

The Bayesian False Discovery Rate

$H_1 \dots H_n$ - hypotheses

$p_1 \dots p_n$ - p-values \Rightarrow assume that iid.

$$x_i = \mathbb{1}_{\{H_{0i} \text{ is false}\}} = \begin{cases} 1, & H_{0i} \text{ is false} \\ 0, & H_{0i} \text{ is true} \end{cases}$$

Cdf of p_i :

$$F_p(t) = P(p_i \leq t) \stackrel{t \in [0,1]}{=} \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } x_i = 0 \\ F_A(t), & \text{if } x_i = 1 \end{cases}$$

Law of Total Prob.

$$\Rightarrow F_p(t) = F_p(t/x_i=0)P(x_i=0) + F_p(t/x_i=1)P(x_i=1) \\ = t \cdot P(x_i=0) + F_A(t)P(x_i=1)$$

\Rightarrow cdf of p_i can be considered as a mixture of two distributions: $P(x_i=0)$ and $P(x_i=1)$

We can think that we generate x_i randomly from the binomial distribution:

$$P(x_i=1) = \varepsilon = 1 - P(x_i=0)$$

In the situation when n is large we can often analyze the data assuming this model (see the sparse mixture model)

$$\Rightarrow F_p(t) = t(1-\varepsilon) + F_A(t)\varepsilon$$

Let t_0 be a critical value:

H_{0i} is rejected if $p_i \leq t_0$

$$\text{BFDR} = P(H_{0i} \text{ is true} / H_{0i} \text{ is rejected})$$

Let us compare BFDR with FDR:

$$\text{BFDR} = \frac{P(H_{0i} \text{ is true}, H_{0i} \text{ is rejected})}{P(H_{0i} \text{ is rejected})}$$

$$FDR = E \frac{V}{RV1} = \frac{V = \# \{ H_{0i} \text{ is rejected, } H_{0i} \text{ is true} \}}{R = \# \{ H_{0i} \text{ is rejected} \}} =$$

= for large $n + LLN$ $E \frac{V/n}{(RV1)/n} \approx \frac{P(H_{0i} \text{ is rejected, } H_{0i} \text{ is true})}{P(H_{0i} \text{ is rejected})} = \text{BFDR}$

$$BFDR = \frac{P(H_{0i} \text{ is rejected} / H_{0i} \text{ is true}) P(H_{0i})}{P(H_{0i} \text{ is rejected})} =$$

$$= \frac{P(p_i \leq t_0 / H_{0i}) (1-\epsilon)}{P(p_i \leq t_0)} = \frac{t_0 (1-\epsilon)}{F_p(t_0)}$$

$$\tau_{BFDR} = \max \{ t_0 : BFDR \leq q \} = \max \left\{ t : \frac{t(1-\epsilon)}{F_p(t)} \leq q \right\}$$

- we want to control BFDR on level q

Let us compare τ_{BFDR} with τ_{BH} .

$$\tau_{BFDR} = \max \left\{ t : \frac{t(1-\epsilon)}{F_p(t)} \leq q \right\}$$

$$\tau_{BH} = \max \left\{ t : \frac{t}{\hat{F}_n(t) \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}} \leq q \right\}$$

$1-\epsilon \rightarrow 1$
 $F_p(t) \rightarrow \hat{F}_n(t)$

if we could calculate/estimate ϵ , $F_p(t) \Rightarrow$

\Rightarrow we'll get a little bit better procedure.

Let us assume that n is large enough s.t.:

$$F_p(t) \approx \hat{F}_n(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow BFDR \approx (1-\epsilon) FDR_{BH} = (1-\epsilon) q \approx \frac{n_0}{n} q.$$

Bayesian classifier

X - data ; $X \in \Omega$

$H_0: X \sim P_0$ (vs) $H_A: X \sim P_A$

P_0, P_A are defined (see, Neyman-Pearson lemma)

Let $\Omega = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_A$, where

Γ_0 is acceptance region : $X \in \Gamma_0 \Leftrightarrow H_0$ is accepted

Γ_A is rejection region : $X \in \Gamma_A \Leftrightarrow H_0$ is rejected

-3-

Let $\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_0 \end{cases}$ be a test function.

Cost function

C:

	H_0 is accepted	H_0 is rejected
H_0 is true	0	c_0
H_A is true	c_A	0

"we have no loss when we make correct decision and we pay some cost in the case of wrong decision (c_0 for Type I Error and c_A for Type II Error).

$$E(C/H_0) = 0 \cdot P(x \in \Gamma_0/H_0) + c_0 P(x \in \Gamma_A/H_0) = c_0 P(x \in \Gamma_A/H_0) \quad \text{Type I Error}$$

$$E(C/H_A) = c_A P(x \in \Gamma_0/H_A) + 0 \cdot P(x \in \Gamma_A/H_A) = c_A P(x \in \Gamma_0/H_A) \quad \text{Type II Error}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(C) = E(C/H_0) \cdot P(H_0) + E(C/H_A) \cdot P(H_A) = c_0 P(x \in \Gamma_A/H_0) P(H_0) + c_A P(x \in \Gamma_0/H_A) P(H_A) \Leftrightarrow$$

let us assume that $P(x \in \cdot / H_0)$ and $P(x \in \cdot / H_A)$ have densities: $f(x/H_0)$ and $f(x/H_A)$ respectively. ($\Leftrightarrow P_0, P_A$ have densities).

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow c_0 P(H_0) \int_{\Gamma_A} f(x/H_0) dx + c_A P(H_A) \int_{\Gamma_0} f(x/H_A) dx &= \\ = \int_{\Gamma_0 = \Omega \setminus \Gamma_A} &= \\ = c_0 P(H_0) \int_{\Gamma_A} f(x/H_0) dx + c_A P(H_A) \left(1 - \int_{\Gamma_A} f(x/H_A) dx \right) &= \\ = c_A P(H_A) + \int_{\Gamma_A} [c_0 P(H_0) f(x/H_0) - c_A P(H_A) f(x/H_A)] dx & \end{aligned}$$

we would like to minimize $E(C) \Leftrightarrow$

we would like to pick Γ_A so as $E(C)$ is minimal.

$$E(C) = c_A P(H_A) + \int_{\Gamma_A} g(x) dx \rightarrow \min$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Gamma_A := \{x : g(x) \leq 0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_A = \{x : c_0 P(H_0) f(x/H_0) - c_A P(H_A) f(x/H_A) \leq 0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_A = \left\{x : \frac{c_0 P(H_0) f(x/H_0)}{c_A P(H_A) f(x/H_A)} \leq 1\right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_A = \left\{x : \frac{f(x/H_A)}{f(x/H_0)} \geq \frac{c_0 P(H_0)}{c_A P(H_A)}\right\}$$

Let us compare with Neyman-Pearson rule:

$\frac{f(x/H_A)}{f(x/H_0)}$ is likelihood ratio but we specify the probability of Type I Error

$$\Gamma_A : P(\Gamma_A/H_0) = \alpha \text{ where } \alpha \text{ is given}$$

for Bayesian classifier: critical value depends on $P(H_0)$, $P(H_1)$ and on the cost function.

If c_0 or $P(H_0)$ are large \Rightarrow likelihood ratio should be large.

If $c_0 = c_A = 1 \Leftrightarrow c = \text{Probability of making wrong decision}$

this rule minimizes misclassification error (choosing H_0 when H_1 is true and otherwise)

(this is close to naive Bayesian classifier).

Example Sparse Mixture Model

$$H_0: X_i \sim N(0,1) \quad \text{VS} \quad H_1: X_i \sim (1-\varepsilon)N(0,1) + \varepsilon N(\mu,1)$$

cost:	H_0 is accepted	H_0 is rejected
H_0 is true	0	c_0
H_A is true	c_A	0

$$P(H_0) = 1-\varepsilon; \quad P(H_A) = \varepsilon$$

$$t_{1i} = P(H_{0i} \text{ is rejected} / H_{0i} \text{ is true}) = P(\text{Type I Error})$$

$$t_{2i} = P(H_{0i} \text{ is accepted} / H_{Ai} \text{ is true}) = P(\text{Type II Error})$$

$$\Rightarrow E(C_i) = c_0(1-\varepsilon)t_{1i} + c_A\varepsilon t_{2i} \rightarrow \min$$

$$\text{if } \frac{f(X_i/H_A)}{f(X_i/H_0)} \geq \frac{c_0}{c_A} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}$$

$$\frac{(1-\varepsilon)e^{-\frac{X_i^2}{2}} + \varepsilon e^{-\frac{(X_i-\mu)^2}{2}}}{e^{-X_i^2/2}} = (1-\varepsilon) + \varepsilon e^{\mu X_i - \frac{\mu^2}{2}} \geq \frac{c_0}{c_A} \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$$

$$e^{\mu X_i} \geq e^{\frac{\mu^2}{2}} \left(\frac{c_0}{c_A} \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} - (1-\varepsilon) \right) = e^{\frac{\mu^2}{2}} (1-\varepsilon) \left(\frac{c_0}{c_A} \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 1 \right)$$

$$X_i \geq \underbrace{\frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{2} + \log(1-\varepsilon) + \log\left(\frac{c_0}{c_A} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 1\right) \right)}_{\tau_{BCE}}$$

\Rightarrow we reject all $H_{0i} : X_i \geq \tau_{BCE}$.

$$BFDR = P(H_{0i} \text{ is true} / H_{0i} \text{ is rejected}) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)t_1}{(1-\varepsilon)t_1 + \varepsilon(1-t_2)}$$

(Storey, 2003) in the case when individual test statistics are generated by the two-component mixture model and the multiple testing procedure uses the same fixed threshold for each of the tests, BFDR coincides with the positive FDR (pFDR)

$$pFDR = E\left(\frac{V}{R} / R > 0\right) = \frac{FDR}{P(R > 0)}$$

threshold for BFDR: $\frac{(1-\varepsilon)(1-F_0(c_{BFDR}))}{1-F(c_{BFDR})} = q,$

where F_0 is the cdf of $N(0,1)$,
 F is the cdf of $(1-\varepsilon)N(0,1) + \varepsilon N(\mu,1)$.

Remark $BFDR = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)t_1}{(1-\varepsilon)t_1 + \varepsilon(1-t_2)} \leq q$

$(1-q)(1-\varepsilon)t_1 + q\varepsilon t_2 \leq q\varepsilon$

Bayes Risk with $C_0 = 1-q$; $C_A = q$
 BFDR controls Bayes Risk with
 $C_0 = 1-q$; $C_A = q$ on the level $q\varepsilon$.