

PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS I

HOMEWORK X

Jianyu Dong 2019511017

May, 7 2021

1

By the definition, we have the sample mean and sample variance are independent with i . Thus, for any constants c and d , we could get that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - c)(y_i - d) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - c)(y_i - \bar{y} + \bar{y} - d) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) + (\bar{x} - c) \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) + (\bar{y} - d) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) + n(\bar{x} - c)(\bar{y} - d)\end{aligned}$$

We could easily get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\bar{x} = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - n\bar{y} = 0$$

So that we could get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - c)(y_i - d) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) + n(\bar{x} - c)(\bar{y} - d)$$

2

Since X_i form a random sample with mean value μ and variance σ^2 , we could get that

$$\mathbf{E}(X_i) = \mu, \quad \mathbf{Var}(X_i) = \mathbf{E}(X_i^2) - \mathbf{E}(X_i)^2 = \sigma^2.$$

Since $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $T = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$, we could get that

$$\mathbf{E}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}(X_i) = \mu, \quad \mathbf{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \mathbf{E}(\bar{X}_n^2) - \mathbf{E}(\bar{X}_n)^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var}(X_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

So we could determine that

$$\mathbf{E}(T) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}(X_i^2 - 2X_i\bar{X}_n + \bar{X}_n^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{E}(X_i^2) - 2\mathbf{E}(X_i\bar{X}_n) + \mathbf{E}(\bar{X}_n^2)).$$

We have get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}(X_i^2) = n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2), \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}(\bar{X}_n^2) = \sigma^2 + n\mu^2.$$

By the definition, we could calculate that

$$\mathbf{E}(X_i\bar{X}_n) = \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_i X_j\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{E}(X_i X_j) = \frac{1}{n} ((n-1)\mu^2 + \mu^2 + \sigma^2) = \mu^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Thus, we could get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}(X_i\bar{X}_n) = n\mu^2 + \sigma^2$$

So we get that

$$\mathbf{E}(T) = \frac{1}{n} (n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) + \sigma^2 + n\mu^2 - 2(n\mu^2 + \sigma^2)) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2.$$

If we use this as an estimator for the variance, this is biased.
An unbiased estimator is

$$T' = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

We could get that

$$\mathbf{E}(T') = \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{n}{n-1}T\right) = \sigma^2.$$

Which means that T' is an unbiased estimator.

3

Since X_i are random samples from $U(-1,1)$, we could get the p.d.f. of X_i is

$$f_{X_i}(x_i) = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ for } -1 < x_i < 1$$

zero elsewhere. Thus, we could get the expectation and variance of X_i are

$$\mathbf{E}(X_i) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{2}x_i dx_i = 0, \quad \mathbf{Var}(X_i) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{2}(x_i - 0)^2 = \frac{1}{3}.$$

So we could get that

$$\mathbf{E}(\bar{X}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Var}(X_i) = \frac{1}{3n}.$$

4

By the definition, we have the sample variance is

$$S_2^2 = \frac{1}{2-1} \sum_{i=1}^2 (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

We have that $\bar{X} = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2}$, so that

$$S_2^2 = \left(\frac{X_1 - X_2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{X_2 - X_1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(X_1 - X_2)^2.$$

By the definition, we have that

$$S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

We have that

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}.$$

So that

$$S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n^2(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j \neq i} (X_i - X_j) \right)^2$$

We could calculate that

$$\left(\sum_{j \neq i} (X_i - X_j) \right)^2 = \sum_{j \neq i} (X_i - X_j)^2 + \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i, k \neq j} (X_i - X_j)(X_i - X_k)$$

Then we add all $i=1\dots n$, and we have $(X_i - X_j)^2 = (X_j - X_i)^2$, so that we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j \neq i} (X_i - X_j) \right)^2 = (2 + n - 2) \sum_{i < j} (X_i - X_j)^2 = n \sum_{i < j} (X_i - X_j)^2.$$

Which uses that

$$(X_i - X_j)(X_i - X_k) + (X_j - X_i)(X_j - X_k) = X(i - X_j)(X_i - X_k + X_k - X_j) = (X_i - X_j)^2.$$

So we have prove that

$$S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i < j} (X_i - X_j)^2.$$

5

Since X_i form a random sample from an exponential distribution with parameter λ , we could get that

$$\mu_1 = \mathbf{E}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

So that we get

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu_1}.$$

Next, we set

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

to obtain estimator

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_1} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}.$$

Since X_i are independent, we could get the joint p.d.f. of X_i is

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}, \text{ for } x_i > 0,$$

zero elsewhere. Let $T = n / \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, we could get that

$$\mathbf{E}(T) = \int_0^{+\infty} \dots \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{n\lambda^n}{x_1 + \dots + x_n} e^{-\lambda(x_1 + \dots + x_n)} dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

Let

$$y_1 = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}, y_i = x_i, \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

So we could get that

$$x_1 = ny_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n y_i, x_j = y_j, \text{ for } j = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

So the Jacobe is $J = n$, then the intergal could be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(T) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{ny_1} \int_0^{ny_1 - y_2} \dots \int_0^{ny_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} y_i} \frac{n\lambda^n}{y_1} e^{-\lambda ny_1} dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \dots dy_n \\ &= \frac{(n\lambda)^n}{(n-1)!} \int_0^{+\infty} y_1^{n-2} e^{-\lambda ny_1} dy_1 \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts, we could get that

$$\mathbf{E}(T) = \frac{(n\lambda)^n}{(n-1)!} \frac{(n-2)!}{(n\lambda)^{n-1}} = \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda \neq \lambda$$

So this estimator is biased.

6

Since $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$ are random samples from the uniform distribution $U(a,b)$, let X follows the uniform distribution on (a,b) , we could get the p.d.f. of X is

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}, \text{ for } a < x < b,$$

zero elsewhere. So the first and second moments are

$$\mu_1 = \mathbf{E}(X) = \frac{a+b}{2}, \mu_2 = \mathbf{E}(X^2) = \frac{a^2 + b^2 + ab}{3}.$$

Then we could determine that

$$a = \mu_1 \mp \sqrt{3(\mu_2 - \mu_1^2)}, \quad b = \mu_1 \pm \sqrt{3(\mu_2 - \mu_1^2)}.$$

Since there must be $a < b$, we could get

$$a = \mu_1 - \sqrt{3(\mu_2 - \mu_1^2)}, \quad b = \mu_1 + \sqrt{3(\mu_2 - \mu_1^2)}.$$

Based on the data $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$, we could calculate the sample moments

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad \hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2.$$

So the estimators of a and b are

$$\hat{a} = \hat{\mu}_1 - \sqrt{3(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2)}, \quad \hat{b} = \hat{\mu}_1 + \sqrt{3(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2)};$$

We could determine that

$$\hat{a} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sqrt{\frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

Then we get that

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{a}) = \frac{a+b}{2} - \mathbf{E}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}\right)$$

Assume that $\mathbf{E}(\hat{a}) = a$, so we could get that

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}\right) = \frac{b-a}{2}$$

Then we could get that

$$\mathbf{Var}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) - \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2 = -\frac{1}{4n}(b-a)^2 < 0.$$

Since there must be that $\mathbf{Var}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}\right) \geq 0$, the assumption is wrong. So $\mathbf{E}(\hat{a}) \neq a$. Similarly, we could get that $\mathbf{E}(\hat{b}) \neq b$ Which means that the estimators are biased.

7

Since X follows uniform distribution on $(0, \theta)$, we could get the p.d.f. of X is

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}, \text{ for } 0 < x < \theta,$$

zero elsewhere. So the first moment is

$$\mu_1 = \mathbf{E}(X) = \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

Then we could determine that

$$\theta = 2\mu_1.$$

Based on the data $(x_i)_{i=1}^9$, we could get that

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^9 x_i}{9} = \frac{119}{90}.$$

So that we could determine that

$$\hat{\theta} = 2\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{119}{45}.$$

8

Since $X_i(i=1, \dots, n)$ are random samples from a distribution with p.d.f.

$$p(x, \theta) = \frac{2}{\theta^2}(\theta - x), \text{ for } 0 < x < \theta, \theta > 0.$$

We could get the first moment is

$$\mu_1 = \mathbf{E}(X) = \int_0^\theta \frac{2}{\theta^2} x(\theta - x) dx = \frac{\theta}{3}.$$

Then we could determine that

$$\theta = 3\mu_1.$$

Based on the data $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$, we could get that

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Then the estimator of θ is

$$\hat{\theta} = 3\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

9

Since $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$ follows the binomial distribution with parameters n and p , we could get the mean and variance of X are

$$\mathbf{E}(X) = np, \quad \mathbf{Var}(X) = np(1 - p).$$

Then we could get the first and second moments of X are

$$\mu_1 = \mathbf{E}(X) = np, \quad \mu_2 = \mathbf{E}(X^2) = np(1 - p) + (np)^2.$$

So we could determine that

$$p = 1 - \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1^2}{\mu_1}, \quad n = \frac{\mu_1^2}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_1 - \mu_2}.$$

Next, based on the data, we set

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad \hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

to obtain estimators

$$\hat{p} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2}{\hat{\mu}_1}, \quad \hat{n} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1^2}{\hat{\mu}_1^2 + \hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2}.$$

a