

MAT454/1002 lecture notes by Kain Dineen. Taught by Edward Bierstone.

## 1 Cauchy's Theorem (1-15-2021)

### 1.1 Closed Forms

We continue with the setting of last time. Let  $\omega = P dx + Q dy$  be a differential form on an open, connected set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $P$  and  $Q$  continuous.

**Definition 1.1.** *We say that a form  $\omega$  is closed if any point has a neighbourhood in which  $\omega$  has a primitive.*

A closed form need not have a global primitive. Take, for example, take  $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\omega = z^{-1} dz$ . This is closed because local primitives are given by branches of  $\log$ . It does not admit a global primitive, since its integral over the unit circle is  $2\pi i \neq 0$ .

Since we can test for the existence of local primitives of  $\omega$  by looking at the integral of  $\omega$  along rectangles, we can say that  $\omega$  is closed, if and only if  $\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$  whenever  $\gamma$  is the boundary of a small rectangle in  $\Omega$ .

This is *not* equivalent to the condition that  $d\omega = 0$ , since we are assuming merely continuity of  $P, Q$ . However, if  $\omega$  is  $C^1$ , then  $\omega$  is closed, if and only if  $d\omega = 0$  (i.e.  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$ , by Green's formula, which we now recall).

Let  $\gamma$  be the boundary of a rectangle  $A$  in  $\Omega$ , positively oriented. Then

$$\int_{\gamma} P dx + Q dy = \iint_A \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) dxdy;$$

this formula holds whenever the statement makes sense (i.e. when these derivatives are continuous).

### 1.2 Cauchy's Theorem

What follows is one version of Cauchy's theorem, from which we will deduce Cauchy's integral formula later. Let  $\Omega$  be any open set in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $f(z)$  is holomorphic in  $\Omega$ , then the differential form  $f(z) dz$  is closed.*

If we assume that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  are continuous, this follows from Green's theorem and the Cauchy-Riemann equations. (Take  $d$  of  $f(z) dz$ .) This statement of continuity is actually true, but we are going to use Cauchy's theorem to prove it.

*Proof.* It's enough to show that the integral  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for any  $\gamma$  which is the boundary of a rectangle  $R \subset \Omega$  whose interior is contained in  $\Omega$ . Divide  $R$  into four equal subrectangles  $R_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , each with boundary  $\gamma_i$ . Then,

$$\mu(R) = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{i=1}^4 \int_{\gamma_i} f(z) dz = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mu(R_i),$$

since the subrectangles have common edges inside  $R$  with opposing orientations. Thus,  $|\mu(R_i)| \geq \frac{1}{4}|\mu(R)|$  for some  $i$ , and call  $R_i = R^{(1)}$ ,  $\gamma_i = \gamma^{(1)}$ .

Repeat this process to obtain a decreasing sequence  $R \supset R^{(1)} \supset R^{(2)} \supset \dots$ , with

$$\left| \int_{\gamma^{(k)}} f(z) dz \right| \geq \frac{1}{4^k} |\mu(R)|.$$

Let  $z_0$  be the single point in the intersection of all of the  $R^{(k)}$ 's. Since  $f(z)$  is holomorphic at  $z_0$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma^{(k)}} f(z) dz = f(z_0) \int_{\gamma^{(k)}} dz + f'(z_0) \int_{\gamma^{(k)}} (z - z_0) dz + \int_{\gamma^{(k)}} \varphi(z)(z - z_0) dz,$$

where  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \varphi(z) = 0$ . The first two integrals vanish because they are integrals of forms with (local) primitives. Thus, we need only evaluate the last. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if  $k$  is sufficiently large, then the absolute value of the last integral is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\gamma^{(k)}} \varphi(z)(z - z_0) dz \right| &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \text{diag}(R^{(k)}) \text{perim}(R^{(k)}) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{4^k} \cdot \text{diag}(R) \text{perim}(R). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$|\mu(R)| \leq 4^k \left| \int_{\gamma^{(k)}} \varphi(z)(z - z_0) dz \right| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \text{diag}(R) \text{perim}(R),$$

so since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\mu(R) = 0$ .  $\square$

As noted by someone in class, the estimates done in the proof when we used the fact that  $f(z)$  is holomorphic may break down in the case that  $f$  is merely a smooth function, or real-analytic function, of two variables. (Check this.)

**Corollary 1.1.** *A holomorphic function  $f(z)$  locally has a primitive, which is itself holomorphic.*

*Proof.* Locally,

$$f(z) dz = dF = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z},$$

so  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$ . That is, the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold for  $F$ . Since  $F$  is also differentiable as a function of two variables, it is holomorphic.  $\square$