

>

GAME THEORY TEMPLATE

This template solves zero sum (Total Conflict) and non-zero sum (Partial Conflict) games.

By

William P. Fox

Professor

Department of Defense Analysis

Naval Postgraduate School

Monterey, CA 93943

> Restart :

> with(LinearAlgebra) : with(Optimization) :

>

▼ Total Conflict Games

```
> TotalConflictGame := proc( r, c, A)
    local M, B1, B, X, Y, Cnst1, Cnst, Colin, Rose;
    with(LinearAlgebra) : with(Optimization) :
    #make a local copy of A that we can change
    M := Matrix( A ) : B1 := Transpose(A) : B := -A :
    X := `<, >`(seq(x[i], i = 1 ..r));
    Y := `<, >`(seq(y[i], i = 1 ..c));

    Cnst1 := {seq( (B.Y) [i] ≥ p1 - p2, i = 1 .. r) , add(y[i], i = 1 .. c) = 1};
    Cnst := { seq( (B1.X) [i] ≥ q1 - q2, i = 1 .. c), add(x[i], i = 1 .. r) = 1};
    Colin := LPSolve(p1 - p2, Cnst1, assume = nonnegative, maximize);
    Rose := LPSolve(q1 - q2, Cnst, assume = nonnegative, maximize);
    print(Rose, Colin);
end proc;
TotalConflictGame := proc(r, c, A)
    local M, B1, B, X, Y, Cnst1, Cnst, Colin, Rose;
    with(LinearAlgebra);
    with(Optimization);
    M:= Matrix(A);
    B1 := LinearAlgebra:-Transpose(A);
    B := -A;
    X:= < seq(x[i], i = 1 ..r) > ;
    Y:= < seq(y[i], i = 1 ..c) > ;
    Cnst1 := {seq( p1 - p2 <= Typesetting:-delayDotProduct(B, Y) [i], i = 1 ..r), add(y[i],
    i = 1 ..c) = 1};
    Cnst := {seq(q1 - q2 <= Typesetting:-delayDotProduct(B1, X) [i], i = 1 ..c), add(x[i],
```

(1.1)

```

i = 1 .. r) = 1 };
Colin := Optimization:-LPSolve(p1 - p2, Cnst1, assume = nonnegative, maximize);
Rose := Optimization:-LPSolve(q1 - q2, Cnst, assume = nonnegative, maximize);
print(Rose, Colin)

```

end proc

> # Example 1 Mixed Strategy Game

> A := Matrix([[4, -4, 3, 2, -3, 3], [-1, -1, -2, 0, 0, 4], [-1, 2, 1, -1, 2, -3]]);

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -4 & 3 & 2 & -3 & 3 \\ -1 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.2)$$

> TotalConflictGame(3, 6, A);

[-0.0714285700799631, [q1 = 0., q2 = 0.0714285700799631, x1 = 0.238095238267338, x2 = 0.214285714156640, x3 = 0.547619047576023]], [0.0714285720985307, [p1 = 0.0714285720985307, p2 = 0., y1 = 0., y2 = 0.357142857050661, y3 = 0., y4 = 0.571428571711307, y5 = 0., y6 = 0.0714285712380325]]] (1.3)

> # Example 2 Mixed Strategy Game

> A := Matrix([[1, 2, 2], [2, 1, 2], [2, 2, 0]]);

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.4)$$

> ra := 3;

ra := 3 (1.5)

> rc := 3;

rc := 3 (1.6)

> TotalConflictGame(ra, rc, A);

[1.600000000000000, [q1 = 1.600000000000000, q2 = 0., x1 = 0.400000000000000, x2 = 0.400000000000000, x3 = 0.200000000000000]], [-1.59999999710873, [p1 = 0., p2 = 1.59999999710873, y1 = 0.399999997728285, y2 = 0.400000001858676, y3 = 0.200000000413039]]] (1.7)

> # Example 3 Pure Strategy Game

> A := Matrix([[12, -1, 1, 0], [5, 1, 7, -20], [3, 2, 4, 3], [-16, 0, 0, 16]]);

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 & 7 & -20 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ -16 & 0 & 0 & 16 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.8)$$

> ra := 4 : rc := 4 :

> TotalConflictGame(ra, rc, A);

[2.000000000103260, [q1 = 2.000000000103260, q2 = 0., x1 = 0., x2 = 0., x3 = 1., x4 = 0.]], [(1.9)

```
-1.99999999676835, [p1 = 0., p2 = 1.99999999676835, y1 = 0., y2
= 1.00000000090830, y3 = 0., y4 = 0. ]]
```

Partial Conflict Games

```
> PartialConflictGame := proc( ar, ac, A, B, ip, iq)
  local X, Y, Cnst, objective;
  with(LinearAlgebra) : with(Optimization) :

  X := `<, >`(seq(x[i], i = 1 .. ar) );
  Y := `<, >`(seq(y[i], i = 1 .. ac) );
  Cnst := {seq( (A.Y) [i] ≤ p, i = 1 .. ar), seq( (Transpose(X).B) [i] ≤ q, i = 1 .. ac),
  add(x[i], i = 1 .. ar) = 1, add(y[i], i = 1 .. ac) = 1 };
  objective := expand(Transpose(X).A.Y + Transpose(X).B.Y - p - q);
  QPSolve(objective, Cnst, assume = nonnegative, maximize, initialpoint = {p = ip, q
= iq});
```

end proc;

PartialConflictGame := **proc**(ar, ac, A, B, ip, iq) (2.1)

```
  local X, Y, Cnst, objective;
  with(LinearAlgebra);
  with(Optimization);
  X := < seq(x[i], i = 1 .. ar) > ;
  Y := < seq(y[i], i = 1 .. ac) > ;
  Cnst := {seq( Typesetting:-delayDotProduct(LinearAlgebra:-Transpose(X), B) [i]
  <= q, i = 1 .. ac), seq( Typesetting:-delayDotProduct(A, Y) [i] <= p, i = 1 .. ar), add(x[i]
, i = 1 .. ar) = 1, add(y[i], i = 1 .. ac) = 1 };
  objective := expand( Typesetting:-delayDotProduct( Typesetting:-
delayDotProduct(LinearAlgebra:-Transpose(X), A), Y) + Typesetting:-
delayDotProduct( Typesetting:-delayDotProduct(LinearAlgebra:-Transpose(X), B),
Y) - p - q);
  Optimization:-QPSolve(objective, Cnst, assume = nonnegative, maximize, initialpoint
= {p = ip, q = iq})
```

end proc

```
> # Example 1 Pure Strategy
```

```
> A := Matrix( [ [-1, 0, 0], [2, 1, 0], [0, 1, 2] ] );
```

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(2.2)

```
> B := Matrix( [ [1, 2, 2], [1, -1, 0], [0, 1, 2] ] );
```

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

```
> ar := 3 : ac := 3 :
> PartialConflictGame(ar, ac, A, B, 10, 10);
[0., [p = 2., q = 1., x1 = 0., x2 = 1., x3 = 0., y1 = 1., y2 = 0., y3 = 0.]] (2.4)
```

```
> # Example 2 Equaling Strategy (No pure strategy)
```

```
> A := Matrix([[2, 1], [3, 0]]);
A := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (2.5)
```

```
> B := Matrix([[4, 0], [1, 4]]);
B := \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} (2.6)
```

```
> ar := 2 : ac := 2 :
> PartialConflictGame(ar, ac, A, B, 10, 10);
[0., [p = 1.500000000000000, q = 2.28571428571429, x1 = 0.428571428571429, x2
= 0.571428571428571, y1 = 0.500000000000000, y2 = 0.500000000000000]] (2.7)
```

Prudential Strategies and Security Levels

```
> PrudentialStrategies := proc( ar, ac, A, B)
  local X, Y, CnstR, CnstC, Obj1, Obj2, SLR, SLC;
  with(LinearAlgebra) : with(Optimization) :
  X := '<, >'(seq(x[i], i = 1 .. ar));
  Y := '<, >'(seq(y[i], i = 1 .. ac));
  CnstR := {seq((Transpose(X).A)[i] ≥ p1 - p2, i = 1 .. ar), add(x[i], i = 1 .. ar) = 1};
  CnstC := {seq((B.Y)[i] ≥ q1 - q2, i = 1 .. ac), add(y[i], i = 1 .. ac) = 1};
  Obj1 := p1 - p2; Obj2 := q1 - q2;
  SLR := LPSolve(Obj1, CnstR, assume = nonnegative, maximize) ;; SLC
  := LPSolve(Obj2, CnstC, assume = nonnegative, maximize);
  print(SLR, SLC);
end proc;
PrudentialStrategies := proc(ar, ac, A, B) (3.1)
  local X, Y, CnstR, CnstC, Obj1, Obj2, SLR, SLC;
  with(LinearAlgebra);
  with(Optimization);
  X := '< seq(x[i], i = 1 .. ar) >';
  Y := '< seq(y[i], i = 1 .. ac) >';
  CnstR := {seq(p1 - p2 <= Typesetting:-delayDotProduct(LinearAlgebra:-
  Transpose(X), A)[i], i = 1 .. ar), add(x[i], i = 1 .. ar) = 1};
  CnstC := {seq(q1 - q2 <= Typesetting:-delayDotProduct(B, Y)[i], i = 1 .. ac), add(y[i]
```

```

], i = 1 .. ac) = 1};
Obj1 := p1 - p2;
Obj2 := q1 - q2;
SLR := Optimization:-LPSolve(Obj1, CnstR, assume = nonnegative, maximize);
SLC := Optimization:-LPSolve(Obj2, CnstC, assume = nonnegative, maximize);
print(SLR, SLC)

```

end proc

```
> # Example 1
```

```
> A := Matrix( [[3, 8], [4, 6]]);
```

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 8 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

```
> B := Matrix( [[7, 5], [5, 6]]);
```

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 5 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

```
> ar := 2 : ac := 2 :
```

```
> PrudentialStrategies(ar, ac, A, B);
```

$$[4., [p1 = 4., p2 = 0., x_1 = 0., x_2 = 1.]], [5.666666666666667, [q1 = 5.666666666666667, q2 = 0., y_1 = 0.333333333333333, y_2 = 0.666666666666667]]] \quad (3.4)$$

```
>
```

```
>
```

```
>
```

▼ Nash Arbitration Method

```
> NashArbitration := proc( slr, slc, x1, y1, x2, y2)
```

```
    local objective, in1;
```

```
    with(LinearAlgebra) : with(Optimization) :
```

```
    objective := (x - slr) · (y - slc);
```

```
    in1 := (y - y1) -  $\frac{(y1 - y2)}{(x1 - x2)}$  (x - x1) ;
```

```
    NLPSolve(objective, {in1 = 0, x ≥ slr, y ≥ slc, x ≤ x2, y ≤ y1}, maximize);
```

end proc;

```
NashArbitration := proc(slr, slc, x1, y1, x2, y2)
```

(4.1)

```
    local objective, in1;
```

```
    with(LinearAlgebra);
```

```
    with(Optimization);
```

```
    objective := (x - slr) * (y - slc);
```

```
    in1 := y - y1 - (y1 - y2) * (x - x1) / (x1 - x2);
```

```
    Optimization:-NLPSolve(objective, {in1 = 0, x ≤ x2, y ≤ y1, slr ≤ x, slc ≤ y}, maximize)
```

```
end proc
```

```
> p1 := 3 : p2 := 7 : q1 := 8 : q2 := 5 :
```

```
> fx := (x - 1 + 0) · (y - (16/7) + 0);
```

$$fx := (x - 1) \left(y - \frac{16}{7} \right) \quad (4.2)$$

```
> POI := (y - 4) - (4 - 1)/(2 - 3) · (x - 2);
```

$$POI := y - 10 + 3x \quad (4.3)$$

```
> NLPsolve(fx, {POI = 0, x ≥ 1, y ≥ 16/7, x ≥ 2, x ≤ 3, y ≤ 4}, assume = nonnegative,
maximize);
```

Warning, no iterations performed as initial point satisfies first-order conditions

$$[1.71428571428571441, [x = 2., y = 4.]] \quad (4.4)$$

```
> # Example 1
```

```
> NashArbitration(4, 5.6666, 3, 7, 8, 5);
```

$$[0.544522224999998250, [x = 5.166750000000000, y = 6.133300000000000]] \quad (4.5)$$

```
> # Example 2
```

```
> NashArbitration(10/3, 6, 4, 8, 10, 5);
```

$$[2.72222222222222010, [x = 5.666666666666667, y = 7.166666666666667]] \quad (4.6)$$

```
>
```

```
>
```

```
>
```