

Math 741 Assignment 6 (Quiz)

Arnold Jiadong Yu

March 5, 2019

5.6.1.

solution: Given the geometric distribution, then

$$E(X_i) = E(X) = \frac{1}{p}, \text{Var}(X_i) = \text{Var}(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$$

Let $\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, then

$$L(\hat{p}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1-p)^{x_i-1} p = (1-p)^{\hat{p}-n} p^n = [(1-p)^{\hat{p}-n} p^n] \cdot 1$$

where $g[\hat{p}; p] = (1-p)^{\hat{p}-n} p^n$ and $b(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$. Hence, \hat{p} is sufficient for p by Second Factorization Theorem.

5.6.2.(H)

solution: In order to show \hat{p}^* is not sufficient for p , we need to find a counter example. Assume $\hat{p}^* = X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 = 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0 | X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 = 3) &= \frac{P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0)}{P(X_1 + 2X_2 + 3X_3 = 3)} \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0)}{P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0) + P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 0, X_3 = 1)} = \frac{p^2(1-p)}{p^2(1-p) + p(1-p)^2} \\ &= \frac{p}{p + (1-p)} = p \end{aligned}$$

Since the conditional probability depends on p , it is not sufficient for p .

5.6.4.

solution: Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$

$$L(\sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} x_i^2} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$$

$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\hat{\sigma}^2} = [(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\hat{\sigma}^2}] \cdot 1$$

where $g[h(x_1, \dots, x_n); \sigma^2] = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\hat{\sigma}^2}$ and $b(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ Hence, $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is sufficient for σ^2 by Second Factorization Theorem.

5.6.5.

solution: Given the pdf, then

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(r-1)!\theta^r} y_i^{r-1} e^{-y_i/\theta} = \left(\frac{1}{(r-1)!\theta^r} \right)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{r-1} e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{1}{(r-1)!\theta^r} \right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \right] \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{r-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $g[h(y_1, \dots, y_n); \theta] = \left(\frac{1}{(r-1)!\theta^r} \right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}$ and $b(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{r-1}$. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is sufficient for θ .

5.6.6.(H)

solution: Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim f_Y(y; \theta)$ where

$$f_Y(y; \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta y^{\theta-1} & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta y_i^{\theta-1} = \theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{\theta-1}$$

Since $W = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$, then

$$\theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{\theta-1} = \theta^n (w)^{\theta-1} = [\theta^n (w)^{\theta-1}] \cdot 1$$

where $g[h(y_1, \dots, y_n); \theta] = \theta^n (w)^{\theta-1}$ and $b(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 1$. Hence, $W = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is sufficient statistic for θ .

$$\ln L(\theta) = n \ln \theta + (\theta - 1)(\ln y_1 + \dots + \ln y_n)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln y_i$$

Let $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(\theta) = 0$, then

$$\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln y_i = 0 \implies \hat{\theta} = -\frac{n}{\ln \prod_{i=1}^n y_i} = -\frac{n}{\ln w}$$

Therefore, the maximum likelihood estimator of θ is a function of W .

5.6.7.

solution: Given the pdf,

$$f_Y(y; \theta) = \begin{cases} e^{-(y-\theta)} & \theta \leq y \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$$

Since the set of Y values where $f_Y(y; \theta) \neq 0$ depends on θ , the likelihood function must be written in a way to include that restriction. We define an indicator function,

$$I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \theta \leq y \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$$

Then we can write $f_Y(y; \theta) = e^{-(y-\theta)} I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y)$ for all y .

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(y_i-\theta)} I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y_i) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{n\theta} \prod_{i=1}^n I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y_i)$$

Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^n I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y_i) = I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y_{\min})$$

Therefore,

$$L(\theta) = e^{n\theta} I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y_{\min}) \cdot e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}$$

a) Hence, $\hat{\theta} = Y_{\min}$ is sufficient for θ by Factorization Theorem 2.

b) $\prod_{i=1}^n I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y_i)$ must be include in the function of $r(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ since it contains θ , but $\prod_{i=1}^n I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y_i) \neq I_{[\theta, \infty]}(y_{\max})$. Therefore, Y_{\max} is not sufficient for θ .

5.6.8.

solution: Given uniform distribution,

$$f_Y(y; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & 0 \leq y \leq \theta \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$$

Since the set of Y values where $f_Y(y; \theta) \neq 0$ depends on θ , the likelihood function must be written in a way to include that restriction. We define an indicator function,

$$I_{[0, \theta]}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq y \leq \theta \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$$

Then we can write $f_Y(y; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} I_{[0, \theta]}(y)$ for all y .

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} I_{[0, \theta]}(y_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n I_{[0, \theta]}(y_i)$$

Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^n I_{[0, \theta]}(y) = I_{[0, \theta]}(y_{\max})$$

Therefore,

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{[0, \theta]}(y_{\max}) \cdot 1$$

Hence, $\hat{\theta} = Y_{\max}$ is sufficient for θ by Factorization Theorem 2.