Solution for Problem Set 1

Mianzhi Pan, 181240045

September 17, 2020

1 Problem 1

(a)

Algorithm 1 SELECTION-SORT(A)

```
1: for i = 1 \rightarrow A.length - 1 do
       mini = i
2:
3:
       for j = i + 1 \rightarrow A.length do
           if A[j] < A[mini] then
4:
              mini = j
5:
           end if
6:
       end for
7:
       swap(A[i], A[mini])
8:
9: end for
```

(b) Best case: the array A has been sorted, so array elements $A[i](1 \le i \le n-1)$ needs comparing n-i times while no swaping. Then the running time is $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i) = \Theta(n^2)$.

Worst case: A is reverse ordered. In addition to the same comparion times as the best case, this algorithm needs swaping n-1 times, so the running time is $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-i) + (n-1)$, which is still $\Theta(n^2)$.

(c) Loop invariant: Every time the program reaches line 1, the subarray A[1,...,i-1] consisting of i-1 smallest elements from the origin array A is sorted.

Initialization: When i=1, the subarray A[1,...,i-1] is empty, and so we can say that it contains 0 smallest element from A and it is sorted, which indicates that the loop invariant holds before the first loop iteration.

Maintenance:Line 2 to 8 choose the smallest element from subarray A[i, ..., n-1], then swap it and A[i]. Therefore, A[i] would be the i^{th} smallest element from the origin array A after the i^{th} loop.As a result, A[1, ..., i-1] consists of i-1 smallest elements from A in sorted order.

Termination: The condition causing the for loop to terminate is that i > A.length-1, at which time we have i = n. In the last loop, we swap the smaller element in A[n-1,n] and A[n-1], thus the subarray A[1,...,n-1] consists of

n-1 smallest elements from A in sorted order and A[n] is the biggest element. We conclude the whole array A is sorted. Hence the algorithm is correct.

2 Problem 2

(a) In each iteration, PolyEval needs to do addition and mutiplication both one time. So the runtime is $2n = \Theta(n)$.

(b) Loop invariant: Every time the program reaches line 2, we have $y = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1-i} c_{i+1+j}x^j$

Initialization:Before the first loop, we have i = n. Calculating $y = \sum_{j=0}^{-1} c_{n+1+j} x^j = 0$, we have the same value of y as line 1.

Maintenance: Since c_0, \dots, c_n and x are fixed, the loop invariant is only related with i, and we can write it as f(i). Before each loop, we have y = f(i). We want to prove that after each loop y = f(i-1).

$$y = c_{i} + x * f(i)$$

$$= c_{i} + x * \sum_{j=0}^{n-1-i} c_{i+1+j}x^{j}$$

$$= c_{j} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-i} c_{i+1+j}x^{j+1}$$

$$= c_{j} * x^{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} c_{i+j}x^{j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} c_{i+j}x^{j}$$

$$= f(i-1)$$

Termination:In the last loop, i = 0. As a result, when the program terminates, $y = f(0-1) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j x^j$, which is equal to P(x). Hence the algorithm is correct.

3 Problem 3

(a) For f(n) and g(n), we know that f(n) > 0, g(n) > 0. And we can easily find $n_0 \ge 0$,s.t. for all $n \ge n_0$, we have

$$f(n), g(n) \le \max\{f(n), g(n)\} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(f(n) + g(n)) \le \max\{f(n), g(n)\}\$$

 $\max\{f(n), g(n)\} \le f(n) + g(n)$

so there are $c_1 = 1/2$ and $c_2 = 1$, s.t. $0 \le c_1(f(n) + g(n) \le max\{f(n), g(n)\} \le c_2(f(n) + g(n))$, i.e. $\Theta(f(n) + g(n)) = max\{f(n), g(n)\}$.

(b) Use binomial theorem, we have
$$(n+a)^b = C_b^0 n^0 a^b + C_b^1 n^1 a^{b-1} + \cdots + C_b^b n^b a^0$$
. We can easily find $n_0 \geq 0$, s.t. for all $n \geq n_0$, we have $n^b \leq C_b^0 n^0 a^b + C_b^1 n^1 a^{b-1} + \cdots + C_b^b n^b a^0 \leq (C_b^0 a^b + C_b^1 a^{b-1} + \cdots + C_b^b a^0) n^b$, i.e. $0 \leq \frac{(n+a)^b}{C_b^0 a^b + C_b^1 a^{b-1} + \cdots + C_b^b a^0} \leq n^b \leq (n+a)^b$. Therefore, $(n+a)^b = \Theta(n^b)$. (c) Θ

4 Problem 4

$$\begin{split} 1 &< n^{1/lgn} < lg(lg^*n) < lg^*(lgn) < lg^*n < 2^{lg^*n} \\ &< lnlnn < \sqrt{lgn} < lnn < lg^2n < 2^{\sqrt{2lgn}} < (\sqrt{2})^{lgn} \\ &< 2^{lgn} = n < nlgn = lg(n!) < 4^{lgn} = n^2 \\ &< n^3 < (lgn)^{lgn} = n^{lglgn} < (3/2)^n < 2^n < n \cdot 2^n \\ &< e^n < (lgn)! < n! < (n+1)! < 2^{2^n} < 2^{2^{n+1}} \end{split}$$

5 Problem 5

Suppose we have two stacks A and B. When do enqueue operation, we push an element n into A. When we do dequeue operation, we pop n from B. The elements in B is from A. If A is full or B is empty, we pop elements in A and mathttpush them to B.

The following pseudocode doesn't consider overflow and underflow.

```
Algorithm 2 enqueue(x)
```

```
1: if A.top == n then

2: repeat

3: a = POP(A)

4: PUSH(B, a)

5: until B.top == n or STACK - EMPTY(A)

6: end if

7: PUSH(A, x)
```

Algorithm 3 dequeue

```
1: if STACK - EMPTY(B) then

2: repeat

3: a = POP(A)

4: PUSH(B, a)

5: until B.top == n or STACK - EMPTY(A)

6: end if

7: POP(B)
```

best-case: the running time for both dequeue and enqueue is $\Theta(1)$.

worst-case:If A is full and B is empty, the running time is both $\Theta(n)$.

6 Problem 6

Use two stacks A and B, the former to store real elements and the latter to store minimum element. When we do push, first PUSH it to A, and then compare it with the top element b of B. If it is smaller than b,PUSH it to B as well. Otherwise, PUSH b to B again. So the top element of B is always the minimum of A. When we do pop, POP both two stacks and return the result from A. When we do min, return the top element of B.

Algorithm 4 push(x)

```
1: PUSH(x, A)
2: if not STACK - EMPTY(B) then
      b = POP(B)
3:
      PUSH(b, B)
4:
      if x < b then
5:
         PUSH(x, B)
6:
7:
      else
8:
         PUSH(b,B)
      end if
9:
10: else
      PUSH(x, B)
11:
12: end if
```

Algorithm 5 pop()

```
1: a = POP(A)

2: POP(B)

3: return a
```

Algorithm 6 min()

```
1: b = POP(B)
2: PUSH(b, B)
3: return b
```

We design the MINISTACK data structure with two stacks, each of their space complexity is O(n). So the total space complexity is O(n).

7 Problem 7

Use a queue A.When doing remove operation, we first choose a random number x, which means we will remove the x^{th} element in A. Then we do DEQUEUE

for x-1 times and ENQUEUE them all. After that, we do DEQUEUE once more and return the value.

```
Algorithm 7 add(x)

1: ENQUEUE(A, x)
```

Algorithm 8 remove

```
1: x = random(N)

2: while x > 1 do

3: a = DEQUEUE(A)

4: ENQUEUE(A, a)

5: x = x - 1

6: end while

7: a = DEQUEUE(A)

8: return a
```

It is obvious that the time complexity of add is O(1). When we do remove each time, we need to do DEQUEUE for x times and ENQUEUE for x-1 times while $1 \le x \le N$ is a constant. Then the time complexity of remove is O(1) as well.

8 Problem 8

We scan the input expression from left to right. If we come across an operand, output it directly. Otherwise, push the operator we meet to a stack A. After scanning the whole expression, pop the elements in A and output them.

Algorithm 9 InToPost(E)

```
1: for i = 1 \rightarrow E.length do
      if E[i] is an operand then
3:
          output E[i]
4:
      else
          PUSH(A, E[i])
5:
      end if
7: end for
8: repeat
      a = POP(A)
9:
10:
      output a
11: until STACK - EMPTY(A)
```

Considering the worst case(all elements are operators), the algorithm has to scan the whole expression and do n times' PUSH and n times' POP. Total operations number is 3n, so the time complexity is O(n).