

## SHEET 9: SEQUENCES and LIMITS

Jeffrey Zhang

We will now work with the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  instead of an arbitrary continuum  $C$ . Accordingly, let us now use the standard notation  $(a, b)$  for the region  $\underline{ab} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x < b\}$ . Even though the notation is the same, this is *not* the same object as the ordered pair  $(a, b)$ .

**Definition 9.1.** A *sequence* (of real numbers) is a function  $a: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

By setting  $a_n = a(n)$ , we can think of a sequence  $a$  as a list  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  of real numbers. We use the notation  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  for such a sequence, or if there is no possibility of confusion, we sometimes abbreviate this and write simply  $(a_n)$ .

**Definition 9.2.** We say that a sequence  $(a_n)$  *converges* to a point  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  if, for every region  $R$  containing  $p$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq N$ , then  $a_n \in R$ . If  $(a_n)$  does not converge to any point, we say that the sequence *diverges*.

**Exercise 9.3.** Show that if a sequence  $(a_n)$  converges to  $p$ , then any region containing  $p$  contains all but finitely many terms in the sequence.

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)$  converge to  $p$ , and  $R$  be an arbitrary region such that  $p \in R$ . Then we know by 9.2 that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq N$ ,  $a_n \in R$ .  $R$  contains all  $a_n \in R$  such that  $n \geq N$ , so  $R$  contains at all but at most  $N - 1$  terms in the sequence.  $N - 1$  is finite, so  $R$  contains all but finitely many terms in the sequence.  $\square$

**Exercise 9.4.** Which of the following sequences converge? Which diverge? If one converges, what does it converge to? If one diverges, what can you say about the nature of its divergence?

- |               |                    |                                      |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| (a) $a_n = 5$ | (c) $a_n = 1/n$    | (e) $a_n = (-1)^n \cdot n$           |
| (b) $a_n = n$ | (d) $a_n = (-1)^n$ | (f) $a_n = (-1)^n \cdot \frac{1}{n}$ |

*Proof.* (a)  $a_n = 5$  converges to 5.

(b)  $a_n = n$  diverges to infinity.

(c)  $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$  converges to 0.

(d)  $a_n = (-1)^n$  diverges, alternating between -1 and 1.

(e)  $a_n = (-1)^n \cdot n$  diverges.

(f)  $a_n = (-1)^n \cdot \frac{1}{n}$  converges to 0.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.5.** Suppose that  $(a_n)$  converges both to  $p$  and to  $p'$ . Then  $p = p'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)$  converge to  $p$  and  $p'$  such that  $p \neq p'$ . Then we know that we can choose two regions  $R, R'$  around  $p, p'$  respectively such that  $R \cap R' = \emptyset$ . Then we know by Exercise 9.3 that  $R, R'$  each contain all but finitely many terms of the sequence. So it follows then that because  $R$  and  $R'$  are disjoint,  $R$  and  $R'$  can each contain only finitely many terms in the sequence. This is a contradiction, because it means that  $a_n$  has finitely many terms, and by Definition 9.1 we have that  $a_n$  has infinitely many terms. So we have a contradiction, and  $p$  must be equal to  $p'$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.6.** If a sequence  $(a_n)$  converges to  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , we call  $p$  the *limit* of  $(a_n)$  and write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = p.$$

**Definition 9.7.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be ordered sets. A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is said to be *increasing* if  $a < a'$  implies  $f(a) < f(a')$ , and *decreasing* if  $a < a'$  implies  $f(a) > f(a')$ .

**Definition 9.8.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence. A *subsequence* of  $(a_n)$  is a sequence  $b : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by the composition  $b = a \circ i$ , where  $i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is an increasing function. If  $(a_n)$  has a subsequence with limit  $p$ , we call  $p$  a *subsequential limit* of  $(a_n)$ .

If we let  $n_k = i(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can write  $b_k = a_{n_k}$ , so that  $(b_k)$  is the sequence  $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$ , which is equal to the sequence  $a_{n_1}, a_{n_2}, a_{n_3}, \dots$ , where  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ .

**Theorem 9.9.** If  $(a_n)$  converges to  $p$ , then so do all of its subsequences.

*Proof.* Let  $b_n$  be a subsequence of  $a_n$  such that  $b_k = a_{i(k)}$  for  $i$  an increasing function. Suppose  $a_n$  converges to  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , then by 9.2 we know that for any region  $R$  such that  $p \in R$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $a_n \in R$ . Then choose  $m > N$  such that  $m = i(k_0)$  for  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $k \geq k_0$ , we know that  $i(k) \geq i(k_0)$  as  $i$  is increasing. It follows then that  $k \geq k_0$ , so we know that  $i(k) \geq m > N$ .  $a_{i(k)} = b_k$  and  $b_k \in R$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ , so we have by 9.2 that  $b_n$  converges to  $p$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 9.10.** Construct a sequence with two subsequential limits. Construct a sequence with infinitely many subsequential limits.

*Proof.* The sequence  $a_n = (-1)^n$  has two subsequential limits, as we have the subsequence defined by  $b_n = 1$  and the subsequence defined by  $c_n = -1$ .  $b_n$  converges to 1 and  $c_n$  converges to -1.

The sequence  $a_n = 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, n$ . Then we can find a subsequence  $b_n$  such that  $b_n = 1, b_n = 2, b_n = 3, \dots, b_n = n$ . These subsequences then converge to  $1, 2, 3, \dots, n$  respectively, so we have infinitely many subsequential limits.  $\square$

Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  and for each natural number  $k \geq 1$ , define  $R_k$  to be the region  $(p - \frac{1}{k}, p + \frac{1}{k})$ .

**Lemma 9.11.** The  $R_k$  form a descending collection of regions  $R_1 \supset R_2 \supset R_3 \supset \dots$  whose intersection is the point  $p$ :

$$\bigcap_{k \geq 1} R_k = \{p\}.$$

*Proof.* We know that  $p - \frac{1}{k} < p - \frac{1}{k+1}$  and that  $p + \frac{1}{k+1} < p + \frac{1}{k}$  so it follows that  $(p - \frac{1}{k}, p + \frac{1}{k}) \supset (p - \frac{1}{k+1}, p + \frac{1}{k+1})$ . It follows then that  $R_1 \supset R_2 \supset R_3 \dots$ .

We know that  $p \in \bigcap_{k \geq 1} R_k$ , so we now show that  $\bigcap_{k \geq 1} R_k = \{p\}$ . Let  $q \in \bigcap_{k \geq 1} R_k$  such that  $q \neq p$ . Then we know that  $q > p$  or  $q < p$ . If  $q > p$ , then we have that  $q - p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $q - p > 0$  so we have by 8.25 that  $q - p > \frac{1}{k} > 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then it follows that  $q > p + \frac{1}{k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $q \notin (p - \frac{1}{k}, p + \frac{1}{k})$ . This is a contradiction as we now have that  $q \notin \bigcap_{k \geq 1} R_k$ . The same result can be shown for the case of  $q < p$  without loss of generality. So we have that  $\bigcap_{k \geq 1} R_k = \{p\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.12.** *Let  $R$  be a region containing  $p$ . Then there exists a natural number  $N$  such that  $R_k \subset R$  for all  $k \geq N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = (a, b)$  be a region containing  $p$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have that  $a < p$ , so  $p - a > 0$  and we now that  $p - a \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows then by 8.25 that there exists  $M$  such that  $p - a > \frac{1}{M}$  for  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note then that we have  $a < p - \frac{1}{M}$  for  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . We now consider  $p + \frac{1}{M}$  and take two cases, where  $p + \frac{1}{M} \geq b$  and where  $p + \frac{1}{M} < b$ .

Consider  $p + \frac{1}{M} \geq b$ .  $b > p$ , so we know there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b - p > \frac{1}{N}$  by 8.25. So it follows then that  $b > p + \frac{1}{N}$ . Then we have  $p + \frac{1}{M} \geq b > p + \frac{1}{N}$ . It follows then that  $p + \frac{1}{M} > p + \frac{1}{N}$ , so  $p - \frac{1}{M} < p - \frac{1}{N}$ . Recall  $p - \frac{1}{M} > a$ , so then we have  $a < p - \frac{1}{N}$  and that  $p + \frac{1}{N} < b$ , so we know that  $R_N \subset S$  and by 9.11 we know that the collection of regions is descending, so we have that  $R_k \subset S$  for all  $k \geq N$ .

Consider  $p + \frac{1}{M} < b$ , then we have that  $a < p - \frac{1}{M}$  and that  $p + \frac{1}{M} < b$ , so we have that  $R_M \subset S$  and similarly then that  $R_k \subset S$  for all  $k \geq M$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.13.** *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $p \in \overline{A}$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $(a_n)$ , with each  $a_n \in A$ , that converges to  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and suppose  $p \in \overline{A}$ . Then we know that for all regions  $R$  such that  $p \in R$ ,  $R \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . We also know that for a region  $R$  such that  $p \in R$ , by 9.12 there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $R_k \subset R$  for all  $k \geq N$ . So we choose  $a_k \in R_k$ , then we have that  $a_k \in R_k \subset R$ , so we know then by 9.2 that  $a_k$  converges to  $p$ .

Suppose there exists a sequence  $a_n$  such that for  $a_n \in A$ ,  $a_n$  converges to  $p$ . By 9.3, we know that for any  $p \in R$  for a region  $R$ ,  $R$  contains all but finitely many terms in the sequence. So we have that for any  $R$  such that  $p \in R$ ,  $R \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . It follows then that  $p \in \overline{A}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.14.** A sequence  $(a_n)$  is *bounded* if its range  $\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded.

**Theorem 9.15.** *Every convergent sequence is bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $a_n$  be a convergent sequence such that  $a_n$  converges to  $p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $R = (a, b)$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  be a region, then we know there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $a_n \in (a, b)$ . Thus, we have that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $a_n < b$ . We have for  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{(N-1)}\}$  that there exists a greatest element  $a_k$ . If  $b > a_k$ , then  $b$  is an upper bound of  $a_n$  and we have that  $a_n$  is bounded. If  $a_k > b$ , then  $a_k \geq a_n$  and we have that  $\max(a_k, b)$  is an upper bound of  $a_n$ . So we know that  $a_n$  is bounded above. Without loss of generality an analogous proof may be used to show that convergent sequences are bounded below.  $\square$

The converse is not true, but there are two important partial converses.

**Theorem 9.16** (Monotone Bounded Sequence Theorem). *Every bounded increasing sequence converges to its range's supremum. Every bounded decreasing sequence converges to its range's infimum.*

*Proof.* Let  $a_n$  be a bounded increasing sequence. Then by 8.22, we know that  $s = \sup(a_n)$  exists. Note that by definition of  $\sup(a_n)$ , we know that for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $s - \epsilon < a_M$  for some  $a_M \in a_n$ . We know that  $a_n$  is increasing, so for  $m > M$ ,  $a_m > a_M$ . It follows then that  $s - a_m < s - a_M$ , so  $s - a_m < s - a_M < \epsilon$  (recall that  $s - \epsilon < a_M$ ) and so we have that for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $a_n \in R$ . Thus we know that for a region  $S$  such that  $s = \sup(a_n) \in S$ , we have that some  $a_n \in S$  so  $a_n$  converges to  $s = \sup(a_n)$ . Similarly, it can be shown that a bounded decreasing sequence converges to its range's infimum.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.17.** *Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.* Hint: sometimes this is called the Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem.

*Proof.* Let  $a_n$  be bounded, then we know that the range of  $a_n$  is bounded. Let  $A$  be the range of  $a_n$ . Then we know that  $A$  is bounded and we consider two cases, where  $A$  is finite and where  $A$  is infinite.

We consider the case where  $A$  is finite, then we know that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n$  has finitely many possible values. Let  $t$  be the finite number of possible values. Then the set  $\{a_n, \dots, a_{n+t}\}$  has  $t + 1$  values in it so there must be at least one repeated value. We know that the sequence is infinite, so the cycles of  $\{a_n, \dots, a_{n+t}\}$  are repeated infinitely. Thus, some number  $p$  must be repeated infinitely many times, so we take the subsequence  $\{p, \dots, p\}$  which we know is convergent.

Now consider the case where  $A$  is infinite, then we know by Bolzano–Weierstrass that a limit point  $p$  of  $A$  exists. So for all regions  $R$  containing  $p$ , we know that  $R \cap (A \setminus \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then we know that for a region  $R_k = (p - \frac{1}{k}, p + \frac{1}{k})$ , there exists some  $a_{n_k} \in R_k$ . Let  $R$  be an arbitrary region containing  $p$ , then by 9.12, we know that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $R_k \subset R$  for all  $k \geq N$ . Thus we know that there exists some  $k \geq N$  such that  $a_{n_k} \in R_k \subset R$ , so we have that there exists some  $a_{n_k} \in R$ . So by 9.2, we know there exists a convergent subsequence.  $\square$

Mathematicians often use the letters  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon$  to denote small positive numbers.

**Lemma 9.18.** *Let  $R$  be a region containing the point  $a$ . Then there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(a - \delta, a + \delta) \subset R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a region containing  $a$ . We write  $R = (m, n)$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we let  $\delta = \min(|a - m|, |a - n|)$ . It follows then that  $\delta \leq |a - m|$  and  $\delta \leq |a - n|$ , so we know that  $a - \delta \geq m$  and that  $a + \delta \leq n$ . Then we have that  $(a - \delta, a + \delta) \subset R$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.19.** The *absolute value* of a real number  $x$  is the non-negative number  $|x|$  defined by:

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 9.20.** Prove that:

$$(a - \delta, a + \delta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - a| < \delta\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $p \in (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ . Then we have  $a - \delta < p < a + \delta$ , and so  $|p - a| < \delta$ , so  $p \in \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - a| < \delta\}$ .

Let  $p \in \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - a| < \delta\}$ , then we know  $a - \delta < p < a + \delta$  so  $p \in (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ .

So we have  $(a - \delta, a + \delta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - a| < \delta\}$ .  $\square$

We deduce a more concrete characterization of continuity at a point (Definition 5.11).

**Theorem 9.21.** *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \in A$ . Then  $f$  is continuous at  $a$  if and only if the following condition holds: for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\text{if } x \in A \text{ and } |x - a| < \delta, \quad \text{then } |f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* We first show the forward direction.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then let a region  $R = (f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon)$ . We are given  $f$  is continuous at  $a$ , so we know by 5.11 that there exists a region  $S$  such that for  $a \in S$ ,  $f(S \cap A) \subset R$ .  $a \in S$ , so it follows then by 9.18 that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(a - \delta, a + \delta) \subset S$ . Let  $x \in A$  and  $x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ , then it follows that  $|x - a| < \delta$  by 9.20.  $x \in (S \cap A)$ , so  $f(x) \in f(S \cap A)$ . Then we have that  $f(x) \in f(S \cap A) \subset (f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon)$ , so it follows again by 9.20 that  $|f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$ . So we have that the forward direction holds.

We now show the reverse direction.

Let  $R$  be a region such that  $f(a) \in R$ . We express  $R = (m, n)$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we define  $\epsilon = \min(|f(a) - m|, |f(a) - n|)$ . Note that  $(f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon) \subset R$ .  $\epsilon > 0$ , so we are given that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - a| < \delta$  for all  $x \in A$ . Then we let the region  $S = (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ . Let  $x \in S \cap A$ , then we know that  $|x - a| < \delta$  and  $x \in A$  so  $|f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$ . It follows then by 9.20 that  $f(x) \in (f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon)$ , so  $f(x) \in R$  because  $(f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon) \subset R$ . So we have that  $f(S \cap A) \subset R$ , and thus  $f$  is continuous at  $a$  by 5.11. So we have that the reverse direction holds.  $\square$

**Exercise 9.22.** 1. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $f(x) = ax + b$ . Show that  $f$  is continuous at every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

2. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ . Show that  $f$  is not continuous at 0.

*Proof.* 1. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary. Then we have  $f(x) = ax + b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{|a|}$  such that  $|x - p| < \delta$ . It follows then that  $|a(x - p)| < \epsilon$ . Note that  $|f(x) - f(p)| = |ax + b - ap - b| = |ax - ap| = |a(x - p)|$ , so we have that  $|f(x) - f(p)| < \epsilon$ . So we know then that  $f$  is continuous at  $p$  for every  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ .

2. Assume that  $f$  is continuous at 0. Let  $\epsilon = 0.5$  and  $a = 0$ . Then we have that there exists  $\delta$  such that  $|x - 0| < \delta$ . So we have  $|x - 0| < \delta$ . We choose  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \neq 0$ . We assume  $f$  is continuous at 0, so we know that  $|x - 0| < \delta$  implies that  $|f(x) - f(0)| < \epsilon$ . However, we have  $x \neq 0$  so  $f(x) = 1$  and thus  $|f(x) - f(0)| = |1 - 0| = 1 \not< 0.5$ , so we have a contradiction. Thus,  $f$  must not be continuous at 0.  $\square$