

MAT 335 Notes

Max Chien

Fall 2024

Contents

1 Preliminaries	3
1.1 The Complex Number System	3
1.2 Topology of \mathbb{C}	7
1.3 Functions on \mathbb{C}	11
Definitions	14

Introduction

This document contains notes taken for the class MAT 335: Complex Analysis at Princeton University, taken in the Fall 2024 semester. These notes are primarily based on lectures by Professor Assaf Naor. Other references used in these notes include *Complex Analysis* by Elias Stein and Rami Shakarchi, *Complex Analysis* by Lars Ahlfors, *Visual Complex Analysis* by Tristan Needham, and *Real and Complex Analysis* by Walter Rudin. Since these notes were primarily taken live, they may contain typos or errors.

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

1.1 The Complex Number System

The set of complex numbers, denoted \mathbb{C} is identified with ordered pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We may alternately write this as $x + iy$, where the symbol i is currently undefined.

For a given complex number $z = x + iy$, $x = \operatorname{Re}(z)$ is called the **real part** of z , $y = \operatorname{Im}(z)$ is called the **imaginary part**, $|z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ is the **modulus** of z , and the **argument** of z , $\theta = \arg(z)$, is the angle between (x, y) and the x -axis, defined up to integer multiples of 2π .

Definition 1.1

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We define

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$$

One can observe using the identity $\cos^2 + \sin^2 = 1$ that $e^{i\theta}$ lies on the unit circle. Moreover, if $r = |z|$, then elementary geometry shows that we have $z = re^{i\theta}$ using the definition above.

Proposition 1.2

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq |z|$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq |z|$.

Proof. $|z| = \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(z)^2 + \operatorname{Im}(z)^2}$. □

One of the distinguishing features of \mathbb{C} from the real plane \mathbb{R}^2 is the algebraic structure present on \mathbb{C} .

Definition 1.3

Let $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$. Then we define addition and multiplication on \mathbb{C} by

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 + z_2 &= (x_1 + x_2) + i(y_1 + y_2) \\ z_1 z_2 &= (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) + i(x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $i = (0, 1)$, then we observe that $i^2 = -1 + 0i = -1$. Thus we recover the basic identity $i^2 = -1$. We also observe that Re and Im are both linear operators.

Proposition 1.4

Addition and multiplication over \mathbb{C} are commutative and associative. Moreover, multiplication distributes over addition.

Proof. Commutative and associativity of addition is inherited from \mathbb{R} . \square

Using the definition of $e^{i\theta}$, we can reinterpret complex multiplication in a much more pleasant manner than the definition above.

Proposition 1.5

If $z_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}$ and $z_2 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$, then

$$z_1 z_2 = (r_1 r_2) e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}$$

Proof. We have proved commutativity. From here, we apply trig identities. \square

Thus multiplication results in multiplication of lengths and addition of arguments.

Proposition 1.6

For $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, the **triangle inequality** holds:

$$|z_1 + z_2| \leq |z_1| + |z_2|$$

Proof. Choose r, θ such that $z_1 + z_2 = r e^{i\theta}$. Then

$$|z_1 + z_2| = r = (z_1 + z_2) e^{-i\theta} = z_1 e^{-i\theta} + z_2 e^{-i\theta} = \text{Re}(z_1 e^{-i\theta} + z_2 e^{-i\theta})$$

Now note that $\text{Re}(z + w) = \text{Re}(z) + \text{Re}(w)$. So

$$\text{Re}(z_1 e^{-i\theta} + z_2 e^{-i\theta}) = \text{Re}(z_1 e^{-i\theta}) + \text{Re}(z_2 e^{-i\theta}) \leq |z_1 e^{-i\theta}| + |z_2 e^{-i\theta}| = |z_1| + |z_2| \quad \square$$

The above proof amounts to applying the real triangle inequality to the components of z_1, z_2 in the direction of $z_1 + z_2$.

Corollary 1.7

The reverse triangle inequality also holds:

$$||z| - |w|| \leq |z - w|$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{cases} |z| \leq |z - w| + |w| \\ |w| \leq |w - z| + |z| \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} |z| - |w| \leq |z - w| \\ -|z - w| \leq |z| - |w| \end{cases} \implies ||z| - |w|| \leq |z - w| \quad \square$$

Definition 1.8

Let $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the **complex conjugate** of z is defined as

$$\bar{z} = x - iy$$

Geometrically, this is reflection over the x axis.

Proposition 1.9

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z\bar{z} = |z|^2$.

Proof. Let $z = x + iy$. Then

$$z\bar{z} = (x + iy)(x - iy) = x^2 + y^2 = |z|^2 \quad \square$$

Definition 1.10

For $z \neq 0$, define

$$\frac{1}{z} = \frac{\bar{z}}{|z|^2}$$

The above proposition and definition show that

$$z \cdot \frac{1}{z} = 1$$

Definition 1.11

A **sequence** of complex numbers $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ **converges** to $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (written $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z$) if

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}(z_n) = \operatorname{Re}(z) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im}(z_n) = \operatorname{Im}(z) \end{cases}$$

This equivalent to the familiar definition:

Proposition 1.12

A sequence $\{z_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ converges to z if and only for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $n \geq N$ we have

$$|z_n - z| < \varepsilon$$

Proof. (\implies) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then pick N_1, N_2 such that

$$\begin{cases} n \geq N_1 \implies |\operatorname{Re}(z_n) - \operatorname{Re}(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \\ n \geq N_2 \implies |\operatorname{Im}(z_n) - \operatorname{Im}(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \end{cases}$$

Letting $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, whenever $n \geq N$ we have

$$|z_n - z|^2 = \operatorname{Re}(z_n - z)^2 + \operatorname{Im}(z_n - z)^2 = |\operatorname{Re}(z_n) - \operatorname{Re}(z)|^2 + |\operatorname{Im}(z_n) - \operatorname{Im}(z)|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$$

Taking square roots on both sides we have

$$|z_n - z| < \varepsilon$$

$$(\iff) |\operatorname{Re}(z_n) - \operatorname{Re}(z)| = |\operatorname{Re}(z_n - z)| \leq |z_n - z|$$

□

We similarly define the limit of a complex function $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z)$.

Definition 1.13

A **Cauchy sequence** is a sequence $(z_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\operatorname{Re}(z_n))$ and $(\operatorname{Im}(z_n))$ are both Cauchy.

Again we can formulate this analogously to the single variable case:

Proposition 1.14

A sequence $\{z_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is Cauchy if and only if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $m, n \geq N$ it follows that

$$|z_n - z_m| < \varepsilon$$

Proof. Same as the proof of Proposition 1.12. □

Proposition 1.15

A Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Proof. Follows from completeness of \mathbb{R} :

$$\{z_n\} \text{ conv.} \iff \begin{cases} \{\operatorname{Re}(z_n)\} \text{ conv.} \\ \{\operatorname{Im}(z_n)\} \text{ conv.} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \{\operatorname{Re}(z_n)\} \text{ Cauchy} \\ \{\operatorname{Im}(z_n)\} \text{ Cauchy} \end{cases} \iff \{z_n\} \text{ Cauchy} \quad \square$$

1.2 Topology of \mathbb{C}

The topological nature of \mathbb{C} should not be unfamiliar to the reader, since it is essentially the same as that of \mathbb{R}^2 , rephrased slightly using complex variables.

Definition 1.16

Let $r > 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the **open disk** of radius r about z_0 is the set

$$\mathbb{D}_r(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$$

and the **closed disk** as

$$\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| \leq r\}$$

We also specify $\mathbb{D}_r = \mathbb{D}_r(0)$ and $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_1$.

Definition 1.17

An **interior point** $z_0 \in \Omega$ of a subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a point such that there exists $r > 0$ where $\mathbb{D}_r(z_0) \subseteq \Omega$.

Definition 1.18

The set of interior point in Ω is the **interior** of Ω , denoted $\text{int } \Omega$.

Definition 1.19

An **open set** in \mathbb{C} is a subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that for any $z_0 \in \Omega$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon(z_0) \subseteq \Omega$.

It is immediate that Ω is open if and only if $\text{int } \Omega = \Omega$.

Definition 1.20

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. z is a **limit point** of Ω if there exists a sequence of points $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \Omega$ such that $z_n \neq z$ for each n and $\lim z_n = z$.

We can equivalently define a limit point as a point z such that $\mathbb{D}_r(z) \setminus \{z\} \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ for each $r > 0$

Definition 1.21

$A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a **closed set** if $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$ is open.

Proposition 1.22

A is closed if and only if it contains all its limit points.

Proof. (\implies) Suppose not. Then pick z which is a limit point of A that is not in A . Then there is no disk around z entirely contained in $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$. Thus A is not closed.

(\impliedby) Suppose A is not closed. Then there exists $z \notin A$ such that each $\mathbb{D}_r(z) \setminus \{z\}$ intersects A . Then z is a limit point of A . \square

Definition 1.23

The **closure** of $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, denoted $\overline{\Omega}$, is the union of Ω with its limit points.

Definition 1.24

The **boundary** of $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, denoted $\partial\Omega$, is defined as $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \text{int } \Omega$.

Definition 1.25

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is **bounded** if there exists $M > 0$ such that $|z| < M$ for each $z \in \Omega$ (or equivalently, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{D}_M$).

Definition 1.26

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be bounded. Then the **diameter** of Ω is defined as

$$\text{diam } \Omega = \sup_{z, w \in \Omega} |z - w|$$

The following definition, as in the real case, is critical:

Definition 1.27

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is **compact** if it is closed and bounded.

Theorem 1.28: Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. Ω is compact.
2. Each sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \Omega$ has a subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which converges to some $z \in \Omega$.

We can treat \mathbb{C} similarly to \mathbb{R}^2 to prove this.

Proof. (1 \implies 2) If Ω is compact, then $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ may be written as $\{x_n + iy_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Since Ω is bounded, there exists $M > 0$ such that $|z| < M$ for all $z \in \Omega$. In particular $\sqrt{x_n^2 + y_n^2} = |z_n| < M$. So the real sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are bounded. Apply the real version of Bolzano-Weierstrass, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$. Then consider the sequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$. This is also bounded, so we apply Bolzano-Weierstrass again to produce $\{y_{n_{k_i}}\}$ convergent. Then the sequence $\{z_{n_{k_i}}\}$ is a convergent subsequence. If $z = z_n$ for some n , then $z \in \Omega$; otherwise it is a limit point. Since Ω is closed it contains its limit points so $z \in \Omega$.

(2 \implies 1) Suppose each sequence has a convergent subsequence. Let z be a limit point and let $\{z_n\} \subseteq \Omega \setminus \{z\}$ be a sequence converging to z . Then there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}$ which converges to $z' \in \Omega$. But subsequences converge to the same value as the original sequence, so $z = z' \in \Omega$. So Ω is closed. If Ω is not bounded, then we may take $\{z_n\}$ such that $|z_n| \geq n$, and that $|z_{n+1}| > |z_n| + 1$. But then $|z_m - z_{m-1}| > 1$ so no subsequence is Cauchy and thus no subsequence converges. So Ω is bounded. \square

Definition 1.29

An **open cover** of a set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a collection \mathcal{O} of open sets such that each $z \in \Omega$ is contained in some $O \in \mathcal{O}$. A **subcover** of \mathcal{O} is a subcollection which is still a cover.

Theorem 1.30: Heine-Borel Theorem

A set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is compact if and only if every open cover has a finite subcover.

Proof. (\implies) Since Ω is bounded, it is a subset of a closed rectangle K . We showed in \mathbb{R}^2 that $X \times Y$ is compact when $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are, and the same is true here. So K is compact. Take an open cover \mathcal{O} of Ω and add the (open) set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$. This is an open cover of \mathbb{C} and thus one of K , so only finitely many are needed. Remove $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ if necessary and we still have an open cover of Ω .

(\impliedby) Boundedness is immediate by covering Ω with balls of finite radius.

For closure, suppose not. Then take a limit point $w \notin \Omega$. Each $z \in \Omega$ has $|z - w| > 0$, so we may cover Ω with open balls $O_z = \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon(z)$ where $\varepsilon < |z - w|/2$. Then a finite number of them cover Ω but this implies that w is not a limit point. \square

For the sake of completeness, here is an independent proof that a set is sequentially compact if it is covering compact.

Proof that covering compactness \implies sequential compactness. Let K be covering compact and pick a sequences $\{a_n\} \subseteq K$. Suppose for contradiction that a_n has no convergent subsequence in K . Then for each $x \in K$, there exists $\varepsilon_x > 0$ and $N_x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $n \geq N_x$ it follows that $a_n \notin \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon_x}(x)$. Then the collection of $\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon_x}(x)$ for $x \in K$ is an open cover of K , so we may pick a finite subcover

$$\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon_{x_1}}(x_1), \dots, \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon_{x_m}}(x_m)$$

Then let $N = \max N_{x_i}$. For $n \geq N$ it follows that $a_n \notin K$, contradiction. \square

Proposition 1.31: Nested Compact Set Property

Suppose that $\Omega_1 \supseteq \Omega_2 \supseteq \dots$ is a nested sequence of compact, nonempty subsets of \mathbb{C} . Then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n \neq \emptyset$$

Moreover, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } \Omega_n = 0$, then there is a unique point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z \in \Omega_n$ for all n .

Proof. Choose $z_n \in \Omega_n$ for each n . Then the sequence of points $\{z_n\} \subseteq \Omega_1$, and Ω_1 is compact, so there exists a convergent subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}$ tending to $z \in \Omega_1$. Then for arbitrary Ω_n , there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_k+k_0}\} \subseteq \Omega_n$ for sufficiently large k_0 , which converges to z and we see that $z \in \Omega_n$. So the intersection is nonempty.

To show uniqueness, take $z, w \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n$. Then

$$|z - w| \leq \text{diam } \Omega_n$$

for each n , but $\text{diam } \Omega_n \rightarrow 0$ so $|z - w| = 0$ and thus $z = w$. \square

Remark

With the assumption that $\text{diam } \Omega_n \rightarrow 0$, we need not take subsequences as $\{z_n\}$ itself is Cauchy. To see this, pick $\varepsilon > 0$ and let N be such that $\text{diam } \Omega_n < \varepsilon$ for any $n \geq N$. Then for any $n, m \geq N$, $z_n, z_m \in \Omega_N$ and thus $|z_n - z_m| \leq \text{diam } \Omega_N < \varepsilon$.

Definition 1.32

A set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is **connected** if there are no nonempty disjoint sets $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ such that $\Omega = A \sqcup B$ such that $A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$ and $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$.

The above definition may be rephrased as saying that Ω is not the disjoint union of nonempty sets which are open in the subspace topology of Ω :

Proposition 1.33

A topological space X is connected if and only if it cannot be written as $X = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ with Ω_1, Ω_2 nonempty, disjoint and open (in X).

Proof. (\implies) Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is connected. Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subseteq X$ be nonempty, open and disjoint. Consider Ω_2 . Then $\Omega_2 \subseteq X \setminus \Omega_1$. By definition $X \setminus \Omega_1$ is closed. $\overline{\Omega_2}$ is the smallest closed set containing Ω_2 , so $\overline{\Omega_2} \subseteq X \setminus \Omega_1$ and thus $\Omega_1 \cap \overline{\Omega_2} = \emptyset$. Similarly $\overline{\Omega_1} \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$. Since X is connected, we conclude that $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \neq X$.

(\impliedby) Pick A, B nonempty with $X = A \cup B$. Assume that $A \cap \overline{B} = B \cap \overline{A} = \emptyset$, so that $A \subseteq X \setminus \overline{B}$ and $B \subseteq X \setminus \overline{A}$. Define $\Omega_1 = X \setminus \overline{B}$ and $\Omega_2 = X \setminus \overline{A}$. Since $X = A \cup B$, we have $X = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$. Ω_1, Ω_2 are both open in X , so it must not be the case that they are disjoint. So there exists some $x \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$. But this implies that $x \notin A$ and $x \notin B$. \square

Thus the above general definition can be simplified for nicer sets:

Proposition 1.34

If Ω is open, then it is connected if and only if it cannot be written as the union of disjoint open sets (in \mathbb{C}). Similarly if F is closed then it is connected if and only if it is not the union of disjoint closed sets.

We may also introduce another notion of connectedness, which involves functions into Ω .

Definition 1.35

Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. f is **continuous** at z_0 if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $z \in \Omega$ and $|z - z_0| < \delta$, it follows that $|f(z) - f(z_0)| < \varepsilon$.

Proposition 1.36

f is continuous at z_0 if and only if for every $\{z_n\} \subseteq \Omega$ with $z_n \rightarrow z_0$, it follows that $f(z_n) \rightarrow f(z_0)$. We say that f is continuous on Ω if it is continuous at each point in Ω .

Definition 1.37

A **path** is a function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. A continuous path is a continuous such function.

Definition 1.38

A set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is **path connected** if for any $z, w \in \Omega$ there exists a continuous path with $f(0) = z$ and $f(1) = w$ with $f(t) \in \Omega$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 1.39

An open set Ω is path connected if and only if it is connected.

1.3 Functions on \mathbb{C}

We now turn our attention to functions which map complex numbers to complex numbers, the primary object of study in this course. Continuing from the definition of continuity from the previous section, we have the following:

Proposition 1.40

If f is continuous at z_0 then $|f|$ is continuous at z_0 .

Proof. By the reverse triangle inequality we have $||f(z)| - |f(z_0)|| \leq |f(z) - f(z_0)|$. The conclusion follows. \square

Definition 1.41

f attains its maximum on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ if there exists $z_0 \in \Omega$ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq |f(z_0)|$$

for each $z \in \Omega$. The minimum case is analogous.

Theorem 1.42

Suppose that $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is compact and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. Then f attains its maximum (and minimum) on Ω .

Proof. First we show that f is bounded on Ω . If not, then we may take a sequence of points $\{z_n\} \subseteq \Omega$ such that $|f(z_n)| \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\{z_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}$ tending to z . It follows that

$$|f(z_{n_k})| \rightarrow |f(z)|$$

But the left side diverges to ∞ , contradiction. Thus $f(\Omega)$ is bounded.

Let $M = \sup |f|(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{z_n\} \subseteq \Omega$ such that $|f(z_n)| \rightarrow M$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}$ converging to $z \in \Omega$. By continuity we have

$$|f(z)| = \lim |f(z_{n_k})| = M$$

\square

We now make the most important definition of this course:

Definition 1.43

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open and let $z_0 \in \Omega$. Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We say that f is **holomorphic** at z_0 (or complex differentiable) if the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

exists. In this case, the limit is denoted $f'(z_0)$.

If f is holomorphic at every $z \in \Omega$, then we simply say it is holomorphic on Ω . If f is holomorphic on \mathbb{C} it is said to be **entire**.

Note that the specification that Ω is open ensures that the difference quotient is actually defined (for sufficiently small h). Moreover, although this definition appears similar to the real analogue, the structure of the complex numbers means that it has far-reaching implications.

We will prove the following theorems in this class:

- (Cauchy's Theorem) If f is holomorphic on Ω , then it has derivatives of all orders.
- (Liouville's Theorem) If f is entire and bounded, then it is constant.
- (Prime Number Theorem) If $\pi(n)$ denotes the number of prime numbers less than or equal to n , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(n) \cdot \frac{\ln n}{n} = 1$$

- (Hardy-Ramanujan Theorem) Define $p(n)$ (the partition function) to be the number of ways to write $n = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n$ where $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n$ are all integers. For instance, $p(4) = 5$. Then

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{n\sqrt{48}} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sqrt{n}}$$

Example 1.44

The function $f(z) = z$ is holomorphic:

$$\frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} = \frac{z+h-z}{h} = \frac{h}{h} = 1$$

so $z' = 1$.

Definitions

argument, 3

boundary, 8

bounded, 8

Cauchy sequence, 6

closed disk, 7

closed set, 7

closure, 8

compact, 8

complex conjugate, 5

connected, 10

continuous, 11

converges, 5

diameter, 8

entire, 12

holomorphic, 12

imaginary part, 3

interior, 7

interior point, 7

limit point, 7

modulus, 3

open cover, 9

open disk, 7

open set, 7

path, 11

path connected, 11

real part, 3

sequence, 5

subcover, 9

triangle inequality, 4