SquidRouter Security Review

Engagement II

Auditors

0xKaden, Security Researcher

1 Executive Summary

Over the course of X days in total, Protocolname engaged with 0xKaden to review Protocolname protocol.

Metadata

Repository	Commit
Projectname	commithash

Summary

Type of Project	TYPE
Timeline	Feb 29, 2022 - Feb 31, 2022
Methods	Manual Review

Total Issues

Critical Risk	1
High Risk	1
Medium Risk	0
Low Risk	0
Gas Optimizations	0

Contents

1	Executive Summary	1
2	Introduction	3
3	Findings 3.1 Critical Risk 3.2 High Risk 3.2.1 Issue title (Only first word should be capitalized; titles should never end with punctuation) 3.3 Medium Risk 3.4 Low Risk 3.5 Informational 3.6 Gas Optimizations	2
4	Additional Comments	4
5	Appendix	4

2 Introduction

Some introduction on the protocol

The focus of the security review was on the following:

- 1. Specific security concern 1.
- 2. Specific security concern 2.
- 3. Specific security concern 3.

Disclaimer: This review does not make any warranties or guarantees regarding the discovery of all vulnerabilities or issues within the audited smart contracts. The auditor shall not be liable for any damages, claims, or losses incurred from the use of the audited smart contracts.

3 Findings

3.1 Critical Risk

3.2 High Risk

3.2.1 Issue title (Only first word should be capitalized; titles should never end with punctuation)

Severity: High

Context: Contract.sol#L160-L165

Description:

```
contract Test {
    ...
    // Code blocks must be indented with 4 spaces.
}
```

Recommendation:

```
+ use diff syntax to describe what should be changed
- ...
```

Project: Fixed in PR #1.

Spearbit: Resolved.

- 3.3 Medium Risk
- 3.4 Low Risk
- 3.5 Informational
- 3.6 Gas Optimizations
- 4 Additional Comments
- 5 Appendix