
(The first 3 columns are “day 2”, the next 3 columns are “week 12” and the last 5 are “week 18”).

1. For each row in the spreadsheet, perform a one-way ANOVA with categories “day 2”, “week 12” and “week 18”. Plot out the histogram of all p-values. How many genes are significant at a BH FDR-corrected 0.05 threshold. (see mini-lecture 16B).

**I executed the command at listing 1 to calculate the number of BH FDR-corrected significant genes (612) at 0.05 threshold and to generate the histogram at figure 1. The source file is located at URL** <https://github.com/jyoung67/advstatistics-labs/blob/master/labs/lab10/onewayanova.R> .

  
**Listing 1: R command for three-parameter model (one-way ANOVA)**




**Figure 1: Raw p-values for three-parameter model**

1. Next make an ANOVA as a linear regression as a function of time (so 2 days, 86 days and 128 days). Plot out the histogram of all p-values. How many genes are significant at a BH FDR-corrected 0.05 threshold. (see lecture 15)

**I executed the command at listing 2 to calculate the number of BH FDR-corrected significant genes (448) at 0.05 threshold and to generate the histogram at figure 2. The source file is located at URL** [**https://github.com/jyoung67/advstatistics-labs/blob/master/labs/lab10/linearanova.R**](https://github.com/jyoung67/advstatistics-labs/blob/master/labs/lab10/linearanova.R) **.**



**Listing 2: R command for two-parameter model (ANOVA as a linear regression of a function of time)**




**Figure 2: Raw p-values for two-parameter model**

1. Finally, for each row in the spreadsheet perform an ANVOA comparing the three-parameter model from (A) and the two parameter model from (B). (see mini-lecture 16C). Plot out the histogram of all p-values. For how many genes is there a significant difference between these two models at a BH FDR-corrected threshold.

**I executed the command at listing 3 to calculate the number of BH FDR-corrected significant genes (51) at 0.05 threshold and to generate the histogram at figure 3. The source file is located at URL** [**https://github.com/jyoung67/advstatistics-labs/blob/master/labs/lab10/mixedanova.R**](https://github.com/jyoung67/advstatistics-labs/blob/master/labs/lab10/mixedanova.R) **.**



**Listing 3: R command for ANOVA two- versus three-parameter model comparisons**




**Figure 3: Raw p-values for two- vs three-parameter model comparisons**


1. Make three graphs showing the relative abundance of the most significant gene under each of the three ANOVA models. For (A) and (C), the x-axis will the category (day 3, week 12 and week 18) and the y-axis will be the relative abundance. Be sure to properly label and title all graphs and axes. For (B) the x-axis will be time (in days) and the y-axis will be the relative abundance. For the graph of the top hit from (B), include the regression line for the plot from (B).

**Associated R commands, with index to most significant genes, and boxplots for (A), (B) and (C) are at figures 4, 5 & 6, respectively. Listing 4 contains the retrieved most significant gene names. The URLs to source files are the following.**


* (A): <https://github.com/jyoung67/advstatistics-labs/blob/master/labs/lab10/onewayanovamostsig.R>
* (B): <https://github.com/jyoung67/advstatistics-labs/blob/master/labs/lab10/linearanovamostsig.R>
* (C): <https://github.com/jyoung67/advstatistics-labs/blob/master/labs/lab10/mixedanovamostsig.R>




 **Figure 4: Most significant gene relative abundance values for three-parameter model**


**Figure 5: Most significant gene relative abundance values for two-parameter model**


**Figure 6: Most significant gene relative abundance values for two- vs three-parameter model comparisons**

****  
**Listing 4:** Gene names of most significant p-value for (A), (B) & (C), respectively. URL to R source file is

1. Overall, do you think the three parameter model in (A) or the two-parameter model in (B) is more appropriate for these data? Justify your answer.

**The three-parameter model in A is more appropriate for these data for the following reason. Per figure 4---histogram of p-values containing comparisons of two-parameter versus three-parameter models---the distribution of p-values is not approximately uniform, indicating an overall statistical difference between the two models. Moreover, 51 significant BH FDR-corrected p-values were generated from these model comparisons.**