# Boltzmann equation and the like

Jinyuan Wu

April 30, 2023

## 1 Kadanoff-Baym equation

In the non-equilibrium case, we still have

$$\langle A_{\rm H} \rangle = \langle U(-\infty, t) A_{\rm I}(t) U(t, -\infty) \rangle_{0}$$

$$= \langle \underbrace{U(-\infty, \infty)}_{S^{-1}} U(\infty, t) A_{\rm I}(t) U(t, -\infty) \rangle_{0}$$

$$= \langle S^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{t}(S A_{\rm I}(t)) \rangle_{0}, \qquad (1)$$

where the subscript  $_0$  means the initial state. Therefore we can still define the time-ordered Green function

$$iG_{12} = \langle S^{-1} \mathcal{T}_t(\psi_1 \psi_2^{\dagger} S) \rangle, \qquad (2)$$

in hope of building something similar to the equilibrium field theory. In the equilibrium case, we can always alternate S into such a time evolution operator in which the interaction is turned off when  $t = \pm \infty$ , and is only turned on near  $t_{1,2}$ , and since the initial state is the ground state, we expect

$$S | \text{unperturbed GS} \rangle = e^{i \cdot \text{some factor}} | \text{unperturbed GS} \rangle,$$
 (3)

and therefore we can get  $S^{-1}$  out of the expectation brackets and collect its contribution into vacuum bubble diagrams. In the non-equilibrium case, however, the initial state may be a mixed state, which contains some components of excited states, and if we apply S to such an state, the result is a mixture of several excited states due to scattering. Thus, after Wick theorem is established, we need to deal with contributions from both S and  $S^{-1}$ . Contributions from  $S^{-1}$  essentially involve anti-time ordering, and contraction between terms in  $S^{-1}$  and S also appears, which involves no time ordering. Thus in the field theory pertaining to the non-equilibrium case, we need four kinds of propagators: the time ordered Green function, the anti-time ordered Green function, and the "lesser" and "greater" Green functions, which are proportional to  $\langle \psi_2^{\dagger} \psi_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle \psi_1 \psi_2^{\dagger} \rangle$ ; to label these Green functions, we just need to add an additional  $\pm$  label at each end of the propagator.

So now the Dyson equation looks like

$$G = G_0 + G_0 \Sigma G,\tag{4}$$

where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} G^{--} & G^{-+} \\ G^{+-} & G^{++} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

and  $G^{--}$  is the time-ordered Green function,  $G^{++}$  the anti-time ordered Green function,  $G^{-+}$  the lesser Green function, and  $G^{+-}$  the greater Green function. Note that an interaction vertex is either completely connected to - ends or completely connected to + ends: the former comes from S, and the letter comes from  $S^{-1}$ ; we can also expect they differ with their signs. The input line of  $\Sigma_{+-}$  must bear the label -, and the output end must bear the label +.

Now we can further simply the above scheme by working on not the physical time axis from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$ , but the Keldysh contour that goes from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$  and then goes back [1]. We give the branch from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$  an infinitesimal *positive* imaginary part, and the branch from  $\infty$  to  $-\infty$  an infinitesimal *negative* imaginary part. Now the information in G is faithfully reconstructed by

$$iG = \langle S^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \psi_1 \psi_2^{\dagger} \rangle, \qquad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  does time ordering on the Keldysh contour, not the physical time axis: we can show that

$$G_{12}^{--} = G(t_1^+, t_2^+), (7)$$

and so on. So now we can also obtain the Dyson equation for this new  $G_{12}$ , in which the convolution between two diagrammatic components involves complex integral on the Keldysh contour and not just the physical time axis. Based on the complex contour and the complex time order operator, we are able to prove the Lengreth theorem:

$$(AB)^{<} = A^{R}B^{<} + A^{<}B^{A}, \quad (AB)^{>} = A^{R}B^{>} + A^{>}B^{A}, \quad (AB)^{R} = A^{R}B^{R}, \quad (AB)^{A} = A^{A}B^{A},$$
 (8)

where

$$A^{>}(t_1, t_2) = A(t_1^+, t_2^-), \quad A^{<}(t_1, t_2) = A(t_1^-, t_2^+), \quad A^{R}(t_1, t_2) = \theta(t_1 - t_2)(A^{>} - A^{<}),$$
 (9)

following the usual definition in equilibrium field theory (just replace A by G and all equations make sense). In each of the four equations above, the product in the LHS corresponds to convolution on the Keldysh contour, and the product in the RHS corresponds to convolution on the physical time axis.

Note that the Lengreth theorem is a rather non-trivial result: it means, for example, we have

$$G^{\mathcal{A}} = G^{\mathcal{A}} + G_0^{\mathcal{A}} \Sigma^{\mathcal{A}} G^{\mathcal{A}},\tag{10}$$

and both  $G^A$  and  $\Sigma^A$  can be constructed using the equation in (9) about  $A^{<}$ . This can be explicitly verified by doing a linear transform to the matrix version of the Dyson equation [2]. Specifically, we have Eq. (2.71) in [2].

By applying the Lengreth theorem to the Dyson equation, we get

$$G^{<} = G_0^{<} + G_0^{<} \Sigma^{A} G^{A} + G_0^{R} \Sigma^{R} G^{<} + G_0^{R} \Sigma^{<} G^{A}, \tag{11}$$

and if we apply (note that different Green functions are reduced to the choices used in contour integral when doing Fourier transform of  $1/(E-H_0)$ )

$$G_0^{-1} = i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H \tag{12}$$

to the above equation, we get

$$G_0^{-1}G^{<} = \Sigma^{R}G^{<} + \Sigma^{<}\Sigma^{A},$$
 (13)

since  $G_0^{-1}$  kills  $G^{<}$  and  $G^{>}$ . A similar equation can be obtained for  $G^{>}$ . As for  $G^{A}$ , we have

$$G^{A} = G_0^{A} + G_0^{A} \Sigma^{A} G^{A}. \tag{14}$$

Thus we have already gotten EOMs for non-equilibrium Green functions which are always right and form a close system of equations:  $\Sigma^{A,R}$  can all be obtained from  $\Sigma^{<,>}$ , which then can be obtained from  $G^{<,>}$ . Of course, numerically solving the equation system can be tedious. Having an approximate self-energy that is good enough is also not a trivial task and is not possible when higher-order electron correlation is important.

# 2 Boltzmann equation and Fermi golden rule

We define

# of band n electrons in 
$$\Delta\Omega, \Delta V = \frac{\Delta V}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \Delta\Omega} f_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}, t), = \Delta V \int_{\Delta\Omega} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} f_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}, t),$$

and therefore when the **k**-grid is dense enough, i.e. when  $V \to \infty$ , 'the single-electron distribution function  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}, t)$  is normalized as

# of band 
$$n$$
 electrons in  $d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{k} = f_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}, t) d^3 \mathbf{r} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}$ . (15)

The Boltzmann equation can be derived from the following intuitive notion:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{\Delta V}{V} f_n(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{k}, t) = \sum_{\mathrm{initial \ states}} \Gamma_{\mathrm{initial \ states} \rightarrow n\boldsymbol{k}} - \sum_{\mathrm{final \ states}} \Gamma_{n, \boldsymbol{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{final \ states}},$$

where we have (according to Fermi golden rule)

$$\Gamma_{1\to 2} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle 2|H_{\text{int}}|1\rangle|^2 \delta(E_2 - E_1). \tag{16}$$

#### 3 Relation with Green function EOM

Note that in order to obtain a well-defined quasiparticle distribution function  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}, t)$ , we assume that the Green function of the system is almost without dissipation, but then there is the collision integral which clearly introduces dissipation. So the real approximation we made here is that only the real part of the self-energy is important in the the diffusion term on the LHS, while the main effect of the imaginary part is the collision integral.

It should be noted that the imaginary part is present with T=0. The physical picture is, the eigenstates of a Coulomb-interactive electron gas are all compositions of Fock states with varying electron distributions, and therefore any single-particle description of the system suffers from the loss of information to 2-electron, 3-electron, etc. subspaces, which is effectively modeled as a finite lifetime of the particle. This doesn't come from any thermal fluctuation. Note that this also means the ground state of the system is "boiling" in the independent-electron picture; we therefore may say "electrons are scattering each other even at the ground state". Note, however, that this scattering rate decreases as  $\tau \sim 1/(k-k_{\rm F})^2$  in RPA, and the single-electron picture at least works good enough for bands near the Fermi surface in systems for which GW works.

# 4 From quantum Boltzmann equation to classical Boltzmann equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{c} = 2\pi \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}} \frac{|V(q)|^{2}}{V^{2}} \delta\left(\frac{(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})^{2} + (\mathbf{k}_{1}+\mathbf{q})^{2} - \mathbf{k}_{1}^{2} - \mathbf{k}^{2}}{2m}\right) \cdot (f_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} - f_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} f_{\mathbf{k}_{1}+\mathbf{q}}) \qquad (17)$$

$$(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})^{2} + (\mathbf{k}_{1}+\mathbf{q})^{2} - \mathbf{k}_{1}^{2} - \mathbf{k}^{2} = 2q^{2} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{k}_{1}-\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} |\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{1}| q$$

$$\frac{1}{V^{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{6}} \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \int d^{3}\mathbf{q},$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{c} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{5}} \int d^{3}\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \int d\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q}} q^{2} dq |V(q)|^{2} \delta\left(\frac{2q^{2} + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1}-\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{q}} \cdot |\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{k}_{1}| q}{m}\right) (f'_{1}f' - f_{1}f) 
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{5}} \int d^{3}\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \int d\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q}} q^{2} \cdot |V(q)|^{2} (f'_{1}f' - f_{1}f) \cdot \frac{m}{4q + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1}-\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}|\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{k}_{1}|} \Big|_{2q^{2} + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1}-\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{q}} \cdot |\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{k}_{1}| q = 0} 
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{5}} \int d^{3}\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \int d\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q}} |V(q)|^{2} \cdot \frac{q}{2} m(f'_{1}f' - f_{1}f)|_{2q = -\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1}-\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{q}} \cdot |\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{k}_{1}|}, \tag{18}$$

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{mV}{2\pi}\right)^2 |V(q)|^2 \tag{19}$$

and since

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \int d^3 \mathbf{k}_1 \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{q}} \, \sigma \cdot \frac{(2\pi)^2}{m^2} \cdot m \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1|$$
 (20)

Now we choose  $\hat{e}_{k-k_1}$  as the direction of the z axis; the direction of  $k' - k'_1$  is described by  $(\theta, \varphi)$ .

$$d\Omega_{\mathbf{q}} = d\varphi \sin \frac{\pi + \theta}{2} d\frac{\pi + \theta}{2} = d\varphi \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\sin \theta}{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}} d\theta = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}} d\Omega$$
(21)

so now we arrive at the usual classical Boltzmann equation, where  $d\Omega$  corresponds to the direction of  ${\bf k}'-{\bf k}_1'$ .

But now we have a 1/16 factor . . .

Also see Landau vol. 10 (2.2), where the equivalence between the two kinds of collision integrals is displayed without proof

#### 5 Phonon

The vertex of electron-phonon interaction has the form  $|g/\sqrt{V}|^2$ , where g is a volume independent quantity; the collision integral is therefore

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{c} = \frac{2\pi}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\lambda} |g|^{2} \tag{22}$$

Similarly, for Coulomb scattering, the vertex looks like V(q)/V, where the V(q) factor is the  $4\pi e^2/q^2$  interaction potential, and the Fermi golden rule – and therefore the collision integral – has a  $1/V^2$  factor.

### References

- [1] Dietrich Kremp, Manfred Schlanges, and Wolf-Dietrich Kraeft. Quantum statistics of non-ideal plasmas. Vol. 25. The equivalence between the matrix form and the complex contour form of Keldysh formalism is discussed on p. 91. Springer Science & Business Media, 2005.
- [2] Jørgen Rammer and H Smith. "Quantum field-theoretical methods in transport theory of metals". In: *Reviews of modern physics* 58.2 (1986), p. 323.