

## **Argument Retrieval**

### **Argument and Argumentation**

## Argument:

- □ A conclusion (claim) supported by premises (reasons). [Walton et al. 2008]
- □ Conveys a stance on a controversial topic. [Freeley and Steinberg, 2009]

Conclusion Argumentation will be a key element of conversational agents.

Premise 1 Superficial conversation ("gossip") is not enough.

Premise 2 Users want to know the "Why" to make informed decisions.

### Argumentation:

- Usage of arguments to achieve persuasion, agreement, . . .
- Includes rhetorical and dialectical aspects.

## **Argument Retrieval**

#### **Shared Tasks**

Task 1: Supporting argumentative conversations

Scenario: Users search for arguments on controversial topics

□ Task: Retrieve "strong" pro/con arguments on the topic

□ Data: 300,000 "arguments" (short text passages)

Task 2: Answering comparative questions with arguments

□ Scenario: Users face personal decisions from everyday life

□ Task: Retrieve arguments for "Is X better than Y for Z?"

□ Data: ClueWeb12 or ChatNoir [chatnoir.eu]

- Run submissions similar to "classical" TREC tracks
- □ Software submissions via TIRA [tira.io]

# **Lab Project**

#### **Milestones**

- ☐ Data Analysis Descriptive statistics, highlighting variables of interest.
- Technology Stack Decide upon the software libraries you are going to use.
- □ Vertical Prototype Working prototype with a basic retrieval model.
- □ Refined Prototype Prototype that uses and advanced/refined retrieval model.
- Deployment on Tira
  We will give a tutorial on Tira during the semester
- □ Evaluation Evaluate the results of your retrieval models.
- Documentation Write a README; incl. all commands for deployment.
- □ Report Write the final report of up to max. 10 pages.

© touche.webis.de 2019