# Homework 1

MacMillan, Kyle

September 28, 2018

# ${\bf Contents}$

# Title

Ta	Table of Contents				
Li	List of Figures				
1	Problem 1	1			
2	Problem 2	1			
3	3.3 Problem 3c 3.4 Problem 3d 3.5 Problem 3e 3.6 Problem 3f 3.7 Problem 3g	3 3 4 4 4 4 4			
	3.8 Problem 3h	4 4 4			
	Graduate Assignment	4			

# List of Figures

1	Example debug output	3
2	Better performance without reduction.	.3

#### 1 Problem 1

```
\&\& : 1 || : 0 | : 0 ^{\wedge} : 0
```

## 2 Problem 2

Suppose OpenMP did not have the reduction clause. Show how to implement an efficient parallel reduction by adding a private variable and using the critical pragma.

```
/* File: problem2.cpp
 * Purpose: Alternates sign of integer added to sum
                sum = 0 + 1 + -2 + 3 + -4...
  Compile: g++ -Wall -fopenmp -o problem2 problem2.cpp -std=c++11
            g++ -Wall -fopenmp -o problem2 problem2.cpp -DDEBUG -std=c++11
 * Run:
            ./problem2
 * Input:
           none
 * Output: Times for each of the three runs
 * Notes:
            If ran with the -DDEBUG flag you can see what the sum should
      1.
            be based on n
#include <inttypes.h>
                       // Better integer functionality
#include <stdio.h>
                       // Printing to console
#include <omp.h>
                        // Multithreading
#include <chrono>
                       // High precision clock
using namespace std::chrono;
// Global
uint8_t
            thrds
                   = omp_get_num_procs();
int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
    uint8_t times = 20;
    high_resolution_clock::time_point t1 = high_resolution_clock::now();
   high_resolution_clock::time_point t2 = high_resolution_clock::now();
    duration<double> no_omp_time = duration_cast<duration<double>>\
        (high_resolution_clock::now() - high_resolution_clock::now());
    duration<double> omp_time = duration_cast<duration<double>>\
        (high_resolution_clock::now() - high_resolution_clock::now());
    duration<double> no_reduc_time = duration_cast<duration<double>>\
        (high_resolution_clock::now() - high_resolution_clock::now());
    for(uint8_t j = 0; j < times; ++j)
```

```
{
        uint64_t
                          = 80000000,
                    n
                             = 0;
                    k
        int64_t
                             = 0;
                    \operatorname{\mathtt{sum}}
        // RESET for baseline
        t1 = high_resolution_clock::now();
        for (k = 0; k < n; ++k)
            sum += ((k \& 1) == 0 ? 1.0 : -1.0) * k;
        }
        t2 = high_resolution_clock::now();
        no_omp_time += duration_cast<duration<double>>(t2 - t1);
#ifdef DEBUG
        if (j == 0){
            printf("No OMP sum : %" PRIi64 "\n", sum);
        }
#endif
        // RESET for reduction + omp
        sum = 0;
        t1 = high_resolution_clock::now();
        #pragma omp parallel for num_threads(thrds) reduction(+: sum) private(k)
        for (k = 0; k < n; ++k)
        {
            sum += ((k \& 1) == 0 ? 1.0 : -1.0) * k;
        }
        t2 = high_resolution_clock::now();
        omp_time += duration_cast<duration<double>>(t2 - t1);
#ifdef DEBUG
        if (j == 0){
                                  : %" PRIi64 "\n", sum);
            printf("OMP sum
#endif
        // RESET for no reduction
        sum = 0;
        k = 0;
        t1 = high_resolution_clock::now();
        #pragma omp parallel num_threads(thrds)
            int64_t thread_sum = 0;
            #pragma omp for
            for(uint64_t i = k; i < n; ++i){</pre>
                // Locally (privately) runs this
                thread_sum += ((i \& 1) == 0 ? 1.0 : -1.0) * i;
            }
```

```
#pragma omp critical
            sum += thread_sum;
        }
        t2 = high_resolution_clock::now();
        no_reduc_time += duration_cast<duration<double>>(t2 - t1);
#ifdef DEBUG
        if (j == 0){
            printf("No Reduc sum : %" PRIi64 "\n", sum);
#endif
   printf("Averages over %" PRIu8 " runs:\n", times);
                      : %.14f\n", no_omp_time.count() / times);
                      : %.14f\n", omp_time.count() / times);
   printf("OMP
   printf("No Reduc
                     : %.14f\n", no_reduc_time.count() / times);
    return 0;
}
```

```
fopenmp -o problem2 problem2.cpp -DDEBUG -std=c++11
cvle@:HW1S
           ./problem2
No OMP sum
               -40000000
OMP sum
               -40000000
No Reduc sum
             : -40000000
Averages over 20 runs:
No OMP
            0.40371242310000
            0.06024930730000
No Reduc
          : 0.06116008355000
cyle@:HW1S
```

Figure 1: Example debug output.

'le@:HW1\$ ./problem2 Averages over 20 runs: No OMP : 0.40169680075000 OMP : 0.05187247365000 No Reduc : 0.05121839645000 kyle@:HW1\$ ./problem2 Averages over 20 runs: No OMP : 0.40076352375000 OMP : 0.05140341830000 0.05126510895000 kyle@:HW1\$ ./problem2 Averages over 20 runs: No OMP 0.40068608615000 OMP 0.05138620015000 No Reduc 0.05121338355000

Figure 2: Better performance without reduction.

As can be seen in the figures the sums are performing as expected. An interesting, and expected outcome is that in Figure 1 it takes 0.06 seconds to run OMP and  $No\ Reduc$  but in Figure 2 it takes 0.05 seconds. The  $No\ OMP$  takes 0.40 seconds regardless. The reason for this behavior is that OMP uses the cores you give it and at the time of recording the first figure the browser was open and running a video. When I recorded the second Figure I had closed my browser to maximize performance for multi-core processing. The  $No\ OMP$  section of code was only running on one core, so it did not care that I had a video playing.

## 3 Problem 3

#### 3.1 Problem 3a

#### 3.2 Problem 3b

This code section is not suitable for OpenMP because of the && operator in comparison. Per the OpenMP documentation §2.6, p53:

test-expr One of the following:

var relational-op b b relational-op var

relational-op One of the following:

< <= >

#### 3.3 Problem 3c

This code can be ran with OMP but it is dependent on whether or not foo() is threadsafe.

#### 3.4 Problem 3d

asdf

#### 3.5 Problem 3e

asdf

#### 3.6 Problem 3f

asdf

#### 3.7 Problem 3g

asdf

#### 3.8 Problem 3h

asdf

## 4 Problem 4

asdf

## 5 Problem 5

If the address of the nodes in a hypercube has n bits. How many nodes can it be at the most and how many edges does each node have? Give an algorithm that routes a message from node u to node v in this k-node hypercube in no more than log(k) steps.

Hypercubes are generalized by dimensionality. A hypercube address containing n-bits will exist in n dimensions (d). The maximum number of nodes is determined by d, and is defined as  $2^d$ . A trait of hypercubes is that each node will have d edges. So the total amount of edges would be defined as  $d*2^{d-1}$ .

# 6 Graduate Assignment

asdf