

GW and BSE methods

Jinyuan Wu

December 12, 2022

1 Overview of GW

1.1 Diagrammatics

Note that here we need to do some “mini-regularization”. The first is about the value of the propagator to ensure that when $t = 0$, $\mathcal{T} \langle c(t)c^\dagger(0) \rangle$ is the particle number (so that if we evaluate the tadpole diagram, we get the Hartree term), the contribution of an electron line is actually

$$\mathcal{T} \langle c_{\mathbf{k}}(t)c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger(0) \rangle = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega(t-0^+)} \frac{i}{\omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu} = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega 0^+} iG_0(\omega, \mathbf{k}). \quad (1)$$

Another mini-regularization is when necessary, we should assume there is a positive infinite amount of energy on the interaction line, because the Coulomb interaction isn’t really spontaneous: there is a small time retardation.

1.1.1 Discussion: what is Hartree-Fock approximation, then?

1.2 Deriving formulas

2 Accuracy of GW

2.1 On so-called failure of GW

Some (weak-correlated, of course) materials are claimed to be impossible to be characterized correctly using GW, or at least G^0W^0 . [1] refutes such a claim, at least for ZnO.

2.2 Convergence issues

See <https://www.nersc.gov/assets/Uploads/ConvergenceinBGW.pdf>

References

- [1] Bi-Ching Shih, Yu Xue, Peihong Zhang, Marvin L Cohen, and Steven G Louie. Quasiparticle band gap of zno: High accuracy from the conventional G^0W^0 approach. *Physical review letters*, 105(14):146401, 2010.