Linear Models

Exercise 1: Properties of the Sigmoid Function

This exercise regards some mathematical properties of the sigmoid function σ , which make it very suitable for machine learning.

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

(a) Show that $\sigma(-x) = 1 - \sigma(x)$.

Answer

Starting from right side is much easier. Add and multiply by 1 in form of e^x/e^x .

$$1 - \sigma(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} = \frac{1 + e^{-x}}{1 + e^{-x}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} = \frac{e^{-x}}{1 + e^{-x}} = \frac{e^{-x}}{1 + e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{e^{x}}{e^{x}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{x}} = \sigma(-x)$$

(b) Show that the derivative of the sigmoid function is $\frac{\partial \sigma(x)}{\partial x} = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$.

Answer

This is best done by chain rule to the .
$$^{-1}$$
 notation and using the result from a)
$$\frac{\partial \sigma(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[(1+e^{-x})^{-1}] = (-1)\cdot(1+e^{-x})^{-2}\cdot e^{-x}\cdot(-1) = \frac{e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}}\cdot\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \frac{e^x}{e^x}\cdot\frac{e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}}\cdot\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \sigma(-x)\sigma(x) = (1-\sigma(x))\sigma(x)$$

Exercise 2: Logistic Regression

For the task of binary sentiment classification on movie review texts, we represent each input text by the 6 features $x_1...x_6$ shown for three training examples together with the ground-truth class label (0 =negative, 1 =positive) in the following table.

Feat.	Definition	Example 1	Example 2	Example 3
x_1	Count of positive lexicon terms	3	1	5
x_2	Count of negative lexicon terms	2	5	2
x_3	1 if "no" in doc, 0 otherwise	1	0	1
x_4	Count of 1st and 2nd pronouns	3	4	4
x_5	1 if "!" in doc, 0 otherwise	1	1	0
x_6	Word count	$\ln(66) = 4.19$	$\ln(119) = 4.77$	$\ln(45) = 3.81$
\overline{c}	Sentiment class	1	0	1

A logistic regression model is given as $y(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$ with

$$\mathbf{w} = [0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14]^T$$

(a) Calculate the class probabilites $P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$ and $P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$ for each example and the given weights.

Answer

Example 1:

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= \sigma([0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14] \cdot [1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 1, 4.19]^T)$$

$$= \sigma(3.1352)$$

$$= 0.9583$$

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= 1 - 0.9583$$

$$= 0.0417$$

Example 2:

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= \sigma([0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14] \cdot [1, 1, 5, 0, 4, 1, 4.77]^T)$$

$$= \sigma(-3.0222)$$

$$= 0.0464$$

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= 1 - 0.0464$$

$$= 0.9436$$

Example 3:

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= \sigma([0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14] \cdot [1, 5, 2, 1, 4, 0, 3.81]^T)$$

$$= \sigma(4.0734)$$

$$= 0.9833$$

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= 1 - 0.88$$

$$= 0.0167$$

(b) Compute $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ for one iteration of the BGD algorithm with a learning rate of $\eta = 0.1$.

Answer

Remarks: $y(\mathbf{x})$ were already calculated in (a); the values for $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ are written individually here, but would be summed directly in the BGD algorithm.

Example	$y(\mathbf{x})$	c	$\delta = c - y(\mathbf{x})$	$\mathbf{\Delta w} = \eta \cdot \delta \cdot \mathbf{x}$
1	0.9583	1	0.0417	$[0.004, 0.013, 0.008, 0.004, 0.013, 0.004, 0.017]^T$
2	0.0464	0	-0.0464	$[-0.005, -0.005, -0.023, -0.0, -0.019, -0.005, -0.022]^T$
3	0.9833	1	0.0167	$[0.002, 0.008, 0.003, 0.002, 0.007, 0.0, 0.006]^T$
\sum				$[0.001, 0.016, -0.012, 0.006, 0.001, -0.001, 0.001]^T$

(c) For the updated weights $\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}$, calculate the class probabilites $P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w})$ and $P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w})$ for each example. Compare them to your solution in (a); what can you observe?

Answer

$$\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}$$

$$=[0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14]^T + [0.001, 0.016, -0.012, 0.006, 0.001, -0.001, 0.001]^T \\ = [0.211, 1.596, -1.372, -1.164, -0.169, 1.999, 0.141]^T$$

Example 1:

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= \sigma([0.211, 1.596, -1.372, -1.164, -0.169, 1.999, 0.141] \cdot [1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 1, 4.19]^T)$$

$$= \sigma(3.1724)$$

$$= 0.9598$$

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= 1 - 0.9583$$

$$= 0.0402$$

Example 2:

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= \sigma([0.211, 1.596, -1.372, -1.164, -0.169, 1.999, 0.141] \cdot [1, 1, 5, 0, 4, 1, 4.77]^T)$$

$$= \sigma(-3.0574)$$

$$= 0.0449$$

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= 1 - 0.0464$$

$$= 0.9551$$

Example 3:

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= \sigma([0.211, 1.596, -1.372, -1.164, -0.169, 1.999, 0.141] \cdot [1, 5, 2, 1, 4, 0, 3.81]^T)$$

$$= \sigma(4.1442)$$

$$= 0.9844$$

$$P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= 1 - 0.88$$

$$= 0.0145$$

Comparison: the gradient descent step adjusted the weights in such the way that each predicted class moves (slightly) closer to the true label.

Exercise 3: Regularization

Suppose we are estimating the regression coefficients in a linear regression model by minimizing the objective function \mathcal{L} .

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathsf{RSS}_{tr}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$

The term $\mathsf{RSS}_{tr}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in D_{tr}} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2$ refers to the residual sum of squares computed on the set D_{tr} that is used for parameter estimation. Assume that we can also compute an RSS_{test} on a separate set D_{test} that we don't use during training.

When we vary the hyperparameter λ , starting from 0 and gradually increase it, what will happen to the following quantities? Explain your answers.

We initially remove the error due to overfitting, which has the potential to improve the fit on unseen data. As $\lambda \to \infty$, the norm of the learned parameters $\|\mathbf{w}\| \to 0$, and the test residuals eventually increase again.