

# New York School Success

Alex Chen and James Kuang

12/12/21

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Data</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Data Sources . . . . .	3
3.2	Data Cleaning . . . . .	3
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix: Descriptions of features</b>	<b>3</b>

The code to reproduce this report is available [on Github](#).

# 1 Executive Summary

**Problem.** Graduation rates are one of the most used indications of how well a school is developing their students. Schools are essential to the growth of people in living morally, creatively, and productively in today's society. Despite the national high school graduation rates hitting all-time highs during recent years, some schools are still not seeing the same success. So, for our final project, we have decided to look into the various measures of schools in New York throughout 2019 and analyze which factors were most predictive of high graduation rates in those schools. These factors inform about areas of focus that will contribute most to a school's success in advancing student achievement. Although we are only exploring data encompassed in New York schools, we believe that our results can be extended to schools in other states and the US as due to the variety of schools in New York and their average overall graduation rate.

**Data.** Our datasets are all pulled from the New York State Education Department (NYSED) and merged together to consider the different types of explanatory variable. A large amount of data for high schools in 2020 were missing, so we focused on 2019. These datasets include both public schools and charter schools and statistics regarding each school's categorized standing, funds and expenditures, characteristics of the staff and students, and the overall demographics of the student population. Our primary response variable will be graduation rate, an illustrative factor of a school's student development.

**Analysis.** Before exploring our data or analyzing it, we split our data into a training and testing dataset, where the testing dataset is utilized for assessing and comparing our statistical model performances. Then, we explore the data to summarize the main characteristics and learn of any correlations between variables. We built 3 different predictive models: ordinary least squares regression, random forest, and boosting. To determine which model was the best predictor, we calculated the root mean squared error for each model, and we determined that the **random forest model** had the lowest test error.

**Conclusions.**

## 2 Introduction

**Background.** High schools are designed as a center of education and development for their students, and their success can oftentimes be measured by their graduation rates. The importance of high school graduation can never be underestimated, as those who graduate can expect better opportunities in their future. The overall graduation rates of high schools in the US has been gradually increasing and reaching all time highs in recent years at 88% for the 2018-2019 academic year. However, high schools that are most successfully getting students through graduation are spread across certain states, and the average state graduation rates can dip down to as low as 75% as a result.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, although overall graduation rates in the US are at all-time highs, there are still states in the US struggling to achieve that same success.

In addition, the number of individual schools that are considered federally as having low graduation rates, or schools of 100 or more students where fewer than two-thirds earn diplomas in four years, have not been decreasing as overall graduation rates improve. In fact, the number had actually increased in 2016, revealing that it is currently incredibly important to develop methods of improving less successful schools.<sup>2</sup> These large disparities in graduation rates should be a concern within educational policy, and the solution isn't as easy as simply increasing funding. Many schools are limited in resources, so it is important to identify features that are most predictive of graduation rates. Furthermore, a thorough analysis of graduation rates and their respective schools will highlight the key qualities of schools with high graduation rates.

**Analysis goals.** Many factors are considered to be indicative of a quality high school, such as teacher/student ratios, effective school leadership, and a supportive teaching environment. Furthermore, by building statistical models, we seek to investigate how important these different features are in determining a high school's graduation rate. We hope to find which types of school features are most predictive of graduation rates, and

---

<sup>1</sup>See High School Graduation Rates By State (2021). [usnews.com/education/best-high-schools/articles/see-high-school-graduation-rates-by-state](https://usnews.com/education/best-high-schools/articles/see-high-school-graduation-rates-by-state).

<sup>2</sup>Number of High Schools With Low Graduation Rates Is Rising (2018). <https://www.edweek.org/teaching-learning/number-of-high-schools-with-low-graduation-rates-is-rising/2018/06>.

determine whether these features can be targets of improvement, as variables like student demographics of a school are not feasible to change.

**Significance.** Our analysis will contribute to finding ways of improving our education system by finding features that are most important to graduation rates. These findings will produce targets for development and reform in our schools, ultimately with the goal of improved student development and opportunities in our society.

## 3 Data

### 3.1 Data Sources

### 3.2 Data Cleaning

## A Appendix: Descriptions of features

Below are the 31 features we used for analysis. Words written in parentheses represent variable names. Unless noted otherwise, all variables are continuous.

### Schools

- *School Standing*
  - Overall Status (`OVERALL_STATUS`): The status of the school: Good Standing, Targeted Support and Improvement, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Closing/Closing School. (Categorical variable)
  - Needs index (`NEEDS_INDEX`): Need-to-Resource Capacity Category. The need-to-resource capacity (N/RC) index is a measure of a district's ability to meet the needs of its students with local resources.
- *Expenditures*
  - Federal expenditures (`PER_FEDERAL_EXP`): Per pupil expenditures using federal funds
  - State and local expenditures (`PER_STATE_LOCAL_EXP`): Per pupil expenditures using state and local funds
- *Staff*
  - Number of teachers (`NUM_TEACH`): Number of teachers as reported in the Student Information Repository System (SIRS), used for determining the percent of inexperienced teachers
  - Number of principals (`NUM_PRINC`): Number of principals as reported in the Student Information Repository System (SIRS), used for determining the percent of inexperienced principals
  - Number of counselors (`NUM_COUNSELORS`): Total number of school counselors
  - Number of social workers (`NUM_SOCIAL`): Total number of social workers
  - Percent teacher inexperience (`PER_TEACH_INEXP`): Percent of teachers with fewer than four years of experience in their positions
  - Percent principal inexperience (`PER_PRINC_INEXP`): Percent of principals with fewer than four years of experience in their positions
  - Teachers teaching out of certification (`PER_OUT_CERT`): Percent of teachers teaching out of their subject/field of certification

### Students

- *Characteristics*
  - Attendance rate (`ATTENDANCE_RATE`): Annual attendance rate
  - Percent suspended (`PER_SUSPENSIONS`): Percent of students suspended
  - Percent reduced lunch (`PER_REDUCED_LUNCH`): Percentage of enrolled students eligible for reduced-price lunch
  - Percent free lunch (`PER_FREE_LUNCH`): Percentage of enrolled students eligible for free lunch
- *Demographics*
  - Percent female (`PER_FEMALE`): Percent of female students (K-12)

- Percent male (**PER\_MALE**): Percent of male students (K-12)
- Percent American Indian (**PER\_AM\_IND**): Percent of American Indian or Alaska Native students (K-12)
- Percent Black (**PER\_BLACK**): Percent of Black or African American students (K-12)
- Percent Asian (**PER\_ASIAN**): Percent of Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students (K-12)
- Percent Hispanic (**PER\_HISP**): Percent of Hispanic or Latino students (K-12)
- Percent White (**PER\_WHITE**): Percent of White students (K-12)
- Percent Multi (**PER\_MULTI**): Percent of Multiracial students (K-12)
- Percent English language learners (**PER\_ELL**): Percent of English Language Learners (K-12)
- Percent with disabilities (**PER\_SWD**): Percent of students with disabilities (K-12)
- Percent economically disadvantaged (**PER\_ECDIS**): Percent of economically disadvantaged students (K-12)
- Percent migrants (**PER\_MIGRANT**): Percentage of migrant students (K-12)
- Percent homeless (**PER\_HOMELESS**): Percent of homeless students (K-12)
- Percent foster care (**PER\_FOSTER**): Percent of students in foster care (K-12)
- Percent parent armed forces (**PER\_ARMED**): Percent of students with a parent on active duty in the Armed Forces (K-12)