

# MATH 435 ASSIGNMENT 9

ARNOLD JIADONG YU

## 1. INTRODUCTION TO MODULE THEORY

**1.1.** 11. Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be  $R$ -modules and let  $B_i$  be a submodule of  $A_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Prove that

$$(A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n)/(B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n) \cong (A_1/B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (A_n/B_n).$$

proof: All of  $A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ ,  $B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n$  and  $(A_1/B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (A_n/B_n)$  are  $R$ -modules, since each component is  $R$ -module and operation componentwise. Define a map  $\varphi$ ,

$$\varphi : A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n \rightarrow (A_1/B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (A_n/B_n)$$

$$\varphi : a_1^{A_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_1^{A_n} \mapsto (a_1^{A_1} + B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (a_1^{A_n} + B_n)$$

Let  $a_1^{A_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_1^{A_n}, a_2^{A_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_2^{A_n} \in A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ ,  $r \in R$ .

$$\varphi(r(a_1^{A_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_1^{A_n}) + a_2^{A_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_2^{A_n}) = \varphi[(ra_1^{A_1} + a_2^{A_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus (ra_1^{A_n} + a_2^{A_n})]$$

$$= (ra_1^{A_1} + a_2^{A_1} + B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (ra_1^{A_n} + a_2^{A_n} + B_n)$$

$$= (ra_1^{A_1} + B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (ra_1^{A_n} + B_n) + (a_2^{A_1} + B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (a_2^{A_n} + B_n)$$

$$= r\varphi(a_1^{A_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_1^{A_n}) + \varphi(a_2^{A_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_2^{A_n})$$

Therefore,  $\varphi$  is a  $R$ -module homomorphism. Let  $b_1^{B_i} \in B_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $b_1^{B_1} \oplus \dots \oplus b_1^{B_n} \in B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n$ . i.e.

$$\varphi(b_1^{B_1} \oplus \dots \oplus b_1^{B_n}) = (b_1^{B_1} + B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (b_1^{B_n} + B_n) = (0 + B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (0 + B_n)$$

Therefore,  $\ker(\varphi) = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n$ .

$\varphi$  is also surjective, since for any element in  $(A_1/B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (A_n/B_n)$ ,

$$(a_1^{A_1} + B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (a_1^{A_n} + B_n) = \varphi(a_1^{A_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_1^{A_n})$$

where  $a_1^{A_1} \oplus \dots \oplus a_1^{A_n} \in A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ .

i.e. By the first isomorphism theorem for modules,

$$(A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n)/(B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n) \cong (A_1/B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (A_n/B_n).$$

**1.2. 7.** Let  $N$  be submodule of  $M$ . Prove that if both  $M/N$  and  $N$  are finitely generated then so is  $M$ .

proof: Suppose  $N$  is submodule of  $M$  and generated by  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  and  $M/N$  is generated by  $\{a_1 + N, \dots, a_n + N\}$ . Let  $m \in M$ , then there exists  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} m + N &= r_1(a_1 + N) + \dots + r_n(a_n + N) \\ &= r_1a_1 + \dots + r_na_n + N \implies m - (r_1a_1 + \dots + r_na_n) \in N \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exist  $r_{n+1}, \dots, r_{n+m} \in R$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} m - (r_1a_1 + \dots + r_na_n) &= r_{n+1}b_1 + \dots + r_{n+m}b_m \\ \implies m &= r_1a_1 + \dots + r_na_n + r_{n+1}b_1 + \dots + r_{n+m}b_m \end{aligned}$$

As a result,  $m$  is generated by  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ . Hence  $M$  is finitely generated.

**1.3. 8.** Suppose that  $S$  is finitely generated as a module over itself, and let  $A = \{(a_{i,j})\}_{i=1}^n$  where  $S = \langle \{(a_{i,j})\}_{i=1}^n \rangle$ . There exists a nonnegative interger  $M$ , s.t.  $a_{i,m} = 0$  for  $\forall m \geq M$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \{e_1, e_2, \dots\} \\ e_j &= \begin{cases} 1 & j = M \\ 0 & j \neq M \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $e \in S$ . Moreover, there exists  $\{(r_{i,j})\}_{i=1}^n \in S$  such that

$$e = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i,j}a_{i,j} \implies 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i,M}a_{i,M} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i,M} \cdot 0 = 0$$

A contradiction  $1 \neq 0$ . Hence,  $S$  is not finitely generated as a module over itself.

**1.4. 27\*.**

**1.5. 11.** If  $V$  is vector space over  $F$  of dimension 5 and  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $V$  of dimension 3, prove that  $U \cap W \neq \{0\}$ . Generalize.

proof: Suppose  $V$  is vector space over  $F$  of dimension 5 and  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $V$  of dimension 3, assume that  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ , i.e. with dimension 0. Let  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  span  $U$  and  $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  span  $W$ .

Assume

$$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + a_3u_3 + b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + b_3w_3 = 0 \text{ for } a_i, b_i \in F$$

WTS  $a_i = 0, b_i = 0$ . Then

$$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + a_3u_3 = -(b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + b_3w_3)$$

$$\implies a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + a_3u_3 = -(b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + b_3w_3) = 0$$

Since  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ . Moreover,  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  are nonzero and linearly independent,  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  are nonzero and linearly independent. i.e.  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$  and  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0$  implies  $a_i = 0, b_i = 0$  i.e.  $u_1, u_2, u_3, w_1, w_2, w_3$  are nonzero and linearly independent. As a result,  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  span  $U \cup W$  and linearly independent set extends to a basis implies basis has size more than or equal to 6. Moreover,  $U \cup W \subseteq V$  since both  $U, W$  are subspace of  $V$  which basis of  $V$  has size 5. A contradiction since every basis has the same size in  $V$ . Hence,  $U \cap W \neq \{0\}$ . The generalization is if  $V$  is a vector space over  $F$  where  $U, W$  are subspaces of  $V$ , if  $\dim(U) + \dim(W) > \dim(V)$ , then  $U \cap W \neq \{0\}$ .