- 1) b) The population is drawn from the subset of voting-age US residents (not necessarily registered voters) that spew time doing online somenys. This necessarily makes it so treat this sample is not random. Every number of the population dees not have an equal chance of being selected to be part of the sample we'd be sampling individuals with technical saving - at least enough to be able to be the interest. This builds a skew into our sample which may wake into our sample. e.g. Social Media belonce in any statistical analysis me perform on the sample. e.g. Social Media analysis.

  It appears that Trump articles were stared much more.
- 3) & snopes 138, bazzfer) : 21 politifut: 13} ( has homes Edatabase name: # of articles?

Exclusives: ? snopes 124 breefeed : 9 politifiet! 93

Snopes had the most comprehensive duta set with the highest exclusive articles / total articles ratio of .899.

Bizzfee I had the least comprehensive dataset with a retio of .429.

- 4) No, the vast majority of respondents time (43%) was not spent on social media.
- 5) Social media is fairly important, but not more widelyused than Cable TV or the Website ackgory.