## Languages and Paradigms of Programming Language specification

Filip Binkiewicz (332069) April 13, 2017

## 1 BNF Grammar

```
Num \ni n ::= 0 | 1 | -1 | 2 | \dots
   Var \ni x ::= x | y | \dots
 \mathit{Expr} \ni \ e \ ::= \ n \mid x \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2 \mid e_1 - e_2 \mid e_1 / e_2 \mid
                      f(x) | f(f_1) | x + + | x - -
BExpr \ni b ::= true \mid false \mid x \mid e_1 <= e_2 \mid e_1 < e_2 \mid
                      e_1 >= e_2 \mid e_1 > e_2 \mid e_1 == e_2 \mid
                      b_1 and b_2 \mid b_1 or b_2 \mid not b
FExpr \ni f ::= x \mid \texttt{lambda}(x) : I
 Instr \ni I ::= x = e \mid x = b \mid x = f \mid
                      while b do I \mid I_1; I_2 \mid
                      if b then I \mid if b then I_1 else I_2 \mid
                      \{d; I\} \mid \mathtt{print} \ e \mid
                      for x ranging from e_1 to e_2 do I \mid
                      \operatorname{return} e \mid \operatorname{yield} e
  Decl \ni d ::= int x \mid bool x \mid
                      function y(x) I \mid function y(\text{ref } x) I \mid
                       function y(\text{fun } x) I
```

## 2 Remarks

• Most of the constructions described in the grammar are standard and won't be explained further — their meaning can be assumed to repli-

cate their C's equivalents'. All non-trivial constructions are explained in the next section.

• This grammar has not yet been written in a format understood by BNFC, but it will be done shortly. The format imitates the one used in the Semantics and Verification class — in particular, it does not take account for precedence level in expressions, and I omitted that on purpose, so as to make the grammar more human-readable. Rewriting it might imply some minor changes.

## 3 Explanation

Most of the features of this language have been taken from the standard list. Below I will describe the interesting features of this language.

- General information.
  - One shall not use an undeclared variable! (this is however not a syntax error as it is not a context-free feature)
  - The language is **statically typed**, meaning exactly what the author of the assignment described so verbosely.
  - Runtime errors are explicitly reported.
- if \_ then \_ else since there are two versions of this statement, the problem that bothered Pascal's developers appears. We assume that every else corresponds to the closest if, i.e. the program

```
if false then
if true then
print 1
else
print 1
```

does not print anything. But, of course, I endorse all programers not to take advantage of this too much.

- function. This language allows to declare three types of functions (all recursive with static visibility):
  - pass-by-value
  - pass-by-reference

- functions with a function parameter (pass-by-value)

All functions **return an integer value** (0 if a **return** statement is not met int the function's body).

Another important remark is that a function declared as function f(fun f) discards the parameter and inside it every call of f will be a recursive call. Other than that, this is self-explainatory (I hope!).

- lambda denotes an anonymous function which can be assigned to a variable or passed to a function. Lambdas are only pass-by-value and return an integer.
- The Pascal-style for I believe this is self-explainatory as well.
- print allows to print an expression (an attempt to print a function variable will raise a ,,compile" error.
- Blocks work like in C, except that there needs to be at least one semicolon the one separating declarations from instructions. Hence, the following code is fine:

```
{ ; print 10 }
```

• yield works like in Python and is the only feature not described in the standard assignment specification. It allows the programmer to define rather stupid generators:

```
function f(x)
{
    int i;
    i = 0;
    while (true)
    {
        yield x + i;
        i = i + 1
    }
};
print f(1);
print f(1);
print f(3)
    // prints 1
```

A yield instruction outside of a function definition raises a runtime error.

• Comments - this will be done by BNFC and I think I'm going to stick with the // comment and /\* comment \*/ tradition.