

# Day 14 : Stochastic Integrals for Martingales 2

Dain Kim

January 23rd

# Today's Reading

[L] Section 5.1.

## Review : Stochastic Integrals for Martingales

For any  $M \in \mathbb{H}^2$  and  $H \in \mathcal{E}$  of the form

$$H_s(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} H_{(i)}(\omega) \mathbb{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}(s),$$

the process  $H \cdot M$  defined as

$$(H \cdot M)_t = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} H_{(i)}(M_{t_{i+1} \wedge t} - M_{t_i \wedge t})$$

belongs to  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .

The mapping  $H \mapsto H \cdot M$  extends to an isometry from  $L^2(M)$  to  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . We write

$$\int_0^t H_s dM_s = (H \cdot M)_t.$$

# A Characterization of Stochastic Integrals

## Proposition

The process  $H \cdot M$  is the unique martingale of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{H}^2$ ,

$$\langle H \cdot M, N \rangle = H \cdot \langle M, N \rangle.$$

*Proof sketch.* It is known that

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty |H_s| d\langle M, N \rangle_s \right] \leq \|H\|_{L^2(M)} \|N\|_{\mathbb{H}^2},$$

which gives that  $\int_0^\infty H_s d\langle M, N \rangle_s = (H \cdot \langle M, N \rangle)_\infty$  is well-defined. Assume that  $H$  is an elementary process of the form

$$H = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} H_{(i)} \mathbb{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}.$$

# A Characterization of Stochastic Integrals

Define  $M_t^i = H_{(i)}(M_{t_{i+1} \wedge t} - M_{t_i \wedge t})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle H \cdot M, N \rangle_t &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \langle M_t^i, N \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} H_{(i)} (\langle M, N \rangle_{t_{i+1} \wedge t} - \langle M, N \rangle_{t_i \wedge t}) \\ &= \int_0^t H_s d\langle M, N \rangle_s \\ &= (H, \langle M, N \rangle).\end{aligned}$$

Then we may show the equality for general  $H \in L^2(M)$  using that  $\mathcal{E}$  is dense in  $L^2(M)$ .

# A Characterization of Stochastic Integrals

By the proposition, in particular we have

$$\langle H \cdot M, K \cdot N \rangle = H \cdot (K \cdot \langle M, N \rangle) = HK \cdot \langle M, N \rangle.$$

This gives that

$$\langle \int_0^\bullet H_s dM_s, \int_0^\bullet K_s dN_s \rangle_t = \int_0^t H_s K_s d\langle M, N \rangle_s.$$

# Associativity of Stochastic Integrals

## Proposition

Let  $H \in L^2(M)$  and  $K$  a progressive process. Then  $KH \in L^2(M)$  if and only if  $K \in L^2(H \cdot M)$ . If the latter holds, then

$$(KH) \cdot M = K \cdot (H \cdot M).$$

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty K_s^2 H_s^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s \right] = \mathbf{E} \left[ K_s^2 d\langle H \cdot M, H \cdot M \rangle_s \right].$$

For any  $N \in \mathbb{H}^2$ ,

$$\langle (KH) \cdot M, N \rangle = KH \cdot \langle M, N \rangle = K \cdot (H \cdot \langle M, N \rangle) = K \cdot \langle H \cdot M, N \rangle.$$

By the uniqueness, we conclude that  $(KH) \cdot M = K \cdot (H \cdot M)$ .

# Moments of Stochastic Integrals

Suppose that  $M, N \in \mathbb{H}^2$  and  $H \in L^2(M)$ ,  $K \in L^2(N)$ . Since  $H \cdot M$  and  $K \cdot N$  are martingales, by the proposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^t H_s dM_s \right] &= 0, \\ \mathbf{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t H_s dM_s \right) \left( \int_0^t K_s dN_s \right) \right] &= \mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^t H_s K_s d\langle M, N \rangle_s \right].\end{aligned}$$



## Next : Stochastic Integrals for Local Martingales

Recall that if  $M$  is a martingale with continuous paths, we defined

$$L^2(M) = \left\{ \text{progressive process } H \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^\infty H_s^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s \right] < \infty \right\} / \sim$$

where  $H \sim H'$  if  $H = H' = 0$ ,  $d\langle M, M \rangle_s$  a.e., a.s.

If  $M$  is a continuous local martingale, we define

$$L_{\text{loc}}^2(M) = \left\{ \text{progressive process } H \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M, M \rangle_s \right] < \infty \text{ a.s.} \right\} / \sim .$$

Then we may define the stochastic integrals for continuous local martingales similarly.