

Space Groups and Their Representations by Prof. Yang Qi

Jinyuan Wu

March 28, 2022

1 Group extension and group cohomology

1.1 Group extension

The motivation to study group extension is that many times in condensed matter physics, we need to combine two groups of which group elements do not commute with each other, and therefore direct product can't be applied. Consider the following short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} G \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 1. \quad (1)$$

The definition of exact sequence means

- f is injective, since $\ker f = \text{im}(\text{the monomorphism } 1 \rightarrow N) = 1$.
- π is surjective, since $\text{im } \pi = \ker(\text{the monomorphism } Q \rightarrow 1) = Q$.
- $Q \simeq G/\text{im } f$ (where $\text{im } f \simeq N$), because $G/\ker \pi = \text{im } \pi$, and by definition we have $\ker \pi = \text{im } f$, and $\text{im } \pi = Q$ (from last line). Note that since f is injective, $\text{im } f$ is a perfect copy of N , and can be seen as an inclusion map from N into G .

G is defined said to be an **extension** of Q over N .

We consider the case where N is Abelian. What we want to do is to find and classify all group extensions – under the assumption that N is Abelian, we are actually working on *central extensions*. Two group extensions are said to be **equivalent** if and only if the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & f & G & \pi & & \\ & & \nearrow & \uparrow & \searrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & & Q & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & & \\ & & f' & G' & \pi' & & \end{array} \quad (2)$$

commutes, and we need to find equivalence classes of this equivalence relation. Note that the only essential information added in this diagram is the group isomorphism between G and G' – f' and π' can be evaluated from it.

1.2 Direct product and semidirect product

The simplest kind of group extension is just direct product: we define G to be

$$G = N \otimes Q, \quad (3)$$

and the multiplication operation is defined as

$$(a, g) \circ (b, h) := (a + b, gh). \quad (4)$$

We also have **semidirect product** or **split extension** $N \rtimes Q$, the multiplication rule of which is defined as

$$(a, g) \circ (b, h) := (a + gbh^{-1}, gh). \quad (5)$$

We also say G **splits** over N . Note that the notation gbg^{-1} is kind of ambiguous, because up to now we haven't introduced any multiplication relation between N and Q . A more accurate notation is to introduce a group homomorphism $\varphi : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ (the **action** of Q on N) and interpret $\varphi(g)(a)$ as $\tilde{g}a\tilde{g}^{-1}$, where $\pi(\tilde{g}) = g$. According to the definition, π is surjective and not

injective, because after group extension, the same group element g in Q is “split” into several copies in G , and we use \tilde{g} to denote a certain “copy” of g . (5) is therefore corrected into

$$(a, g) \circ (b, h) := (a + \tilde{g}b\tilde{g}^{-1}, gh). \quad (6)$$

We need to check whether (4) and (6) can really make G a group, i.e. we need to check whether the associative law holds for $\{(a, g)\}$. For direct product, this is obviously true. For semidirect product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((a, g)(b, h))(c, i) &= (a + \tilde{g}b\tilde{g}^{-1}, gh)(c, i) \\ &= (a + \tilde{g}b\tilde{g}^{-1} + (\tilde{gh})c(\tilde{gh})^{-1}, ghi), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (a, g)((b, h)(c, i)) &= (a, g)(b + \tilde{h}c\tilde{h}^{-1}, hi) \\ &= (a + \tilde{g}(b + \tilde{h}c\tilde{h}^{-1})\tilde{g}^{-1}, ghi). \end{aligned}$$

Usually, we define multiplication rules under the definition of (a, h) -pairs as in (9), i.e. $\tilde{g} = s(g)$ and doesn't depend on a, b , and under this assumption, we have (12). So we have

$$\tilde{g}\tilde{h}c\tilde{h}^{-1}\tilde{g}^{-1} = \tilde{gh}c\tilde{gh}^{-1},$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{gh}^{-1}\tilde{g}\tilde{h}c\tilde{h}^{-1}\tilde{g}^{-1}\tilde{gh} \\ &= \underbrace{\tilde{gh}^{-1}\tilde{g}\tilde{h}}_{\in \text{im } f} + c + \underbrace{(\tilde{gh}^{-1}\tilde{g}\tilde{h})^{-1}}_{\in \text{im } f} \\ &= c. \end{aligned}$$

and therefore (6) is always self-consistent.

The motivation of semidirect product is to imitate *inner* semidirect product. An abstract definition of inner semidirect product can be found [this Wikipedia page](#). In short, if G has a subgroup H and a normal subgroup N with no nontrivial intersection with Q , and $G = NQ$, then we say G is the **inner semidirect product** of N and Q . (6), on the other hand, is **outer semidirect product**, and after we construct G according to it, we will find G is the inner semidirect product of $N \times e_Q$ and $0_N \times Q$.

Similarly, we have inner direct product. But it's often the case that we can only guarantee that N is normal and there is nontrivial multiplication relation between N and Q . In this case, G simply can't be written as the (outer) direct product of N and Q , and if we still want to *factor* G into something like $N \times Q$, inner *semidirect* product will be handy. This explains the motivation to introduce (6). (We can define $N \times Q$, but the result doesn't have the same structure with G .)

The classification of possible semidirect product definitions or in other words split extensions is simple: we just need to classify possible definitions of gbg^{-1} , or in other words, to classify group homomorphisms from Q to $\text{Aut}(N)$.

1.3 Generic central extensions and their equivalence relations

Now we want to investigate a generic central extension. Given an action of Q on N , the multiplication rule is

$$(a, g) \circ (b, h) := (a + \tilde{g}b\tilde{g}^{-1} + \omega_2(g, h), gh), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\omega_2 : Q \times Q \rightarrow N. \quad (8)$$

Here we assume that ω_2 is only dependent on g and h and doesn't include a and b , because of the argument below. Since π is surjective, we can find a map s from Q to G such that $\pi \circ s = \text{id}_Q$. Note that s is not necessarily a homomorphism, or otherwise (1) is split. Usually we define

$$(a, g) = f(a)s(g) \quad (9)$$

to derive multiplication rules for G (we often call s a **lift**, because it lifts an element in Q to an – actually a group of – element in G). With this notation, we can say that G is a bundle over

Q , each fiber of which is labeled by an element in Q , and each point on a fiber is labeled by one element from N . Therefore s is a (set-theoretic) section. G is assembled by fibers:

$$E = \coprod_{g \in G} \underbrace{M \cdot s(g)}_{\pi^{-1}(g)}. \quad (10)$$

A little calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} (a, g) \circ (b, h) &= f(a)s(g)f(b)s(h) \\ &= f(a)s(g)f(b)s(g)^{-1}s(g)s(h)s(gh)^{-1}s(gh). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Note that since π is a homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(s(g)s(h)s(gh)^{-1}) &= \pi(s(g))\pi(s(h))\pi(s(gh))^{-1} \\ &= gh(gh)^{-1} = e, \end{aligned}$$

and the derivation also works for $s(gh)^{-1}s(g)s(h)$, so we have

$$s(gh)^{-1}s(g)s(h), s(g)s(h)s(gh)^{-1} \in \ker \pi = \text{im } i, \quad (12)$$

So (11) is now

$$(a, g) \circ (b, h) = (f(a) + s(g)f(b)s(g)^{-1} + \underbrace{s(g)s(h)s(gh)^{-1}}_{:=f(\omega_2(g,h))})s(gh), \quad (13)$$

and we get (7).

The next step is to check the constraint on ω_2 to ensure that G is really a group. From

$$((a, g) \circ (b, h)) \circ (c, i) = (a, g) \circ ((b, h) \circ (c, i)),$$

we have

$$\omega_2(g, h) + \omega_2(gh, i) = \underbrace{\tilde{g}\omega_2(h, i)\tilde{g}^{-1}}_{g \cdot \omega_2(h, i)} + \omega_2(g, hi). \quad (14)$$

The existence of inverse can then be constructed explicitly as

$$(a, g)^{-1} = (-g^{-1}a - g^{-1}\omega(g, g^{-1}), g^{-1}). \quad (15)$$

The constraint on ω_2 seems strange, but actually it's a part of a larger structure: **group cohomology**.

What we have done here is to prove that a group extension – or in other words, a short exact sequence (1) – can be mapped to a $Q \times Q \rightarrow N$ function which satisfies (14). But note that this mapping is dependent on the definition of $s : g \mapsto \tilde{g}$. From (9), we can see the possibility that after we change s to s' by adding an additional N element determined by $\nu : Q \rightarrow N$ as

$$s'(g) = f(\nu(g))s(g),$$

or in other words

$$(a, g)' = (a + \nu(g), g),$$

the group extension G' given by (f, s') is still the same as the group extension G given by (f, s) . The relation between the ω_2 of G and the ω_2 of G' is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega'_2(g, h)) &= s'(g)s'(h)s'(gh)^{-1} \\ &= f(\nu(g)) \underbrace{s(g)f(\nu(h))}_{f(g \cdot \nu(h))s(g)} s(h)s(gh)^{-1} \underbrace{f(\nu(gh))^{-1}}_{f(-\nu(gh))} \\ &= f(\nu(g) + g \cdot \nu(h)) \underbrace{s(g)s(h)s(gh)^{-1}}_{\omega_2(g, h)} f(-\nu(gh)) \\ &= \omega(g, h) + f(\nu(g)) + f(g \cdot \nu(h)) - f(\nu(gh)). \end{aligned}$$

So what's really happening is that we can establish a mapping from a group extension (1) to an *equivalence class* of a subset of $Q \times Q \rightarrow N$ where (14) holds, and the equivalence relation is

$$\omega'_2(g, h) = \omega(g, h) + f(\nu(g)) + f(g \cdot \nu(h)) - f(\nu(gh)). \quad (16)$$

The available range of ν is yet to be determined, because it may be possible that some ν 's lead to a group extension equivalent to G , while others lead to different group extensions.

And here is the next step we want to take: to show that an arbitrary ν actually gives a group isomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (a - \nu(g), g) \circ (b - \nu(h), h) &= (a - \nu(g) + \tilde{g}(b - \nu(h))\tilde{g}^{-1} + \omega'_2(g, h), gh) \\ &= (a + \tilde{g}b\tilde{g}^{-1} - \nu(g) - g \cdot \nu(h) + \omega'_2(g, h), gh) \\ &= (a + \tilde{g}b\tilde{g}^{-1} + \omega_2(g, h) - \nu(gh), gh), \end{aligned}$$

where ω'_2 is given by (16). The conclusion is that

$$(a, g) \mapsto (a + \nu(g), g) \quad (17)$$

is a group isomorphism, with μ being an arbitrary function from Q to N .

To summarize, we find that the set of (equivalent) group extensions differ with the group isomorphism (17) has one-to-one correspondence to a (16)-equivalent class of the subset of $Q \times Q \rightarrow N$ where (14) holds. Now if two group extensions are equivalent, the commuting diagram (2) means an element in G and its corresponding element in G' are both mapped to the same element in Q , and they must be in the form of

$$(\text{something in } N, g), \quad (\text{something else in } N, g)',$$

So the group isomorphism is just (17). Therefore, we find that equivalent group extensions only differ with a group isomorphism (17), and a equivalent class of group extensions has one-to-one correspondence to a (16)-equivalent class of the subset of $Q \times Q \rightarrow N$ where (14) holds.

1.4 Group cohomology

A cohomology structure can be illustrated as

$$\dots \rightarrow C^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} C^n \xrightarrow{d_n} C^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots, \quad (18)$$

where

$$d_{n+1} \circ d_n =: d^2 = 0, \quad (19)$$

and

$$\text{im } d_{n-1} = \ker d_n. \quad (20)$$

An element $\omega \in C^n$ is an **n -cochain**. An n -cochain ω is said to be an **n -cocycle** if and only if $d\omega = 0$, the set of which is denoted as

$$Z^n = \ker d_n. \quad (21)$$

and an n -cochain ω is said to be an **n -coboundary** if and only if $\omega = d\mu$, the set of which is denoted as

$$B^n = \text{im } d_{n-1}. \quad (22)$$

Of course, n -coboundaries are all n -cocycles, but not inverse. A natural equivalence relation between n -cocycles is that

$$\omega \sim \omega' \quad \text{iff} \quad \omega - \omega' \in B^n, \quad (23)$$

and the set of equivalence classes is

$$H^n = Z^n / B^n. \quad (24)$$

If (18) is exact, then H^n 's are trivial. Cohomology is a tool to measure how *inexact* (18) is.

For groups, one possible way to obtain cohomology is to define

$$C^n(G, N) := C^n \rightarrow N, \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(d_n \omega)(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) &:= g_1 \cdot \omega(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \omega(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\
&\quad - (-1)^n \omega(g_1, \dots, g_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We also assume that d and $g \cdot$ commute. Now we verify the $d^2 = 0$ condition. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{n+1}(\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \mapsto g_1 \cdot \omega(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}))(g'_1, \dots, g'_{n+2}) \\
&= g'_1 g'_2 \cdot \omega(g'_3, \dots, g'_{n+2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^i g'_1 \cdot \omega(g'_2, \dots, g'_i g'_{i+1}, \dots, g'_{n+2}) - (-1)^{n+1} g'_1 \cdot \omega(g'_2, \dots, g'_{n+1}), \\
&\quad d_{n+1}(\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \mapsto \omega(g_1, \dots, g_n)) = \\
&\quad \dots \rightarrow C^{n-1}(G, N) \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} C^n(G, N) \xrightarrow{d^n} C^{n+1}(G, N) \rightarrow \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Specifically, we have

$$d\omega(g_1, g_2, g_3) = g_1 \cdot \omega(g_2, g_3) - \omega(g_1 g_2, g_3) + \omega(g_1, g_2 g_3) - \omega(g_2, g_3), \tag{28}$$

and this means the self-consistent constraint (14) on ω_2 appearing in (7) is just equivalent to

$$d\omega_2 = 0 \quad \text{or in other words} \quad \omega_2 \in Z^2(Q, N). \tag{29}$$

The fact ω_2 in (7) being a 2-cocycle hints us that classification of possible ω_2 's – and therefore possible group extensions – can also be done in terms of group cohomology. Note that (16) can now be written as

$$\omega'_2 = \omega_2 + d\nu, \quad \nu \in C^1(Q, N), \tag{30}$$

because

$$d\nu(g_1, g_2) = g_1 \cdot \nu(g_2) - \nu(g_1 g_2) + \nu(g_1), \tag{31}$$

so the (16)-equivalence classes are exactly shown in $H^2(Q, N)$.

So we arrive at a beautiful conclusion that possible equivalent group extensions have one-to-one correspondence with elements in $H^2(Q, N)$, and from one element ω_2 in $H^2(Q, N)$ we can construct a group extension G according to (7), and by replacing ω_2 by $\omega_2 + d\nu$, we can construct *all* group extensions equivalent to G . This completely classifies all group extensions with a given action of Q on N .

2 Groups and their representations in condensed matter physics

2.1 Symmetries in condensed matter physics

Let's first briefly review the role of group theory in quantum mechanics. We are usually interested in Lie group symmetries and discrete symmetries, the latter being more important in condensed matter physics because in this field we have to deal with lattices with point group symmetries, and many symmetries that are important for topological states of matter are associated with discrete symmetries like parity symmetry and a special symmetry for fermions named the **fermion-parity symmetry**, which exists in all fermionic systems and arises from the fact that each term in the Hamiltonian always contains even fermionic operators.

So we will just work with discrete groups hereafter. Actually we have the continuous spin rotational symmetry, but since spin-orbital coupling exists in all realistic materials, operations on the spin degrees of freedom are just a part of *doubled* space groups.

A **linear representation** is a group homomorphism from a symmetry group G to $GL(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is the Hilbert space. Since the wave function of the system can differ a phase factor after a symmetric operation, we can also have **projective representation**. Representation theory gives very generic results for studying symmetries.

Let $\varphi(\cdot)$ be the mapping from G to $\text{GL}(\mathcal{H})$. If φ is a linear representation, then it's easy to see

$$[H, \varphi(g)] = 0, \quad (32)$$

for any group element g . We often say that $\varphi(g)$'s *label* the energy eigenstates. For Abelian symmetric group G , the labels of $|n\rangle$ are simply given by $\varphi(g_i)|n\rangle$, where $\{g_i\}$ are generators of G . If G is non-Abelian, we need to work with its irreducible representations, and energy eigenstates are classified into several irreducible representations of G , and group elements turn one state into another state in the same irreducible representation. The degeneracy of energy eigenstates is always the dimension of *one* representation. Since a reducible representation can always be split into two irreducible representations by a perturbation, from then on we only investigate energy degeneracy protected by irreducible representations.

2.2 Projective representations

The necessity of projective representations in condensed matter physics can be seen from several aspects. Suppose G is an extension of Q over N , and the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} carries a linear representation of G . The question is what's the relation between Q and the linear representation. We only have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{s} & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & \downarrow & \swarrow ? & \\ & & & & \text{GL}(\mathcal{H}) & & \end{array}$$

The mapping from Q to G is not a group homomorphism, and therefore there is no group homomorphism from Q to $\text{GL}(V)$. In other words, the representation of G on \mathcal{H} doesn't naturally induce a representation of Q . However, since if $s(g) = g' \in G$, $s(h) = h' \in G$, then $\pi(g'h') = gh$, we can actually construct a *projective representation* of Q according to the data given by the group extension – we just need to interpret ω_2 as a phase factor, and everything is settled well.

3 Space groups and their representations

3.1 Space groups as group extensions

In this section we are not going to show that there are 230 space groups in \mathbb{R}^3 . Nor will we enumerate 17 \mathbb{R}^2 space groups – actually we usually call 2D space groups as **wallpaper groups**. A group element of a space group is in the form of the following affine transformation

$$\{R|\mathbf{t}\} : \mathbf{v} \mapsto R\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{t}, \quad (33)$$

or in the form of

$$\{R|\mathbf{t}\} : \tilde{\mathbf{v}} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} R & \mathbf{t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{:=\tilde{R}} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \quad (34)$$

which is the preferred form of space group operation in numerical calculations.

Detailed discussion on the structure of space groups can be found in Section. 2.2.5 in [this note](#). Here we list some of the elements. First we discuss point groups. We have C_n axes, the generator of which is labeled as n : we have 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 axes, the first being the identity. We also have rotation-reflection axes $\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}$, the first being the inversion operation and the second being the mirror reflection. $\bar{3}$ is not an independent generator because we have

$$(\bar{3})^3 = \bar{1}, \quad \bar{3}\bar{1} = 3.$$

Similarly, $\bar{6}$ is also not an independent generator.

There are also **nonsymmorphic** operations, which involve fraction translation. There are two types of nonsymmorphic generators: **screw axis** $\{c_n|n\mathbf{R}/m\}$, where $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and **glide plane** $\{\sigma|\mathbf{R}/2\}$.

Now since we have already know how to systematically classify group extensions, we can find the “deep” reason of the emergence of nonsymmorphic operations. It’s easy to find the translation group \mathbb{T} is a normal group of an arbitrary space group G , so $K = G/\mathbb{T}$ is a well-define group, and since there is no translation operation in it, it’s actually a point group, which we define as **the point group of a space group G** . Note that if G is nonsymmorphic, K is *not* a subgroup of G . A question to ask is whether in this case K is really a point group, since we have fractional translation, and the answer is “yes”, as is demonstrated in the following example. Consider there is a 2_1 screw axis, and this introduces infinite space group elements

$$\{c_2|\mathbf{a}/2 + m_1\mathbf{a}_1 + m_2\mathbf{a}_2 + m_3\mathbf{a}_3\}.$$

After throwing away all (integer) translations, we have *two* remaining elements:

$$\{c_2|\mathbf{a}/2\}, \quad \{c_2|-\mathbf{a}/2\},$$

instead of one $\{c_2|0\}$, raising the concern whether there is only one corresponding element in G/\mathbb{T} . But again they are connected by an integer translation \mathbf{a} , and these two elements – together with other elements with translation operations – form *one* equivalence class, which is just c_2 in G/\mathbb{T} . To see whether the multiplication rules are the same as those in “authentic” point groups, just note that fact that a lattice vector after the action of a point group element becomes another lattice vector, and therefore, for example,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{c_2|-\mathbf{a}/2\} & \xrightarrow{\{c_3|\mathbf{a}'/3\} \circ} & \{c_3c_2| -c_3(\mathbf{a}/2) + \mathbf{a}'/3\} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \{c_2|\mathbf{a}/2\} & \xrightarrow{\{c_3|-\mathbf{2a}'/3\} \circ} & \{c_3c_2| -c_3(\mathbf{a}/2) + \underbrace{c_3(\mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{a}' + \mathbf{a}'/3}_{\text{lattice vector}}\} \end{array}$$

So we find there is well defined multiplication rule between the equivalence class of c_2 , c_3 and c_3c_2 , just the same as the multiplication rule between c_2 , c_3 and c_3c_2 . So we can establish a group isomorphism between G/\mathbb{T} and the point group part of all operations in G .

The groups \mathbb{T} and K can be defined for every space group, and we have $K = G/\mathbb{T}$, so every space group can be constructed as a group extension of K by \mathbb{T} . Still, we need to ensure the group extension constructed can really be embedded into the 3D or 2D Euclidean group. This means the multiplication rules between K and \mathbb{T} are not arbitrary. In other words, the group action of K on \mathbb{T} is derived by considering their counterparts in the 3D or 2D Euclidean group. It’s also obvious that a group extension of K by \mathbb{T} where the group action of K on \mathbb{T} is the same as is in the Euclidean group is a space group. because in this case we can assign concrete affine transformation representations to elements in K and \mathbb{T} . So our conclusion is that a space group is a group extension of K by \mathbb{T} where the group action of K on \mathbb{T} , and vice versa. So the task to find all space groups is just to find $H^2(K, \mathbb{T})$. Possible point groups and lattice translation groups have already been constructed in Section 2.2.4 and 2.2.3 in [this note](#), and we just need to find those H^2 ’s. Well, in practice this is not very feasible, but still, group cohomology is a good language to show and understand what really happens in space groups.

For symmorphic space groups, G is *semidirect* product of K and \mathbb{T} . We have

$$G = \mathbb{T} \rtimes K. \quad (35)$$

For nonsymmorphic space groups, the fractional translation is part of $s(g)$. Going back to the example of a 2_1 screw axis. In this case we have two equivalent definition of $s(g)$:

$$s(c_2) = (0, c_2) = \{c_2|\mathbf{a}/2\},$$

and

$$s(c_2) = (0, c_2) = \{c_2|-\mathbf{a}/2\},$$

differing with

$$\nu(c_2) = \mathbf{a}.$$

The fractional translation vectors $\pm\mathbf{a}/2$ are not in \mathbb{T} , and indeed they are not shown in any algebraic data in group extension. We can find the nonsymmorphic nature of the space group by noticing, for example,

$$\{c_2|-\mathbf{a}/2\}^2 = \{c_2^2|-\mathbf{a}/2 + c_2(-\mathbf{a})/2\} = \{e|\underbrace{-\mathbf{a}}_{\in \mathbb{T}}\},$$

which means

$$(0, c_2)^2 = (0, e) + (-\mathbf{a}, 0),$$

so with the presence of a 2_1 axis,

$$\omega_2(c_2, c_2) = -\mathbf{a} \neq 0. \quad (36)$$

This “external” property which can be seen in the algebraic data of group extension reveals the fact that 2_1 is nonsymmorphic.

3.2 Wave vector star and little group

We define the **little group** of G to be the subgroup of G where every group element keeps the wave vector invariant, i.e.

$$LG_{\mathbf{k}} = \{g \in S | g\mathbf{k} \simeq \mathbf{k}\}, \quad (37)$$

where \simeq means two wave vectors being equivalent, i.e. differ with a \mathbf{R}_m . Though we are only working in the first Brillouin zone, it’s possible that g turns a wave vector at one boundary face of the first Brillouin zone to another face, so it’s possible that $\mathbf{R}_m \neq 0$. We define \mathbf{k}^* to be the set of wave vectors that can be obtained by acting G on \mathbf{k} , and we have

$$|\mathbf{k}^*| = \frac{|G|}{|LG|}. \quad (38)$$

Since T is a normal subgroup of $LG_{\mathbf{k}}$, we define the **little cgroup**

$$PG_{\mathbf{k}} = \{g \in \underbrace{G/\mathbb{T}}_K | g\mathbf{k} \simeq \mathbf{k}\} = LG_{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbb{T}. \quad (39)$$

This gives a short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow LG_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow PG_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow 1. \quad (40)$$

The little cgroup $PG_{\mathbf{k}}$ only contains point group operations and therefore is conceptually simpler than the little group, because the latter may contain nonsymmorphic operations.

If we know all irreducible representations of $LG_{\mathbf{k}}$, then we can easily construct the representation of G

$$\bigotimes_{\mathbf{k}' \in \mathbf{k}^*} V_{\mathbf{k}'}. \quad (41)$$

The irreducible representations of $LG_{\mathbf{k}}$ are in turn given by the projective representations of $PG_{\mathbf{k}}$. In practice, since it’s hard to find all projective representations, people still work with $LG_{\mathbf{k}}$. So here we also work inversely, to see how a linear representation of $LG_{\mathbf{k}}$ becomes a projective representation in $PG_{\mathbf{k}}$. In this case the 2-cocycle ω_2 is in $H^2(PG_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbb{T})$. Since $\omega_2(g, h)$ is always in \mathbb{T} , its action on a Bloch state is just a phase factor $e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}}$, where \mathbf{n} is the translation vector corresponding to $\omega_2(g, h)$. Note that this phase factor is independent on degrees of freedom like band index – it’s only about translational symmetry.

Representations of space groups can be found in [Bilbao Server](#).