

References

- Ades, A. E., Lu, G., Dias, S., Mayo-Wilson, E., & Kounali, D. (2015). Simultaneous synthesis of treatment effects and mapping to a common scale: An alternative to standardisation. *Research Synthesis Methods*, 6(1), 96–107. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1130>
- Bakbergenuly, I., Hoaglin, D. C., & Kulinskaya, E. (2020). Estimation in meta-analyses of response ratios. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 20(1), 263. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-020-01137-1>
- Bilon, X. J. (2023). Normality and significance testing in simple linear regression model for large sample sizes: A simulation study. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation*, 52(6), 2781–2797. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2021.1916824>
- Borenstein, M., & Hedges, L. V. (2019). EFFECT SIZES FOR META-ANALYSIS. In *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis* (pp. 207–244). Russell Sage Foundation. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7758/9781610448864.14>
- Buja, A., Cook, D., Hofmann, H., Lawrence, M., Lee, E.-K., Swayne, D. F., & Wickham, H. (2009). Statistical inference for exploratory data analysis and model diagnostics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 367(1906), 4361–4383. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2009.0120>
- Cohen, J. (2013). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences* (2nd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587>
- Davies, A. L., Ades, A. E., & Higgins, J. P. T. (2024). Mapping between measurement scales in meta-analysis, with application to measures of body mass index in children. *Research Synthesis Methods*, 15(6), 1072–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1758>
- Downing, B. C., Welton, N. J., Pedder, H., Mavranetzouli, I., Megnin-Viggars, O., & Ades, A. E. (2025). Synthesis of depression outcomes reported on different scales: A comparison of methods for modelling mean differences. *Research Synthesis Methods*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1017/rsm.2025.7>
- Fitzgerald, K. G., & Tipton, E. (2025). Using Extant Data to Improve Estimation of the

- Standardized Mean Difference. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 50(1), 128–148. <https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986241238478>
- Friedrich, J. O., Adhikari, N. K., & Beyene, J. (2008). The ratio of means method as an alternative to mean differences for analyzing continuous outcome variables in meta-analysis: A simulation study. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(1), 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-32>
- Gelman, A., Meng, X.-L., & Stern, H. (1996). Posterior Predictive Assessment of Model Fitness Via Realized Discrepancies. *Statistica Sinica*, 6(4), 733–760. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24306036>
- Glass, G. V. (1976). Primary, Secondary, and Meta-Analysis of Research. *Educational Researcher*, 5(10), 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1174772>
- Green, M. J., Medley, G. F., & Browne, W. J. (2009). Use of posterior predictive assessments to evaluate model fit in multilevel logistic regression. *Veterinary Research*, 40(4), 30. <https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres/2009013>
- Hedges, L. V. (1981). Distribution Theory for Glass's Estimator of Effect size and Related Estimators. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, 6(2), 107–128. <https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986006002107>
- Hedges, L. V., Gurevitch, J., & Curtis, P. S. (1999). THE META-ANALYSIS OF RESPONSE RATIOS IN EXPERIMENTAL ECOLOGY. *Ecology*, 80(4), 1150–1156. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(1999\)080%5B1150:TMAORR%5D2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080%5B1150:TMAORR%5D2.0.CO;2)
- Hopkins, W. G., & Rowlands, D. S. (2024). Standardization and other approaches to meta-analyze differences in means. *Statistics in Medicine*, 43(16), 3092–3108. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.10114>
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (1990). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings*. Sage Publications, Inc.
- Jing, Y., & Lin, L. (2024). Comparisons of the mean differences and standardized mean differences for continuous outcome measures on the same scale. *JBIEvidence Synthesis*,

- 22(3), 394–405. <https://doi.org/10.11124/JBIES-23-00368>
- Knief, U., & Forstmeier, W. (2021). Violating the normality assumption may be the lesser of two evils. *Behavior Research Methods*, 53(6), 2576–2590.
<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-021-01587-5>
- Kozak, M., & Piepho, H.-P. (2018). What’s normal anyway? Residual plots are more telling than significance tests when checking ANOVA assumptions. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 204(1), 86–98. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12220>
- Loy, A. (2021). Bringing Visual Inference to the Classroom. *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education*, 29(2), 171–182. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26939169.2021.1920866>
- Lu, G., Kounali, D., & Ades, A. E. (2014). Simultaneous multioutcome synthesis and mapping of treatment effects to a common scale. *Value in Health: The Journal of the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research*, 17(2), 280–287.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2013.12.006>
- Majumder, M., Hofmann, & Cook, D. and. (2013). Validation of Visual Statistical Inference, Applied to Linear Models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 108(503), 942–956. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2013.808157>
- Pustejovsky, J. E. (2018). Using response ratios for meta-analyzing single-case designs with behavioral outcomes. *Journal of School Psychology*, 68, 99–112.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2018.02.003>
- Pustejovsky, J. E. (2021). *Simulating correlated standardized mean differences for meta-analysis*.
<https://jepusto.com/posts/Simulating-correlated-SMDs/>.
- Shatz, I. (2024). Assumption-checking rather than (just) testing: The importance of visualization and effect size in statistical diagnostics. *Behavior Research Methods*, 56(2), 826–845.
<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-023-02072-x>
- Takeshima, N., Sozu, T., Tajika, A., Ogawa, Y., Hayasaka, Y., & Furukawa, T. A. (2014). Which is more generalizable, powerful and interpretable in meta-analyses, mean difference or standardized mean difference? *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 14, 30.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-14-30>

Wickham, H., Cook, D., Hofmann, H., & Buja, A. (2010). Graphical inference for infovis. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 16(6), 973–979.

<https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2010.161>

Wilkinson, L. (1999). Statistical methods in psychology journals: Guidelines and explanations. *American Psychologist*, 54(8), 594–604. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.54.8.594>

Yang, Y., Williams, C., Senior, A. M., Morrison, K., Ricolfi, L., Pan, J., Lagisz, M., & Nakagawa, S. (2024). *Bivariate multilevel meta-analysis of log response ratio and standardized mean difference for robust and reproducible environmental and biological sciences*. bioRxiv.

<https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.05.13.594019>