

Supplementary material

Bowen Hou, Jinyuan Wu, Xingzhi Sun, Smita Krishnaswamy, and Diana Y. Qiu

December 17, 2023

$$M_{nn'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}) = \langle n\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q} | e^{i(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} | n'\mathbf{k} \rangle \quad (1)$$

$$\chi_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}'}(\mathbf{q}, \omega = 0) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_n^{\text{occ}} \sum_{n'}^{\text{emp}} M_{nn'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}) M_{nn'}^*(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}') \frac{2}{E_{n\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - E_{n'\mathbf{k}}} \quad (2)$$

In the conventional pseudobands technique, the empty states are divided into one low-energy protected subspace and a series of pseudobands blocks; each of the blocks contain bands with comparable energies. The high-energy terms in $\chi_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}'}(\mathbf{q}, \omega = 0)$ are therefore replaced by

$$\chi_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}'}^{\text{P.B. blocks}}(\mathbf{q}, \omega = 0) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_S^{\text{P.B. terms}} \frac{2}{E_{n\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} - \bar{E}_S} \sum_{n'_1, n'_2}^S \sum_n^{\text{occ}} M_{nn'_1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}) M_{nn'_2}^*(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}'). \quad (3)$$

Because the energies of states in each pseudobands block are comparable, in each pseudobands block, the states share the same set of predominant \mathbf{G} vectors. Thus the states in pseudobands block S can be written as

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | n'\mathbf{k} \rangle = \sum_i^N c_{n'\mathbf{k}}^{(i)} e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}_{S\mathbf{k}}^{(i)}) \cdot \mathbf{r}}, \quad \sum_i^N c_{n'_1\mathbf{k}}^{(i)} c_{n'_2\mathbf{k}}^{(i)*} = \delta_{n'_1 n'_2}, \quad (4)$$

and therefore

$$M_{nn'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}) = \sum_i^N c_{n'\mathbf{k}}^{(i)} c_{n\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^*(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}_{S\mathbf{k}}^{(i)}). \quad (5)$$

The pseudobands approximation of the diagonal $\chi_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}}$ components of the polarizability is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_n^{\text{occ}} M_{nn'_1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}) M_{nn'_2}^*(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j}^N c_{n'_1\mathbf{k}}^{(i)} c_{n'_2\mathbf{k}}^{(j)*} \sum_n^{\text{occ}} c_{n\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^*(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}_{S\mathbf{k}}^{(i)}) c_{n\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}_{S\mathbf{k}}^{(j)}) \\ &\approx \sum_{i,j}^N c_{n'_1\mathbf{k}}^{(i)} c_{n'_2\mathbf{k}}^{(j)*} \delta_{ij} = \delta_{n'_1 n'_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In the third step we argue that the summation over the occupied states leads to an approximate orthogonal relation, because the subspace spanned by the dominant \mathbf{G} components of the occupied states is expected to largely overlap with the subspace of the occupied states. Therefore, the unwanted non-diagonal terms in (3) can be ignored when $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}'$. The

which justifies the validity of the pseudobands technique for high-energy bands.