

1.1 Second Quantization

We could consider

$$|\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_P \zeta^{\sigma(P)} |\alpha_{P(1)}\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |\alpha_{P(N)}\rangle,$$

where $\zeta = \pm 1$ for bosons and fermions respectively. We define creation operator via

$$a_\beta^\dagger |\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\rangle.$$

1. Adjoint a_β could be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} a_\beta^\dagger &= \sum_{\{\theta\}} |\beta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_M\rangle \langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_M|, \\ a_\beta &= \sum_{\{\theta\}} |\theta_1, \dots, \theta_M\rangle \langle \beta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_M|. \end{aligned}$$

Then it could be shown that

$$a_\beta |\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\rangle = \sum_k C_k |\alpha_1, \dots, \cancel{\alpha_k}, \dots, \alpha_N\rangle,$$

with

$$C_k = \langle \beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \cancel{\alpha_k}, \dots, \alpha_N | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_P \langle \alpha_{P(1)} | \otimes \dots \otimes \langle \beta |_{P(k)} \otimes \dots \otimes \langle \alpha_{P(N)} | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \rangle,$$

where we could «move» $\langle \beta |$ to the start, by $P(k) - 1$ transpositions, and due to $N!$ equal permutations we could neglect $\frac{1}{N!}$ coming to

$$C_k = \zeta^{k-1} \langle \beta | \alpha_k \rangle.$$

2. We also could find, that a_β and a_β^\dagger fulfill the (anti)-commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_\beta^\dagger a_\alpha |\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N\rangle &= a_\beta^\dagger \sum_{k=1}^N \zeta^{k-1} \langle \alpha | \theta_k \rangle |\theta_1, \dots, \cancel{\theta_k}, \dots, \theta_N\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \zeta^{k-1} \langle \alpha | \theta_k \rangle |\beta, \theta_1, \dots, \cancel{\theta_k}, \dots, \theta_N\rangle, \\ a_\beta a_\alpha^\dagger |\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N\rangle &= a_\beta |\beta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_N\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \zeta^k \langle \alpha | \theta_k \rangle |\beta, \theta_1, \dots, \cancel{\theta_k}, \dots, \theta_N\rangle + \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle |\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so for bosons $\zeta = 1$ we have

$$[a_\alpha, a_\beta^\dagger] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_\alpha a_\beta^\dagger - a_\beta^\dagger a_\alpha = \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha, \beta},$$

and in the same way for fermions $\zeta = -1$ and

$$\{a_\alpha, a_\beta^\dagger\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_\alpha a_\beta^\dagger + a_\beta^\dagger a_\alpha = \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

3. For density operator

$$\hat{\rho}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(x - \hat{x}_j),$$

we could find second quantized form

$$\hat{\rho}(x) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle \alpha | \delta(x - \hat{x}) | \beta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \int \langle \alpha | x' \rangle \langle x' | \beta \rangle \delta(x - x') dx' \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle \alpha | x \rangle \langle x | \beta \rangle \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\beta,$$

what could be reduced to the $\hat{a}_x^\dagger \hat{a}_x$ form if $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ corresponds to the coordinates.

1.2 Mapping between Quantum and Classical Systems

We could rewrite classical 1D Ising chain partitin function as

$$\mathcal{Z}_c = T_{s_1, s_2} \dots T_{s_{N-1}, s_N} T_{s_N, s_1} = \text{tr}(T^N),$$

with transfer matrix

$$T = T^a T^b = \begin{pmatrix} e^{h_c + K_c} & e^{h_c - K_c} \\ e^{-h_c - K_c} & e^{K_c - h_c} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^a = \begin{pmatrix} e^{h_c} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-h_c} \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^b = \begin{pmatrix} e^{K_c} & e^{-K_c} \\ e^{-K_c} & e^{K_c} \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are different ways to define T , because important just eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-h_c - K_c} \left(e^{2(h_c + K_c)} + e^{2K_c} \pm \sqrt{e^{4K_c} (e^{2h_c} - 1)^2 + 4e^{2h_c}} \right).$$

For a quantum system the partitin function

$$\mathcal{Z}_q = \text{tr} e^{-\beta H},$$

and we want to achieve

$$\mathcal{Z}_q = \mathcal{Z}_c = \text{tr} \left(e^{-\frac{\beta}{N} H_1} e^{-\frac{\beta}{N} H_2} \right)^N, \quad e^{-\frac{\beta}{N} H_1} = T^a, \quad e^{-\frac{\beta}{N} H_2} = T^b.$$

Using formulas to the Pauli matrix exponents, we could find

$$H_1 = \frac{N}{-\beta} \alpha_3 \sigma_z, \quad H_2 = \frac{N}{-\beta} (\alpha_0 \mathbb{1} - \alpha_1 \sigma_x),$$

with $\alpha_0 = \ln \sinh(2K_c) + \ln 2$, $\alpha_1 = \ln \tanh K_c$ and $\alpha_3 = h_c$. I think it is possible to find other H_1 and H_2 , my choice was ruled by separating K_c and h_c dependences.