

Policy Evaluation - Quasi-Experimental Research Designs

Medicaid and Mortality

April 4, 2024

Estimating Treatment Effects Review

- $ATE = Avg_n[Y_i^1 - Y_i^0]$
- $ATE_{est} = Avg_n[Y_i^1 | D_i = 1] - Avg_n[Y_i^0 | D_i = 0]$
- When $(Y^1, Y^0) \not\perp\!\!\!\perp D$:

$$ATE_{est} = ATE + \underbrace{\{Avg_n[Y_i^0 | D_i = 1] - Avg_n[Y_i^0 | D_i = 0]\}}_{\text{Selection Bias}} \\ + \underbrace{(1 - \pi)(ATT - ATU)}_{\text{Heterogeneous Treatment Effect Bias}}$$

- $ATE_{est} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 D + \beta_2 X_1 + \beta_3 X_2 + \dots \beta_k X_{k-1} + \varepsilon$
- Natural experiment w/ randomization (Oregon): $(Y^1, Y^0) \perp\!\!\!\perp D$
- Natural experiment w/o randomization (DiD, PSM): $(Y^1, Y^0) \perp\!\!\!\perp D$?

Health Insurance (Medicaid) and Mortality


- Research Question: Does gaining health insurance coverage reduce mortality?

Health Insurance (Medicaid) and Mortality

- Research Question: Does gaining health insurance coverage reduce mortality?
 - ▶ Mechanism:


Health Insurance (Medicaid) and Mortality

- Research Question: Does gaining health insurance coverage reduce mortality?
 - ▶ Mechanism:



Health Insurance (Medicaid) and Mortality

- Research Question: Does gaining health insurance coverage reduce mortality?



Health Insurance and Mortality - Goldin, Lurie, and McCubbin



Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

January 12, 2017

Why am I getting this letter?

The law requires people to have a minimum level of health coverage, qualify for an exemption, or pay a penalty when they file their taxes. Our records show you reported owing this penalty when you filed your 2015 taxes because you or someone in your family did not have health insurance during that year. If you don't have health insurance or an exemption next year, you'll likely owe a penalty for 2017 as well. We are writing to make sure you know how you can avoid this penalty by signing up for health insurance.

How do I avoid the penalty next year?

If you don't have health coverage, you can avoid owing a penalty for most or all of 2017 by signing up for health insurance soon. One way to get insurance is to sign up at HealthCare.gov **before January 31, 2017**. If you already have health coverage, you won't owe a penalty as long as you stay covered.

How much will my penalty be next year if I don't sign up?

The penalty for not having any health coverage in 2017 will be about _____ if your income and family size have not changed since 2015.

How much does health insurance at HealthCare.gov cost?

Most people who enroll in a plan through HealthCare.gov can find plans for **\$75 a month or less** after financial help. At HealthCare.gov, you can compare plans to find one that meets your needs and budget.

How do I sign up for health insurance or get help finding a plan?

You can apply online by computer or mobile device, or you can get help in-person or by phone.

- Visit HealthCare.gov, select your state, and follow the step-by-step directions.
- Find in-person help from someone in your community at LocalHelp.HealthCare.gov.
- For questions or help signing up, call

When is the deadline to sign up?

January 31, 2017, is the last day to enroll in a 2017 plan on HealthCare.gov.

Health Insurance and Mortality - Goldin, Lurie, and McCubbin

- Intervention:
 - ▶ Letter sent to taxpayers who paid a penalty in 2015

- Intervention:

- ▶ Letter sent to taxpayers who paid a penalty in 2015
- ▶ Treatment assignment was randomized
 - 86% got letter
 - 14% didn't

- Intervention:

- ▶ Letter sent to taxpayers who paid a penalty in 2015
- ▶ Treatment assignment was randomized
 - 86% got letter
 - 14% didn't

- Data & Sample:

- ▶ IRS tax return data
 - Includes information on insurance coverage (including type of coverage)

- Intervention:

- ▶ Letter sent to taxpayers who paid a penalty in 2015
- ▶ Treatment assignment was randomized
 - 86% got letter
 - 14% didn't

- Data & Sample:

- ▶ IRS tax return data
 - Includes information on insurance coverage (including type of coverage)
- ▶ Data on mortality
 - Social Security Death File
 - Pros: Can be linked to the IRS tax return data
 - Cons: No information on cause of death

- Intervention:

- ▶ Letter sent to taxpayers who paid a penalty in 2015
- ▶ Treatment assignment was randomized
 - 86% got letter
 - 14% didn't

- Data & Sample:

- ▶ IRS tax return data
 - Includes information on insurance coverage (including type of coverage)
- ▶ Data on mortality
 - Social Security Death File
 - Pros: Can be linked to the IRS tax return data
 - Cons: No information on cause of death
- ▶ Outcome is deaths for those ages 45-64

- Intervention:

- ▶ Letter sent to taxpayers who paid a penalty in 2015
- ▶ Treatment assignment was randomized
 - 86% got letter
 - 14% didn't

- Data & Sample:

- ▶ IRS tax return data
 - Includes information on insurance coverage (including type of coverage)
- ▶ Data on mortality
 - Social Security Death File
 - Pros: Can be linked to the IRS tax return data
 - Cons: No information on cause of death
- ▶ Outcome is deaths for those ages 45-64
- ▶ Sample period is 2017-2018


Health Insurance and Mortality - Goldin, Lurie, and McCubbin

TABLE I
SUMMARY STATISTICS AND BALANCE CHECKS

	Experimental Sample			
	All	Treatment	Control	Difference <i>p</i> -value
	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
<i>Individual characteristics</i>				
Female	0.450	0.450	0.451	.679
Age (years)	31.1	31.1	31.1	.410
0–18	0.271	0.271	0.271	.384
19–26	0.136	0.136	0.136	.771
27–44	0.349	0.349	0.349	.684
45–64	0.230	0.230	0.230	.977
65 or older	0.014	0.014	0.014	.506
<i>Household characteristics</i>				
Married	0.414	0.414	0.414	.863
Household income	42,709	42,697	42,782	.346
Income < 138% FPL	0.267	0.267	0.266	.136
Household size	2.74	2.74	2.74	.741
Self-prepared returns	0.341	0.341	0.341	.827
<i>Local characteristics</i>				
High school degree or higher	0.835	0.835	0.835	.553
BA degree or higher	0.249	0.249	0.249	.335
Expansion state	0.560	0.560	0.560	.822
State-based marketplace	0.222	0.222	0.222	.637
<i>Observations</i>				
Individuals	8,893,653	7,647,822	1,245,831	
Households	4,526,717	3,892,847	633,870	


Health Insurance and Mortality - Goldin, Lurie, and McCubbin

- First estimate coverage effects of taxpayer outreach.



Health Insurance and Mortality - Goldin, Lurie, and McCubbin

• Health Insurance Coverage



- Health Insurance Coverage

	Prior-year uninsured	
	Months of coverage (5)	At least 1 month of coverage (6)
Panel A: All ages		
Treated	0.232 (0.016)	1.107 (0.077)
Control mean	9.512	58.525
Observations	5,084,165	5,084,165
Panel B: Middle-aged adults (45 to 64)		
Treated	0.358 (0.026)	1.831 (0.135)
Control mean	7.795	48.753
Observations	1,358,983	1,358,983

Health Insurance and Mortality - Goldin, Lurie, and McCubbin

• Health Insurance Coverage

	Prior-year uninsured	
	Months of coverage (5)	At least 1 month of coverage (6)
Panel A: All ages		
Treated	0.232 (0.016)	1.107 (0.077)
Control mean	9.512	58.525
Observations	5,084,165	5,084,165
Panel B: Middle-aged adults (45 to 64)		
Treated	0.358 (0.026)	1.831 (0.135)
Control mean	7.795	48.753
Observations	1,358,983	1,358,983

• Outreach increases months of coverage by:

- $(0.232/9.512)*100 = 2.44\%$
- $(0.358/7.795)*100 = 4.59\%$

Health Insurance and Mortality - Goldin, Lurie, and McCubbin

• Health Insurance Coverage

	Prior-year uninsured	
	Months of coverage (5)	At least 1 month of coverage (6)
Panel A: All ages		
Treated	0.232 (0.016)	1.107 (0.077)
Control mean	9.512	58.525
Observations	5,084,165	5,084,165
Panel B: Middle-aged adults (45 to 64)		
Treated	0.358 (0.026)	1.831 (0.135)
Control mean	7.795	48.753
Observations	1,358,983	1,358,983


- **Outreach increases months of coverage by:**

- $(0.232/9.512)*100 = 2.44\%$
- $(0.358/7.795)*100 = 4.59\%$

- **Outreach increases probability of at least 1 month of coverage by:**


- $(1.107/58.525)*100 = 1.89\%$
- $(1.831/48.753)*100 = 3.76\%$

- Next estimate mortality effects of taxpayer outreach (and insurance coverage).



Health Insurance and Mortality - Goldin, Lurie, and McCubbin

● Mortality



- Mortality

EFFECTS OF INTERVENTION AND COVERAGE ON MIDDLE-AGE MORTALITY

	Mortality (ITT) (1)	Mortality (TOT) (4)
Treated	-0.063 (0.025)	
Covered months		-0.178 (0.070)
Control mean	1.007	1.007
Observations	1,358,983	1,358,983

- ITT = Average difference in mortality between treatment and control groups

- Mortality

EFFECTS OF INTERVENTION AND COVERAGE ON MIDDLE-AGE MORTALITY

	Mortality (ITT) (1)	Mortality (TOT) (4)
Treated	-0.063 (0.025)	
Covered months		-0.178 (0.070)
Control mean	1.007	1.007
Observations	1,358,983	1,358,983

- **Penalty notice decreased mortality by:**

- $(-0.063/1.007)*100 = 6.26\%$

- ITT = Average difference in mortality between treatment and control groups

- Mortality

EFFECTS OF INTERVENTION AND COVERAGE ON MIDDLE-AGE MORTALITY

	Mortality (ITT) (1)	Mortality (TOT) (4)
Treated	-0.063 (0.025)	
Covered months		-0.178 (0.070)
Control mean	1.007	1.007
Observations	1,358,983	1,358,983

- ITT = Average difference in mortality between treatment and control groups
- TOT = Average reduction in mortality for each additional month of coverage


- Mortality

EFFECTS OF INTERVENTION AND COVERAGE ON MIDDLE-AGE MORTALITY


	Mortality (ITT) (1)	Mortality (TOT) (4)	
Treated	-0.063 (0.025)		• Penalty notice decreased mortality by: ○ $(-0.063/1.007)*100 = 6.26\%$
Covered months		-0.178 (0.070)	• Each additional month of coverage decreased mortality by: ○ $(-0.178/1.007)*100 = 17.7\%$
Control mean	1.007	1.007	
Observations	1,358,983	1,358,983	

- ITT = Average difference in mortality between treatment and control groups
- TOT = Average reduction in mortality for each additional month of coverage

Status of State Medicaid Expansion Decisions, as of October 24, 2013




Status of State Medicaid Expansion Decisions, as of October 24, 2013




- Estimation Strategy:

Status of State Medicaid Expansion Decisions, as of October 24, 2013



- Estimation Strategy:
 - ▶ Difference-in-differences:

Status of State Medicaid Expansion Decisions, as of October 24, 2013



- Estimation Strategy:

- ▶ Difference-in-differences:

- Expansion vs. non-expansion counties
 - Pre-expansion vs. post-expansion

Medicaid and Mortality - Borgschulte and Vogler

- Data & Sample:

- Data & Sample:

- ▶ Data on mortality

- CDC National Vital Statistics Death Certificate Data
 - Pros: universe of deaths in the U.S. in each year, includes location of residence, includes detailed cause of death
 - Cons: No information on insurance coverage or income

- Data & Sample:

- ▶ Data on mortality
 - CDC National Vital Statistics Death Certificate Data
 - Pros: universe of deaths in the U.S. in each year, includes location of residence, includes detailed cause of death
 - Cons: No information on insurance coverage or income
- ▶ Outcome is deaths for those ages 20-64

- Data & Sample:

- ▶ Data on mortality
 - CDC National Vital Statistics Death Certificate Data
 - Pros: universe of deaths in the U.S. in each year, includes location of residence, includes detailed cause of death
 - Cons: No information on insurance coverage or income
- ▶ Outcome is deaths for those ages 20-64
- ▶ Sample period is from 2009 through 2017

- Data & Sample:


- ▶ Data on mortality
 - CDC National Vital Statistics Death Certificate Data
 - Pros: universe of deaths in the U.S. in each year, includes location of residence, includes detailed cause of death
 - Cons: No information on insurance coverage or income
- ▶ Outcome is deaths for those ages 20-64
- ▶ Sample period is from 2009 through 2017
- ▶ Aggregate individual-level data to the county level to create county-level mortality rates (deaths per 100,000 population)

Medicaid and Mortality - Borgschulte and Vogler


- Remember the DD parallel trends assumption?

Medicaid and Mortality - Borgschulte and Vogler


- Remember the DD parallel trends assumption?




Medicaid and Mortality - Borgschulte and Vogler




Medicaid and Mortality - Borgschulte and Vogler



Medicaid and Mortality - Borgschulte and Vogler



Medicaid and Mortality - Borgschulte and Vogler



Medicaid and Mortality - Borgschulte and Vogler

- Solution:

- Solution: Propensity Score Matching!

- Solution: Propensity Score Matching!
- Intuition: If we match on baseline county characteristics, then we *might* see similar pre-period mortality trends for treatment and control counties.

- Solution: Propensity Score Matching!
- Intuition: If we match on baseline county characteristics, then we *might* see similar pre-period mortality trends for treatment and control counties.
- Steps:

- Solution: Propensity Score Matching!
- Intuition: If we match on baseline county characteristics, then we *might* see similar pre-period mortality trends for treatment and control counties.
- Steps:
 1. Predict the probability that a county is located in an expansion state.

- Solution: Propensity Score Matching!
- Intuition: If we match on baseline county characteristics, then we *might* see similar pre-period mortality trends for treatment and control counties.
- Steps:
 1. Predict the probability that a county is located in an expansion state.
 - Covariates include county age, race, economic measures, political variables, and baseline mortality rate.


- Solution: Propensity Score Matching!
- Intuition: If we match on baseline county characteristics, then we *might* see similar pre-period mortality trends for treatment and control counties.
- Steps:
 1. Predict the probability that a county is located in an expansion state.
 - Covariates include county age, race, economic measures, political variables, and baseline mortality rate.
 2. Each county is assigned a propensity score.

- Solution: Propensity Score Matching!
- Intuition: If we match on baseline county characteristics, then we *might* see similar pre-period mortality trends for treatment and control counties.
- Steps:
 1. Predict the probability that a county is located in an expansion state.
 - Covariates include county age, race, economic measures, political variables, and baseline mortality rate.
 2. Each county is assigned a propensity score.
 3. Propensity scores are used as weights for the DD regression (inverse probability weighting).

Medicaid and Mortality - Borgschulte and Vogler

- Using the matched county sample:

(a) All-Cause Mortality



- Difference-in-Differences Estimates:

Effect of ACA medicaid expansion on mortality.		
Model and variable	Full sample	
	Base	Controls
	(1)	(2)
<i>Panel A: All cause mortality</i>		
Medicaid expansion	-14.83** (6.12)	-11.36*** (3.59)
% Effect relative to baseline	-4.71	-3.60

- Conclusion:

- ▶ Medicaid expansion reduced mortality for 20-64 year olds by 11.36 deaths per 100,000 (3.6%) in the first four years.

- Conclusion:

- ▶ Medicaid expansion reduced mortality for 20-64 year olds by 11.36 deaths per 100,000 (3.6%) in the first four years.
- ▶ ITT estimate. How do we get to the TOT estimate?

- Conclusion:

- ▶ Medicaid expansion reduced mortality for 20-64 year olds by 11.36 deaths per 100,000 (3.6%) in the first four years.
- ▶ ITT estimate. How do we get to the TOT estimate?
- ▶ Scale ITT by change in insurance coverage (4.15 percentage points)

- Conclusion:

- ▶ Medicaid expansion reduced mortality for 20-64 year olds by 11.36 deaths per 100,000 (3.6%) in the first four years.
- ▶ ITT estimate. How do we get to the TOT estimate?
- ▶ Scale ITT by change in insurance coverage (4.15 percentage points)
- ▶ TOT = 30% reduction in mortality.

- Conclusion:

- ▶ Medicaid expansion reduced mortality for 20-64 year olds by 11.36 deaths per 100,000 (3.6%) in the first four years.
- ▶ ITT estimate. How do we get to the TOT estimate?
- ▶ Scale ITT by change in insurance coverage (4.15 percentage points)
- ▶ $TOT = 30\%$ reduction in mortality.
- ▶ Also conduct a CEA, which we'll discuss next week.

- Conclusion:

- ▶ Medicaid expansion reduced mortality for 20-64 year olds by 11.36 deaths per 100,000 (3.6%) in the first four years.
- ▶ ITT estimate. How do we get to the TOT estimate?
- ▶ Scale ITT by change in insurance coverage (4.15 percentage points)
- ▶ $TOT = 30\%$ reduction in mortality.
- ▶ Also conduct a CEA, which we'll discuss next week.

- Comparison across studies:

- ▶ Oregon (55 to 64) = 71.7% reduction over 14 months (NS)
- ▶ Goldin et al. (45 to 64) = 17.7% per month of coverage
- ▶ Borgschulte and Vogler (55 to 64) = 30% reduction over 4 years