

# AMATEURISH PI STUNT NOTES

## PERMUTATION ENTHUSIASTS

### 1. PROBLEMS 1.13

- (1) (a) Consider the transposition  $\pi = (i, j)$ . It swaps the order of exactly  $2(j-i-1)+1$  pairs of inputs. This is because there are  $j-i-1$  entries strictly between  $i$  and  $j$ , and  $\pi$  swaps both  $i$  and  $j$  with all of these. It also swaps the order of  $i$  and  $j$ . Therefore,  $\text{inv}((i, j)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Next we show that  $\text{inv}$  is a homomorphism to  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . To do this, let  $\text{inv}_{i,j}(\pi)$  be 1 if  $\pi$  swaps the order of  $i$  and  $j$  and 0 otherwise, so  $\text{inv}(\pi) = \sum_{i \neq j} \text{inv}_{i,j}(\pi)$ . If we have two permutations  $\pi$  and  $\tau$ , we have  $\text{inv}_{i,j}(\tau\pi) = \text{inv}_{i,j}(\pi) + \text{inv}_{\pi(i), \pi(j)}(\tau) \pmod{2}$ . I.e.  $i$  and  $j$  get swapped if  $\pi$  swaps  $i$  and  $j$  or  $\tau$  swaps  $\pi(i), \pi(j)$  (but not both because they get swapped back if so). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inv}(\tau\pi) &= \sum_{i \neq j} \text{inv}_{i,j}(\tau\pi) \\ &\equiv \sum_{i \neq j} \text{inv}_{i,j}(\pi) + \text{inv}_{\pi(i), \pi(j)}(\tau) \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \text{inv}_{i,j}(\pi) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{inv}_{\pi(i), \pi(j)}(\tau) \right) \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv \text{inv}(\pi) + \text{inv}(\tau) \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

Taken together, these facts imply that if  $\pi$  is a product of  $k$  transpositions, then  $\text{inv}(\pi) \equiv k \pmod{2}$ .

- (b) We defined  $\text{sgn}(\pi) = (-1)^k$  when  $\pi$  is a product of  $k$  transpositions. It may be that  $\pi$  can be written as a product of  $k$  transpositions and also as a product of  $\ell \neq k$  transpositions. However, we can see that  $\text{sgn}(\pi)$  is well defined because  $\text{inv}(\pi)$  is well defined, and we saw in (a) that  $k \equiv \text{inv}(\pi) \equiv \ell \pmod{2}$ . Thus  $(-1)^k = (-1)^\ell$ .
- (2) (a) We clearly have  $\epsilon \in G_s$  because  $\epsilon s = s$  by an axiom of group actions. To see that  $G_s$  is closed under multiplication, let us be given  $g, h \in G_s$ , and we compute  $(gh)s = g(hs) = gs = s$  (the second equality uses a group action axiom). Finally, note that if  $gs = s$  then  $s = g^{-1}s$ , so  $G_s$  is closed under inverses.
- (b) Define  $\phi : G/G_s \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s$  by  $\phi(hG_s) = hs$ . This is well-defined because if  $h = hg$  for  $g \in G_s$ , then  $(hg)s = h(g(s)) = hs$ . (I'm assuming left cosets.) The map  $\phi$  is surjective because if we are given any  $h \in G$ ,

then  $\phi(hG_s) = hs$ . The map  $\phi$  is injective because if  $\phi(hG_s) = \phi(kG_s)$ , then  $hs = ks$ , so  $k^{-1}h \in G_s$  and hence  $hG_s = kG_s$ .

- (c) By Lagrange's Theorem,  $G = [G : G_s]|G_s|$ , where  $[G : G_s]$  is the number of left cosets of  $G_s$  in  $G$ . Well, we just proved there are  $\mathcal{O}_s$  of them, so the result follows.

- (3) (a) We must show that every matrix  $X(\pi)$  has exactly one 1 in each row and column, and zeros elsewhere. The definition of the permutation representation has  $X(\pi)_{i,j} = \delta_{i,\pi(j)}$ . Because  $\pi$  is a permutation, for each  $i$  there is exactly one  $j$  such that  $i = \pi(j)$ , and for each  $j$  there is exactly one  $i$  such that  $i = \pi(j)$ .
- (b) A fixed point  $i$  of  $\pi$  has  $\pi(i) = i$ , so  $X(\pi)_{i,i} = 1$ , so there is a 1 on the diagonal of  $X(\pi)$  in position  $(i, i)$  exactly when  $i$  is fixed under  $\pi$ . Thus  $\text{Tr}(X(\pi))$  is the number of fixed points of  $\pi$ .
- (4) Since  $G$  is finite, it can be written as  $\oplus_i C_{j_i}$ , where  $C_{j_i}$  is a cyclic group of order  $j_i$ , say with generator  $g_i$ . By Corollary 1.6.8 (see also Problem 12), we must have  $X(g) = cI$  for all  $g \in G$ , so  $X$  is one dimensional, and  $X(g_i)$  is some  $j_i$ th root of unity. All such representations are irreducible since they are one dimensional. By example 1.2.3, there are  $j_i$  such representations (one for each root of unity).

So then the question is: how do we stick together the representations of the  $C_{j_i}$  to get representations for  $G$ , and how do we know that we have found all of them? Theorem 1.11.3 answers both questions: where  $X_i, Y_j$  are inequivalent representations of groups  $G, H$ , then  $X_i \otimes Y_j$  is a complete list of representations of  $H \times K$ . (We extend this theorem from a product of 2 groups to our product of  $i$  groups in the obvious way.) Thus  $G$  has  $\prod_i j_i$  irreducible representations.

- (5) (a) Let  $g \in N$  and  $h \in G$ . Then  $X(hgh^{-1}) = X(h)X(g)X(h^{-1}) = X(h)X(h)^{-1} = I$ , so  $hgh^{-1} \in N$ . This holds for all  $g \in N$ , so  $N$  is normal. A condition is:  $X$  is faithful iff  $N = \{\epsilon\}$ . To see one direction, suppose  $X$  is faithful. Then  $I = X(\epsilon) = X(g)$  only if  $g = \epsilon$ ; hence  $N = \{\epsilon\}$ . For the other direction, suppose  $N = \{\epsilon\}$  and  $X(g) = X(h)$  for some  $g, h$ . Then  $X(gh^{-1}) = I$ , so  $gh^{-1} = \epsilon$ , so  $g = h$ , and  $X$  is faithful.
- (b) One direction is immediate, because if  $g \in N$ , then  $\text{Tr}(X(g)) = \text{Tr}(I) = d$ . For the other direction, suppose  $\chi(g) = d$ . TODO
- (c) For one direction, suppose that  $h \in \bigcap_i g_i H g_i^{-1}$ . Then for all  $i$ , we have  $hg_i \in g_i H$ , so  $hg_i H \subseteq g_i H H = g_i H$  sends each coset to itself, so  $h \in N$ . Conversely, if  $X(h) = I$ , then  $h$  sends each coset to itself, so  $hg_i H \in g_i H$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $h \in g_i H g_i^{-1}$  for all  $i$ .
- (d) (i) Trivial: this is faithful exactly if  $G$  is trivial  
(ii) Regular: always  
(iii) Coset: when the intersection of the conjugates of  $H$  is trivial (see previous)  
(iv) Sign for  $\mathcal{S}_n$ : for  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$   
(v) Defining for  $\mathcal{S}_n$ : always

- (vi) Degree 1 for  $C_n$ : exactly when  $X(g)$  is a primitive root of unity, for a generator  $g$
- (e) (i)  $Y$  is well-defined because if  $gN = hN$ , then there is  $n \in N$  so that  $gn = h$ . Then  $X(h) = X(gn) = X(g)X(n) = X(g)I = X(g)$ .

It is faithful because if  $Y(gN) = I$ , then by definition we have  $X(g) = I$ , so  $g \in N$ , ie. the only coset that maps to  $I$  under  $Y$  is  $eN$ .

It is a representation: if  $gN$  is the identity in  $G/N$ , then  $gN \in N$  so  $g \in N$ , and thus  $Y(gN) = Y(N) = X(1) = I$ . Multiplicativity follows from multiplicativity of  $X$ :

$$Y(gN)Y(hN) = X(g)X(h) = X(gh) = Y(ghN).$$

- (ii) Whether or not a representation is irreducible depends only on the set of matrices (or endomorphisms) in the image. The image of  $Y$  is the same as the image of  $X$ . Said another way, if  $X(g)(V) \subseteq V$  for some subspace  $V$  and for all  $g$ , then  $Y(gN)(V) = X(g)(V) \subseteq V$  as well, and vice versa.
  - (iii) The representation  $Y$  is the regular representation of  $G/H$ . To see this, let us start by finding the kernel  $N$  of the coset representation. Suppose  $n \in N$ , so  $ngH = gH$  for all  $g$ . Because  $H$  is normal, we have  $ngH = Hng$ , so  $Hng = gH$ . Thus  $Hn = gHg^{-1} = H$ , so  $n \in H$ . The entire argument runs backward, so  $N = H$ . Let  $V$  be the coset representation (so  $Y$  is a map  $Y : G/H \rightarrow GL(V)$ ) and consider the map  $\theta : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G/H]$  defined by  $\theta(gH) = gH$ . This is clearly a bijection, so we just need to check it is a  $G/H$ -homomorphism. To see this, we compute  $\theta(Y(gH)(hH)) = ghH$  and  $gH\theta(hH) = ghH$  (by the definition of group multiplication in  $G/H$ ).
- (6) (a) To see that  $X$  is a representation, we just need to check that  $X(gh) = X(g)X(h)$ . We compute  $X(gh) = Y(ghN) = Y(gNhN) = Y(gN)Y(hN) = X(g)X(h)$ , where in the middle we used the multiplication in  $G/H$ .
  - (b) Let  $g \in \ker(X)$ , so  $I = X(g) = Y(gN)$ . Since  $Y$  is faithful, we have  $g \in N$ . Conversely, any  $g \in N$  is in  $\ker(X)$  because  $X(g) = Y(gN) = I$ .
  - (c) This is the same as (5)(e)(ii) – the irreducibility only depends on the image set of matrices, which remains the same under lifting.
- (7) The block decomposition of  $X$  expresses  $V$  as the internal direct sum  $W + Y$ , where if we write any vector  $(w, y)$  aligned with the block form, we have  $X(g)(w, y) = (A(g)w + B(g)y, C(g)y)$ . The quotient map  $V \rightarrow V/W$  projects to the second coordinate and is a  $G$ -homomorphism which takes the action  $X$  to  $C$ . Maschke's theorem says that  $V$  is isomorphic to a block diagonal action with the matrices  $A$  and  $C$ , and this is exactly the actions on  $W$  and  $V/W$ .
  - (8) (a)  $V$  satisfies the 4 properties of definition 1.3.1. Since each element of a basis  $B = \{b_1, b_2 \dots b_n\}$  belongs to  $V$ , each  $b_i$  also satisfies the properties of definition 1.3.1 (take any  $v = b_i$ ).

Conversely, if 1.3.1 holds for a basis  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ , write any vector  $v$  as a linear combination of the elements in  $B$ . The definition 1.3.1 properties are true for  $v$  by linearity.

- (b) The map  $\theta$  is linear and for all  $g \in G$  and  $b_i$  in the basis  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ , we have  $g\theta(b_i) = \theta(gb_i)$ .  
Conversely, assume we have  $\theta(gb_i) = g\theta(b_i)$  and  $\theta(b_i) + \theta(b_j) = \theta(b_i + b_j)$  (by definition of a homomorphism.) Write any  $v \in V$  as  $v = \sum_i c_i b_i$  for constants  $c_i$ . Then

$$\theta(g \sum c_i b_i) = \theta(gc_1 b_1) + \theta(gc_2 b_2) \dots \theta(gc_n b_n) = g \sum \theta(c_i b_i).$$

- (c) Forward direction is the same as above: For all  $b, c$  in the basis, we have  $\langle b, c \rangle = \langle gb, gc \rangle$  since  $b, c \in V$ . The converse proceeds as in part b: write  $v$  as a linear combination of basis elements, use the properties of  $G$  invariance we are assuming for the basis, and use linearity.

- (9) Here's is Bill's notebook:

Problem 9  $X(g) = \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix}$

$$X(g_1)X(g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} A(g_1) & B(g_1) \\ 0 & C(g_1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A(g_2) & B(g_2) \\ 0 & C(g_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(g_1)A(g_2) & A(g_1)B(g_2) + B(g_1)C(g_2) \\ 0 & C(g_1)C(g_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

(a)  $A(g_1g_2) = A(g_1)A(g_2)$   
 $C(g_1g_2) = C(g_1)C(g_2)$   
 $B(g_1g_2) = A(g_1)B(g_2) + B(g_1)C(g_2)$

(b)  $T = \begin{pmatrix} I & D \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$   $D = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} A(g^{-1})B(g)$   
 $T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & -D \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$

$$T X(g) T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I & D \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -D \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) + D C(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -D \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & -A(g)D + B(g) + D C(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) + D C(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})B(h)C(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})B(h)C(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})B(h)C(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

Change order of sum on group

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})B(h)C(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})B(h)C(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A(g) & B(g) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})B(h)C(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$(h^{-1} = h_1) \Rightarrow h^{-1} = g h_1$

Here is Bill's notebook typed by ChatGPT. Good bot.

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $X$  a reducible matrix representation:

$$X(t) = \begin{bmatrix} A(g) & B(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

(a)

$$X(g_1)X(g_2) = \begin{bmatrix} A(g_1g_2) & B(g_1g_2) \\ 0 & C(g_1g_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A(g_1) & B(g_1) \\ 0 & C(g_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A(g_2) & B(g_2) \\ 0 & C(g_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplying out the block form gives

$$A(g_1g_2) = A(g_1)A(g_2)$$

$$C(g_1g_2) = C(g_1)C(g_2)$$

$$B(g_1g_2) = A(g_1)B(g_2) + B(g_1)C(g_2)$$

(b) Let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} I & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} A(g)B(g)$$

and note

$$T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I & -D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} TX(g)T^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} I & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A(g) & B(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A(g) & B(g) + DC(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A(g) & -A(g)D + B(g) + DC(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A(g) & B(g) \\ 0 & C(g) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider  $-A(g)D + B(g) + DC(g)$ . We are done if we can show it is 0.

$$-A(g)D + B(g) + DC(g) = -\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(g)A(h^{-1})B(h) + B(g) + \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})B(h)C(g)$$

From part (a),  $B(h)C(g) = B(hg) - A(h)B(g)$ .

Substituting,

$$-A(g)D + B(g) + DC(g) = -\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(g)A(h^{-1})B(h) + B(g) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})B(hg) - \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})A(h)B(g)$$

Consider the last summand.  $A(h)A(h^{-1}) = I$ , so

$$-\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})A(h)B(g) = -\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} B(g) = -B(g).$$

So we have

$$-A(g)D + B(g) + DC(g) = -\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(g)A(h^{-1})B(h) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(h^{-1})B(hg)$$

Using the multiplicativity of  $A$  in the first summand and the fact that  $gh = h'$  for some  $h' \in G$  in the second (which doesn't change the sum; we're just running through all the elements of the group in a different order), we obtain:

$$-A(g)D + B(g) + DC(g) = -\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(gh^{-1})B(h) + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} A(gh^{-1})B(h) = 0.$$

(10) The map  $X(r) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \log r \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} X(r)X(s) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \log r \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \log s \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \log(rs) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= X(rs), \end{aligned}$$

and we can see  $X(r) \begin{bmatrix} c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(11) Let  $H = \mathcal{S}_{n-1} \leq \mathcal{S}_n$ , and let  $S$  be the set of tabloids of shape  $(n-1, 1)$ . We want to show that  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbf{S} \cong \mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{n}\}$ . First we need to find a transversal for  $H$ . Note that  $|H| = (n-1)!$ , so the index of  $H$  in  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is  $n$ , so it suffices to show our  $n$  chosen cosets are pairwise disjoint. To do this, suppose  $(i, n)H = (j, n)H$ , so  $(j, n)(i, n) \in H$ . Note this product fixes  $n$  (equivalently, is in  $H$ ) exactly when  $i = j$ . Hence the cosets are disjoint. It is also useful to observe that if  $i \neq j$ , we have  $(i, n)(j, n) = (j, n)(i, j)$ , so  $(i, n)(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{H}$ , while if  $i = j$ , then we compute that  $(i, n)$  interchanges the cosets  $\epsilon H$  and  $(i, n)H$ . This gives us the action on cosets.

Now define the equivalence  $\theta : \mathbb{C}\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{n}\}$  by  $\theta((i, n)\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{i}$  and  $\iota : \mathbb{C}\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{n}\}$  by taking a tabloid basis element to the basis element of  $\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{n}\}$  associated with the single entry in the second level of the tabloid. Since  $\{(i, n)\}_{i=1}^n$  generates  $\mathcal{S}_n$ , it suffices to show that these maps commute with the action of these involutions. This is immediate for  $\iota$  because the image is by definition the entry in the bottom of the tabloid. For  $\theta$ , we use the coset action we determined above, so  $\theta((i, n)(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{H}) = \theta((\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{j}$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $\theta((i, n)(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{n}$  and  $\theta((i, n)\epsilon\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{i}$ . That is, the action of  $(i, n)$  swaps the corresponding pairs of basis elements on both sides of  $\theta$ .

(12) By Corollary 1.6.8, any matrix that commutes with  $X(g)$  for all  $g$  must be of the form  $cI$ . If  $g \in Z_G$ , then by definition  $X(g)$  commutes with  $X(h)$  for all  $h \in G$ , and the conclusion is immediate.

(13) Let  $G$  be the abelian group formed by the matrices  $X_i$ . So the map  $Y(X_i) = X_i$  is a  $d$ -dimensional representation of  $G$ . By Maschke's theorem, there is a single matrix  $T$  such that  $TX_iT^{-1}$  is a block diagonal matrix of irreducible representations. It remains to show that any irreducible representation of an abelian group is 1-dimensional, which maybe we just know that, or

maybe we observe that by Corollary 1.6.8, if  $G$  is an abelian group, any image matrix in an irreducible representation must be a multiple of the identity and thus must be 1-dimensional.

- (14) Suppose towards a contradiction that  $X$  is reducible, so up to isomorphism we can simultaneously write the matrices  $X(g)$  in a nontrivial block form. But then  $X(g)$  commutes with block diagonal matrices with blocks  $xI, yI$ , for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ . Many such matrices are not of the form  $cI$ , which is a contradiction.

Another solution: since only the  $cI$  commute with  $X(g)$ , we have  $\text{Com}(X) = \{cI\}$ , and thus Theorem 1.7.8 part 2 says that  $m_1 = 1$  which is the only nonzero  $m_i$  in the decomposition of  $X$ . The result follows.

- (15) (a) We must check that  $(X \hat{\otimes} Y)(gh) = (X \hat{\otimes} Y)(g)(X \hat{\otimes} Y)(h)$ . To do this, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (X \hat{\otimes} Y)(g)(X \hat{\otimes} Y)(h) &= (X(g) \otimes Y(g))(X(h) \otimes Y(h)) \\ &= X(g)X(h) \otimes Y(g)Y(h) \\ &= X(gh) \otimes Y(gh) \\ &= (X \hat{\otimes} Y)(gh) \end{aligned}$$

The second equality uses Lemma 1.7.7.

- (b) We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi \hat{\otimes} \psi)(g) &= \text{Tr}((X \hat{\otimes} Y)(g)) \\ &= \text{Tr}(X(g) \otimes Y(g)) \\ &= \sum_i X(g)_{i,i} \text{Tr}(Y(g)) \\ &= \sum_i X(g)_{i,i} \psi(g) \\ &= \chi(g) \psi(g) \end{aligned}$$

- (c) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are both the irreducible 2-dimensional representation of  $\mathcal{S}_3$ , then  $X \hat{\otimes} Y$  has dimension 4, but  $\mathcal{S}_3$  has no 4-dimensional irreducible representations.

- (d) We can check that it is irreducible by computing

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi \hat{\otimes} \psi, \chi \hat{\otimes} \psi \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g (\chi \hat{\otimes} \psi)(g) (\chi \hat{\otimes} \psi)(g^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \chi(g) \psi(g) \chi(g^{-1}) \psi(g^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \psi(g) \psi(g^{-1}) \\ &= \langle \psi, \psi \rangle \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

This relies on the fact that  $X$  is one-dimensional, so  $\text{Tr}(X(g^{-1})) = 1/\text{Tr}(X(g))$ , so  $\chi(g)\chi(g^{-1}) = 1$ .

- (16) There are five cycle types/conjugacy classes  $\epsilon, (1, 2), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 2, 3, 4)$ , of sizes 1, 6, 8, 3, and 6, respectively. Because there are five, we are expecting five irreducible representations. We know the trivial  $\chi^{(1)}$  and sign  $\chi^{(2)}$  representations are irreducible, and we know the representation  $\chi^\perp$  orthogonal to the trivial one inside the defining representation, which we can verify is irreducible by computing its self inner product. In addition, we compute the character for  $\chi^{(2)} \hat{\otimes} \chi^\perp$  and see it too is irreducible.

For the final irreducible, consider the normal subgroup  $N$  which is  $\epsilon$  and the conjugacy class of  $(1, 2)(3, 4)$  (This is the Klein four group  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ ). In fact, we have  $\mathcal{S}_4/N \cong \mathcal{S}_3$ . To see this, consider the map  $\phi : \mathcal{S}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_4$  defined by  $\phi(\pi) = \pi N$ . If  $\pi N = \rho N$ , then there is  $n \in N$  so that  $\pi = \rho n$ . If  $n \neq \epsilon$ , then note that  $n$ , and thus  $\rho n$ , does not fix 4 (here  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_3$ , so if  $n$  permutes 4 away from itself,  $\rho$  cannot put it back). On the other hand  $\pi$  does fix 4. This contradiction implies that  $n = \epsilon$ , so  $\phi$  is injective. Since  $|\mathcal{S}_3| = 6$  and  $|\mathcal{S}_4/N| = 6$ , in fact  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. To compute the quotient map from  $\mathcal{S}_4$  to  $\mathcal{S}_3$  on a permutation  $\pi$  which does not fix 4, we need to find  $\rho n = \pi$ , with  $n \in N$  and  $\rho$  fixing 4.

So we can use the lifting process from problem 6 to lift each of the 3 irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{S}_3$  to representations of  $\mathcal{S}_4$ . The trivial and sign representations lift to the trivial and sign representations, respectively, and give us nothing new. But the third irreducible  $\chi^{(3)}$  does give us the final, 2 dimensional, irreducible representation  $\chi^{(3)}$  of  $\mathcal{S}_4$ . (We can check that it is irreducible by computing its self inner product.)

	$\epsilon$	$(1, 2)$	$(1, 2, 3)$	$(1, 2)(3, 4)$	$(1, 2, 3, 4)$
$\chi^{(1)}$ trivial	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi^{(2)}$ sign	1	-1	1	1	-1
$\chi^\perp$	3	1	0	-1	-1
$\chi^{(2)} \hat{\otimes} \chi^\perp$	3	-1	0	-1	1
$\chi^{(3)}$	2	0	-1	2	0

**Problem 1.13.16 (addendum).** What representations correspond to the five characters? The trivial and sign representations are of course the same as the characters themselves. We can read off the degree-3 representation  $X^\perp$  from the block diagonal form we computed using Maschke's Theorem to get the character, and then  $X^{\hat{\otimes}}(g)$  is just  $\chi^{sgn}(g)X^\perp(g)$ .

Unlike Alden, I computed the fifth character  $\chi^{(3)}$  just by orthogonality with the first four, so I didn't get a corresponding representation. What is  $X^{(3)}$ ?

It has degree 2, so we need 2-by-2 matrices. Let  $q = e^{2\pi i/3} = -1/2 + i\sqrt{3}/2$  be a primitive cube root of unity, with  $q^2 = q^{-1} = \bar{q}$ . If  $g \in \mathcal{S}_4$  is a 3-cycle, we need  $M = X^{(3)}(g)$  to satisfy both  $\text{tr } M = \chi^{(3)}(g) = -1$ , and also  $M^3 = I$ , whence  $\det M$  is one of  $\{1, q, q^2\}$ . A 3-cycle is the product of two transpositions, which must have determinants  $\pm 1$ , so the only possibility is  $\det M = 1$ . Let the eigenvalues of  $M$  be  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . We have  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \det M = 1$ , and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \text{tr } M = -1$ . This gives a quadratic equation that we solve to conclude that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are  $q$  and  $q^2$  in some order. The



simplest matrices with those eigenvalues are

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Noting that  $M_2 = M_1^{-1}$ , we would like to set things up to have  $X^{(3)}(g) = M_1$  and  $X^{(3)}(g^{-1}) = M_2$  for the four pairs of 3-cycles  $\{g, g^{-1}\}$  in  $S_4$ .

A 3-cycle is the product of two transpositions that overlap in one element. We note that the six transpositions in  $S_4$  come in three non-overlapping pairs, an example of which is  $\{(13), (24)\}$ . Thus we need at least three 2-by-2 matrices whose products are  $M_1$  or  $M_2$ , each with trace 0 and square  $I$  (whence determinant  $\pm 1$ ). Three matrices that fit the bill are

$$T_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ q^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^2 \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed, the entire representation is determined by the representations of transpositions, so we'll guess that the three matrices immediately above determine an irreducible representation whose character is  $\chi^{(3)}$ . It's easy to check that various things work out—for example, the traces of the non-overlapping double transpositions are 2, and the traces of the 4-cycles are 0.

To make sure (and to learn a little bit of Sage), I wrote [a Jupyter notebook](#) that computes the representations of all the elements of  $S_4$  based on the three transposition matrices above, and then verifies the entire multiplication table.

- (17) (a) We can flip ( $\tau$ , order 2) rotate ( $\rho$ , order  $n$ ), and playing with a shape shows that  $\rho\tau = \tau\rho^{-1}$ .  
 (b) If we have any sequence of  $\tau$  and  $\rho$ , we can slide all  $\rho$  to the right using the relation  $\rho\tau = \tau\rho^{-1}$ , e.g. for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,

$$\tau^j \rho^i = \tau^j \rho^{i-n} = \tau^j \rho^{-(n+i)} = \tau^j \rho^{-1(n-i)} = \rho^{n-i} \tau^j$$

- (c) We compute:

$$(\tau^e \rho^\ell) \rho^j (\rho^{-\ell} \tau^e) = \begin{cases} \rho^j & \text{if } e = 0 \\ \rho^{-j} & \text{if } e = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(\tau^e \rho^\ell) \tau \rho^j (\rho^{-\ell} \tau^e) = \begin{cases} \tau \rho^{2\ell-j} & \text{if } e = 0 \\ \tau \rho^{j-2\ell} & \text{if } e = 1 \end{cases}$$

These relations determine the conjugacy classes of  $D_n$ . The answer depends on whether  $n$  is odd (the issue is whether 2 is relatively prime to  $n$ , ie. whether 2 is a generator of the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}/n$ ).

If  $n$  is even, then the conjugacy classes are

$$\{\epsilon\}, \{\rho^1, \rho^{n-1}\}, \dots, \{\rho^{n/2-1}, \rho^{n/2+1}\}, \{\rho^{n/2}\}, \{\tau, \tau\rho^2, \dots, \tau\rho^{n-2}\}, \{\tau\rho, \tau\rho^3, \dots, \tau\rho^{n-1}\},$$

so there are  $n/2 + 3$  classes total. If  $n$  is odd, the conjugacy classes are

$$\{\epsilon\}, \{\rho^1, \rho^{n-1}\}, \dots, \{\rho^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \rho^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\}, \{\tau, \tau\rho, \dots, \tau\rho^{n-1}\},$$

so there are  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2$  classes total.

- (d) There are some simple-to-define representations  $X_j$ , which we will check are irreducible. Define  $X_j$  as follows, where  $\rho$  is mapped to a rotation

$$X_j(\rho) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n} & -\sin \frac{2\pi j}{n} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi j}{n} & \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\tau$  to a flip

$$X_j(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The representation  $X_1$  is the “defining” representation of  $D_n$  as it’s usually defined acting on a  $n$ -sided polygon in the plane. (Although this is a 2-dimensional *complex* representation!)

Let  $\chi_j$  be the character of  $X_j$ . Note that

$$\chi_j(\rho^i) = \text{Tr}(X_j(\rho^i)) = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi i j}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_j(\tau \rho^i) = 0.$$

In particular, we can ignore  $\tau \rho^i$  for all subsequent calculations of characters.

We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_j, \chi_j \rangle &= \frac{1}{|D_n|} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi_j(\rho^i) \chi_j(\rho^{-i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( 2 \cos \frac{2\pi i j}{n} \right) \left( 2 \cos \frac{-2\pi i j}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \cos^2 \left( \frac{2\pi i j}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1 + \cos \frac{4\pi i j}{n}}{2} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \cos \frac{4\pi i j}{n} \\ &= 1 + \delta_{j=0} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality uses the fact that the sum is zero as long as  $j \neq 0$  because the sum of the  $k$ th roots of unity is zero for any  $k > 1$ . We conclude that  $X_j$  is irreducible for  $j > 0$ . This makes sense, because if  $j = 0$ , then the representation is diagonal and is clearly the direct sum of two other representations: the trivial representation  $X^{(1)}$  and the sign representation  $X^\tau$  defined by  $X^\tau(\tau^e \rho^i) = (-1)^e$ .

We appear to have created  $n+1$  irreducible representations (the  $n-1$  representations  $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ , plus  $X^{(1)}$  and  $X^\tau$ ), but these are not all distinct. It suffices to check which characters are the same, and it is straightforward to see that we have  $\chi_j(\rho^i) = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi i j}{n} = \chi_{n-j}(\rho^i)$ , and these are the only pairs of characters which are the same.

That is, for  $n$  even, we have given  $n/2 + 2$  irreducibles, and for  $n$  odd we have given  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2$

We are missing one representation when  $n$  is even, which is given by an alternating representation  $X^\rho(\tau^e \rho^i) = (-1)^i$ .

**Problem 1.13.17 (alternate solution)**

(a) Distance-preserving transformations in  $R^n$  are translations and orthogonal transformations. Orthogonal transformations in  $R^2$  are generated by rotations and reflections, which satisfy the relations that define  $D_n$ . (Translations are irrelevant if only because they change the center of mass of the  $n$ -gon.)

(b) Since  $\rho^{-1} = \rho^{n-1}$  and  $\tau^{-1} = \tau$ , any element of  $D_n$  can be written as a word in  $\rho$  and  $\tau$ . We can use  $\rho\tau = \tau\rho^{n-1}$  to move the  $\tau$ 's all the way to the left, combine the resulting powers, and reduce them modulo 2 and  $n$ .

(c) What is the conjugacy class  $K_g$ ? Consider the cases (1)  $g = \rho^j$  and (2)  $g = \tau\rho^j$ . In case (1),  $hgh^{-1}$  is either  $g$  (if  $h = \rho^i$ ) or  $g^{-1}$  (if  $h = \tau\rho^i$ ). We have  $g^{-1} = g$  if  $j = 0$  or  $j = n/2$ , so this gives us singleton classes  $K_\epsilon = \{\epsilon\}$  and (if  $n$  is even)  $K_{\rho^{n/2}} = \{\rho^{n/2}\}$ , as well as  $\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$  classes of size two,  $K_g = \{g, g^{-1}\}$ .

In case (2), first consider  $g = \tau$ . Then  $hgh^{-1}$  is  $\tau\rho^{-2i}$  if  $h = \rho^i$  or  $\tau\rho^{2i}$  if  $h = \tau\rho^i$ . If  $n$  is odd,  $\tau\rho^{\pm 2i}$  ranges over all  $n$  elements of the form  $\tau\rho^j$ , so there is just one more congruence class  $K_\tau$ . If  $n$  is even,  $K_\tau$  contains the  $n/2$  elements of the form  $\tau\rho^{2j}$ , and a similar computation shows that  $K_{\tau\rho}$  contains the  $n/2$  elements of the form  $\tau\rho^{2j+1}$ .

To sum up, if  $n$  is odd there are  $(n+3)/2$  classes with sizes  $(1, n, ((n-1)/2)*2)$ , and if  $n$  is even there are  $(n+6)/2$  classes with sizes  $(1, 1, n/2, n/2, ((n-2)/2)*2)$ .

(d) Ignoring the hint, we make an intuitive guess and then check it. Let  $q$  be any  $n$ 'th root of unity (primitive or not), and define

$$X^q(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X^q(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that these matrices satisfy the defining relations

$$(X^q(\rho))^n = (X^q(\tau))^2 = I, \quad X^q(\rho)X^q(\tau) = X^q(\tau)(X^q(\rho))^{-1}.$$

Therefore, if we extend  $X^q$  to all of  $G$  by

$$X^q(\tau^i \rho^j) = (X^q(\tau))^i (X^q(\rho))^j,$$

we get a homomorphism, which is to say a representation. Noting that all the matrices  $X^q(\tau\rho^j)$  have trace 0, it is straightforward to compute the corresponding characters  $\chi^q$ : If  $n$  is odd we have

$$\chi^q = (2, 0, q + q^{-1}, q^2 + q^{-2}, \dots, q^{(n-1)/2} + q^{-(n-1)/2}),$$

and if  $n$  is even we have

$$\chi^q = (2, q^{n/2}, 0, 0, q + q^{-1}, q^2 + q^{-2}, \dots, q^{(n-2)/2} + q^{-(n-2)/2}).$$

We see that  $\chi^q = \chi^{1/q}$ , and otherwise all the  $\chi^q$  are different, so we have  $n/2$  (even  $n$ ) or  $(n+1)/2$  (odd  $n$ ) inequivalent representations. We will show that most of these are irreducible by computing inner products.

For  $n$  odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \chi^p, \chi^q \rangle &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 + n \cdot 0 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} (p^k + p^{-k})(q^k + q^{-k}) \\
&= 4 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} ((pq)^k + (1/pq)^k + (p/q)^k + (q/p)^k) \\
&= 4 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ((pq)^k + (p/q)^k) \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (pq)^k + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (p/q)^k,
\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows because  $(pq)^n = 1$ , so  $(1/pq)^k = (pq)^{n-k}$ , and similarly for  $p/q$  and  $q/p$ . If the two characters are different, then  $p \neq q$  and  $p \neq 1/q$ , and  $pq$  and  $p/q$  are both roots of unity, not equal to 1, whose degree divides  $n$ . Each of the two sums adds up all their (respective) powers with the same multiplicity, so each sum is 0. Thus  $\chi^p$  and  $\chi^q$  are orthogonal. If  $p = q \neq 1$ , the first sum is 0 and the second sum is  $n$ , so  $\langle \chi^q, \chi^q \rangle = 2n = |D_n|$  and  $\chi^q$  is irreducible. Taking  $p = q = 1$ , we find  $\langle \chi^1, \chi^1 \rangle = 4n$ , so  $\chi^1$  is reducible. Indeed,  $X^1$  is equivalent to the direct sum of two copies of the degree-one representation with  $X^\pm(\rho^j) = 1$  and  $X^\pm(\tau\rho^j) = -1$  for each  $j$ . Including  $X^{triv}$ , we now have  $(n-1)/2$  irreducibles of degree 2 and 2 irreducibles of degree 1, for  $(n+3)/2$  in all, as desired.

I really should do the similar computation for  $n$  even, but I'm too lazy at the moment.

- (18) Suppose that  $s_i$  is a transversal for  $K \subseteq H$  and  $u_i$  is a transversal for  $H \subseteq G$ . Then by definition we have

$$((X \uparrow_K^H) \uparrow_H^G)(g) = ((X \uparrow_K^H)(u_i^{-1}gu_j))_{i,j} = \left( (X(s_n^{-1}u_i^{-1}gu_js_m))_{n,m} \right)_{i,j}.$$

By Proposition 1.12.5, it suffices to show that  $u_js_i$  is a transversal for  $K \subseteq G$  since the representation  $X \uparrow_K^G$  does not depend on the choice of transversal. To see this, suppose we have  $u_js_iK = u_ns_mK$ . Then since  $s_iK, s_mK \subseteq H$ , we have that the cosets  $u_jH$  and  $u_nH$  are not disjoint, so they coincide, so  $j = n$ . Multiplying by  $u_j^{-1}$ , we have  $s_iK = s_mK$ , so immediately we have that  $i = m$ . Thus  $j = n$  and  $i = m$ , so we do have a transversal of  $K$  in  $G$ , as desired.