

## The Prisoner's Dilemma

### Problem

Three prisoners,  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$ , with apparently equally good records have applied for parole. The parole board has decided to release two of the three, and the prisoners know this but not which two. A warder friend of prisoner  $A$  knows who are to be released. Prisoner  $A$  realizes that it would be unethical to ask the warder if he,  $A$ , is to be released, but thinks of asking for the name of one prisoner other than himself who is to be released. He thinks that before he asks, his chances of release are  $\frac{2}{3}$ . He thinks that if the warder says " $B$  will be released", his own chances have now gone down to  $1/2$ , because either  $A$  and  $B$  or  $B$  and  $C$  are to be released. And so  $A$  decides not to reduce his chances by asking. However,  $A$  is mistaken in his calculations. Explain.

### Solution

Prisoner  $A$ 's mistake is not considering the entire sample space of pairs of prisoners that could be released, which is  $\Omega = \{AB, AC, BC\}$ . In the case of  $AB$ , the warden will say that  $B$  will be released, and  $A$  has a 100% chance of release. Similarly, in the case of  $AC$ , the warden will say that  $C$  will be released, and  $A$  has a 100% chance of release. In the case of  $BC$ , the warden will say that either  $B$  or  $C$  will be released, and  $A$  has a 0% chance of release. Each outcome has a  $\frac{1}{3}$  chance of occurring. When combined, this gives the overall chance of release with the warden's statement as:

$$P(A \text{ Released}) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) 1 + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) 1 + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) 0 = \frac{2}{3}$$

Thus, asking the warden has no effect on prisoner  $A$ 's chances of being released.