

ESSAY

①

(1817)

In the Genealogy of morals, Friedrich Nietzsche produced perhaps the first critical analysis on the ^{origins} ~~history~~ of moral values and ethics tracing how the ^{and determination} notions of good and evil changed going from the Greco-Roman age to the Christian ^(shaking) like his other works but even more distinctly, Nietzsches scathing polemics seem even more relevant today than ever, and the Christian clergy has simply been replaced by leaders of a new left, this strange, unknown force that has cleverly concealed itself behind the masses and monopolize the domain of ethics to do what is but the agenda - 'The will to power'

According to Nietzsche, what exemplified the Greco-Roman system of good was for humanity to embrace life, to soak in its pleasures and conquer it via the 'dionysian spirit' of passionate, creative wills who didn't concern themselves with what was mandated by the stable. In contrast, since the Christian clergy usurped the Roman empire through not the power of love, but through a cunning seizing by ~~inserting~~ inverting moral virtues, championing forced equality, ~~a~~ life denying ideals and celebrating weakness. In a venomous disdain for their own wills impotence and spiritual voidness, they framed the dionysian good as the new evil, this denial of human instincts in the good and bad, the will to power became a short cut to hell.

To deaden the self, to not desire became the new thing.
The two core features that made this latter power system more malicious of the two is that while the ~~first~~ creative spirit is beyond the zeitgeist and is a lion amongst the rest, the latter gains the power by deliberately creating a hostile enemy, the other as a justification for their own weakness, and the ~~victim~~ weaknesses are affirmed as the new good. The bird ^{eagle} of prey is now ~~held~~ ^{held} guilty for being a bird of prey. Nietzsche terms this kind of morality as slave morality (the earlier being master morality) what differentiates the two is resentment.

With this brief sketch, let's discuss how this is applicable today. It is the slave morality in action by appropriation of 'victimhood' by the New left. This New left signifies a difficult to tear group, deliberately by their efforts, and the first instances of it separating from marxism-leninism happened first in the 1970s when details of Stalin's 'Reign of Terror' became public knowledge, and with the lukewarm growth of the Soviet bloc compared to market economics. The second phase happened with the fall of the Berlin wall and collapse of the USSR as it was no going back to the Soviet model of marxism and scholars like

②
E. Hobsbawm were attached for their Soviet
apologetics, while marxism is considered a
materialist school. The marxist intelligentia
dispersed into a post modernist model which
rejects materialism, so as to diminish any notions
of being the left which would have outwardly
rejected psychoanalysis which now became a
tool for the new left, integrating Freudian analysis
to new marxian system and superimposing
them views as either marxist modernists or post-
modern nihilists according to convenience
This New Left has been largely successful in
the last decade especially in repackaging left to
the new adult millennials who have no memory
of how the left produced the most totalitarian
regimes of the world with the worst human
rights in the name of emancipation of the poor
This New Left most I think of a cultural marxism
where new agenda is not the state ownership
of the means of production but by a cultural
repackaging of the evil of 'Capitalism' such
that it is again 'Capitalism' that has been
the problem all along, allowing a redemption
from conceding the economic victory (China mkt econ,
India poverty) and a new foundation with new
vocabulary, the old bad Capitalism, which
also interestingly wasn't pejorative enough

in part to its successes and was transformed into
'Neoliberalism' - a word only God knows what
it connotes, or maybe even God doesn't. But
this new movement clearly learnt from its past mistakes
and hit exactly on the streets with the hammer
and sickle, but in their own chair, in media,
television, organization, activism, universities,
with a new replacement for the hammer and sickle,
but keyboards and the idea 'Social Justice'
(The word 'Urban Nerals' might be correlated but
this is not Indian and maybe not whatever it
can come under this label)

one can see this new group in action during
every trough of the economic cycle. What better
a chance to seize at the moment of vulnerability?
(Hitler post WW, Treaty, failed approx of Bloody
Sunday)

~~and~~
In this amorphous and nebulous, one cannot
point out on their agenda, for they are the
~~marx~~ left who have donned the new suit
of Humanists. Their object of attack has
also diversified from economically contradictory
to attacks on cultural decline via 'consumerism'
which they drive at the new system of
control, typing while looking a flight to the US
for a inconsequential conference to speak for
10 minutes on something no one would really
hear (and who can blame them?)

where genuine members of the left who could be dubbed informed and rational as humanists found an all dismantling the system but our constructive critiques and signs of which the early FR, American Rev and other events are known for where there is genuine scope for reform, but most, especially the unread dilettantes, the radicals, economists, the opportunists, ~~fast~~ and the impressionable youth ~~are~~ (in universities) (keyboard soldiers) are we tempted into the Robespierrean 'Reign of Terror' and destruction of institutions with no roadmap of reconstruction.

The earlier goals of returning political oppression and liberty back (which can be retained) becomes changed goal posts where the problem is the very fabric of societal, cultural institutions which will always engender bourgeois dominance no matter the reforms, until the root is addressed - The tearing of this fabric and institutions themselves.

According to the new left, the Nation State, School, Family

all our systems of power and dominance over
the 'victim', an ^{eternal} variable ~~ever~~ ^{constantly} changing and
consistently changing the value. What
someone would deem as the 'social contract'
for civil order, the new left has as its ~~Schmitt~~
And their goal? The liberation of Victim -
But who's the victim? Whom ~~else~~ they want,
always ready to be clothed - The LGBT,
animal ^{activism} / veganism, Environmentalism, Feminism,
Islam, ~~Ra~~ Dalits, Farmers, etc. Now don't for a
second think that I don't deem these as
core issues, of course they are, but when I
attack the left, does that mean am I attacking
the farmer as ~~ideology~~ ^{ideology}, No. What I then
am looking at is how the New Left, usually
from the more elite section of the society
use victims as tools to ^{but} basically to fight
their eternal enemies, ^{not for their} ^{emancipation}
those who do not fold their hands and bend
over to their perfect morality as, ~~a~~ ^{the} ~~humans~~ ^{humans}
fascists (the new bourgeoisie) who are
ever present to destroy the victim, an
empty set because it's an abstract ideal.

What the humanists and reformers sought
 at equal rights for them is not enough, The
 new demand is right to equal, dismissing
 the notion of meritocracy, Natural distribution
 of skills as yet another discrim. The opinion of
 a person with decades of experience becomes
 equal to in value to a whiny teenager (Greta
 Thunberg?
 or FB
 which negate themselves in the new
 annoying adage 'agree to disagree',
 Respect as an equal is not enough, the
 new demand is equal respect.

Amongst all their activism, if one asks the
 pertinent and practical concerns of how
 would one finance their demands or on
 how would one solve a geopolitical problem
 as a sensitive issue which requires addressal,
 and they would babble with no substance
 because they obviously haven't even thought
 of it, and it is not their concern, that's
 for the policy makers, thank you very
 much

In hypocrisy, these social justice warriors also have no match, for violent means become expedient or are white washed, while a bare snatch on own side and all hands come together as proof of fascism. The end justifies means, they ^{believe} claim while being moderate in the pub sphere, and dock their heads in the sand whenever exposed.

Admission to this elite club is determined by the lengths you are ready to go to for social justice, how dismissive and thick headed you are to reforms, which would always be a bourgeois ploy.

Marx deemed his socialism to be scientific unlike the utopian socialism of others which he dismissed. If one reads his *The German Ideology*, apparently cannot be any more utopian with opium imagery to the masses post emancipation.

For you want the benefits of a legal system in a stateless society classless society, find an answer of how, I'll wait.

And who can forget the all too classic tactics to spread the idocy by resorting to disquantism. Every charlatan becomes who can use the special vocabulary automatically is certified an intellectual within their own circle jerking whereas no outsider would even comprehend what they are even talking about. For this set, in most liberal art universities of the world, they do their part to social justice by staying in their air conditioned rooms, never having set a foot in the places they talk about, nor experience d apart from books and accounts. They are the forces of light against the forces of darkness, the latter is anyone who questions them or is sheptical. You my lie, are worse than Hitler.

In this moral asymmetry, these idealists put the burden of convincing on the rational pragmatist, and never the one who answers, but the one answered to, and as always judged.

Indeed ask them any question, and the only thing you'll learn is how to talk in circles, evading points and going on rants in their rising crescendo of moral righteousness. Indeed, even those scientific marxists, ask them their way about the well known problems such as obsolete and wrong theories such as labor theory of value, on how a reductionist historical system is materialist and if it is the case, do they still believe that there are no essential human instincts and the human mind being the raw language, tabula rasa (white slate), on what actually makes a class, and they would be sure to thank you and google these questions, find an impregnable answer and will again wear circles, with a higher order discernment and thus you smile and give up, cursing for the self induced bile taste you did to your self by entering that conversation.

Borrowing from Orwell, this academic newspeak clothes revolution into welfare.

In any country with an erstwhile socialist past, the keys to the library were handed by the political overlords of the ~~past~~ to their would be intellectual army

in a production facility to educate and
professors, bureaucrats, ^{future} members of parliament,
Student groups for groundwork, Marxist
interpretation of history, of post colonial
societies whose new heroes were Hobbes, Foucault,
Deleuze, Lacan, Althusser, Gramsci,
Russett, Trotsky and some old classical
slavish Marx. What is common to all these
is that all of them are critical analysts,
the founders of post modern movement,
and are outside the analytical tradition
who (rightly) & believe would deem the
monstrous tropes of these authors as barely
passable social science fiction, and
at best fantasy. That's how the new left
gained the intellectual high ground,
through blatant hypocrisy, nepotism
and cronyism to the political overlords.

Let's see what's ^{troubling} in their new speak?
2 gripes
my main gripe is their diversion with
'isms' of any kind. The second is that
all their words are entangled with
a pejorative (loaded with)

(1)
lets look at some terms - Neoliberalism,
Capitalism (calling themselves democratic socialism)
or the oxymoronic libertarian socialism),
Bolshevism, imperialism, colonialism,
This kind of vocabulary is very clever, one,
for its object of criticism is not well defined
and that allows them to put any event
they don't like into say capitalism, which
serves not as a word for a particular
economic system but as a fog of which
all we can for certain say that it is not meant
as a compliment. The fog owes its existence
to shifting from realities to abstract
ideas, ideas such as ~~forces~~ of classes
(say compared to caste which is an actual
social reality whereas classes is an
imputed social reality, a mesh on
a blank paper so to say). These terms
reduce complex social patterns into
categories which is now like currency
only in name (on faith) connected to gold
stocks

And once people become abstract ideas of morally ^{and} loaded coarse categories, it becomes a simple calculus of us and them. And with these two binaries, there can be no peacetime but one constant, eternal struggle. Just ask yourself, do you know of any peace time for our ever so hardworking SJSU's? Their debates are the predetermined victors, which are mere echo chambers, and if you dare critically examine them, you'll be escorted out with cries of 'shame!' and new found labels of 'misogynist' 'boomer' 'fascist', 'the agent of govt', and if you so happen to be a male member of the majority population (with a high average income), Rest in peace my friend, for you will now face the full force of actual identity discrimination. And so it is that all original thinkers who feel for the issue which is genuine destroyed in the self serving agenda of the self appointed leaders, who somehow always have time for the next protest.

Adverse selection kicks in, the discussion becomes a self congratulatory echo chamber, and your individuality is stripped and dehumanized to that of the 'white male', 'jew', 'gay', and if you're a muslim the but same concerns, you find they are not standing for you, for them, you are just the current value in the victim variable. Enjoy while it lasts. These few people who always find the time for the next struggle then implicitly create a hierarchy, the one who can go to any lengths, speaks most, and just speaks gibberish as long as it's incomprehensible, they are at the top of this pyramid in the small pond, comprising chains of large pyramids which they hope to climb and find social value which otherwise certainly would not be given to them. And no, you have a peoples movement state. Then starts the whole facade of seeking 'liberation of the oppressed'.

which is just a facade to seize socio power. ^Q
And all others are pooled into the ruling
class with the rule of law, property rights
indeed the whole democratic British
as a disguised Autocracy.

Alas, in reducing into ideological
louranes, it ~~unaffirms~~ ^{the veil} its own hidden
ideology is lifted for all to see,
fortunately.

Oh Nietzsche! The misunderstood person
accurately described this ^{maxim of} same morality
- pleasure in bringing things down
than raise themselves up.

We live in a society as independent
individuals through cooperation,
aid, fellowship. It cannot be enforced,
but can only be shown. ^{rather taught} By ~~seizing power~~

~~Struggle~~ resenting structures of society,
and instead of building the world,
developing our own selves than finding
blame for your life problems on others
leads to hate hate

THE 8 HOUR WORKDAY

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \underline{9 \text{ to } 5} & \rightarrow & 4 \\ + & & + \\ 4 & & 4 \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \boxed{\text{mod}(100)} \\ 100 \text{ late} \\ \text{Return}(5) \end{array}$$

~~At~~ Technology is something we have to tackle problems which affect us, It's commendable if you're a vegetarian, but to parade it and guilt shame others for not ~~see~~ agreeing with you, leads to resentment, let us not lose sight of Reality and be ~~be~~ hypnotized with absolute theories which are nothing but dogma. Unfortunately all I see is that instead of that, the SIW looks for his next victim, I mean for the next victim.

sunny I