

CS360 – Homework #2

Entailment and Resolution

1) Solve the following problems:

- (a) Is it possible that propositional sentence P entails propositional sentence Q and that it also entails sentence $\neg Q$? Explain (for example, give an example if possible).

Yes. If P is unsatisfiable then there is no interpretation that satisfies P . Therefore all the interpretations that satisfy P also satisfy both Q and $\neg Q$.

- (b) Is it possible that propositional sentence P entails propositional sentence Q but does not entail sentence $\neg Q$. Explain (for example, give an example if possible).

Yes. An example would be $P \Leftrightarrow Q$, where P is satisfiable.

- (c) Is it possible that propositional sentence P entails propositional sentence Q and sentence $\neg P$ also entails sentence Q ? Explain (for example, give an example if possible).

Yes. If Q is a tautology then it is entailed by any propositional sentence P .

2) How can you use resolution to show that a propositional sentence is unsatisfiable?

We can conclude that a propositional sentence is unsatisfiable if we can use resolution to derive the empty clause.

3) Using resolution, show that $(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (\neg P \Rightarrow Q)$ entails Q .

We start by adding the negation of Q and converting to CNF, to obtain $(\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg Q)$. We then perform resolution on clauses $(\neg P \vee Q)$ and $(P \vee Q)$ to derive the clause (Q) . A second resolution on clauses $(\neg Q)$ and (Q) derives the empty clause and proves that $(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (\neg P \Rightarrow Q)$ entails Q .

First Order Logic

4) Translate the following English sentences to first-order logic using the following predicates: $\text{Owns}(x,y)$, $\text{Dog}(x)$, $\text{Cat}(x)$, $\text{Cute}(x)$, and $\text{Scary}(x)$. For example, $\text{Owns}(x,y)$ means that object x owns object y :

- (a) Joe has a cute dog.
 $\exists X (\text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, X) \wedge \text{Dog}(X))$
- (b) All of Joe's dogs are cute.
 $\forall X ((\text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, X) \wedge \text{Dog}(X)) \Rightarrow \text{Cute}(X))$
- (c) Unless Joe owns a dog, he is scary.
 $\neg(\exists X (\text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, X) \wedge \text{Dog}(X)) \Rightarrow \text{Scary}(\text{Joe}))$
- (d) Either Joe has at least one cat and at least one dog or he is scary (but not both at the same time).
 $(\exists X (\text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, X) \wedge \text{Dog}(X))) \wedge (\exists Y (\text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, Y) \wedge \text{Cat}(Y))) \Leftrightarrow \neg \text{Scary}(\text{Joe}).$
- (e) Not all dogs are both scary and cute.
 $\exists X (\text{Dog}(X) \wedge \neg (\text{Scary}(X) \wedge \text{Cute}(X)))$
- 5)** Translate the following sentences in first-order logic to English. *Apple(x)* means that object *x* is an apple, *Red(x)* means that object *s* is red, *Loves(x, y)* means that person *x* loves person *y*:
- (a) $\forall x (\text{Apple}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Red}(x))$
 All apples are red
- (b) $\forall x \exists y \text{Loves}(x, y)$
 Every person has some person he loves
- (c) $\exists y \forall x \text{Loves}(x, y)$
 There is a single person whom everybody loves
- 6)** Specify what a grandmother is, using the predicates *IsGrandMotherOf*, *IsMotherOf* and *IsFatherOf*. *IsGrandMotherOf(x, y)* means that person *x* is the grandmother of person *y*, *IsMotherOf(x, y)* means that person *x* is the mother of person *y*, and *IsFatherOf(x, y)* means that person *x* is the father of person *y*. Define additional predicates if needed.
 $\exists z (\text{IsMotherOf}(x, z) \wedge (\text{IsMotherOf}(z, y) \vee \text{IsFatherOf}(z, y))) \iff \text{IsGrandMotherOf}(x, y)$
- 7)** For each of the following sentences in first-order logic, specify whether it is valid, satisfiable, and/or unsatisfiable:
- (a) $P(a) \Rightarrow \forall x P(x)$
 Satisfiable.
- (b) $P(a) \Rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$
 Satisfiable.
- (c) $P(a) \Rightarrow \exists x P(x)$
 Valid.
- (d) $P(a) \Rightarrow \exists x \neg P(x)$
 Satisfiable.