

Peterson's Solution

- ☐ Two process solution
- The two processes share two variables:
 - int turn;
 - Boolean flag[2]
- The variable turn indicates whose turn it is to enter the critical section
- The flag array is used to indicate if a process is ready to enter the critical section. flag[i] = true implies that process P_i is ready!



Peterson's Solution-Algorithm for Process Pi

Peterson's solution requires the two processes to share two data items: -

```
int turn;
boolean flag[2];
```

```
do {
    flag[i] = TRUE;
    turn = j;
    while (flag[j] && turn == j);
        critical section

flag[i] = FALSE;
    remainder section
} while (TRUE);
```

- Provable that
- Mutual exclusion is preserved
- Progress requirement is satisfied
- 3. Bounded-waiting requirement is met

Disadv:

Holds good only for 2 processes



Synchronization Hardware

- Many systems provide hardware support for critical section code
- □ Uniprocessors could disable interrupts
 - Currently running code would execute without preemption
 - Generally too inefficient on multiprocessor systems
 - Operating systems using this not broadly scalable
- Modern machines provide special atomic hardware instructions
 - Atomic = non-interruptable
 - Either test memory word and set value
 - Or swap contents of two memory words





TestAndSet Instruction

Definition:

```
boolean TestAndSet (boolean *target)
{
    boolean rv = *target;
    *target = TRUE;
    return rv:
}
```





Solution using TestAndSet

Shared boolean variable lock, initialized to FALSE

```
Solution:
```

```
do {
    while ( TestAndSet (&lock ))
    ; // do nothing
    // critical section
    lock = FALSE;
    // remainder section
    Pofinition:
    boolean TestAndSet (boolean *target)
    {
        boolean rv = *target;
        *target = TRUE;
        return rv:
    }
    // while (TRUE);
```

The Test and Set solution does not satisfy bounded waiting. There is no inherent mechanism in the solution to limit the waiting time for a process trying to acquire the lock.



Swap Instruction

Definition:

```
void Swap (boolean *a, boolean *b)
{
    boolean temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp:
}
```





Solution using Swap

- Shared Boolean variable lock initialized to FALSE; Each process has a local Boolean variable key
- Solution:

```
void Swap (boolean *a, boolean *b)
{
     boolean temp = *a;
     *a = *b;
     *b = temp:
}
```





Bounded-waiting Mutual Exclusion with TestandSet()

```
do {
   waiting[i] = TRUE;
   key = TRUE;
   while (waiting[i] && key)
           key = TestAndSet(&lock);
   waiting[i] = FALSE;
           // critical section
   i = (i + 1) \% n;
   while ((j != i) && !waiting[j])
           i = (i + 1) \% n:
   if (i == i)
           lock = FALSE;
   else
           waiting[j] = FALSE;
           // remainder section
} while (TRUE);
```

```
every process should get its turn
eventually, and no process should be
          starved indefinitely.
  do {
      waiting[i] = TRUE;
      key = TRUE;
      while (waiting[i] && key)
             key = TestAndSet(&lock);
      waiting[i] = FALSE;
             // critical section
      i = (i + 1) \% n:
      while ((j != i) && !waiting[j])
             i = (i + 1) \% n;
      if (j == i)
             lock = FALSE;
      else
             waiting[j] = FALSE;
             // remainder section
```

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2011

} while (TRUE);



Semaphores are versatile and can be used to control access to multiple resources, not just critical sections. This makes them suitable for scenarios where coordination and synchronization involve more than just protecting shared data.

Semaphores can be used as counting mechanisms, allowing multiple threads or processes to acquire/release multiple permits. This is useful in scenarios where more than one resource is available, and multiple processes can proceed concurrently.