MATH523 Assignment 4

Kabilan Sriranjan March 29, 2018

A12

a)

```
library(aod)
library(VGAM)

## Loading required package: stats4

## Loading required package: splines
library(nnet)
```

A13

a)

```
#A13
I <- c(14, 483, 497, 1008)
notI <- c(1105, 411111, 4624, 157342)
S <- c("Seat belt", "Seat belt", "None", "None")
E <- c("Yes", "No", "Yes", "No")

model.fatal <- glm(cbind(I, notI) ~ S+E, family=binomial)
#likelihood test
pchisq(deviance(model.fatal), df=1, lower.tail=FALSE)

## [1] 0.09114565

#pearson chi square test
pchisq(sum(residuals(model.fatal, type="pearson")^2), df=1, lower.tail=FALSE)

## [1] 0.1098935</pre>
```

The p-values we get using both tests are not significant, which means that our model is adequate.

b)

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = cbind(I, notI) ~ S + E, family = binomial)
##
```

```
## Deviance Residuals:
##
        1
                  2
                           3
## -1.6132 0.3142 0.3256 -0.2165
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -5.04362 0.03120 -161.65
                                             <2e-16 ***
                           0.05402 -31.79
## SSeat belt -1.71732
                                             <2e-16 ***
## EYes
                2.79779
                           0.05526
                                     50.63 <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 3567.723 on 3 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance:
                        2.854 on 1 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 38.039
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 3
beta <- coef(model.fatal)</pre>
odds.ratio <- exp(beta)
stdErr <- summary(model.fatal)$coefficients[,2]</pre>
lowerCI <- exp(beta-pnorm(0.025)*stdErr)</pre>
higherCI <- exp(beta+pnorm(0.025)*stdErr)
#Odds ratio and confidence interval for Seatbelt
odds.ratio[2]
## SSeat belt
## 0.1795466
lowerCI[2]
## SSeat belt
## 0.1746682
higherCI[2]
## SSeat belt
## 0.1845612
#Odds ratio and confidence interval for Ejected
odds.ratio[3]
##
       EYes
## 16.40842
lowerCI[3]
      EYes
## 15.9525
higherCI[3]
##
       EYes
## 16.87737
```

The odds ratio, r, for Seatbelt can be obtained by

$$r = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1(1) + \beta_2 x_{i2}}}{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1(0) + \beta_2 x_{i2}}}$$
$$= e^{\beta_1}$$

And we can do the same for $beta_2$ to get the odds ratio for Ejected. The confidence intervals are obtained through the formula $\hat{\beta} \pm se(\hat{\beta})z_{0.025}$

c)

```
model.fatal2 <- glm(cbind(I, notI) ~ E, family=binomial)</pre>
beta2 <- coef(model.fatal2)</pre>
odds.ratio2 <- exp(beta2)
stdErr2 <- summary(model.fatal2)$coefficients[,2]</pre>
lowerCI2 <- exp(beta2-pnorm(0.025)*stdErr2)</pre>
higherCI2 <- exp(beta2+pnorm(0.025)*stdErr2)
#Odds and confidence interval
odds.ratio2[2]
##
       EYes
## 34.00627
lowerCI2[2]
##
       EYes
## 33.10025
higherCI2[2]
##
       EYes
## 34.93709
```

We compute the odds ratio and confidence interval for the new model the exact same way as before.

A14

a)

```
#A14
race <- c(1, 1, 0, 0)
gender <- c(1, 0, 1, 0)
heaven.yes <- c(88, 54, 397, 235)
heaven.mb <- c(16, 7, 141, 189)
heaven.no <- c(2, 5, 24, 39)

model.heaven <- multinom(cbind(heaven.yes, heaven.mb, heaven.no) ~ race+gender)

## # weights: 12 (6 variable)
## initial value 1315.038910
## iter 10 value 931.782315</pre>
```

```
## final value 930.880924
## converged
summary(model.heaven)
## Call:
## multinom(formula = cbind(heaven.yes, heaven.mb, heaven.no) ~
##
        race + gender)
##
## Coefficients:
                                           gender
##
               (Intercept)
                                  race
## heaven.mb -0.2633509 -1.1486396 -0.725226
## heaven.no -1.7942192 -0.6721255 -1.034177
##
## Std. Errors:
##
               (Intercept)
                                           gender
                                 race
## heaven.mb 0.09588936 0.2367592 0.1318292
## heaven.no 0.16750483 0.4113510 0.2586682
## Residual Deviance: 1861.762
## AIC: 1873.762
log(\pi_{i1}/\pi_{i3}) is given by
                                        \alpha_1 + \beta_1^G x_{i1} + \beta_1^R x_{i2}
log(\pi_{i2}/\pi_{i3}) is given by
                                         \alpha_2 + \beta_2^G x_{i1} + \beta_2^R x_{i2}
log(\pi_{i1}/\pi_{i2}) is given by
                                     log(\pi_{i1}/\pi_{i3}) - log(\pi_{i2}/\pi_{i3})
b)
model.heavenB <- glm(cbind(heaven.yes, heaven.mb) ~ race+gender, family=binomial)</pre>
summary(model.heavenB)
##
## glm(formula = cbind(heaven.yes, heaven.mb) ~ race + gender, family = binomial)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
                    2
                              3
          1
## -1.4959
             1.7090
                       0.4840 -0.4869
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 0.26545
                              0.09587 2.769 0.00562 **
                                         4.831 1.36e-06 ***
## race
                  1.14416
                              0.23682
## gender
                  0.72245
                              0.13188
                                        5.478 4.30e-08 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

```
##
##
       Null deviance: 66.7059 on 3 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 5.6297 on 1 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 32.745
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
beta.gender <- coef(model.heavenB)[3]</pre>
stderr.gender <- summary(model.heavenB)$coefficients[,2][3]</pre>
gender.oddsratio <- exp(beta.gender)</pre>
lowerCI.gender <- exp(beta.gender-pnorm(0.025)*stderr.gender)</pre>
higherCI.gender <- exp(beta.gender+pnorm(0.025)*stderr.gender)
#Odds ratio and confidence interval
gender.oddsratio
     gender
## 2.059466
lowerCI.gender
##
     gender
## 1.925508
higherCI.gender
##
     gender
## 2.202742
```

From looking at the odds ratio it appears that males have triple the odds of not believing in heaven than females.

c)

```
model.heavenRace <- glm(cbind(heaven.yes, heaven.no) ~ race, family=binomial)
summary(model.heavenRace)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = cbind(heaven.yes, heaven.no) ~ race, family = binomial)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
               2
                       3
   1.233 -1.238
                   2.565 -2.773
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                           0.1321 17.452
## (Intercept)
                2.3058
                                            <2e-16 ***
                0.7042
                           0.4091 1.721
                                            0.0852 .
## race
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 20.816 on 3 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 17.322 on 2 degrees of freedom
```

```
## AIC: 37.622
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
anova(model.heavenB, model.heavenRace, test="Chi")
## Warning in anova.glmlist(c(list(object), dotargs), dispersion =
## dispersion, : models with response '"cbind(heaven.yes, heaven.no)"' removed
## because response differs from model 1
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model: binomial, link: logit
##
## Response: cbind(heaven.yes, heaven.mb)
##
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
          Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
##
## NULL
                               3
                                     66.706
## race
           1
               30.746
                               2
                                     35.960 2.941e-08 ***
                                      5.630 3.645e-08 ***
## gender
           1
               30.330
                               1
##
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
```

We can check if gender has an effect on the response when race is controlled by comparing the model with both race and gender to the model with only race. If the test is significant that that means the nested model is not an adequte simplification and so gender does indeed affect the response. Since we do get a significant p-value then that means belief in heaven is not independent of gender when race is controlled for.

A15

If the binary response is the result of an underlying latent variable, this suggests that after some certain threshold, T, the response changes from O to 1. If both groups have similar location then they are both close to T. But because one has significantly higher dispersion than the other, it will have a wide confidence interval that overlaps with the other side of T. This makes it hard to fit a model because if a response is 0 we can't tell if it is truly 0 or actually 1 but the dispersion makes it fall on the wrong side of T.