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# Introduction

This document contains the checklist and references for performing a technical inspection of the MACTS project. The document also identifies the items that are being inspected and the inspectors.

# References

* All items to inspect can be found at: <http://people.cis.ksu.edu/~bnehl/>.
* Sample technical inspection cover letters can be found on Deepti Gupta’s MSE website: <http://mse.cis.ksu.edu/deepti/>. They are in the column labeled Phase 3.

# Items to be Inspected

The following items from the System Architecture Design Document will be inspected:

1. System Architecture (Section 4)

* Component Design (Section 4.1)
* Component Interface Specification (Section 4.2)
* System Analysis (Section 4.3)
* High-Level Design (Section 4.4)

1. Mid-Level Design (Section 5)
2. Component Interaction (Section 6)
3. Models (Section 7)
4. Formal USE/OCL Model (Section 8)

# Technical Inspectors

* Denise Case
* Sindhu Thotakura

# Technical Inspection Checklist

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Inspection Item | Pass/Fail/Partial | Comments |
| The reason for each component is clear. (4.1) |  |  |
| The component design diagrams legal correct UML elements. |  |  |
| The rationale for the system architecture is clear (4.4) |  |  |
| The symbols used in the class diagrams conform to UML 2.0 |  |  |
| The symbols used in the sequence diagrams conform to UML 2.0 |  |  |
| The symbols used in the component diagrams conform to UML 2.0 |  |  |
| The Component Interface Specification (Section 4.2) clearly explains the major public methods. |  |  |
| The Analysis Class Diagram clearly describes the high-level relationships between model elements. |  |  |
| The High-Level class diagram correctly shows how the system interfaces with SUMO. |  |  |
| Classes in the USE/OCL model are consistent with classes in the UML diagrams. |  |  |
| Attributes in the USE/OCL are consistent with classes in the UML diagrams. |  |  |
| Associations in the USE/OCL are consistent with associations in the classes in the UML diagrams. |  |  |
| Multiplicities in the USE/OCL model are consistent with the multiplicities on the associations in the UML diagrams. |  |  |