-qsh@cs.sjtu.edu.cn)
  - ▶ Office: Rm. 3-335, SEIEE
  - ▶ Phone: [3420-4642](tel:3420-4642)
  - ▶ Mobile Phone: [159-0073-9251](tel:159-0073-9251)
- ▶ TA:
  - ▶ **Mingzhang HUANG**: [mingzhanghuang@gmail.com](mailto:mingzhanghuang@gmail.com)
  - ▶ **Xiuting TAO**: [taoxiuting@gmail.com](mailto:taoxiuting@gmail.com)
- ▶ Office hour: [Wed. 14:00-17:00 @ SEIEE 3-335](#)

WHAT DO YOU THINK YOU CAN LEARN FROM THIS COURSE?

# Aim of the Course

- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the skill of programming?

# Aim of the Course

- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the skill of programming?
  - ▶ A: Nope!



# Aim of the Course

- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the skill of programming?
  - ▶ A: Nope!
- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the ability of algorithms?

# Aim of the Course

- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the skill of programming?
  - ▶ A: Nope!
- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the ability of algorithms?
  - ▶ A: Perhaps, seldom.

# Aim of the Course

- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the skill of programming?
  - ▶ A: Nope!
- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the ability of algorithms?
  - ▶ A: Perhaps, seldom.
- ▶ The course may provide a view of **computation**, an overlook of what we are doing in computer science, and a basic study of **theoretical computer science**.

# Aim of the Course

- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the skill of programming?
  - ▶ A: Nope!
- ▶ Q: Can the course improve the ability of algorithms?
  - ▶ A: Perhaps, seldom.
- ▶ The course may provide a view of **computation**, an overlook of what we are doing in computer science, and a basic study of **theoretical computer science**.
- ▶ It is rather a **philosophy** than a **technique**, although some parts are quite technically.

# It May Answer

# It May Answer

- ▶ A software company that is developing a compiler capable of checking if **a program contains a loop**.

# It May Answer

- ▶ A software company that is developing a compiler capable of checking if **a program contains a loop**.
- ▶ A hardware company that is determined to design a computer that can solve problems that no existing computers can solve.

# It May Answer

- ▶ A software company that is developing a compiler capable of checking if **a program contains a loop**.
- ▶ A hardware company that is determined to design a computer that can solve problems that no existing computers can solve.
- ▶ A service provider that is working on a theorem prover that is supposed to answer every question about numbers.



# History of Velocity

Human beings are keen on speed, and cannot stop the step to chase moving as fast as possible.

# History of Velocity

Human beings are keen on speed, and cannot stop the step to chase moving as fast as possible.

- ▶ **Wheels:** Mid-4th millennium BC.

# History of Velocity

Human beings are keen on speed, and cannot stop the step to chase moving as fast as possible.

- ▶ **Wheels**: Mid-4th millennium BC.
- ▶ **Automobiles**: 1762

# History of Velocity

Human beings are keen on speed, and cannot stop the step to chase moving as fast as possible.

- ▶ **Wheels**: Mid-4th millennium BC.
- ▶ **Automobiles**: 1762
- ▶ **Trains**: 1807
- ▶ **Airplanes**: 1903

# History of Velocity

Human beings are keen on speed, and cannot stop the step to chase moving as fast as possible.

- ▶ **Wheels**: Mid-4th millennium BC.
- ▶ **Automobiles**: 1762
- ▶ **Trains**: 1807
- ▶ **Airplanes**: 1903
- ▶ **Supersonic**: 1947  $343.2m/s$

# History of Velocity

Human beings are keen on speed, and cannot stop the step to chase moving as fast as possible.

- ▶ **Wheels**: Mid-4th millennium BC.
- ▶ **Automobiles**: 1762
- ▶ **Trains**: 1807
- ▶ **Airplanes**: 1903
- ▶ **Supersonic**: 1947  $343.2\text{m/s}$
- ▶ **Circular velocity** 1957  $7.9\text{km/s}$
- ▶ **Earth escape velocity** 1959  $11.2\text{km/s}$
- ▶ **Solar system escape velocity** 1977  $16.7\text{km/s}$

# History of Velocity

Human beings are keen on speed, and cannot stop the step to chase moving as fast as possible.

- ▶ **Wheels**: Mid-4th millennium BC.
- ▶ **Automobiles**: 1762
- ▶ **Trains**: 1807
- ▶ **Airplanes**: 1903
- ▶ **Supersonic**: 1947  $343.2\text{m/s}$
- ▶ **Circular velocity** 1957  $7.9\text{km/s}$
- ▶ **Earth escape velocity** 1959  $11.2\text{km/s}$
- ▶ **Solar system escape velocity** 1977  $16.7\text{km/s}$
- ▶ **Q: Can we achieve in arbitrarily fast velocity?**

# History of Velocity

Human beings are keen on speed, and cannot stop the step to chase moving as fast as possible.

- ▶ **Wheels**: Mid-4th millennium BC.
- ▶ **Automobiles**: 1762
- ▶ **Trains**: 1807
- ▶ **Airplanes**: 1903
- ▶ **Supersonic**: 1947  $343.2\text{m/s}$
- ▶ **Circular velocity** 1957  $7.9\text{km/s}$
- ▶ **Earth escape velocity** 1959  $11.2\text{km/s}$
- ▶ **Solar system escape velocity** 1977  $16.7\text{km/s}$
- ▶ **Q: Can we achieve in arbitrarily fast velocity?**
  - ▶ Grandfather paradox



# Computation

Human beings are also keen on computation, and cannot stop the step to chase computing as complex as possible.

# Computation

Human beings are also keen on computation, and cannot stop the step to chase computing as complex as possible.

- ▶ **Decimal system:** AD 600

# Computation

Human beings are also keen on computation, and cannot stop the step to chase computing as complex as possible.

- ▶ **Decimal system:** AD 600
- ▶ **Basic arithmetic:** Al Khwarizmi (780 - 850)

# Computation

Human beings are also keen on computation, and cannot stop the step to chase computing as complex as possible.

- ▶ **Decimal system**: AD 600
- ▶ **Basic arithmetic**: **Al Khwarizmi** (780 - 850)
- ▶ **ENIAC**: 1946

# Computation

Human beings are also keen on computation, and cannot stop the step to chase computing as complex as possible.

- ▶ **Decimal system**: AD 600
- ▶ **Basic arithmetic**: **Al Khwarizmi** (780 - 850)
- ▶ **ENIAC**: 1946
- ▶ **NP problem**
- ▶ **The curse of exponential time**

# Computation

Human beings are also keen on computation, and cannot stop the step to chase computing as complex as possible.

- ▶ **Decimal system**: AD 600
- ▶ **Basic arithmetic**: **Al Khwarizmi** (780 - 850)
- ▶ **ENIAC**: 1946
- ▶ **NP problem**
- ▶ **The curse of exponential time**
- ▶ **Advanced algorithms**: simplex, DPLL, antichain.

# Computation

Human beings are also keen on computation, and cannot stop the step to chase computing as complex as possible.

- ▶ **Decimal system**: AD 600
- ▶ **Basic arithmetic**: **Al Khwarizmi** (780 - 850)
- ▶ **ENIAC**: 1946
- ▶ **NP problem**
- ▶ **The curse of exponential time**
- ▶ **Advanced algorithms**: simplex, DPLL, antichain.
- ▶ **Q: Can we achieve in arbitrarily complex computation?**

What problems can be solved by computers?



*Computer science is no more about computers than  
astronomy is about telescopes.*

*Edsger Dijkstra*

*Let us begin to learn some basic astronomical phenomena!*

*The technique part is quite similar to puzzles of wise men.*

*So, please have a fun!*

*Intuition is extremely important!*

# Reference Book

- ▶ **Computability: An Introduction to Recursive Function Theory.**
  - ▶ Nigel J. Cutland



# Reference Book

- ▶ **Computability: An Introduction to Recursive Function Theory.**
  - ▶ Nigel J. Cutland
- ▶ plus **extra reading materials.**



# Scoring Policy

- ▶ 10% Attendance.
- ▶ 20% Assignments.
- ▶ 70% Final exam.

# Scoring Policy

- ▶ 10% Attendance.
- ▶ 20% Assignments.
  - ▶ Four assignments.
- ▶ 70% Final exam.



# Scoring Policy

- ▶ 10% Attendance.
- ▶ 20% Assignments.
  - ▶ Four assignments.
  - ▶ Each one is 5 pts.
- ▶ 70% Final exam.

# Scoring Policy

- ▶ 10% Attendance.
- ▶ 20% Assignments.
  - ▶ Four assignments.
  - ▶ Each one is 5 pts.
  - ▶ Work out individually.
- ▶ 70% Final exam.

# Scoring Policy

- ▶ 10% Attendance.
- ▶ 20% Assignments.
  - ▶ Four assignments.
  - ▶ Each one is 5 pts.
  - ▶ Work out individually.
- ▶ 70% Final exam.
- ▶ There are also several homework. The answer may be given in **exercise lectures**, two or three times.

# Special Requirements

A notebook and a pen.

Any questions?

## 0. PROLOGUE

# EFFECTIVE SOLUTIONS

What problems can be solved by computers?



# Famous Problems

- ▶ Diophantine equations
- ▶ Shortest path problem
- ▶ Travelling salesman problem (TSP)
- ▶ Graph isomorphism problem (GI)

# Intuition

An **effective procedure** consists of a finite set of **instructions** which, given an **input** from some set of possible inputs, enables us to obtain an **output** through a systematic execution of the instructions that **terminates** in a finite number of steps.

# Intuition

# Intuition

Theorem **proving** is in general not effective.

Proof **verification** is effective.

# Intuition

Theorem **proving** is in general not effective.

Proof **verification** is effective.

**Unbounded search** is in general not effective.

**Bounded search** is effective.

# Representation of Problem

- ▶ How does a computer solve the **GI problem** or the **TSP Problem**?

# Representation of Problem

- ▶ How does a computer solve the **GI problem** or the **TSP Problem**?
- ▶ How is a problem instance (a graph) represented in a computer?

# Representation of Problem

- ▶ How does a computer solve the **GI problem** or the **TSP Problem**?
- ▶ How is a problem instance (a graph) represented in a computer?
- ▶ How is the answer to a problem instance represented?



# Representation of Problem

- ▶ How does a computer solve the **GI problem** or the **TSP Problem**?
- ▶ How is a problem instance (a graph) represented in a computer?
- ▶ How is the answer to a problem instance represented?
- ▶ How is an effective procedure formalized?

# Representation of Problem

- ▶ How does a computer solve the **GI problem** or the **TSP Problem**?
- ▶ How is a problem instance (a graph) represented in a computer?
- ▶ How is the answer to a problem instance represented?
- ▶ How is an effective procedure formalized?
- ▶ Can every function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  be calculated by a C program?

# Representation of Problem

- ▶ How does a computer solve the **GI problem** or the **TSP Problem**?
- ▶ How is a problem instance (a graph) represented in a computer?
- ▶ How is the answer to a problem instance represented?
- ▶ How is an effective procedure formalized?
- ▶ Can every function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  be calculated by a C program?
  - ▶ **Negative.**

# Punchline

- ▶ In a formal theory of computability, every problem instance can be represented by a number and every number represents a problem instance.

# Punchline

- ▶ In a formal theory of computability, every problem instance can be represented by a number and every number represents a problem instance.
- ▶ A problem is a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  from numbers to numbers.

# Punchline

- ▶ In a formal theory of computability, every problem instance can be represented by a number and every number represents a problem instance.
- ▶ A problem is a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  from numbers to numbers.
- ▶ A problem is computable if it can be calculated by a program.

*Everything is number!*

*Pythagoras*

# DECISION PROBLEM



# Decision Problem

A problem  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a **decision problem** if the range  $\text{ran}(f)$  of  $f$  is  $\{0, 1\}$ , where **1** denotes a 'yes' answer and **0** a 'no' answer.

A decision problem  $g$  can be identified with the set  $\{n \mid g(n) = 1\}$ .

Conversely a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  can be seen as a decision problem via the **characteristic function** of  $A$ :

$$c_A(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Decision Problem as Predicate

A decision problem can be stated as a predicate  $P(x)$  on number.

It relates to the problem-as-function viewpoint by the following **characteristic function** of  $P(x)$ :

$$c_P(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P(n) \text{ is valid,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Decision Problem  $\Leftrightarrow$  Subset of  $\mathbb{N}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$  Predicate on  $\mathbb{N}$

## SEVERAL PROBLEMS

# Problem I

Is the function  $\text{tower}(x)$  defined below computable?

$$\text{tower}(x) = \underbrace{2^{2^{\cdots^2}}}_x$$

# Problem I

Is the function  $\text{tower}(x)$  defined below computable?

$$\text{tower}(x) = \underbrace{2^{2^{\cdots^2}}}_x$$

Theoretically it is computable.

## Problem II

Consider the function  $f$  defined as follows:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ and } 2n \text{ is the sum of 2 primes,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **Goldbach Conjecture** remains unsolved. Is  $f$  computable?

## Problem II

Consider the function  $f$  defined as follows:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ and } 2n \text{ is the sum of 2 primes,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **Goldbach Conjecture** remains unsolved. Is  $f$  computable?

It is clearly computable even if we do not know what it is.



# Problem III

Consider the function  $g$  defined as follows:

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there is a run of exactly } n \text{ consecutive } 7\text{'s} \\ & \text{in the decimal expansion of } \pi, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is known that  $\pi$  can be calculated by  $4 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \dots \right)$ .  
Is  $g$  computable?

# Problem III

Consider the function  $g$  defined as follows:

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there is a run of exactly } n \text{ consecutive } 7\text{'s} \\ & \text{in the decimal expansion of } \pi, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is known that  $\pi$  can be calculated by  $4 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \dots \right)$ .  
Is  $g$  computable?

We do not know whether it is computable or not.

# Problem IV

Consider the function  $h$  defined as follows:

$$h(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is the machine code of a } C \text{ program that} \\ & \text{terminates in all inputs,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## Problem IV

Consider the function  $h$  defined as follows:

$$h(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is the machine code of a } C \text{ program that} \\ & \text{terminates in all inputs,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is the **Halting Problem**, a well known undecidable problem. In other words there does not exist any C program calculating  $h$ .

The only general approach to check if a function is defined on all numbers is to calculate it on all inputs.

# Problem V

Consider the function  $i$  defined as follows:

$$i(x, n, t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if on input } x, \text{ the machine coded by } n \\ & \text{terminates in } t \text{ steps,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There could be a number of ways to interpret “ $t$  steps”.

# Problem V

Consider the function  $i$  defined as follows:

$$i(x, n, t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if on input } x, \text{ the machine coded by } n \\ & \text{terminates in } t \text{ steps,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There could be a number of ways to interpret “ $t$  steps”.

The function  $i$  is intuitively computable.

# Next Lecture

The examples try to suggest that in order to study computability one might as well look for a theory of **computable functions**.

# Next Lecture

The examples try to suggest that in order to study computability one might as well look for a theory of **computable functions**.

We will begin with a machine model, **register machine**.



# Homework

- ▶ home reading: diagonal method.
- ▶ home reading: Presburger arithmetic.