Timeframe: $03/20 \sim 03/26$

• Completed tasks:

- Reviewed the project brief
- Reviewed project deliverables
- Notes:

• Was not able to meet expectations due to the university semester just starting and we did not really engage with the project.

Timeframe: $03/25 \sim 04/03$

• Completed tasks:

- Identified all deliverables for the project
- Received hardware (Raspberry Pi 5) for the project
- o Defined project scope, objectives
- Defined basic work in progress research questions
- Defined a project management timeline
- o Conducted research on:
 - The idea of sign language recognition
 - Various machine learning frameworks and toolsets
 - Raspberry Pi as a platform for ML applications
 - Limitations and research gaps
- Draft up our own literature review report
- o Received feedback and performed modifications on literature review.

• Notes:

• We completed our tasks from our previous timeframe in this timeframe effectively and completed all of our expected tasks.

Timeframe: $04/04 \sim 04/15$

• Completed tasks:

- We did not do anything
- Notes:
 - We failed to meet the expected timeline, completely

Timeframe: $04/16 \sim 04/30$

• Completed tasks:

- Setup initial Github repository
- Created markdown files showing possible datasets to use
- Created markdown files showing possible methodologies to use
- Attempt to setup machine learning environment on Raspberry Pi 5 (failed)

• Notes:

- We did not successfully set up the machine learning environment on the Raspberry Pi 5, as we do not have too much experience with Linux systems.
- We also did not perform any train/test/validation split on our datasets.

Timeframe: $05/01 \sim 05/30$

• Completed tasks:

- Deeper look into model architectures from research
- Set current focus to static sign language gestures on American Sign Language
- Setup machine learning environment on Raspberry Pi 5
- Performed train/test/validation split on American Sign Language dataset
- Created basic CNN model for sign language recognition (poor accuracy)

• Notes:

We sort of met our expectations by completing our expected tasks, but the task
of training a CNN model was a bit under our expectations because it did not
yield good results.

Timeframe: 06/02 ~ 07/04

• Completed tasks:

- Continued to develop CNN models, narrowed down to MobileNetV2.
- o Performed iterative experiments on MobileNetV2 models.

• Notes:

- At this stage we have not been able to discover bottlenecks, as training and testing are all done on a desktop environment with strong computational resources.
- We also did not do documentation at this stage.

Timeframe: $06/13 \sim 07/17$

• Completed tasks:

- With "good enough" CNN models, we deployed on to the Raspberry Pi 5 for some testing without quantization.
- We added YOLO object detection model to our system, which drastically improved our accuracy by leveraging its ability to detect a hand and run our MobileNetV2 classifier on the hand region.

• Notes:

• At this stage we only cared about accuracy, but we did notice that running the raw model on the Raspberry Pi 5 without quantization yielded lower accuracy compared to a desktop environment.

Timeframe: $07/28 \sim 08/29$

• Completed tasks:

- We split into two, Tony to perform optimization for our YOLO +
 MobileNetV2 model on the Raspberry Pi 5 to increase accuracy and speed
 performance. Jerry to look into dynamic hand gesture recognition.
- Custom images were gathered to prevent overfitting on static model.
- Jerry walked down the path of utilizing LSTM model architecture.
- o For dynamic we looked into using the WLASL dataset.

• Notes:

o Jerry's attempts at LSTM failed miserably.

Extra-Timeframe: $08/29 \sim 09/15$

• Completed tasks:

- o Tony continued on optimizing the static system.
- o Jerry continued on the LSTM dynamic system.

• Notes:

• Not much positive results were outputted during this stage.

Extra-Timeframe: 09/16 ~ 10/12

• Completed tasks:

- o Tony continued on optimizing the static system.
- Jerry implemented a transformers architecture on the LSA64 dataset for the dynamic system.
- Tony implemented brand new dual language static system using similar architecture but with new BSL (British Sign Language) dataset.

• Notes:

- ASL + BSL system yielded great results
- Dynamic LSA64 system yielded great results on validation split of dataset