

# assignment 4

joshna katta

2022-10-31

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(message = FALSE)
knitr::opts_chunk$set(warning = FALSE)
```

```
library("Benchmarking")
```

```
data.df.values <- matrix(c("Facility 1","Facility 2","Facility 3","Facility 4","Facility 5", "Facility 6",
  150,400,320,520,350,320,
  0.2,0.7,1.2,2.0,1.2,0.7,
  14000,14000,42000,28000,19000,14000,
  3500,21000,10500,42000,25000,15000), ncol=5, byrow=F)
colnames(data.df.values) <- c("DMU", "Staff_Hours_Per_Day", "Supplies_Per_Day", "Reimbursed_Patient_Days", "Privately_Paid_Patient_Days")
table.df <- as.table(data.df.values)
table.df
```

```
##   DMU           Staff_Hours_Per_Day Supplies_Per_Day Reimbursed_Patient_Days
## A Facility 1 150                0.2             14000
## B Facility 2 400                0.7             14000
## C Facility 3 320                1.2             42000
## D Facility 4 520                2               28000
## E Facility 5 350                1.2             19000
## F Facility 6 320                0.7             14000
##   Privately_Paid_Patient_Days
## A 3500
## B 21000
## C 10500
## D 42000
## E 25000
## F 15000
```

## *Calculating Constant Returns to Scale (CRS)*

```
x <- matrix(c(150,400,320,520,350,320,
  0.2,0.7,1.2,2.0,1.2,0.7),ncol=2)
y <- matrix(c(14000,14000,42000,28000,19000,14000,
  3500,21000,10500,42000,25000,15000),ncol=2)
colnames(y) <- c("Reimbursed_Patient_Days","Privately_Paid_Patient_Days")
colnames(x) <- c("Staff_Hours_Per_Day","Supplies_Per_Day")
D_E_A_crs<-dea(x, y, RTS = "crs")
D_E_A_crs
```

```
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9775 0.8675
```

```
peers(D_E_A_crs)
```

```
##      peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]     1    NA    NA
## [2,]     2    NA    NA
## [3,]     3    NA    NA
## [4,]     4    NA    NA
## [5,]     1     2     4
## [6,]     1     2     4
```

```
lambda(D_E_A_crs)
```

```
##      L1      L2 L3      L4
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.0000000 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.0000000 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 1 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 1.0000000
## [5,] 0.2000000 0.08048142 0 0.5383307
## [6,] 0.3428571 0.39499264 0 0.1310751
```

*CRS Observations -*

1. We get to see that Facility 1, Facility 2, Facility 3 and Facility 4 are efficient.
2. Also, we get to see that Facility 1, Facility 2 and Facility 4 are the peer members for Facility 5 and Facility 6 which are the inefficient facilities.
3. Facility 5 is 97.75 % efficient leaving 2.25 % as inefficient and Facility 6 is 86.75 % efficient leaving 13.25 % as inefficient.

### *Calculating Decreasing Returns to Scale (DRS)*

```
D_E_A_drs <- dea(x, y, RTS = "drs")
D_E_A_drs
```

```
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9775 0.8675
```

```
peers(D_E_A_drs)
```

```
##      peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]     1    NA    NA
## [2,]     2    NA    NA
## [3,]     3    NA    NA
## [4,]     4    NA    NA
## [5,]     1     2     4
## [6,]     1     2     4
```

```
lambda(D_E_A_drs)
```

```
##      L1      L2 L3      L4
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.0000000 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.0000000 0 0.0000000
```

```
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 1 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 1.0000000
## [5,] 0.2000000 0.08048142 0 0.5383307
## [6,] 0.3428571 0.39499264 0 0.1310751
```

*DRS Observations -*

1. We get to see that Facility 1, Facility 2, Facility 3 and Facility 4 are efficient.
2. Also, we get to see that Facility 1, Facility 2 and Facility 4 are the peer members for Facility 5 and Facility 6 which are the inefficient facilities.
3. Facility 5 is 97.75 % efficient leaving 2.25 % as inefficient and Facility 6 is 86.75 % efficient leaving 13.25 % as inefficient.

### ***Calculating Increasing Returns to Scale (IRS)***

```
D_E_A_irs <- dea(x, y, RTS = "irs")
D_E_A_irs
```

```
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.8963
```

```
peers(D_E_A_irs)
```

```
##      peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]     1    NA    NA
## [2,]     2    NA    NA
## [3,]     3    NA    NA
## [4,]     4    NA    NA
## [5,]     5    NA    NA
## [6,]     1     2     5
```

```
lambda(D_E_A_irs)
```

```
##      L1      L2 L3 L4      L5
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.0000000 0 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.0000000 0 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 1 0 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 1 0.0000000
## [5,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 0 1.0000000
## [6,] 0.4014399 0.3422606 0 0 0.2562995
```

*IRS Observations -*

1. We get to see that Facility 1, Facility 2, Facility 3, Facility 4 and Facility 5 are efficient.
2. Also, we get to see that Facility 1, Facility 2 and Facility 5 are the peer members for Facility 6 which is the only inefficient facility.
3. Facility 6 is 89.63 % efficient leaving 10.37 % as inefficient.

### ***Calculating Variable Returns to Scale (VRS)***

```
D_E_A_vrs <- dea(x, y, RTS = "vrs")
D_E_A_vrs
```

```
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.8963
```

```
peers(D_E_A_vrs)
```

```
##      peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]     1    NA    NA
## [2,]     2    NA    NA
## [3,]     3    NA    NA
## [4,]     4    NA    NA
## [5,]     5    NA    NA
## [6,]     1     2     5
```

```
lambda(D_E_A_vrs)
```

```
##      L1      L2 L3 L4      L5
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.0000000 0 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.0000000 0 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 1 0 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 1 0.0000000
## [5,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 0 1.0000000
## [6,] 0.4014399 0.3422606 0 0 0.2562995
```

*VRS Observations -*

1. We get to see that Facility 1, Facility 2, Facility 3, Facility 4 and Facility 5 are efficient.
2. Also, we get to see that Facility 1, Facility 2 and Facility 5 are the peer members for Facility 6 which is the only inefficient facility.
3. Facility 6 is 89.63 % efficient leaving 10.37 % as inefficient.

### ***Calculating Free Disposability Hull (FDH)***

```
D_E_A_fdh <- dea(x, y, RTS = "fdh")
D_E_A_fdh
```

```
## [1] 1 1 1 1 1 1
```

```
peers(D_E_A_fdh)
```

```
##      peer1
## [1,]     1
## [2,]     2
## [3,]     3
## [4,]     4
## [5,]     5
## [6,]     6
```

```
lambda(D_E_A_fdh)
```

```
##      L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6
## [1,]  1  0  0  0  0  0
## [2,]  0  1  0  0  0  0
## [3,]  0  0  1  0  0  0
## [4,]  0  0  0  1  0  0
## [5,]  0  0  0  0  1  0
## [6,]  0  0  0  0  0  1
```

*FDH Observations -*

*All the DMUs are efficient. This is basically due to the principal which FDH technique follows thereby detecting even a small level of efficiency.*

### ***Calculating Free Replicability Hull (FRH)***

```
#here FRH is calculated by specifying RTS = "add"
```

```
D_E_A_frh <- dea(x, y, RTS = "add")
```

```
D_E_A_frh
```

```
## [1] 1 1 1 1 1 1
```

```
peers(D_E_A_frh)
```

```
##      peer1
```

```
## [1,]      1
```

```
## [2,]      2
```

```
## [3,]      3
```

```
## [4,]      4
```

```
## [5,]      5
```

```
## [6,]      6
```

```
lambda(D_E_A_frh)
```

```
##      L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6
```

```
## [1,]  1  0  0  0  0  0
```

```
## [2,]  0  1  0  0  0  0
```

```
## [3,]  0  0  1  0  0  0
```

```
## [4,]  0  0  0  1  0  0
```

```
## [5,]  0  0  0  0  1  0
```

```
## [6,]  0  0  0  0  0  1
```