

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\mathcal{G} = (G, \mathcal{L})$  is the minimizing right resolving presentation of an irreducible sofic shift  $X$  and  $X$  is an  $N$ -step shift of finite type, then  $\mathsf{X}_G \cong \mathsf{X}_{\mathcal{G}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be walks in  $\mathsf{X}_G$ . If  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(y)$ , then for any  $i$ , the paths  $x_{[i-n, i-1]}$  and  $y_{[i-n, i-1]}$  present the same word. Because that word is of length  $N$ , the word is synchronizing for  $\mathcal{G}$  (from 3.4.17), so those paths end at the same vertex. Since  $\mathcal{L}(x_{[i]}) = \mathcal{L}(y_{[i]})$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  is right resolving, and  $x_{[i-n, i-1]}$  and  $y_{[i-n, i-1]}$  end at the same vertex, then  $x_{[i]} = y_{[i]}$  and hence  $x = y$ , so  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$  is injective. By definition,  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$  is surjective. Therefore,  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$  is bijective and a conjugacy from  $\mathsf{X}_G$  to  $\mathsf{X}_{\mathcal{G}}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.** *A graph  $G$  is a finite set of vertices  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(G)$  and a finite set of edges  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(G)$  with each  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  starting at a vertex  $i(e) \in \mathcal{V}$  and terminating at a vertex  $t(e) \in \mathcal{V}$ . Note that two edges can start at terminate at the same vertex.*

**Definition 3.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be graphs. A graph isomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  is a bijective pair of maps  $\partial\Phi : \mathcal{V}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(H)$  and  $\Phi : \mathcal{E}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(H)$  such that  $i(\Phi(e)) = \partial\Phi(i(e))$  and  $t(\Phi(e)) = \partial\Phi(t(e))$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ . If there exists a graph isomorphism between  $G$  and  $H$ , then  $G$  and  $H$  are graph isomorphic and is denoted  $G \cong H$ .*

**Definition 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{G} = (G, \mathcal{L}_G)$  and  $\mathcal{H} = (H, \mathcal{L}_H)$  be labeled graphs. A label-graph isomorphism is a graph isomorphism  $(\partial\Phi, \Phi) : G \rightarrow H$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_H(\Phi(e)) = \mathcal{L}_G(e)$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ , which is denoted  $(\partial\Phi, \Phi) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ . If there exists a label-graph isomorphism between  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are label-graph isomorphic (or just isomorphic) and is denoted  $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{H}$ .*

**Theorem 5.** *If  $(\partial\Phi, \Phi) : G \rightarrow H$  is a graph isomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ , then  $(\partial\Phi^{-1}, \Phi^{-1}) : H \rightarrow G$  is a graph isomorphism from  $H$  to  $G$ .*

*Proof.* For an edge  $e_G \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\Phi(i(e)) &= i(\Phi(e)) \\ \partial\Phi^{-1}(\partial\Phi(i(e))) &= \partial\Phi^{-1}(i(\Phi(e))) \\ i(e) &= \partial\Phi^{-1}(i(\Phi(e))) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for an edge  $e_H \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ ,  $\Phi^{-1}(e_H) \in \mathcal{E}(G)$  so

$$\begin{aligned} i(\Phi^{-1}(e_H)) &= \partial\Phi^{-1}(i(\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(e_H)))) \\ &= \partial\Phi^{-1}(i(e_H)) \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument shows that  $t(\Phi^{-1}(e_H)) = \partial\Phi^{-1}(t(e))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{G} = (G, \mathcal{L}_G)$  and  $\mathcal{H} = (H, \mathcal{L}_H)$  be labeled graphs. If  $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\mathsf{X}_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathsf{X}_{\mathcal{H}}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x \in \mathsf{X}_{\mathcal{G}}$ , there exists a  $y \in \mathsf{X}_G$  such that  $x_i = \mathcal{L}_G(y_i) = \mathcal{L}_H(\Phi(y_i))$ . Note that for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t(y_i) &= i(y_{i+1}) \\ \partial\Phi(t(y_i)) &= \partial\Phi(i(y_{i+1})) \\ t(\Phi(y_i)) &= i(\Phi(y_{i+1})) \end{aligned}$$

so  $\Phi_{\infty}(y) \in \mathsf{X}_H$  and therefore  $x = (\mathcal{L}_H \circ \Phi)_{\infty}(y) \in \mathsf{X}_{\mathcal{H}}$ .  $\square$