2/7/22, 11:01 PM Zhang\_Jeffrey\_code1

## AMSC 460 HW 1 Part 2

## **Jeffrey Zhang**

The goal is to find the root r = 0 of  $f(x) = x + x^4$  numerically.

a) Apply Newton's method and derive an explicit recursion, expressing the error  $e_{n+1}$  in terms of  $e_n$ . Predict and report the order of convergence and the asymptotic constant for this problem.

Using the same approach as with Fixed-point Iteration, we can determine the convergence rate of Newton's Method applied to the equation f(x) = 0, where we assume that f is continuously differentiable near the exact solution r, and that f''(x) exists for an x near r. Using the Taylor' Theorem, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} e_{n+1} &= x_{n+1} - r = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} - r = x_n - r - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = e_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}. \text{ We can redefine } f(x_n) \text{ using Taylor's Theorem, Thus } \\ e_{n+1} &= e_n - \frac{1}{f'(x_n)} [f(r) - f'(x_n)(r - x_n) - \frac{1}{2} f''(\xi_n)(x_n - r)^2]. \text{ Since } f(r) = 0, \text{ we can eliminate it in the brackets to obtain } \\ e_{n+1} &= e_n - \frac{1}{f'(x_n)} [-f'(x_n)(r - x_n) - \frac{1}{2} f''(\xi_n)(x_n - r)^2] = e_n \text{ Since } r - x_n \text{ is } -e_r \\ &+ \frac{1}{f'(x_n)} [f'(x_n)(r - x_n) + \frac{1}{2} f''(\xi_n)(x_n - r)^2] \\ e_{n+1} &= e_n - \frac{1}{f'(x_n)} [-f'(x_n)e_n + \frac{1}{2} f''(\xi_n)(x_n - r)^2] = e_n - e_n + \frac{f''(\xi_n)}{2f'(x_n)} e_n^2 \\ &= \boxed{\frac{f''(\xi_n)}{2f'(x_n)} e_n^2} \end{split}$$

2/7/22, 11:01 PM Zhang\_Jeffrey\_code1

The order of convergence is defined by:  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|e_{n+1}|}{|e_n|^{\alpha}}=\lambda$  where  $x_n\neq r$   $\forall n$  and  $\alpha$  is the order and  $\lambda$  is the asymptotic error constant. We can use the answer we have above to determine  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  as follows:

$$e_{n+1} = \frac{f''(\xi_n)}{2f'(x_n)}e_n^2 \implies \frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n^2} = \frac{f''(\xi_n)}{2f'(x_n)} \implies \frac{|e_{n+1}|}{|e_n|^2} = \frac{|f''(\xi_n)|}{|2f'(x_n)|} \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|e_{n+1}|}{|e_n|^2}. \text{ Hence we can conclude that } \boxed{\alpha = 2} \text{ and } = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|f''(\xi_n)|}{|2f'(x_n)|} = \frac{|f''(r)|}{|2f'(r)|}.$$

$$\lambda = \frac{|f''(r)|}{|2f'(r)|}. \text{ Thus in the context of this problem with } f'(x) = 1 + 4x^3, f''(x) = 12x^2, \text{ and } r = 0, \text{ we have } f'(r) = f'(0) = 1 + 4(0)^3 = 1 \text{ and } f''(r) = f''(0) = 12(0)^2 = 0. \text{ Hence } \lambda = \frac{|0|}{|2*1|} = \frac{|0|}{|2|} = 0. \boxed{\lambda = 0}$$

(b) Implement the Newton iteration. Observe and report how the error decreases over iterations for different initial guesses  $x_0 = 0.1, 1, 10$ . Is it consistent with you predictions?

```
In [21]: import math
         import numpy as np
         from sympy import *
         def NewtonsIteration(x0, Tol, N0): #Tol = tolerence, N0 = iterations
             r = 0 \# root
             e = x0 - r \#error
             x = symbols('x') # x
             f = x + x**4 \# function
             df = f.diff(x) #f'(x)
             p0 = x0
             i = 1
             prev e = e; #previous error
             while i <= N0:</pre>
                  p = p0 - f.evalf(subs = \{x:p0\})/df.evalf(subs = \{x:p0\})
                  if np.abs(p - p0) <= Tol:</pre>
                      print("p = " +str(p) + " after " + str(i) + " iterations.")
                      break
                  prev e = e
                  q = 0q
                  e = p0 - r
                 print("error " + str(i)+ ": " + str(e))
                  i += 1
                  if(i >= 2):
                      print("order of convergence 2 for n = " + str(i-1) + " " + str(e/(prev e**2)))
              if i > N0:
                 print("Method failed after " + str(N0) + " iterations")
```

## In [22]: NewtonsIteration(0.1, 10\*\*(-7), 10)

```
error 1: 0.000298804780876488 order of convergence 2 for n=1 0.0298804780876488 error 2: 2.39150062600335e-14 order of convergence 2 for n=2 2.67852321669054e-7 p = 0 after 3 iterations.
```

```
In [23]: NewtonsIteration(1, 10**(-7), 10)
         error 1: 0.6000000000000000
         order of convergence 2 for n = 1 \ 0.600000000000000
         error 2: 0.208583690987124
         order of convergence 2 for n = 2 \ 0.579399141630901
         error 3: 0.00547970709979453
         order of convergence 2 for n = 3 \ 0.125949558307823
         error 4: 2.70489461905166e-9
         order of convergence 2 for n = 4 9.00815103944581e-5
         p = 0 after 5 iterations.
In [24]: NewtonsIteration(10, 10**(-7), 100)
         error 1: 7.49812546863284
         order of convergence 2 for n = 1 \ 0.0749812546863284
         error 2: 5.62026107787311
         order of convergence 2 for n = 2 \ 0.0999657166165042
         error 3: 4.20926823228908
         order of convergence 2 for n = 3 \ 0.133258101318045
         error 4: 3.14640403462721
         order of convergence 2 for n = 4 \ 0.177582958464125
         error 5: 2.34101415957187
         order of convergence 2 for n = 5 \ 0.236469460169635
         error 6: 1.72220140219775
         order of convergence 2 for n = 6 \ 0.314250413230143
         error 7: 1.23138376797973
         order of convergence 2 for n = 7 \cdot 0.415169601762726
         error 8: 0.814483693089217
         order of convergence 2 for n = 8 \ 0.537149956314889
         error 9: 0.417628863311162
         order of convergence 2 for n = 9 \ 0.629543463203442
         error 10: 0.0706700815623652
         order of convergence 2 for n = 10 \ 0.405186139079729
         error 11: 7.47224201129576e-5
         order of convergence 2 for n = 11 0.0149616587259972
         error 12: 9.35259899040308e-17
         order of convergence 2 for n = 12 \cdot 1.67506033507551e-8
         p = 0 after 13 iterations.
```

2/7/22, 11:02 PM Zhang\_Jeffrey\_code1

Based on the results above, the error does decrease over all iterations and converges to 0 (the right answer). For  $x_0=0.1, 1, 10$  with an order of convergence  $\alpha=2$ , it appears that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|e_{n+1}|}{|e_n|^\alpha}=\lambda=0$ , thus it is consistent with my prediction. However, the convergence towards the asymptotic constant is faster and more noticible for  $x_0=0.1, 1$  than for  $x_0=10$  as the former decreased after each iteration while the latter sometimes increased.