Principles of Distributed Systems

inft-3507

Dr. J.Burns

ADA University

Autumn 2025

Section 2: Architectures

Architectural styles

Basic idea

A style is formulated in terms of

- (replaceable) components with well-defined interfaces
- the way that components are connected to each other
- the data exchanged between components
- how these components and connectors are jointly configured into a system.

Connector

A mechanism that mediates communication, coordination, or cooperation among components. Example: facilities for (remote) procedure call, messaging, or streaming.

Layered architecture

Different layered organizations


Request/Response
downcall

One-way call

| Layer N |
| Layer N-1 |
| Layer 2 |
| Layer 1 |

| Layer N |
| Layer N-1 |
| Layer N-2 |
| Layer N-3 |

| Layer N |
| Layer N-1 |
Handle   Upcall
| Layer N-2 |

(a)   (b)   (c) (callback)

Example: communication protocols

Protocol, service, interface

Application Layering

Traditional three-layered view

- Application-interface layer contains units for interfacing to users or external applications
- Processing layer contains the functions of an application, i.e., without specific data
- Data layer contains the data that a client wants to manipulate through the application components

Observation

This layering is found in many distributed information systems, using traditional database technology and accompanying applications.

Application Layering

Example: a simple search engine

Object-based style

Essence

Components are objects, connected to each other through procedure calls. Objects may be placed on different machines; calls can thus execute across a network.

Encapsulation

Objects are said to encapsulate data and offer methods on that data without revealing the internal implementation.

RESTful architectures

Essence

View a distributed system as a collection of resources, individually managed by components. Resources may be added, removed, retrieved, and modified by (remote) applications.

1. Resources are identified through a single naming scheme
2. All services offer the same interface
3. Messages sent to or from a service are fully self-described
4. After executing an operation at a service, that component forgets everything about the caller

Basic operations

Operation	Description
PUT	Create a new resource
GET	Retrieve the state of a resource in some representation
DELETE	Delete a resource
POST	Modify a resource by transferring a new state

Example: Amazon's Simple Storage Service

Essence

Objects (i.e., files) are placed into buckets (i.e., directories). Buckets cannot be placed into buckets. Operations on `ObjectName` in bucket `BucketName` require the following identifier:

`http://BucketName.s3.amazonaws.com/ObjectName`

Typical operations

All operations are carried out by sending HTTP requests:

- Create a bucket/object: `PUT`, along with the URI
- Listing objects: `GET` on a bucket name
- Reading an object: `GET` on a full URI

Coordination

Temporal and referential coupling

|	Temporally coupled	Temporally coupled
Referentially coupled	Direct	Mailbox
Referentially decoupled	Event-based	Shared data space

Event-based and Shared data space

Publish and subscribe

Issue: how to match events?

- Assume events are described by (attribute,value) pairs

- topic-based subscription: specify a "attribute = value" series

- content-based subscription: specify a "attribute ∈ range" series

Observation

Content-based subscriptions may easily have serious scalability problems (why?)

Centralized system architectures

Basic Client–Server Model

Characteristics:

- There are processes offering services (servers)
- There are processes that use services (clients)
- Clients and servers can be on different machines
- Clients follow request/reply model regarding using services

Multi-tiered centralized system architectures

Some traditional organizations

- Single-tiered: dumb terminal/mainframe configuration
- Two-tiered: client/single server configuration
- Three-tiered: each layer on separate machine

Traditional two-tiered configurations

Client machine

| (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) |

Server machine

Alternative organizations

Vertical distribution

Comes from dividing distributed applications into three logical layers, and running the components from each layer on a different server (machine).

Horizontal distribution

A client or server may be physically split up into logically equivalent parts, but each part is operating on its own share of the complete data set.

Peer-to-peer architectures

Processes are all equal: the functions that need to be carried out are represented by every process $\Rightarrow$ each process will act as a client and a server at the same time (i.e., acting as a servant).

Structured P2P

Essence

Make use of a semantic-free index: each data item is uniquely associated with a key, in turn used as an index. Common practice: use a hash function

$$key(\textit{data item}) = hash(\textit{data item's value}).$$

P2P system now responsible for storing (*key,value*) pairs.

Simple example: hypercube

Looking up d with key $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2^4 - 1\}$ means routing request to node with identifier k.

Example: Chord

Principle

- Nodes are logically organized in a ring. Each node has an m-bit identifier.
- Each data item is hashed to an m-bit key.
- Data item with key k is stored at node with smallest identifier $id \geq k$, called the successor of key k.
- The ring is extended with various shortcut links to other nodes.

Example: Chord

$lookup(3)@9 : 28 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4$

Unstructured P2P

Essence

Each node maintains an ad hoc list of neighbors. The resulting overlay resembles a random graph: an edge $\langle u, v \rangle$ exists only with a certain probability $\mathbb{P}[\langle u, v \rangle]$.

Searching

- Flooding: issuing node u passes request for d to all neighbors. Request is ignored when receiving node had seen it before. Otherwise, v searches locally for d (recursively). May be limited by a Time-To-Live: a maximum number of hops.

- Random walk: issuing node u passes request for d to randomly chosen neighbor, v. If v does not have d, it forwards request to one of *its* randomly chosen neighbors, and so on.

Flooding versus random walk

Model

Assume N nodes and that each data item is replicated across r randomly chosen nodes.

Random walk

$\mathbb{P}[k]$ probability that item is found after k attempts:

$$\mathbb{P}[k] = \frac{r}{N}(1 - \frac{r}{N})^{k-1}.$$

S ("search size") is expected number of nodes that need to be probed:

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{N} k \cdot \mathbb{P}[k] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} k \cdot \frac{r}{N}(1 - \frac{r}{N})^{k-1} \approx N/r \text{ for } 1 \ll r \leq N.$$

Flooding versus random walk

Flooding

- Flood to d randomly chosen neighbors
- After k steps, some $R(k) = d \cdot (d-1)^{k-1}$ will have been reached (assuming k is small).
- With fraction r/N nodes having data, if $\frac{r}{N} \cdot R(k) \geq 1$, we will have found the data item.

Comparison

- If $r/N = 0.001$, then $S \approx 1000$
- With flooding and $d = 10, k = 4$, we contact 7290 nodes.
- Random walks are more communication efficient, but might take longer before they find the result.

Cloud computing

Software aa Svc
- Web services, multimedia, business apps
- Application

Platform aa Svc
- Software framework (Java/Python/.Net) Storage (databases)
- Platforms

Infrastructure aa Svc
- Computation (VM), storage (block, file)
- Infrastructure
- CPU, memory, disk, bandwidth
- Hardware

Google docs
Gmail
YouTube, Flickr

MS Azure
Google App engine

Amazon S3
Amazon EC2

Datacenters

Cloud computing

Make a distinction between four layers

- **Hardware**: Processors, routers, power and cooling systems. Customers normally never get to see these.

- **Infrastructure**: Deploys virtualization techniques. Evolves around allocating and managing virtual storage devices and virtual servers.

- **Platform**: Provides higher-level abstractions for storage and such. Example: Amazon S3 storage system offers an API for (locally created) files to be organized and stored in so-called buckets.

- **Application**: Actual applications, such as office suites (text processors, spreadsheet applications, presentation applications). Comparable to the suite of apps shipped with OSes.

Edge-server architecture

Essence

Systems deployed on the Internet where servers are placed at the edge of the network: the boundary between enterprise networks and the actual Internet.

Reasons for having an edge infrastructure

Commonly (and often misconceived) arguments

- **Latency and bandwidth**: Especially important for certain real-time applications, such as augmented/virtual reality applications. Many people underestimate the latency and bandwidth to the cloud.

- **Reliability**: The connection to the cloud is often assumed to be unreliable, which is often a false assumption. There may be critical situations in which extremely high connectivity guarantees are needed.

- **Security and privacy**: The implicit assumption is often that when assets are nearby, they can be made better protected. Practice shows that this assumption is generally false. However, securely handling data operations in the cloud may be trickier than within your own organization.

Edge orchestration

## Managing resources at the edge may be trickier than in the cloud

- **Resource allocation**: we need to guarantee the availability of the resources required to perform a service.

- **Service placement**: we need to decide when and where to place a service. This is notably relevant for mobile applications.

- **Edge selection**: we need to decide which edge infrastructure should be used when a service needs to be offered. The closest one may not be the best one.

Observation

There is still a lot of buzz about edge infrastructures and computing, yet whether all that buzz makes any sense remains to be seen.

Blockchains

### Principle working of a blockchain system

A node broadcasts
① a transaction request

A validator collects
② transactions into a block

A single validated block is
broadcast to all the nodes

Observations

- Blocks are organized into an unforgeable append-only chain
- Each block in the blockchain is immutable ⇒ massive replication

Appending a block: distributed consensus

Centralized solution

Observation

A single entity decides on which validator can go ahead and append a block. Does not fit the design goals of blockchains.

Appending a block: distributed consensus

### Distributed solution (permissioned)

Observation

- A selected, relatively small group of servers jointly reach consensus on which validator can go ahead.
- None of these servers needs to be trusted, as long as roughly two-thirds behave according to their specifications.
- In practice, only a few tens of servers can be accommodated.

Appending a block: distributed consensus

Decentralized solution (permisionless)

Observation

- Participants collectively engage in a leader election. Only the elected leader is allowed to append a block of validated transactions.
- Large-scale, decentralized leader election that is fair, robust, secure, and so on, is far from trivial.

Summary and Conclusions

We have discussed architectureal principles in Distributed Systems, namely:

- Layered architectures

- Object and RESTful styles

- Coordination within the architecture

- Multi-tiered centralized system architectures

- Structured and unstructured P2P

- Cloud Edge Computing

- Blockchain