

## 7 Differential Forms

### § 7.3 Interior Product

**Definition 7.22** (Interior product). The **interior product** is defined by

$$i_X : \Omega^r(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(\mathcal{M}), \quad i_X \omega(X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}) = \omega(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r-1})$$

for  $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^r(\mathcal{M})$ . In components<sup>1</sup>, for  $X = X^\mu \partial_\mu$  and  $\omega = \frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} i_X \omega &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{s=1}^r X^{\mu_s} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s \dots \mu_r} (-1)^{s-1} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx^{\mu_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} \\ &= \frac{1}{(r-1)!} X^\nu \omega_{\nu \mu_2 \dots \mu_r} dx^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} \end{aligned}$$

where the term with hat denotes the *omitted* term.

*Remark.* The interior product is the *unique* antiderivation of degree -1 on the exterior algebra.



**Observation 7.23.** How can we obtain the Nakahara formula? Let me start from the component expression of  $r$ -forms.

$$\omega = \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} dx^{\mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{\mu_r} = \frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r}$$

Interior product is a *contraction* on the first index of  $\omega$  with  $X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} i_X \omega &= X^\nu \omega_{\nu \mu_2 \dots \mu_r} dx^{\mu_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{\mu_r} \quad (\because \langle dx^{\mu_1}, \partial_\nu \rangle = \delta^{\mu_1}_\nu) \\ &= \frac{1}{(r-1)!} X^\nu \omega_{\nu \mu_2 \dots \mu_r} dx^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} \end{aligned}$$

But since  $\omega$  is alternating, we could contract over all of the  $r$  indices and get the same thing, yielding a sum with  $r$  equal terms.

$$i_X \omega = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sum_{s=1}^r X^{\mu_s} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s \dots \mu_r} (-1)^{s-1} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx^{\mu_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This formula is from Nakahara's textbook, and it is truly horrible for beginners.

**Example 7.24.** In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $i_{e_x}(\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y) = \mathrm{d}y$ .

- Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y &= \frac{1}{2!} \omega_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{xy} \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y + \omega_{yz} \mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z + \omega_{zx} \mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}x + \omega_{yx} \mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}x + \omega_{zy} \mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}y + \omega_{xz} \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}z) \\ &= \omega_{xy} \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y \quad (\because \omega, \text{antisymmetric})\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y$  is a two-form  $\omega$  with components  $\omega_{xy} = -\omega_{yx} = 1$  and zero otherwise.

- Therefore

$$i_{e_x}(\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathrm{d}y$$

- Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}i_{e_x}(\underbrace{\mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}z}_{\omega_{yz}=1}) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\ i_{e_x}(\underbrace{\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}x}_{\omega_{zx}=1}) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = -\mathrm{d}z\end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* For  $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $i_X \omega = X^\mu \omega_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{d}x^\nu$ .

**Exercise 7.25.** Let

$$X = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 2x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 3xy \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \begin{bmatrix} y & 2x & 3xy \end{bmatrix}^\top \in T_p \mathcal{M}$$

and

$$\omega = 2z \mathrm{d}x + 3x \mathrm{d}y - 7zx^2 \mathrm{d}z = \begin{bmatrix} 2z & 3x & -7zx^2 \end{bmatrix}^\top$$

- (1) Compute  $\mathrm{d}\omega$ , the exterior derivative.
- (2) Compute  $i_X(\mathrm{d}\omega)$ .
- (3) Compute  $\mathrm{d}(i_X \omega)$  and  $\mathrm{d}(i_X \omega) + i_X(\mathrm{d}\omega)$ .
- (4) Compute  $\mathcal{L}_X \omega$  and compare with (3).

*Proof.*

(1) See **Example 7.15**.

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \left[ \frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial y} \right] dx \wedge dy + \left[ \frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial z} \right] dy \wedge dz + \left[ \frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial x} \right] dz \wedge dx \\ &= 3 dx \wedge dy + (14zx + 2) dz \wedge dx \end{aligned}$$

(2) Note that  $(i_X(d\omega))_\mu = X^\nu (d\omega)_{\nu\mu} = (d\omega)_{\mu\nu}^\top X^\nu$ .

$$i_X(d\omega) = (d\omega)^\top X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 14zx + 2 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ -(14zx + 2) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 2z \\ 3xy \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6z + 6xy + 42x^2yz \\ -14xyz - 21x^3y \\ 6x + 2y - 21x^3y \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence,  $i_X(d\omega) = (-6z + 6xy + 42x^2yz) dx + 3y dy - (14xyz + 2y) dz$ .

(3)  $i_X\omega = \omega(X) = 2yz + 6zx - 21x^3yz$ . So

$$di_X\omega = (6z - 63x^2yz) dx + (2z - 21x^3z) dy + (6x + 2y - 21x^3y) dz$$

and

$$di_X\omega + i_X(d\omega) = (6xy - 21x^2yz) dx + (2z - 21x^3z) dy + (6x - 14xyz - 21x^3y) dz$$

(4) By definition,  $\mathcal{L}_X\omega = (X^\nu \partial_\nu \omega_\mu + \partial_\mu X^\nu \omega_\nu) dx^\mu$ . Then

$$\partial_\nu \omega_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & -14zx \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -7x^2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} y & 2z & 3xy \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & -14zx \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -7x^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6xy \\ 3y \\ -14xyz - 21x^3y \end{bmatrix}^\top$$

and

$$\partial_\mu X^\nu = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 3y & 3x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2z & 3x & -7zx^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 3y & 3x & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -21x^2yz \\ 2z - 21x^3z \\ 6x \end{bmatrix}^\top$$

So,  $\mathcal{L}_X\omega = di_X\omega + i_X(d\omega)$ .

■

Is this result a mere coincidence? It is not!

**Theorem 7.26** (Cartan's magic formula, Cartan's homotopy formula).

$$\mathcal{L}_X \omega = (di_X + i_X d)\omega$$

*Proof.* **Proof for 1-form.** Note that  $i_X(dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu) = X^\mu dx^\nu - X^\nu dx^\mu$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (di_X + i_X d)\omega &= d(X^\mu \omega_\mu) + i_X \left[ \frac{\partial \omega_\nu}{\partial x^\mu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial (X^\mu \omega_\mu)}{\partial x^\nu} dx^\nu + i_X \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu) dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \right] \\ &= (\omega_\mu \partial_\nu X^\mu + \cancel{X^\mu \partial_\nu \omega_\mu}) dx^\nu + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu) (X^\mu dx^\nu - X^\nu dx^\mu)}_{= X^\mu (\partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu) dx^\nu} \\ &= (X^\mu \partial_\mu \omega_\nu + \partial_\nu X^\mu \omega_\mu) dx^\nu = \mathcal{L}_X \omega \end{aligned}$$

**Proof for  $r$ -form.** Lie derivative of  $r$ -form is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \omega &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} [(\sigma_\epsilon)^* \omega|_{\sigma_\epsilon(x)} - \omega|_x] \\ &= X^\nu \frac{1}{r!} \partial_\nu \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} + \sum_{s=1}^r \partial_{\mu_s} X^\nu \frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \nu \dots \mu_r} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^\nu \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} \\ &\quad \quad \quad \uparrow \\ &\quad \quad \quad \mu_s \\ (di_X + i_X d)\omega &= d \left[ \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{s=1}^r X^{\mu_s} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \nu \dots \mu_r} (-1)^{s-1} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} \right] \\ &\quad \quad \quad + i_X \left[ \frac{1}{r!} \partial_\nu \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} dx^\nu \wedge dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{s=1}^r (\partial_\nu X^{\mu_s} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} + \cancel{X^{\mu_s} \partial_\nu \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}}) (-1)^{s-1} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx^{\mu_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r!} [X^\nu \partial_\nu \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} + \sum_{s=1}^r \cancel{X^{\mu_s} \partial_\nu \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s \dots \mu_r} (-1)^s dx^\nu \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx^{\mu_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_r}}] \\ &= \mathcal{L}_X \omega \end{aligned}$$

■

**Exercise 7.27.** Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^r(\mathcal{M})$ . Show that

$$(1) \quad i_{[X,Y]}\omega = X(i_Y\omega) - Y(i_X\omega)$$

$$(2) \quad i_X^2 = 0^a$$

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}_X i_X \omega = i_X \mathcal{L}_X \omega.$$

<sup>a</sup>The interior product is **nilpotent**.

*Proof.*

$$(1) \quad \text{Since } [X, Y]^\nu = (\mathcal{L}_X Y)^\nu = X^\mu \partial_\mu Y^\nu - Y^\mu \partial_\mu X^\nu,$$

$$\begin{aligned} i_{[X,Y]}\omega &= \frac{1}{(r-1)!} (X^\mu \partial_\mu Y^\nu - Y^\mu \partial_\mu X^\nu) \omega_{\nu\mu_2\cdots\mu_r} dx^{\mu_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\mu_r} \\ &= X^\mu \partial_\mu (i_Y \omega) - Y^\mu \partial_\mu (i_X \omega) = X(i_Y \omega) - Y(i_X \omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \quad \text{For } X_3, \dots, X_r \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M}),$$

$$i_X i_X \omega(X_3, \dots, X_r) = i_X \omega(X, X_3, \dots, X_r) = \omega(X, X, X_3, \dots, X_r) = 0$$

because  $\omega$  is *totally antisymmetric*.

$$(3) \quad \text{By Cartan's magic formula,}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_X i_X \omega = (\mathbf{d}i_X + i_X \mathbf{d})(i_X \omega) = i_X \mathbf{d}i_X \omega$$

$$i_X \mathcal{L}_X \omega = i_X (\mathbf{d}i_X + i_X \mathbf{d})\omega = i_X \mathbf{d}i_X \omega$$

■

**Exercise 7.28** (Leibniz rule of interior product). For  $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^r(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\eta \in \Omega^s(\mathcal{M})$ ,

$$i_X(\omega \wedge \eta) = i_X\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^r \omega \wedge i_X\eta$$

( $i_X$  is an *anti-derivation*.)

*Proof. Elementary proof.* Call  $X = V_1$  and let  $V_2, \dots, V_{r+s} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{M})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} i_{V_1}(\omega \wedge \eta)(V_2, \dots, V_{r+s}) &= (\omega \wedge \eta)(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{r+s}) \\ &= \frac{1}{r!s!} \sum_{P \in S_{r+s}} \text{sgn}(P) \omega(V_{P(1)}, \dots, V_{P(r)}) \eta(V_{P(r+1)}, \dots, V_{P(r+s)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{r!s!} \left[ \sum_{P_1 \in S_{r+s}} \text{sgn}(P_1) \omega(V_{P_1(1)}, \dots, V_{P_1(r)}) \eta(V_{P_1(r+1)}, \dots, V_{P_1(r+s)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{P_2 \in S_{r+s}} \text{sgn}(P_2) \omega(V_{P_2(1)}, \dots, V_{P_2(r)}) \eta(V_{P_2(r+1)}, \dots, V_{P_2(r+s)}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Here, we split permutations in  $S_{r+s}$  into two sets:  $P_1$  such that  $1 \in \{P_1(1), \dots, P_1(r)\}$  and  $P_2$  such that  $1 \in \{P_2(r+1), \dots, P_2(r+s)\}$ . In the next step, we view  $P_1$  (and  $P_2$ ) as a permutation in  $S_{r+s-1}$ , by fixing  $1 = P_1(1)$  (and  $1 = P_2(r+1)$ ) by exploiting the alternating nature of  $\omega$  and  $\eta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} i_{V_1}(\omega \wedge \eta)(V_2, \dots, V_{r+s}) &= \frac{1}{(r-1)!s!} \sum_{P'_1 \in S_{r+s-1}} \text{sgn}(P'_1) \omega(V_1, V_{P'_1(2)}, \dots, V_{P'_1(r)}) \eta(V_{P'_1(r+1)}, \dots, V_{P'_1(r+s)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r!(s-1)!} \sum_{P'_2 \in S_{r+s-1}} \text{sgn}(P'_2) (-1)^r \omega(V_{P'_2(1)}, \dots, V_{P'_2(r)}) \eta(V_1, \dots, V_{P'_2(r+s)}) \\ &= i_X\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^r \omega \wedge i_X\eta \end{aligned}$$

■

*Proof. Fancy proof.* It is sufficient to prove where  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  are *decomposable*. Suppose  $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{r+s} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M})$  and

$$\omega = \alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^r \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \alpha^{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^{r+s}$$

Then  $i_X(\omega \wedge \eta) = i_X(\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^{r+s})$ . Let  $X_2, \dots, X_{r+s} \in T_p \mathcal{M}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} i_X(\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^{r+s})(X_2, \dots, X_{r+s}) &= (\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^{r+s})(X, X_2, \dots, X_{r+s}) \\ &= (r+s)! \mathcal{A}(\alpha^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{r+s})(X_1, \dots, X_{r+s}) \quad (X \equiv X_1) \\ &= (r+s)! \sum_{P \in S_{r+s}} \text{sgn}(P) \prod_{k=1}^{r+s} \alpha^k(X_{P(k)}) \\ &= (r+s)! \det[\alpha^i(X_j)] \\ &= (r+s)! \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^1(X_1) & \dots & \alpha^1(X_{r+s}) \\ \alpha^2(X_1) & \dots & \alpha^2(X_{r+s}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha^{r+s}(X_1) & \dots & \alpha^{r+s}(X_{r+s}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{expand along the first column}) \\ &= (r+s)! \sum_{i=1}^{r+s} (-1)^{i+1} \alpha^i(X) \det[\alpha^l(v_j)]_{1 \leq l \leq r+s, l \neq i, 2 \leq j \leq r+s} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r+s} (-1)^{i+1} \alpha^i(X) \alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\alpha^i} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^{r+s}(X_2, \dots, X_{r+s}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} i_X(\alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^{r+s}) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{i+1} \alpha^i(X) \alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\alpha^i} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^r \right) \wedge \alpha^{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^{r+s} \\ &\quad + (-1)^r \alpha^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^r \wedge \left( \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha^{r+i}(X) \alpha^{r+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\alpha^{r+i}} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha^{r+s} \right) \\ &= i_X \omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^r \omega \wedge i_X \eta \end{aligned}$$

■

**Exercise 7.29.** Let  $T = \mathfrak{T}^{(n,m)}(\mathcal{M})$ . Show that

$$(\mathcal{L}_X T)^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_m} = X^\lambda \partial_\lambda T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_m} + \sum_{s=1}^m \partial_{\nu_s} X^\lambda T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \lambda \dots \nu_m} - \sum_{s=1}^n \partial_\lambda X^{\mu_s} T^{\mu_1 \dots \lambda \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_m}$$

$\uparrow$   $\uparrow$   
 $\nu_s$   $\mu_s$

*Proof.* Contract  $T$  with arbitrary vectors  $Y^1, \dots, Y^m$  and covectors  $Z^1, \dots, Z^n$  to yield

$$(*) = T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_m} (Y^1)^{\nu_1} \dots (Y^m)^{\nu_m} (Z^1)_{\mu_1} \dots (Z^n)_{\mu_n}$$

and apply the Lie derivative.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X(*) &= \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_X(T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_m})}_{X^\lambda \partial_\lambda T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_m}} (Y^1)^{\nu_1} \dots (Y^m)^{\nu_m} (Z^1)_{\mu_1} \dots (Z^n)_{\mu_n} \\ &\quad + T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_m} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^m \dots \underbrace{(\mathcal{L}_X(Y^s))^{\nu_s}}_{X^\lambda \partial_\lambda (Y^s)^{\nu_s} - (Y^s)^\lambda \partial_\lambda X^{\nu_s}} \dots (Z^1)_{\mu_1} \dots (Z^n)_{\mu_n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_m} (Y^1)^{\nu_1} \dots (Y^m)^{\nu_m} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^n \dots \underbrace{(\mathcal{L}_X(Z^s))_{\mu_s}}_{X^\lambda \partial_\lambda (Z^s)_{\mu_s} + \partial_{\nu_s} X^\lambda (Z^s)_\lambda} \dots \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now choose  $(Y^s)^{\nu_s} = \delta^{\nu_s}_a$  and  $(Z^s)_{\mu_s} = \delta^b_{\mu_s}$  for fixed  $a$  and  $b$ . Then blue terms disappear and the proof is complete. ■

## § 7.4 Hamiltonian Mechanics and Symplectic Geometry

Consider a single particle in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . To describe the motion of that particle, we require  $\mathbb{R}^6$  phase space. In case of  $N$  particles, those particles *live* in  $3N$ -dimensional space while they enjoy  $6N$ -dimensional phase space. *Even-dimensional spaces have something special!*

$$\text{Position } (q_1, q_2, q_3) \quad + \quad \text{Momentum } (p_1, p_2, p_3) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text{Hamiltonian } H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$$

**Definition 7.30.** The **symplectic two-form**  $\omega = dp_\mu \wedge dq^\mu$ <sup>2</sup> is

- antisymmetric:  $\omega(X, Y) = -\omega(Y, X)$ .
- nondegenerate:  $i_X \omega = 0 \implies X = 0$ .
- closed:  $d\omega = 0$ .

*Remark.* The one-form  $\theta = p_\mu dq^\mu$  gives

$$d\theta = dp_\mu \wedge dq^\mu + p_\mu d^2 q^\mu = \omega$$

<sup>2</sup>Equation in Nakahara is wrong.



**Definition 7.31** (Hamiltonian vector fields). Given a function  $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  in the phase space, define

$$X_f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu}$$

Then

$$i_{X_f} \omega = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\mu} (-dp_\mu) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} (dq^\mu) = -df$$

*Remark.* The symplectic two-form  $\omega$  is **left-invariant** along the flow generated by  $X_H$ .

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_H} \omega = di_{X_H} \omega + i_{X_H} (d\omega) = -d^2 H = 0$$

*Remark.* A vector field  $X$  that satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0$  is called a **Hamiltonian vector field**. The space of such vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is denoted as  $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$  (By Poincaré's Lemma<sup>3</sup>,  $\exists H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$  such that  $i_X \omega = -dH$ ).

---

<sup>3</sup>I did not mention this before.