

Linear Models

Exercise 1 : Properties of the Sigmoid Function

This exercise regards some mathematical properties of the sigmoid function σ , which make it very suitable for machine learning.

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

- (a) Show that $\sigma(-x) = 1 - \sigma(x)$.

Answer

Starting from right side is much easier. Add and multiply by 1 in form of e^x/e^x .

$$1 - \sigma(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \frac{1+e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}} - \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \frac{e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}} = \frac{e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{e^x}{e^x} = \frac{1}{1+e^x} = \sigma(-x)$$

- (b) Show that the derivative of the sigmoid function is $\frac{\partial \sigma(x)}{\partial x} = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$.

Answer

This is best done by chain rule to the \cdot^{-1} notation and using the result from a)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma(x)}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [(1 + e^{-x})^{-1}] = (-1) \cdot (1 + e^{-x})^{-2} \cdot e^{-x} \cdot (-1) = \frac{e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \\ &= \frac{e^x}{e^x} \cdot \frac{e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \sigma(-x)\sigma(x) = (1 - \sigma(x))\sigma(x) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2 : Logistic Regression

For the task of binary sentiment classification on movie review texts, we represent each input text by the 6 features $x_1 \dots x_6$ shown for three training examples together with the ground-truth class label (0 =negative, 1 =positive) in the following table.

Feat.	Definition	Example 1	Example 2	Example 3
x_1	Count of positive lexicon terms	3	1	5
x_2	Count of negative lexicon terms	2	4	2
x_3	1 if “no“ in doc, 0 otherwise	1	0	1
x_4	Count of 1st and 2nd pronouns	3	4	4
x_5	1 if “!” in doc, 0 otherwise	1	1	0
x_6	Word count	$\ln(66) = 4.19$	$\ln(72) = 4.77$	$\ln(45) = 3.81$
c	Sentiment class	1	0	1

A logistic regression model is given as $y(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$ with

$$\mathbf{w} = [0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14]^T$$

- (a) Calculate the class probabilities $P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$ and $P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$ for each example and the given weights.

Answer

Example 1:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
 &= \sigma([0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14] \cdot [1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 1, 4.19]^T) \\
 &= \sigma(3.1352) \\
 &= 0.9583 \\
 P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
 &= 1 - 0.9583 \\
 &= 0.0417
 \end{aligned}$$

Example 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
 &= \sigma([0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14] \cdot [1, 1, 4, 0, 4, 1, 4.77]^T) \\
 &= \sigma(-3.0222) \\
 &= 0.0464 \\
 P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
 &= 1 - 0.0464 \\
 &= 0.9436
 \end{aligned}$$

Example 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
 &= \sigma([0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14] \cdot [1, 5, 2, 1, 4, 0, 3.81]^T) \\
 &= \sigma(4.0734) \\
 &= 0.9833 \\
 P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
 &= 1 - 0.88 \\
 &= 0.0167
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Compute $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ for one iteration of the [BGD algorithm](#) with a learning rate of $\eta = 0.1$.

Answer

Remarks: $y(\mathbf{x})$ were already calculated in (a); the values for $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ are written individually here, but would be summed directly in the BGD algorithm.

Example	$y(\mathbf{x})$	c	$\delta = c - y(\mathbf{x})$	$\Delta \mathbf{w} = \eta \cdot \delta \cdot \mathbf{x}$
1	0.9583	1	0.0417	$[0.004, 0.013, 0.008, 0.004, 0.013, 0.004, 0.017]^T$
2	0.0464	0	-0.0464	$[-0.005, -0.005, -0.023, -0.0, -0.019, -0.005, -0.022]^T$
3	0.9833	1	0.0167	$[0.002, 0.008, 0.003, 0.002, 0.007, 0.0, 0.006]^T$
Σ				$[0.001, 0.016, -0.012, 0.006, 0.001, -0.001, 0.001]^T$

(c) For the updated weights $\mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w}$, calculate the class probabilities $P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w})$ and $P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w})$ for each example. Compare them to your solution in (a); what can you observe?

Answer

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{w} + \Delta \mathbf{w} \\
&= [0.21, 1.58, -1.36, -1.17, -0.17, 2.0, 0.14]^T + [0.001, 0.016, -0.012, 0.006, 0.001, -0.001, 0.001]^T \\
&= [0.211, 1.596, -1.372, -1.164, -0.169, 1.999, 0.141]^T
\end{aligned}$$

Example 1:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
&= \sigma([0.211, 1.596, -1.372, -1.164, -0.169, 1.999, 0.141] \cdot [1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 1, 4.19]^T) \\
&= \sigma(3.1724) \\
&= 0.9598 \\
P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
&= 1 - 0.9583 \\
&= 0.0402
\end{aligned}$$

Example 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
&= \sigma([0.211, 1.596, -1.372, -1.164, -0.169, 1.999, 0.141] \cdot [1, 1, 4, 0, 4, 1, 4.77]^T) \\
&= \sigma(-3.0574) \\
&= 0.0449 \\
P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
&= 1 - 0.0464 \\
&= 0.9551
\end{aligned}$$

Example 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\mathbf{C} = 1 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
&= \sigma([0.211, 1.596, -1.372, -1.164, -0.169, 1.999, 0.141] \cdot [1, 5, 2, 1, 4, 0, 3.81]^T) \\
&= \sigma(4.1442) \\
&= 0.9844 \\
P(\mathbf{C} = 0 \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) &= 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \\
&= 1 - 0.88 \\
&= 0.0145
\end{aligned}$$

Comparison: the gradient descent step adjusted the weights in such the way that each predicted class moves (slightly) closer to the true label.

Exercise 3 : Regularization

Suppose we are estimating the regression coefficients in a linear regression model by minimizing the objective function \mathcal{L} .

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \text{RSS}_{tr}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$

The term $\text{RSS}_{tr}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in D_{tr}} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2$ refers to the residual sum of squares computed on the set D_{tr} that is used for parameter estimation. Assume that we can also compute an RSS_{test} on a separate set D_{test} that we don't use during training.

When we vary the hyperparameter λ , starting from 0 and gradually increase it, what will happen to the following quantities? Explain your answers.

(a) The value of $\text{RSS}_{tr}(\mathbf{w})$ will...

- ☐ remain constant.
- ☒ steadily increase.
- ☐ steadily decrease.
- ☐ increase initially, then eventually start decreasing in an inverted U shape.
- ☐ decrease initially, then eventually start increasing in a U shape.

Answer

The increasing regularization term moves the minimum point of \mathcal{L} to a parameter vector that fits the training data less well as measured by RSS alone. Hence the training residuals will only increase.

(b) The value of $\text{RSS}_{test}(\mathbf{w})$ will...

- ☐ remain constant.
- ☐ steadily increase.
- ☐ steadily decrease.
- ☐ increase initially, then eventually start decreasing in an inverted U shape.
- ☒ decrease initially, then eventually start increasing in a U shape.

Answer

We initially remove the error due to overfitting, which has the potential to improve the fit on unseen data. As $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the norm of the learned parameters $\|\mathbf{w}\| \rightarrow 0$, and the test residuals eventually increase again.