0.1 Overview

0.1.1 The name and status of non-argument complements

In the School Grammar, 补语 or (non-argument) complement in a clause means anything that is licensed and selected by the verb yet does not fill a typical argument slot. In The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language, arguments are also called as complements, so we emphasize non-argument in the title of this chapter.

The non-argument complements are indeed complements. Consider, for example, the following examples of quantity complements (see Section ?? for more details):

- (0.1) a. 我打了他[一下]。 b. 我打了他[一巴掌]。 c. 我打了他[一拳]。 d. *我打了他[一口]。 e. 我咬了他[一下]。 f. 我咬了他[一口]。
 - g. *我咬了他[一巴掌]。
 - h. *我咬了他[一拳]。

From the facts above we conclude that the verb licenses the quantity complement. Should a quantity complement appears, its measure word cannot vary arbitrarily. The verb 打 allows 下, 巴掌 or 拳 as the measure word of its quantity complement, but it rejects \square . On the other hand, the verb 咬 only accepts $\overline{\ }$ and \square and rejects 巴掌 or 拳. It is ungrammatical to use a complement that is not licensed by the verb, though they may not be obligatory. This is just like complements in NPs in English.

Sometimes a complement is not only *licensed*, but also *required*, just like an argument, but it is by no means nominal, nor is it a clause (which can be thought as nominal in some sense). Consider the following examples about location complements (see Section ??):

(0.2) a. 我住在北京。 b. *我住。

0.1.2 Interplay between non-argument complements and other constituents

Non-argument complements involve highly complicated grammatical phenomena. Sometimes a non-argument complement precedes the object, as is in the case of result complements (see Section ??):

- (0.3) $\mathbb{K}_{\text{subject}}[]_{\text{verb stem}}[U]_{\text{result complement}}[]_{\text{perfect aspect}}[]_{\text{object}}\Theta$ But for quantity complements (see Section ??), the inverse order is the case:
- (0.4) $\sharp_{\text{subject}}[]_{\text{verb stem}}[]_{\text{perfect aspect}}[]_{\text{object}}[\Psi]_{\text{quantity complement}}\Theta$ In the following sections, the interplay between non-argument complements and objects is included.

It can be easily seen that pre-verbal adverbials have no non-trivial interaction with complements:

- (0.5) $\Re_{\text{subject}}[()]_{\text{time adverbial}}[(aW\Omega]_{\text{place adverbial}}[0]_{\text{manner adverbial}}[S]_{\text{verb, perfect}}[]_{\text{object}}\Gamma$ " complement On the other hand, compositional using of non-argument complements is much more limited:
 - (0.6) a. 那头熊拍了他 $[-巴掌]_{\text{quantity complement}}\Theta 4[U]_{\text{result}}\Theta$ b. *那头熊拍晕了他一巴掌。

Some compositional using is indeed possible:

(0.7) 那头熊打[中]_{TODO}[\$!]_{quantity complement}Θ

0.1.3 Classification of non-argument complements

0.2 Direction complements

0.3 Result complements

A result complement 做完

0.4 Potential complements

看得懂

0.5 Location complements

- (0.8) a. 他住在北京。
 - b. 我蹲在厕所里。

0.6 Quantity complements

The quantity complement, also considered as a subset of time expressions (and not listed in complements) by some ?, sec. 7.2-7.4, is usually filled by a

Quantity complement

0.7 Interaction between different types of complements

One possible way to make two non-argument complements appear together is via the following construction:

(0.9) 那头熊[一巴掌]拍晕了他。

where the quantity complement is promoted to a pre-verbal position. In this construction no more manner adverbial can appear:

(0.10) *那头熊[用力地] $_{
m manner\ adverbial}[\Gamma]_{
m promoted\ quantity\ complement}U\Theta$

while other adverbials can still appear:

- (0.11) 那头熊[昨天]_{time adverbial}[(qffbffff]]_{place adverbial} $\Gamma U\Theta$ So it seems the fronted quantity complement is in complementary distribution with the ordinary manner adverbial. Note that the quantity complement cannot be promoted to the pre-verbal position if it is the only non-argument complement:
 - (0.12) *那头熊一巴掌拍了他。