
· Completeness of V.f. X

Given X & [(TM)]

Due to fundemental

- (1) every pt pem lies in an integral curve; Theorem in ODE
- (2) any two integral cures do not intersect.



contradicts 
$$TM$$
 (so  $p \rightarrow X(p)$  uniquely determined)

M is "mostly" covered (or foliated) by integral curves

 $\frac{2}{5}$   $S^2/\langle P, \omega \rangle = \bigcup (integral cures)$ 

Can we foliate In general, eval,

M/cost pts > by

Cost pts > by

(integral curves) other high-drust

subsets? Det X & T (TM) is complete if every integral curve of is defined over I = 1R. (In other words, one can go along of for t -> ±00) Prop Amy smooth v.f. on a cpt mfd is complete.  $X \in \Gamma(TR)$  where  $X(p) = p^2 \partial p$ . Then any integral curre T: I - IR satisfies

$$\delta(t) = \dot{p}(t) = p(t)^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(t) = \frac{-1}{t} + c \quad \text{so } I \neq \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{and } \times \text{ is not complete})$$

· Time-dependent Vector field Xt (teI)

e.g.  $X_{t}(p, 0) := (\pm p, 0) (= \pm p \partial_{p} + 0.\partial_{0})$   $t \in (0, \infty)$ 

Any integral come of IR -> IR2, satisfying o(t) = X+ (o(t))

 $(\dot{\rho}(H),\dot{\phi}(H)) = (\frac{\rho(H)}{+}, 0)$ 

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial (t)} = \frac{\rho(t)}{t}$  solves  $\rho(t) = \frac{t}{t}\rho(0)$  (cf.  $\rho(t) = e^{t}\rho(0)$ ) in time-ind case).

(3) One parameter family of differs

· Given  $X \in \Gamma(M)$ , assuming complete. define for  $t \in IR$ ,


$$p \in M \left( = \{ \text{critical pts} \} \cup \} \text{ non-const.}_{int. conves} \right) \xrightarrow{P_X^t} Y(t_* + t) \in M$$

$$P = Y(t_*) \text{ some } Y, t_*$$

- 
$$p \in \{(\text{sit pts})\}$$
, then  $p \to p + t$   $\}$   $p_{\kappa}^{t}$  is a differ on  $M$ 

-  $p \in \{(\text{sit pts})\}$ , then  $p \to p'(\neq p)$  for any  $t$ .

e.g. Suppose a 2-dim M admits a vector field X has only 2 critical pts.



Det A one-parameter group of differ is a group homomorphism 五: R -> Diff(M) + -> Y+

Inp. A one-par group of differ ( a vector field Pt "=" as above

" = " Given I= 59+1+ER, consider derivative wat t

$$\frac{d \varphi_{+}}{dt} |_{\varphi_{+}} = : \chi(\varphi) = : \text{tangent vector of curve}$$

$$= ! \varphi_{+}(\varphi) !_{t \in (-\xi_{1})}$$

Rmk Prop above endows vector fields a geometric meaning generate tendiffer Ruk (Palis) {  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ ;  $f(M) \mid \varphi = \varphi$ , for a one-pargraph is of first

cat in Diff(M)

Rmk The vector field X defined above satisfies  $\frac{d\phi_t}{dt}(p) = X(\phi_t(p)) \leftarrow A \text{ famous formula}$ Indeed, for any  $t_x$ ,  $\frac{d\phi_t}{dt}|_{t=t_x} = \frac{d(\phi_t-t_x-\phi_{t_x})|_{t=t_x}}{dt}|_{t=t_x} = \frac{d(\phi_t-t_x-\phi_{t_x})|_{t=t_x}}{dt}$ 

Indeed, for any  $t_{\star}$ ,  $\frac{dQ_{t}}{dt}\Big|_{t=t_{\star}} = \frac{d(Q_{t-t_{\star}}, Q_{t_{\star}})}{dt}\Big|_{t=t_{\star}} = \frac{dQ_{t}}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} (Q_{t_{\star}}(p)) = X(Q_{t_{\star}}(p)).$ 

Exe Let 195t (s,t) EIR2 be a 2-par group of differs, and

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{s,t}}{\partial t} = \chi_{s,t} \circ \varphi_{s,t} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_{s,t}}{\partial s} = \chi_{s,t} \circ \varphi_{s,t}$$
Then prove 
$$\frac{\partial \chi_{s,t}}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial \chi_{s,t}}{\partial t} = \chi_{s,t} \chi_{s,t}$$

eg Take I=14s) ser and I=14s) ter, and XI, XI.

Then 
$$\frac{\partial P_{s,t}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial (\psi_t \cdot \psi_s)}{\partial t} = \chi^{\underline{\Psi}} \cdot \psi_t \cdot \psi_s = \chi^{\underline{\Psi}} \cdot \psi_{s,t}$$

Then 
$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{s,t}}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial (\varphi_s, \psi_t)}{\partial s} = X^{\underline{a}} \cdot \varphi_s \cdot \psi_t = X^{\underline{a}} \cdot \varphi_s t$$

Then Exe above says that

$$[X_{\underline{T}} X_{\underline{T}}] = [X^{s,t}, X^{t,t}] = \frac{9s}{9X^{s,t}} - \frac{9t}{9X^{s,t}} = 0$$

Rmk The converse also holds:  $[X^{\pm}, X^{\pm}] = 0 \Rightarrow \psi_{\bullet} \varphi_{s} = \psi_{s} \cdot \psi_{\pm}$