## References

- Anon. (1999). Criminal Law. Perjury. Sixth Circuit sustains perjury conviction for answer to question with mistaken premise. United States v. DeZarn, 157 F.3d 1042 (6th Cir. 1998). *Harvard Law Review*, 112(7), 1783–1788.
- Atlas, J. (1993). The implications of conversation: The 1990 Leuven lectures. Ms.
- Bach, K. and Harnish, R. M. (1979). *Linguistic communication and speech acts*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Bezuidenhout, A. (2002). Generalized conversational implicatures and default pragmatic inferences. In J. K. Campbell, M. O'Rourke, and D. Shier (Eds.), *Meaning and truth: Investigations in philosophical semantics* (pp.257–283). New York, NY: Seven Bridges Press.
- Bezuidenhout, A. L. and Morris, R. K. (2004). Implicature, relevance and default pragmatic inference. In I. A. Noveck and D. Sperber (Eds.), *Experimental pragmatics* (pp.257–282). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Bott, L. and Noveck, I. A. (2004). Some utterances are underinformative: The onset and time course of scalar inferences. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 51(3), 437–457.
- Breheny, R., Katsos, N., and Williams, J. (2006). Are generalised scalar implicatures generated by default? An on-line investigation into the role of context in generating pragmatic inferences. *Cognition*, 100, 434–463.
- Bronston v. United States, 409 U.S. 352 (1973).
- Brown, G. and Yule, G. (1983). *Discourse analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Carroll, L. (2009). Alice's adventures in Wonderland and Through the lookingglass and what Alice found there. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Carston, R. (1998). Informativeness, relevance and scalar implicature. In R. Carston and S. Uchida (Eds.), *Relevance theory: Applications and implications* (pp.179–236). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

- Carston, R. (2002). Thoughts and utterances. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Carston, R. (2004a). Explicature and semantics. In S. Davis and B. Gillon (Eds.), *Semantics: A reader* (pp.817–845). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Carston, R. (2004b). Relevance theory and the saying/implicating distinction. In L. R. Horn and G. Ward (Eds.), *The handbook of pragmatics* (pp.633–656). Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
- Carston, R. and Hall, A. (2012). Implicature and explicature. In H.-J. Schmid (Ed.), *Cognitive pragmatics* (pp.47–84). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Castleman, D. (2004). Has the law made liars of us all? bepress Legal Series, Working paper 380. Retrieved from http://law.bepress.com/expresso/eps/380
- Cummings, L. (2009). *Clinical pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Davis, W. A. (1998). *Implicature: Intention, convention, and principle in the failure of Gricean theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Davis, W. A. (2007). How normative is implicature. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 39, 1655–1672.
- Davis, W. A. (2014). Implicature. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia* of philosophy (Fall 2014 ed.). Retrieved from http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2014/entries/implicature/
- Dennett, D. C. (1986). *Content and consciousness* (2nd ed.). London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Fauconnier, G. (1975). Pragmatic scales and logical structure. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 6, 353–375.
- Feeney, A., Scrafton, S., Duckworth, A., and Handley, S. J. (2004). The story of some: Everyday pragmatic inference by children and adults. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 58(2), 121–132.
- Frankish, K. (2004). *Mind and supermind*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Frankish, K. and Kasmirli, M. (2010). Saying one thing and meaning another: A dual process approach to conversational implicature. In K. Manktelow, D. Over, and S. Elqayam (Eds.), *The science of reason: A festschrift for Jonathan St. B. T. Evans* (pp.259–281). Hove, U.K.: Psychology Press.
- Gazdar, G. (1979). *Pragmatics: Implicature, presupposition, and logical form.*New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Green, M. S. (2002). Review of "Implicature: Intention, Convention, and Principle in the Failure of Gricean Theory" by Wayne A. Davis. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 65, 241–244.
- Grice, H. P. (1957). Meaning. The Philosophical Review, 66(3), 377–388.
- Grice, H. P. (1961). The causal theory of perception. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, Supp. vol.*, 121–153.
- Grice, H. P. (1968). Utterer's meaning, sentence-meaning, and word-meaning. *Foundations of Language*, 4(3), 225–242.
- Grice, H. P. (1969). Utterer's meaning and intentions. *The Philosophical Review*, 78(2), 147–177.
- Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole and J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics 3: Speech acts* (pp.41–58). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Grice, H. P. (1978). Further notes on logic and conversation. In P. Cole (Ed.), *Syntax and semantics 9: Pragmatics* (pp.113–127). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Grice, H. P. (1981). Presupposition and conversational implicature. In P. Cole (Ed.), *Radical pragmatics* (pp.183–198). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Grice, H. P. (1982), Meaning revisited. In N. V. Smith (Ed.), *Mutual knowledge* (pp.223–243). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Grice, H. P. (1989). *Studies in the way of words*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Grice, H. P. (2001). Aspects of reason. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Guasti, M. T., Chierchia, G., Crain, S., Foppolo, F., Gualmini, A., and Meroni, L. (2005). Why children and adults sometimes (but not always) compute implicatures. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 20(5), 667–696.
- Hall, A. (2008). Free enrichment or hidden indexicals? *Mind & Language*, 23(4), 426–456.
- Harnish, R. M. (1991). Logical form and implicature. In S. Davis (Ed.), *Pragmatics: A reader* (pp.316–364). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Hirschberg, J. B. (1985). A theory of scalar implicature. PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania. Retrieved from http://semantics.uchicago.edu/kennedy/classes/w14/implicature/readings/hirs chberg85.pdf (Reprinted by Garland Publishing, 1991.)
- Horn, L. R. (1972). On the semantic properties of logical operators in English. University of California, LA.
- Horn, L. R. (1984). Toward a new taxonomy for pragmatic inference: Q-based and
  R-based implicature. In D. Schiffrin (Ed.), *Meaning, form, and use in context: Linguistic applications* (pp.11–42). Washington, DC: Georgetown University
  Press.
- Horn, L. R. (1989). *A natural history of negation*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Horn, L. R. (2004). Implicature. In L. R. Horn and G. Ward (Eds.), *The handbook of pragmatics* (pp.3–28). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Huang, Y. T. and Snedeker, J. (2009). Semantic meaning and pragmatic interpretation in 5-year-olds: Evidence from real-time spoken language comprehension. *Developmental Psychology*, 45(6), 1723–1739.
- Leech, G. N. (1983). Principles of pragmatics. London: Longman.
- Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Levinson, S. C. (2000). Presumptive meanings: The theory of generalized conversational implicature. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Martinich, A. P. (1991). A theory for metaphor. In S. Davis (Ed.), *Pragmatics: A reader* (pp.507–518). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Morgan, J. L. (1978). Two types of convention in indirect speech acts. In P. Cole (Ed.), *Syntax and semantics 9: Pragmatics* (pp.261–280). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Neale, S. (1990). Descriptions. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Neale, S. (1992). Paul Grice and the philosophy of language. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 15(5), 509–559.
- Noveck, I. A. (2001). When children are more logical than adults: Experimental investigations of scalar implicature. *Cognition*, 78(2), 165–188.
- Noveck, I. A. and Posada, A. (2003). Characterizing the time course of an implicature: An evoked potentials study. *Brain and Language*, 85(2), 203–210.
- Noveck, I. A. and Reboul, A. (2008). Experimental pragmatics: A Gricean turn in the study of language. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *12*(11), 425–431.
- Noveck, I. A. and Sperber, D. (Eds.) (2004). *Experimental pragmatics*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Noveck, I. and Sperber, D. (2012). The why and how of experimental pragmatics: The case of "scalar inferences." In D. Wilson and D. Sperber (Eds.), *Meaning and Relevance* (pp.307–330). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Papafragou, A. and Musolino, J. (2003). Scalar implicatures: Experiments at the semantics-pragmatics interface. *Cognition*, 86(3), 253–282.
- Papafragou, A. and Tantalou, N. (2004). Children's computation of implicatures. Language Acquisition, 12(1), 71–82.
- Peters, P. (2004). *The Cambridge guide to English usage*. Cambridge. Cambridge University Press.
- Pouscoulous, N., Noveck, I. A., Politzer, G., and Bastide, A. (2007). A developmental investigation of processing costs in implicature production. *Language Acquisition*, 14(4), 347–375.

- Recanati, F. (1989). The pragmatics of what is said. *Mind and Language*, 4(4), 295–329.
- Recanati, F. (1993). Direct reference: From language to thought. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Recanati, F. (2002). Unarticulated constituents. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 25(3), 299–345.
- Sadock, J. M. (1991). On testing for conversational implicature. In S. Davis (Ed.), *Pragmatics: A reader* (pp.365–376). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Salmon, N. (1989). Illogical belief. *Philosophical Perspectives, Vol. 3, Philosophy of Mind and Action Theory*, 243–285.
- Saul, J. M. (2001). Critical studies: Wayne A. Davis, Conversational implicature: Intention and convention in the failure of Gricean theory. Noûs, 35(4), 630–641.
- Saul, J. M. (2002a). Speaker meaning, what is said, and what is implicated. *Noûs*, 36(2), 228–248.
- Saul, J. M. (2002b). What is said and psychological reality; Grice's project and relevance theorists' criticisms. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 25(3), 347–372.
- Saul, J. (2010). Speaker-meaning, conversational implicature and calculability. In K. Petrus (Ed.), *Meaning and analysis: New essays on Grice* (pp.170–183). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Searle, J. (1975). Indirect speech acts. In P. Cole and J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics 3: Speech acts* (pp.59–82). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Soames, S. (2009). *Philosophical essays, volume 1: Natural language: What it means and how we use it.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Sperber, D. and Wilson, D. (1995). *Relevance: Communication and cognition* (2nd ed.). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Stanley, J. (2000). Context and logical form. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 23, 391–434.

- Sterelny, K. (1982). Against conversational implicature. *Journal of Semantics*, 1(2),
- Stiller, A., Goodman, N. D., and Frank, M. C. (2011). Ad-hoc scalar implicature in adults and children. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*. Boston, MA.
- Tiersma, P. M. (1989-1990). The language of perjury: Literal truth, ambiguity, and the false statement requirement. *Southern California Law Review*, 63, 373–432.
- Turner, K. (2001). Review of Wayne A. Davis, *Implicature: Intention, convention,* and principle in the failure of Gricean theory. Journal of Linguistics, 37(2), 451–462.
- United States v. Bronston 453 F.2d 555 (2nd Cir. 1971).
- Wierzbicka, A. (1985). Different cultures, different languages, different speech acts. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *9*, 145–178.
- Wierzbicka, A. (1987). Boys will be boys: "Radical semantics" vs. "radical pragmatics". *Language*, 63, 95–114.
- Wierzbicka, A. (1991). Cross-cultural pragmatics: The semantics of human interaction. New York, NY: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Wilson, D. and Sperber, D. (1991). Inference and implicature. In S. Davis (Ed.), *Pragmatics: A reader* (pp.377–393). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Wilson, D. and Sperber, D. (2002). Truthfulness and relevance. *Mind*, 111(443), 583–632.
- Wilson, D. and Sperber, D. (2004). Relevance theory. In L. R. Horn and G. Ward (Eds.), *The handbook of pragmatics* (pp.607–632). Oxford: Blackwell.