SOLUTIONS TO MID-TERM EXAM.

## PROBLEM 1

Sol (a) See HW3Ez6.

0=[T,x]xp 02

$$[9_{*}X, 9_{*}Y] = (2u_{N})(uv_{N} - v_{N}^{2})$$

$$-(uv_{N}^{2} - v_{N}^{2})(2u_{N}^{2})$$

$$= 2uv_{N}^{2} - 2uv_{N}^{2} = 0.$$

$$= 0.$$

> [9xx, 9x]=9x[x, ]].

Ronk In general, [y\*X, g\*T]= g\*[x, T] always holds for XITET(TM).

PROBLEM 2  $\underline{Sul}(a) \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 2(y^2 + x(x-1)^2(x-2)) [(x-1)^2(x-2) + 2x(x-1)(x-2)$ 12(2-15]  $= 2(y^2+x(x-1)(x-2))(x-1)[(x-1)(x-2)+2x(x-2)$ tx(x-1)]  $=2(y^2+x(x-1)(x-2))(x-1)(4x^2-8x+2)$  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2(y+2(z-1)^{2}(z-2)-2y$  $=4(y^2+x(x-1)^2(x-2))^{-1}$  $\Rightarrow For F(\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = \varepsilon$ , if dF = 0, then:  $0 \Rightarrow = 2\widetilde{3} \Rightarrow \widetilde{3} = 0 \Rightarrow \widetilde{3} + \widetilde{2}(\widetilde{2} - 1)^{2}(\widetilde{2} - 2) = \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  $(3) \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 4(\tilde{y} + \tilde{x}(\tilde{x} - 1)(\tilde{x} - 2))\tilde{y} \Rightarrow \tilde{y} = 0$  $\Rightarrow \tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\chi}-1)^2(\tilde{\chi}-2)=\pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ 3 = 0= (~-1)(4x2-8x+2)=0 2=1, impossible; S042e-82e+2=0 三(丰元

So: let f(z)=z(z-1)(z-2), take  $0 < \varepsilon < \min \{ f(1 + \frac{12}{2}) \}^2, |f(1 - \frac{12}{2})|^2 \}$ contradict with  $\tilde{z}(\tilde{z}-1)(\tilde{z}-2)=\pm\sqrt{\epsilon}$ , so dF  $\pm$  0 when  $F(x,y,z)=\varepsilon$ . => E is a regular value of F so F1(E) is an embedded submfd af 1R3  $dim F(\epsilon) = 3 - rk(dF) = 3 - 1 = 2$ 

(b)  $T(0,0,\sqrt{\varepsilon}) F'(\varepsilon) = \ker(dF(0,0,\sqrt{\varepsilon})).$  $dF(0,0,\sqrt{\varepsilon})=(0,0,2\sqrt{\varepsilon}).$ 

SO T(0,0, \(\varepsilon\) \(\v

PROBLEM 3
Sol (a) Définition of LIE GROUPS:
A Lie group & is a smooth manifold and also
a group, such that:
(i) µ: G×G→G, (g1, g2) → g1·g2 is smooth;
(ii) v: G > G, g > g T is smooth.
(b) See Lecl.
Lie group structure of B is givin by unit
quaternions
(c) Conclusion: $\nu_{s^3} lR^{\dagger} \simeq s^3 \times lR^{\dagger}$ trival bundle on $s^3$
Tangent bundle of 53 is travial: TS=SXIR
(>) Property of Lie groups)
1Rt 1803 has a othogonal basis:
$N=(z^1, z^2, z^3, z^4) \longrightarrow (ortward) normal vector of S3$
$e_1 = (-x^2, x^1, -x^4, x^3)$
$e_2=(-z^{\dagger},z^{\dagger},-z^{\dagger},z^{\dagger})$ tangential to $S$ on $S$
$e_3 = (-\vec{z}, \vec{z}, \vec{z}, \vec{z})$

So:  $V_S^3 IR^4 \simeq T_1R^4 |_{S^3} / T_S^3 \simeq S^3 \times IR^1$ def of gnotient bundle (d) vector fields en ez, ez defined above are all vector fields on B3 with no zero.

Problem4
Sul (a) CRT: Let f: M-> N be a constant rank map near p.
& rk(f,p)=k. Then exists coordinate charts
(9, U) nearp & (4, V) near f (p) s.t.
γοθοφ!:(z',··,z <sup>m</sup> )→(z',··,z',0,··,0).
Pf: any submersion is a open map.
Assume f: M > N is a submorsion.
YUEM, only need to show: $f(u) = N$ .
=> For any pEU, Inbhel Up of p, Up is the coordinate
chart w.r.t.p in CRT. WLOG Up = U.
open, -

 $CRT \Rightarrow f(up) \stackrel{\text{open}}{=} N$ . Thus f(up) = f(u),  $f(u) \stackrel{\text{open}}{=} N$ .

(b) See Hwit Ez3.

(c) GCRT If f:M→N has constant rank globally, then:

(i) f surjective > submersion;

(ii) f injective > immersion;

(iii) & bijective > local diffeomorphism

```
(d): First prove: 9 is a constant map.
  >> \year, set y(g)=h∈H. Let Rg1: G>G, zr>g1z &
      Rh: H-> H. y->hy, then Rg (ED) of (G), RhED; ff (H).
      Near g, \varphi := R_h \circ \varphi \circ R_{\overline{g}} = (dy)_{g} = (dR_h)_{e_H} \circ (d\varphi)_{e_{\overline{g}}} \circ (dR_{\overline{g}})_{g}
     where eq. eH are unit elements of G. H.
      So rk(dy)g=rk (dy)eq=constant, 4geq.
      As \varphi is a group isomorphism, then rk(\varphi) = dim(G) = dim(H).
   => If NOT, by CRT, 9 locally is not injective & surjective.
      Them:
      \mathbb{O}\operatorname{rk}(\varphi) = \dim(\mathcal{G}) = \dim(\mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ substransion} \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ open map.}
         g bijective+open map > gt exists & cts.
      2) rkly)=dimlG)=dim(H)=> dy locally invertible.
         IFT > 9 is smooth.
      So y: G-> H is a diffeomorphism.
      (Or: by GCRT, bijective > diffeomorphism)
```

## PROBLEM 5 Sol (a) CARTAN'S MAGIC FORMULA: L\_Xw=d(v\_xw)+~x\_dw, X \in \Gamma(TTM), w \in \Omega^k(M),

(b) Definition of HAMILTON VECTOR FIELD: Hamilton vector field XH generated by H is defined by  $\omega(XH, \cdot) := -dH(\cdot)$ .

(c) First prove: 
$$\frac{d}{dt}((g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}w)_{p} = (g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}(d_{x_{H}}w)g_{H}^{\dagger}(p)$$
.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}((g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}w)_{p} = \lim_{S \to 0} \frac{1}{S} [((g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}w)_{p} - ((g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}w)g_{H}^{\dagger}(p) - (g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}(w_{g_{H}^{\dagger}(p)})]$$

$$= \lim_{S \to 0} \frac{1}{S} [((g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}w)g_{H}^{\dagger}(p) - (g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}(w_{g_{H}^{\dagger}(p)})]$$

$$= \lim_{S \to 0} (g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}((g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}w)g_{H}^{\dagger}(p) - (g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}(w_{g_{H}^{\dagger}(p)})$$

$$= (g_{H}^{\dagger})^{*}(d_{x_{H}^{\dagger}}w)g_{H}^{\dagger}(p)$$

Then prove: LXHW=0.

$$\Rightarrow 2x_{H}w = d(nx_{H}w) + y_{H}dw = d(-dH) = -d^{2}H = 0.$$
So  $\frac{d}{dt}((gH)^{2}w)p = 0$ ,  $\forall t \Rightarrow (gH)^{2}w)=(gH)^{2}w)=w$ ,  $\forall t$ .

Since  $p$  is arbitrary, then  $(gH)^{2}w=w$ .

PROBLEM 6.
Pf (a) WHITNEY'S THEOREM:
For every smooth manifuld Nk, it can be embedded into IR2k+1.
STRONG WHITNEY'S THEOREM:
Every smooth manifold Nk can be embeddeel
into 1R2k.
(b) Step1: Since M is compact, it can be covered
by finitly many condinate charts
$\{(\varphi_i, U_i)\}_{i=1}^k$
Let $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be the P.O.U. belongs to the
cover {Ui}i=1.
Step2: Define f: M > 1Rnk+k as
PH->((9i9)(p),(9kgk(p), 91(p),, 9k(p))
It's well define since each 4:(P) GIR

& if  $p\notin U_i$ , then  $(f; g_i)(p) = 0$ .

```
The smoothness follows from the
                                                                                                        definition.
                                                                                                        Objectivity: If f(p)=f(g), then:
                                                                                                                       Oti, s; (p)=s;(1). So: peu; <=>1eu;
                                                                                                                       @ For i s.t. gi(p) to, pelli, then gelli
                                                                                                                                     & g:(p)g:(p)=g:(g)gi(g)
                                                                                                                                   \Rightarrow g_i(p) = g_i(1) \Rightarrow p = 1.
                                                                                                            fis a immersion: AP = 1 i s.t. PEU; & P:(p)+0
                                                                                                                             Compute: dfp, in local chart U;
                                                                                                                                                       foφ;(z)=(···, β;(z)z,···, β;(z);···)
Jac(f,p)is of full rank
since at p, y;(p) =0
                                                                                                                                                                                                             آجه المحمد المح
                                                 (equivalent)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                   できずいいらいれるが
                        dp.
```

Sofis a immersion.
Step3: f is an embedding.
Conclusion: f: X > Y bijective continuous map
X, Tare compact, Hausdorff spaces
Then f is homeomorphism.
$\Rightarrow$ So $f:M \rightarrow f(M)$ is homeomorphism
subspace topology f(M)=IRNe+k
cpt & Hansdorff
Pf of conclusion: Only need to show: fis a closed map, i.e. if u closed X, then f(u) closed Y.
closed map, i.e. if U clused X, then f(U) closed Y.
$X \cot T_2 & U \xrightarrow{cot} X \Rightarrow U \cot \Rightarrow f(U) \cot x$
Y cpt. To & f(W) Et ) => f(U) closed.
So: & bijective > ft exists.
$A^{closed} \times (4^{-17}(A) = f(A)^{closed} \times \Rightarrow f' cts$

From Step 1~3, Mc>1Rnk+k. #.

PROBLEM. Sol (a) Recoll In HW2. Ez6, x is decomposable rk(matrix of coeiffcients of z)=1.

Only to check:  $A = (2024i+5003j)_{2\times3}$ , rk(A)=1  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2024+5003 & 2024+5003\times2 & 2024+5003\times3 \\ 4048+5003 & 4048+5003\times2 & 4048+5003\times3 \end{pmatrix}$   $2024+5003 & 2024+5003\times2 \end{pmatrix}$   $rk(A)=2, \text{ Since det } \begin{pmatrix} 4248+5003 & 4248+5003\times2 \\ 42048+5003 & 4248+5003\times2 \end{pmatrix} + 0$   $(Or, \begin{pmatrix} 2024+5003 & 2024+5003\times2 \\ 42048+5003 & 2024+5003\times2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ are linearly independent}.$ So x is not decomposable. (b) Let Pereziez ONB of 1R, Pereziez related dral basis. Take z:= el @e Øe. If I ac \(\mathbb{Z}(R)\), be \(\mathbb{R}(R)\) s.t = a+b Then Sym(z)=a, Alt(z)=b.  $\Rightarrow Sym(z) = \frac{1}{1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n(e^{l} \otimes e^{n} \otimes e^{n})$ Then z=a+b=a===(eBeBe+eBeBe+eBeBe) contradiction 1

PROBLEM 8 (Let dim M=n) Sul (a) STOKE'S THEOREM: Let M'be a compact, oriented smooth manifold with boundary  $\partial M$ ,  $i: \partial M \hookrightarrow M$  be the inclusion map, then for any  $w \in \Omega^{n-1}(M)$ ,  $\int_{M} d\omega = \int_{\partial M} i^* \omega.$ (b)  $\theta = x dy \wedge dz + y dz \wedge dx + z dz \wedge dy \in \Omega^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3})$ d0=3dx 1dy 1dg standard rolume form of 1R Let 1D=1R3 be the closed unit ball, then 2103=5. By Stoke's formula,  $\int_{S^2} 0 = \int_{10^3} d0 = 3 \int_{10^3} d2 \wedge dy \wedge dz$  $=3 \text{ volume}(10)=3.4\pi=4\pi$ . (c) Prove by contradiction. If IF: M>2N smooth & Flam = Idam, then for any wEDi (aM): Jam w= Jam (Flan) w= Jam v\*F\*w  $=\int_{M}d(F^{*}\omega)=\int_{M}F^{*}(d\omega)=0$ since dw=0 contradiction since 2M is closed oriented.

PROBLEM 9
Pf. (a) Basic topology.
M compact & connected + F.EC (M) (F continuous)
> F(M) = IR compact & connected
i.e. $\exists m, M \in \mathbb{R}, s.t.$ $(M) = [m, M]$
>max F, min F exists, M=max F, m= max F.
(b) For p: take a local chart (y, U) near p,
under the local chart, we have:
$F \circ \varphi \leq \max F = F(p)$ , from $M \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ to $\mathbb{R}$
so g(p) is the maximum af Fog, g(p)
is a critical pt of Fog! i.e.
$d(F\circ g') _{g(p)} = 0, \rightarrow Fermats Lem$
that is, $dFpo(d\varphi_p)=0$ . So $dFp=0$ .
Simiarly, 9 is a critical pt of F.
$(c)$ $TL$ $o\pm a$ $+ban$ $doma'$
If p=9, then F=constant => critical pt. #

```
PROBLEM 10.
Sol (a) (P=(C)(103)/~, where 3, w=(11)(10), 3~w => 3 2 EC, 3= 2w.
             Define: A: CP > CP [3] H> [A]]
             Check A is well defined.
                      If [31]=[32], then = 2 = CX, 31=23=.
                       [A_{3_1}] = [A_{3_2}] = [A_{3_2}]
                     (Note AEGL(n+1, C), for zec"/1803. Az +0)
              Recall the charts on CPn: {(yi, Ui)};=1, where:
                       U; := {[];:: } my = []; }; }; }
                       9; (31, --, 3n) -> [31, --, 31, 1, 3i+1, --, 3n].
             On U; & Uj, check: w = (3_1, ..., 3_1, 1, 3_1 + ..., 3_n)
y_j \circ \overline{A} \circ y_i^{-1} : (3_1, ..., 3_n) \mapsto \left( \frac{(Aw)_1}{(Aw)_1}, ..., \frac{(Aw)_{j-1}}{(Aw)_j}, \frac{(Aw)_{j+1}}{(Aw)_j}, \frac{(Aw)_{j+1}}{(Aw)_j} \right)
             is a smooth map. So is A. (Actually is holomorphic).
        (b) Fixed pts of A: [Az]=[z]
             i.e. \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{+}, A_{3} = \lambda_{3} \iff \beta is a eigenvector of A
```

(c) Since AEGL(n+1, C), Az~Aw iff z~w Write A=Pdiag[21,:,2n+JP, using linear transform For  $P_i = [0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0]$ ,  $P_i$  is a fix point of A.  $A(0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0) = \lambda_i(0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$ Using chart near  $P_i$ , then:  $\frac{0}{A}:(31,...,3n)\mapsto\left(\frac{2131}{27},...,\frac{27-137-1}{27},\frac{27+137}{27},...,\frac{2n+13n}{27}\right)$ And the complexe Jacobian of A near p is:  $\operatorname{Jac}(\overline{A}) = \operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_{i-1}}{\lambda_{i}}, \frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_{i}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_{i}}\right\}$ Rock Complex Jacobian for 9: Ck > Ck, Jacobian for 9: Ck > Ck > Ck, Jacobian for 9: Ck > Ck > Ck, Jacobian for 9: Ck > Ck > Ck, Jacobian for 9: Ck g is holomorphic, if  $\frac{391}{337} = 0$ ,  $\forall i,j$ . For holomorphic map 9, see 9:1R2k > 1R2k, its real Jacobian is Jacr(9) = (Re Jacc(9) - Im Jacc(9))

In Jacc(9) Re Jacc(9) Lem det(A -B)=|det(A+iB)|. complex matrix.

So at Pi, the following holds  $Jac_{c}(\overline{A}, p_{i})-Id=diag\left\{\frac{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_{i-1}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}, \frac{\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right\}.$  $\Rightarrow$  det (Jacr(A,P;)-Id) =  $|det(Jac_c(A, P;) - Id)|$  $= \left| \frac{1}{1} \frac{\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}} \right|^{2} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}} > 0$ so A is Lefschetz map.

eigenvalues of A all have multiplicity 1 ⇒ litlifity. (d) In (c), we can see that det(dAp;-Id)>0, SO:  $L(\overline{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} sign(det(d\overline{A}p_i-Id))$   $= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} 1 = n+1$ Rme X(CPn)=n+1.