**Normalization**

A large database defined as a single relation may result in data duplication. This repetition of data may result in:

* Making relations very large.
* It isn't easy to maintain and update data as it would involve searching many records in relation.
* Wastage and poor utilization of disk space and resources.
* The likelihood of errors and inconsistencies increases.

So to handle these problems, we should analyze and decompose the relations with redundant data into smaller, simpler, and well-structured relations that are satisfy desirable properties. Normalization is a process of decomposing the relations into relations with fewer attributes.

What is Normalization?

* Normalization is the process of organizing the data in the database.
* Normalization is used to minimize the redundancy from a relation or set of relations. It is also used to eliminate undesirable characteristics like Insertion, Update, and Deletion Anomalies.
* Normalization divides the larger table into smaller and links them using relationships.
* The normal form is used to reduce redundancy from the database table.

**Why do we need Normalization?**

The main reason for normalizing the relations is removing these anomalies. Failure to eliminate anomalies leads to data redundancy and can cause data integrity and other problems as the database grows. Normalization consists of a series of guidelines that helps to guide you in creating a good database structure.

**Data modification anomalies can be categorized into three types:**

* **Insertion Anomaly:** Insertion Anomaly refers to when one cannot insert a new tuple into a relationship due to lack of data.
* **Deletion Anomaly:** The delete anomaly refers to the situation where the deletion of data results in the unintended loss of some other important data.
* **Updatation Anomaly:** The update anomaly is when an update of a single data value requires multiple rows of data to be updated.

Types of Normal Forms:

Normalization works through a series of stages called Normal forms. The normal forms apply to individual relations. The relation is said to be in particular normal form if it satisfies constraints.

**Following are the various types of Normal forms:**



|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Normal Form** | **Description** |
| [1NF](https://www.javatpoint.com/dbms-first-normal-form) | A relation is in 1NF if it contains an atomic value. |
| [2NF](https://www.javatpoint.com/dbms-second-normal-form) | A relation will be in 2NF if it is in 1NF and all non-key attributes are fully functional dependent on the primary key. |
| [3NF](https://www.javatpoint.com/dbms-third-normal-form) | A relation will be in 3NF if it is in 2NF and no transition dependency exists. |
| BCNF | A stronger definition of 3NF is known as Boyce Codd's normal form. |
| [4NF](https://www.javatpoint.com/dbms-forth-normal-form) | A relation will be in 4NF if it is in Boyce Codd's normal form and has no multi-valued dependency. |
| [5NF](https://www.javatpoint.com/dbms-fifth-normal-form) | A relation is in 5NF. If it is in 4NF and does not contain any join dependency, joining should be lossless. |

Advantages of Normalization

* Normalization helps to minimize data redundancy.
* Greater overall database organization.
* Data consistency within the database.
* Much more flexible database design.
* Enforces the concept of relational integrity.

Disadvantages of Normalization

* You cannot start building the database before knowing what the user needs.
* The performance degrades when normalizing the relations to higher normal forms, i.e., 4NF, 5NF.
* It is very time-consuming and difficult to normalize relations of a higher degree.
* Careless decomposition may lead to a bad database design, leading to serious problems.

First Normal Form (1NF)

* A relation will be 1NF if it contains an atomic value.
* It states that an attribute of a table cannot hold multiple values. It must hold only single-valued attribute.
* First normal form disallows the multi-valued attribute, composite attribute, and their combinations.

**Example:** Relation EMPLOYEE is not in 1NF because of multi-valued attribute EMP\_PHONE.

**EMPLOYEE table:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EMP\_ID** | **EMP\_NAME** | **EMP\_PHONE** | **EMP\_STATE** |
| 14 | John | 7272826385, 9064738238 | UP |
| 20 | Harry | 8574783832 | Bihar |
| 12 | Sam | 7390372389, 8589830302 | Punjab |

The decomposition of the EMPLOYEE table into 1NF has been shown below:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EMP\_ID** | **EMP\_NAME** | **EMP\_PHONE** | **EMP\_STATE** |
| 14 | John | 7272826385 | UP |
| 14 | John | 9064738238 | UP |
| 20 | Harry | 8574783832 | Bihar |
| 12 | Sam | 7390372389 | Punjab |
| 12 | Sam | 8589830302 | Punjab |

Second Normal Form (2NF)
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* In the 2NF, relational must be in 1NF.
* In the second normal form, all non-key attributes are fully functional dependent on the primary key

**Example:** Let's assume, a school can store the data of teachers and the subjects they teach. In a school, a teacher can teach more than one subject.

**TEACHER table**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **TEACHER\_ID** | **SUBJECT** | **TEACHER\_AGE** |
| 25 | Chemistry | 30 |
| 25 | Biology | 30 |
| 47 | English | 35 |
| 83 | Math | 38 |
| 83 | Computer | 38 |

In the given table, non-prime attribute TEACHER\_AGE is dependent on TEACHER\_ID which is a proper subset of a candidate key. That's why it violates the rule for 2NF.

To convert the given table into 2NF, we decompose it into two tables:

**TEACHER\_DETAIL table:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **TEACHER\_ID** | **TEACHER\_AGE** |
| 25 | 30 |
| 47 | 35 |
| 83 | 38 |

**TEACHER\_SUBJECT table:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **TEACHER\_ID** | **SUBJECT** |
| 25 | Chemistry |
| 25 | Biology |
| 47 | English |
| 83 | Math |
| 83 | Computer |

**Third Normal Form (3NF)**

* A relation will be in 3NF if it is in 2NF and not contain any transitive partial dependency.
* 3NF is used to reduce the data duplication. It is also used to achieve the data integrity.
* If there is no transitive dependency for non-prime attributes, then the relation must be in third normal form.

A relation is in third normal form if it holds atleast one of the following conditions for every non-trivial function dependency X → Y.

1. X is a super key.
2. Y is a prime attribute, i.e., each element of Y is part of some candidate key.

**Example:**

**EMPLOYEE\_DETAIL table:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EMP\_ID** | **EMP\_NAME** | **EMP\_ZIP** | **EMP\_STATE** | **EMP\_CITY** |
| 222 | Harry | 201010 | UP | Noida |
| 333 | Stephan | 02228 | US | Boston |
| 444 | Lan | 60007 | US | Chicago |
| 555 | Katharine | 06389 | UK | Norwich |
| 666 | John | 462007 | MP | Bhopal |

**Super key in the table above:**

* 1. {EMP\_ID}, {EMP\_ID, EMP\_NAME}, {EMP\_ID, EMP\_NAME, EMP\_ZIP}....so on

**Candidate key:** {EMP\_ID}

**Non-prime attributes:** In the given table, all attributes except EMP\_ID are non-prime.

Here, EMP\_STATE & EMP\_CITY dependent on EMP\_ZIP and EMP\_ZIP dependent on EMP\_ID. The non-prime attributes (EMP\_STATE, EMP\_CITY) transitively dependent on super key(EMP\_ID). It violates the rule of third normal form.

That's why we need to move the EMP\_CITY and EMP\_STATE to the new <EMPLOYEE\_ZIP> table, with EMP\_ZIP as a Primary key.

**EMPLOYEE table:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **EMP\_ID** | **EMP\_NAME** | **EMP\_ZIP** |
| 222 | Harry | 201010 |
| 333 | Stephan | 02228 |
| 444 | Lan | 60007 |
| 555 | Katharine | 06389 |
| 666 | John | 462007 |

**EMPLOYEE\_ZIP table:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **EMP\_ZIP** | **EMP\_STATE** | **EMP\_CITY** |
| 201010 | UP | Noida |
| 02228 | US | Boston |
| 60007 | US | Chicago |
| 06389 | UK | Norwich |
| 462007 | MP | Bhopal |