

Saving for a Rainy Day: Experimental Evidence on Prize Linked Saving and Financial Shocks

Kaden Grace

Dept. of Economics, University of Tennessee - Knoxville

SEA 2025

Household Liquidity Constraints

A Trip to the ER

- Nearly two-fifths of U.S. adults report inability to access \$2,000 within one month
FED Survey, (2025)
- Households may make suboptimal financial decisions: asset liquidation, high-interest borrowing, reducing consumption

Household Liquidity Constraints

A Trip to the ER

- Nearly two-fifths of U.S. adults report inability to access \$2,000 within one month
FED Survey, (2025)
- Households may make suboptimal financial decisions: asset liquidation, high-interest borrowing, reducing consumption

Credit markets costly or inaccessible:

- Limited access, adverse credit ratings, predatory lending
- Example: Tennessee Flex Loans charge 279.5% interest

Why don't households save more?

Determinants of insufficient savings:

- High discount rates (present bias)
- Low risk aversion
- External income constraints
- Serially correlated shocks (bad luck)
- Financial illiteracy

Why don't households save more?

Determinants of insufficient savings:

- High discount rates (present bias)
- Low risk aversion
- External income constraints
- Serially correlated shocks (bad luck)
- Financial illiteracy

Behavioral Intervention: Prize-Linked Savings Accounts

Design:

- Deposits function as lottery entries with stochastic returns
- Principal protected – “no-lose lottery”
- Win probability proportional to deposit

Target population:

- Individuals with high discount rates, low risk aversion
- Legal in 33 U.S. states following 2014 American Savings Promotion Act

Ambiguous Ex-Ante Effects on Total Saving

Benefits:

- Increased savings participation among under-savers
- Enhanced behavioral engagement
- **Appealling to the most vulnerable households**

Ambiguous Ex-Ante Effects on Total Saving

Benefits:

- Increased savings participation among under-savers
- Enhanced behavioral engagement
- **Appealling to the most vulnerable households**

Costs:

- Crowding out high-yield traditional savings
- Foregone compound interest
- **Portfolio substitution without net savings increase**

Ambiguous Ex-Ante Effects on Total Saving

Benefits:

- Increased savings participation among under-savers
- Enhanced behavioral engagement
- **Appealling to the most vulnerable households**

Costs:

- Crowding out high-yield traditional savings
- Foregone compound interest
- **Portfolio substitution without net savings increase**

Research Question: Does access to a PLSA increase total precautionary savings for households facing financial shocks?

Preview of Results

PLSAs cause portfolio substitution without increasing total savings.

- ① **Crowding out** traditional savings by 33.6–38.5%
 - Risk-seeking participants: 93.7% crowd out
- ② **No net savings increase** for any risk preference group
- ③ **Earnings decline** 9.1–17.8% from foregone compound interest

We already know:

- PLSAs substitute for standard savings and lottery purchases Jindapon et al., (*GEB*, 2022); Filiz-Ozbay et al. (*J. Pub. Econ.*, 2015)
- PLSAs can increase total savings among unbanked households Gertler et al, (*NBER WP*, 2023)

We already know:

- PLSAs substitute for standard savings and lottery purchases Jindapon et al., (*GEB*, 2022); Filiz-Ozbay et al. (*J. Pub. Econ.*, 2015)
- PLSAs can increase total savings among unbanked households Gertler et al, (*NBER WP*, 2023)

This paper:

- Heterogeneous treatment effects by risk preference
- First test of PLSA crowding out for *very high-yield* savings (16%)

Round

1

Remaining time: 29

Instructions: Your task is to allocate your tokens.
You can place your tokens in the [Lottery Account](#) and [convert tokens to dollars](#).

Any tokens you do not use will be saved in your **Bank Account** until the next round and earn 16% interest.

Remember, the only way to earn real money in this experiment is by converting your tokens into dollars.

Round 1

Results

| Starting Balance | Bank Account | Lottery Account | Convert to dollars |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 20 | = | 5 + 10 | 5 -- > \$ 0.15 |
| | V 6 | V 10 | |
| | + 10 | + No Lottery -60-tokens- | - No Expense -60-tokens- = 16 |
| | Bank Account with 16% Interest | Lottery Account | Ending Balance |
| Continue | | | |

| Round | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Earnings | \$0.15 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.15 |

Experimental Design

- Dynamic life-cycle framework: 10 periods, each participant plays two treatments
- Exog. income 20 tokens per period
- Portfolio allocation: consumption, bank savings (16%), or PLSA CARA Utility
- Shock: 10% probability of 60-token expense per period
- PLSA expected return equals bank account (16%)

[All Parameters](#)[Why an experiment?](#)

Experimental Design

Three within-subject treatments:

- Control: No PLSA access
- High-prize PLSA: 120-token prize
- Low-prize PLSA: 60-token prize

Experimental Design

Three within-subject treatments:

- Control: No PLSA access
- High-prize PLSA: 120-token prize
- Low-prize PLSA: 60-token prize

| Session Type | N |
|----------------------|------------|
| No Prize/High Prize | 56 |
| High Prize/Low Prize | 49 |
| No Prize/Low Prize | 52 |
| Total | 157 |

Summary Statistics

| | Mean | St. Dev. | Min. | Max |
|------------------|-------|----------|------|-----|
| Standard Savings | 57.62 | 85.19 | -294 | 624 |
| PLSA Savings | 20.82 | 58.43 | 0 | 680 |
| Tokens Consumed | 9.92 | 26.81 | 0 | 455 |
| Shock Incurred | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| Observations | 3140 | | | |

Estimating Equation

$$\text{Outcome}_{ist} = \alpha + \beta_1 \cdot \underbrace{\text{PLSA High}_{is}}_{\substack{=1 \\ \text{if PLSA} \\ \text{offered} \\ (\text{High Prize})}} + \beta_2 \cdot \underbrace{\text{PLSA Low}_{is}}_{\substack{=1 \\ \text{if PLSA} \\ \text{offered} \\ (\text{Low Prize})}} + \delta \cdot \underbrace{\text{Second}_{is}}_{\substack{=1 \\ \text{if played} \\ \text{second} \\ \text{in session}}} + \bar{\gamma} \cdot \underbrace{\bar{X}_i}_{\substack{\text{part.} \\ \text{demog.}}} + \underbrace{u_{ist}}_{\substack{\text{error} \\ \text{corr. w/in} \\ \text{participants}}}$$

where $\text{Outcome}_{ist} \in \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Bank Savings}_{ist} \\ \text{Bank} + \text{PLSA Savings}_{ist} \\ \text{Earnings}_{is} \\ |\text{MPC Error}_{ist}| \end{array} \right\}$

Crowding Out Bank Savings

- Bank account savings decline

33.6–38.5%

- Risk-seeking: 93.7% crowding

out

- Risk-averse: 30.4–40.3%

crowding out

| | | All | Seeking | Neutral | Averse | V. Averse |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | High Prize PLSA | -27.17*** (7.25) | -27.47 (16.65) | -9.11 (14.91) | -31.89*** (9.32) | -21.34 (65.90) |
| | Low Prize PLSA | -23.68*** (7.41) | -48.61** (19.21) | -1.88 (17.63) | -24.05** (9.17) | -36.85 (56.31) |
| | Second | 7.53 (5.07) | 14.39 (11.82) | 5.39 (9.41) | 7.10 (6.93) | 22.70 (33.41) |
| | Constant | 70.56*** (5.92) | 51.86*** (10.68) | 45.36*** (13.57) | 79.17*** (7.38) | 93.52 (54.55) |
| | N | 3140 | 480 | 480 | 2020 | 160 |

Crowding Out Bank Savings

- Bank account savings decline

33.6–38.5%

- Risk-seeking: 93.7% crowding

out

- Risk-averse: 30.4–40.3%

crowding out

| | All | Seeking | Neutral | Averse | V. Averse |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| High Prize PLSA | -27.17*** (7.25) | -27.47 (16.65) | -9.11 (14.91) | -31.89*** (9.32) | -21.34 (65.90) |
| Low Prize PLSA | -23.68*** (7.41) | -48.61** (19.21) | -1.88 (17.63) | -24.05** (9.17) | -36.85 (56.31) |
| Second | 7.53 (5.07) | 14.39 (11.82) | 5.39 (9.41) | 7.10 (6.93) | 22.70 (33.41) |
| Constant | 70.56*** (5.92) | 51.86*** (10.68) | 45.36*** (13.57) | 79.17*** (7.38) | 93.52 (54.55) |
| N | 3140 | 480 | 480 | 2020 | 160 |

No Increase in Total Savings

- Total savings unchanged
- PLSAs induce **portfolio reallocation** without increasing savings

| | | All | Seeking | Neutral | Averse | V. Averse |
|--|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | High Prize PLSA | 5.72 | 20.30 | 4.85 | 3.13 | -14.72 |
| | | (7.03) | (16.56) | (15.24) | (8.94) | (61.48) |
| | Low Prize PLSA | 6.90 | -19.08 | 43.45* | 6.09 | -27.79 |
| | | (8.25) | (20.62) | (22.57) | (10.14) | (55.24) |
| | Second | 8.49 | 1.23 | 9.94 | 12.19 | 17.78 |
| | | (5.57) | (11.49) | (13.40) | (7.48) | (32.79) |
| | Constant | 70.06*** | 58.83*** | 42.58*** | 76.81*** | 98.44 |
| | | (6.18) | (14.26) | (14.63) | (7.50) | (53.56) |
| | N | 3140 | 480 | 480 | 2020 | 160 |

No Increase in Total Savings

- Total savings unchanged
- PLSAs induce **portfolio reallocation** without increasing savings

| | | All | Seeking | Neutral | Averse | V. Averse |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | High Prize PLSA | 5.72 (7.03) | 20.30 (16.56) | 4.85 (15.24) | 3.13 (8.94) | -14.72 (61.48) |
| | Low Prize PLSA | 6.90 (8.25) | -19.08 (20.62) | 43.45* (22.57) | 6.09 (10.14) | -27.79 (55.24) |
| | Second | 8.49 (5.57) | 1.23 (11.49) | 9.94 (13.40) | 12.19 (7.48) | 17.78 (32.79) |
| | Constant | 70.06*** (6.18) | 58.83*** (14.26) | 42.58*** (14.63) | 76.81*** (7.50) | 98.44 (53.56) |
| | N | 3140 | 480 | 480 | 2020 | 160 |

Lower Earnings from Foregone Returns

- Realized earnings decline 9.1–17.8%
- Opportunity cost: foregone compound interest
- Utility from lottery experience must compensate

| | | All | Seeking | Neutral | Averse | V. Averse |
|---|-----------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | High Prize PLSA | -0.397* | -0.333 | -0.874 | -0.305 | 0.744 |
| | | (0.215) | (0.634) | (0.552) | (0.263) | (0.490) |
| | Low Prize PLSA | -0.774*** | -1.080 | -0.506 | -0.793*** | 0.782 |
| | | (0.233) | (0.647) | (0.786) | (0.286) | (0.891) |
| | Second | -0.353* | -0.374 | -0.350 | -0.322 | 0.465 |
| | | (0.184) | (0.568) | (0.564) | (0.214) | (0.808) |
| | Constant | 4.350*** | 4.678*** | 4.523*** | 4.287*** | 2.227** |
| | | (0.178) | (0.410) | (0.568) | (0.214) | (0.801) |
| N | | 3140 | 480 | 480 | 2020 | 160 |

Lower Earnings from Foregone Returns

- Realized earnings decline
9.1–17.8%
- Opportunity cost: foregone compound interest
- Utility from lottery experience must compensate

| | | All | Seeking | Neutral | Averse | V. Averse |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | High Prize PLSA | -0.397* (0.215) | -0.333 (0.634) | -0.874 (0.552) | -0.305 (0.263) | 0.744 (0.490) |
| | Low Prize PLSA | -0.774*** (0.233) | -1.080 (0.647) | -0.506 (0.786) | -0.793*** (0.286) | 0.782 (0.891) |
| | Second | -0.353* (0.184) | -0.374 (0.568) | -0.350 (0.564) | -0.322 (0.214) | 0.465 (0.808) |
| | Constant | 4.350*** (0.178) | 4.678*** (0.410) | 4.523*** (0.568) | 4.287*** (0.214) | 2.227** (0.801) |
| | N | 3140 | 480 | 480 | 2020 | 160 |

Summary of findings:

- PLAs crowd out bank savings by 33.6–38.5%, total savings are unchanged
- **Stronger effect on risk-seeking individuals**
- Earnings decline 9.1–17.8% from foregone returns

Summary of findings:

- PLSAs crowd out bank savings by 33.6–38.5%, total savings are unchanged
- **Stronger effect on risk-seeking individuals**
- Earnings decline 9.1–17.8% from foregone returns

Policy implications:

- **For Banks:** PLSAs can increase profit; crowd-out is sensitive to size of prize
- **For Gov't:** PLSAs appeal to the most vulnerable households
- **Overall:** PLSAs are most profitable and engaging when marketed towards risk-seeking, unbanked households.

Thank You!

kgrace7@vols.utk.edu

Why use a lab experiment?

- Observational data limitations:
 - Identification of exogenous shocks problematic
 - Complete household portfolio allocation unobserved
- Experimental advantages:
 - Random treatment assignment ensures exogeneity
 - Precise parameterization of choice environment
 - Full observability of portfolio decisions

Back

| Tokens | Dollars | Tokens | Dollars | Tokens | Dollars |
|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| 10 | \$ 0.29 | 110 | \$ 2.00 | 210 | \$ 2.63 |
| 20 | \$ 0.54 | 120 | \$ 2.10 | 220 | \$ 2.67 |
| 30 | \$ 0.78 | 130 | \$ 2.18 | 230 | \$ 2.70 |
| 40 | \$ 0.99 | 140 | \$ 2.26 | 240 | \$ 2.73 |
| 50 | \$ 1.18 | 150 | \$ 2.33 | 250 | \$ 2.75 |
| 60 | \$ 1.35 | 160 | \$ 2.39 | 260 | \$ 2.78 |
| 70 | \$ 1.51 | 170 | \$ 2.45 | 270 | \$ 2.80 |
| 80 | \$ 1.65 | 180 | \$ 2.50 | 280 | \$ 2.82 |
| 90 | \$ 1.78 | 190 | \$ 2.55 | 290 | \$ 2.83 |
| 100 | \$ 1.90 | 200 | \$ 2.59 | 300 | \$ 2.85 |

Back

| Parameter | Value |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Income (y_t) | 20 tokens |
| Discount Rate (β) | 1 |
| Interest rate (r) | 16% |
| PLS Prize (Q) | None, 60, or 120 tokens |
| Expense (K) | 60 tokens |
| Probability(Expense) (p) | 10% |
| CARA Risk Aversion Parameter | 0.01 |
| Maximum Consumption per Period | \$3 |

[Back](#)

Enhanced Consumption Smoothing

- Consumption 13.1–19.2% closer to optimal
- **Risk-seeking:** 52.2–60.6% improvement
- **Risk-averse:** Null effect

| | All | Seeking | Neutral | Averse | V. Averse |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| High Prize PLSA | -0.035* (0.018) | -0.094* (0.051) | -0.129** (0.050) | -0.001 (0.019) | 0.030 (0.057) |
| Low Prize PLSA | 0.055*** (0.018) | -0.086 (0.056) | -0.142*** (0.043) | -0.029 (0.020) | 0.010 (0.025) |
| Second | -0.026** (0.013) | -0.025 (0.039) | -0.039 (0.033) | -0.026* (0.014) | -0.045* (0.019) |
| Constant | 0.252*** (0.017) | 0.329*** (0.033) | 0.332*** (0.050) | 0.219*** (0.020) | 0.172*** (0.019) |
| N | 3140 | 480 | 480 | 2020 | 160 |