## [. Local properties of T-hol came

Slugan: J-lu/cune wally holomophic curre

Recoll for a J-lw/ curve u, locally u: D -> 1R2n satisfies open subset containing o in a

 $\frac{g^2}{g^2} + \frac{1}{2}(nx) \frac{gf}{gn} = 0$ 

Let's consider a nun general equ (for  $u: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ )

 $\frac{3c}{3c} + \int (3) \frac{3c}{3t} + C(3) u(3) = 0$  (4)

where  $J(2): D \rightarrow End(IR^{2n})$  (cf  $J^{2n}=-1$ ) and  $C(2): D \rightarrow End(IR^{2n})$ , both varying surveily for  $2 \in D$ .

Ruk A J-hal cure is a special case of (x) by chrossing (z) and  $J(z) = (J \cdot y)(z)$ . Here we pre-assuming a satisfies certain regularity so that u is in fact smooth (see the end of S77-3).

Ruck A holomorphic curve is usually specifying Jas = J. constant and the standard one.

To relate J(2) with J., Let's start from the following lemma.

Lemme Given J(2): D > End(IRM), a family of a.c.s on IRM,

there exists I: D'(CD) -> GL(20,IR) s.t. I(2) - J(2) = Jo.

If Consider map GL(20,IR) -> 1Je GL(20,IR) J=-11

by \$\frac{T}{2} \square \T\cdot \T\cdo Then one can check that J(0) is a regular joint of f, so the implient function than solve of (I(2)) = J(2) when 2 is sufficiently cluse to 0 (so J(2) is sufficiently close to J(0)) In particular, we know I D'CD and I:D -> GLLENRY s.t (3)·J,· 型かっ=フ(3) ( ) (3)·王(4)=フ. To check @ above, for A & Minszy (IR) (= TE &[(20,1R) where IJ. I-IJ(1)  $df|_{\underline{T}}(A) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(\underline{x} + tA) - f(\underline{x})}{t} \quad \text{when tis small,}$   $= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(\underline{x} + tA) - f(\underline{x})}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(\underline{x} + tA) - \underline{x} \cdot \underline{y} \cdot \underline{x}}{t}$ = /im (++++1). ((1++++1)-++1) - + ] #-1 = (:~ (\(\frac{\x}{\pi} + \text{+}A)\), (\(\frac{\x}{\pi} - \text{+} \frac{\x}{\pi} - \frac{\x}{\pi} - \text{+} \frac{\x}{\pi} - \frac{\x}{\pi = (im + (AJ, I- EJ, I-AI-) + O(+) Vc ¥J.¥'=J(0) = AJ, ¥'-J(0)A¥' of all motrices BEMinnule) s.t. BJ(0) +J(0)B = 0.

$$Note - \epsilon Not - \left( A \mathcal{I}_{2}(0) - 2 (0) A \mathcal{I}_{2}(0) + 2 (0) (A \mathcal{I}_{2}(0) - 2 (0) A \mathcal{I}_{2}(0) \right)$$

$$= - A \mathcal{I}_{2}(0) - 2 (0) A \mathcal{I}_{2}(0) + 2 (0) (A \mathcal{I}_{2}(0) - 2 (0) A \mathcal{I}_{2}(0) - 2 (0) A \mathcal{I}_{2}(0) + 2 (0$$

and for any such B (satisfying BJ(1)+J(1)B=0), set

$$\left( \Rightarrow A \mathcal{I}^{-1} J(0) - J(0) A \mathcal{I}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( J(0) B J(0) + B \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 2B \right) = B \checkmark \right). D$$

Thenfae, if u satisfies (\*) abor, then set V(2): = I(2).U(2) for I(2): D' -> GL(11,1R) from Lewer abuse

$$O = \frac{\partial U}{\partial S} + J(2)\frac{\partial U}{\partial T} + C(2)U(2)$$

$$= \frac{\partial (\underline{V} \cdot V)}{\partial S} + J(2)\frac{\partial (\underline{V} \cdot V)}{\partial T} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + C(2)(\underline{V} \cdot V)(2)$$

$$= \underline{V} \cdot \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \underline{V$$

 $= \underline{\mathcal{I}}\left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \Lambda} + \underline{\mathcal{I}}^{0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Lambda}\right) + \left(---\right) \Lambda(s)$ 

Set B(2): I' (...) then we get the following pre-Carleman Similarity

Driverials

(3\frac{2}{3\f principle:

Prop If u: D = IR2 ratiofies ( or uis J-hal), then 3 b' CD and  $\underline{\mathcal{I}}: \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(20,10)$  s.t. for  $V = \underline{\mathcal{I}}^{-1} \cdot U$ , we have constant  $\mathcal{L}$  related with dematrics of  $\underline{\mathcal{I}}$ .  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial s} + J_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + B(\underline{z}) \cdot V = 0$  (see

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial s} +$$

We actually want more: could U be even holomorphic?

who from (pr.), D' relobelled by D

v relobelled by q

Goal:  $\exists \alpha D' \subset D$  and  $\underline{D}: D' \longrightarrow \underbrace{GL}_{hol}(2n,lR)$  (.+.

hol part =  $\{B \in GL(2n,lR) \mid BJ_0 = J_0B\} = :C^{ln}(2n,lR)$   $V: = \underline{E} \cdot U$  and V is holomorphic.

An early observation: for a soutisfying (+xx)

$$Asign = \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9$$

Therefor, if one can solve  $\partial_2 \overline{\Delta} = \overline{\Delta}(2) \cdot B(2)$  (for  $\overline{\Delta}$ , given B), then Vis holoworphic. In fact, we have the following resent

The BEL (D. C"x"), then Job CD and I. D' -> C"x" s.t.

る更更 = 五·B c in a similar way solved via the operator T introduced in SFT-3.

Moreover, 4 pcas. IE WIP (V, C"an) and It) is invertible for every ZED'

Ruk (Exe) Here is an implicit step. When reaching fort in Prys above, one can further more upgrate it to replace B: D' -> GLan, IR to B a (D) (Cray).

Orteman

Tf U satisfres (t), then B E: DCD -> GL(2n,1R) (of class W1.P) st. Inv
Similarly
Pernophe is holomorphic.

Here is a useful corollary of Carleman Similarity principle.

Reall any holomorphic map u: D -> C" admit local Taylor expension. near pt 0 = D.

 $U(\frac{2}{2}) = a_0 + a_1 z + \frac{a_2^2}{z_1} z^2 + \cdots$  (mly involving power of 2)

Then if \im \frac{\(\lambda(\mathbb{R})\)}{121K} = 0 for every KEN, then U=0 near 0.

⇒ for two holomorphic fcas u, u,: D → C<sup>n</sup>.

 $\lim_{|z|\to 0} \frac{|u(z)-u(z)|}{|z-z|^k} = 0 \text{ for every } K \in \{0\}_{>0} \implies u_0 = u_1 \text{ near } z_0.$ at  $z_0$ ,  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  agree to infinite order".

trup (unique continuation)  $U_0, U_1: (\Sigma_i) \longrightarrow (M, T)$  That came that agree to justimite order at some pt Z. E. then U. = U1. expresselis.

S= | ZE E | U=U, to infinite order ] is closed obviously and won-empty (5°ES)

Near 20, in local charty we have for 120, 1

Then consider w=u, -uo, then

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial s} + \int (u_i(s)) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial s} - \int (u_i(s)) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial s} = 0$$

$$\qquad \qquad \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{L}} + 2\left(\alpha^{l(s)}\right)\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{L}}\right) + \left(2\left(\alpha^{l(s)}\right) - 2\left(\alpha^{l(s)}\right)\right)\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \alpha^{o}} = 0$$