# Homework 3

## Jinyuan Wu

## October 27, 2022

**Problem**
**Solution**

(a) The transmission line model is still a quasi-magnetostatic model. Ignoring all resistance, and assuming that the only conducting objects in the system are the line at $r = 0$ and the boundary of the transmission line (or otherwise we need several "vertical layers" in the effective circuit model), that the inner structures of which can be ignored (or otherwise there may be multiple modes of the system), and that the boundary is grounded everywhere so there is no spatial variation of voltage on it (or otherwise there has to be an inductor on the top line in Figure 1(b)), the physics of a line element is shown in Figure 1(a): The current along the $x$ direction creates a magnetic field, which induces an electric field component along the $x$ axis because $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} = -\partial \boldsymbol{B}/\partial t$. We also have a radial component of $\boldsymbol{E}$ because of the electrostatic field established between the surface and the inner conducting line.

Thus, in Figure 1(a), we have two electric current degrees of freedom (one at $r = 0$, the other on the boundary), one electric field degrees of freedom between the central line and the boundary, one magnetic field degree of freedom which gives $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ind}}$ along the $x$ direction. The distribution of the magnetic field is completely decided by the currents so we only need one scalar – the magnetic flux – to represent the magnetic field, and similarly we only need two scalars – the voltage on the central conducting line and the voltage between the $r = 0$ line and the surface – to represent $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ind}}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{static}}$. The resulting theory for the line element in Figure 1(a) has the same equations of motion with the shaded area in Figure 1(b).

Suppose the parameters of the capacitor and the inductor in Figure 1(b) are $C$ and $L$, respectively. Note that $\varphi(x, t)$ is defined on the capacitors, not the inductors, and the variable doesn't represent the magnetic flux.

By basic circuit analysis we know

$$L_n = \frac{1}{2} C \dot{\varphi}_n^2 - \frac{1}{2L} (\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1})^2. \tag{1}$$

So the total Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L = \sum_n L_n &= \sum_n \left( \frac{1}{2} C \dot{\varphi}_n^2 - \frac{1}{2L} (\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1})^2 \right) = \sum \frac{\Delta x}{a} \left( \frac{1}{2} C \dot{\varphi}_n^2 - \frac{1}{2L} (\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1})^2 \right) \\
&= \sum \Delta x \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{C}{a} \dot{\varphi}_n^2 - \frac{1}{2L/a} \frac{(\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1})^2}{a^2} \right) \\
&= \int \mathrm{d}x \left( \frac{1}{2} c (\partial_t \varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2l} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2}
$$

The dynamic equation is

$$\partial_t \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_t \varphi} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi} = 0,$$

$$c \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{l} \nabla^2 \varphi. \tag{3}$$

(b) By definition,

$$\pi(x, t) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = c \dot{\varphi}, \tag{4}$$

and the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
H = \int \mathrm{d}x \, (\pi \dot{\varphi} - \mathcal{L}) &= \int \mathrm{d}x \left( \frac{1}{2} c \dot{\varphi}^2 + \frac{1}{2l} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)^2 \right) \\
&= \int \mathrm{d}x \left( \frac{1}{2c} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2l} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5}
$$

(a)                                    (b)

Figure 1: Transmission line