

Functional Programming

4. The Functional Paradigm

Frank C Langbein
frank@langbein.org

Version 1.4.0

Monoids - Functional Design Patterns

- A **monoid** is a pair of a binary operator (`@@`) and a value `u` where the operator has the value as identity and is associative

```
u @@ x = x
x @@ u = x
(x @@ y) @@ z = x @@ (y @@ z)
```

- So we can define

```
@@_concat :: m -> m -> m
@@_concat u ys      = ys
@@_concat (x:xs) ys = x @@ (xs @@_concat ys)
```

- This should look very familiar, e.g. (`(++)`), (`[]`)

```
(++) :: m -> m -> m
[] ++ ys      = ys
(x:xs) ++ ys = x : (xs ++ ys)
```

- More: (`(+)`), (`0`), (`(*)`), (`1`), (`(||)`), (`False`), (`(&&)`), (`True`), (`(>>)`), (`done`)
-

Monoid Typeclass

- Define a general pattern of Monoids

```
class MyMonoid m where
  my_empty  :: m
  my_mappend :: m -> m -> m
  my_mconcat :: [m] -> m
  my_mconcat = foldr my_mappend my_empty
```

- Define list append Monoid

```
instance MyMonoid [a] where
  my_empty = []
  my_mappend = (++)
```

- Then `my_mappend [1,2,3] [4,5,6]` works like `(++)`

- Note, Monoid is already defined in the prelude, hence the use of `My/my_`
-

Typeclasses

- **Type** declaration: defines how a particular type is created
 - **Typeclass**: defines how a set of types are consumed / used in computations
 - Generalise over a set of types to define and execute a standard set of features for those types
 - A type which is part of a typeclass implements the typeclass behaviour
 - It is not an OO class, but some kind of interface definition
 - Note, each type can only have one instance of each typeclass
 - To achieve global uniqueness of instances (by design in Haskell)
-

Bool typeclass Example

- E.g. Eq typeclass for Bool (already defined, of course)

```
class Eq a where
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
  (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool

data Bool = False | True
instance Eq Bool
  (==) True  True  = True
  (==) False False = True
  (==) _     _     = False
```

- Actually defined via deriving, which creates the matching patterns

```
data Bool = False | True deriving (Eq)
```

- Deriving is done by the compiler (allowed for specific classes in the Prelude only)
- Extensions to write your own mechanisms exist

Functor

- **Functor**: apply a function to elements of a data structure

- Inbuilt class with definition

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a->b) -> f a -> f b
```

- Take a function `a->b`, a structure of `a` and create the same structure of `b` (applying the function)

- E.g. `fmap (\x -> x > 3) [1..6]`

- This generalises map
-

Applicative

- **Applicative**: monoidal functors
 - Same as functor, but the function is also embedded in a structure

```
class Functor f => Applicative f where
  pure :: a -> f a
  (<*>) :: f (a->b) -> f a -> f b
```

- Take a structure of functions $a \rightarrow b$, a structure of a and create the same structure of b (by applying the functions)
 - Using pure that creates a structure of a from a
- E.g. how to apply a list of functions?
 - `[(*0),(+10),(^2)] <*> [1,2,3]`
- The list type constructor `[]` is an applicative

```
instance Applicative [] where
  pure x = [x]
  fs <*> xs = [f x | f <- fs, x <- xs]
```

Monads

- A monad is a specialisation of an applicative (which is a special functor)
 - A Monad allows to run actions depending on the outcomes of earlier actions

```
do
  text <- getLine;
  if null text
    then putStrLn "You did not enter anything"
    else putStrLn "You entered " ++ text
```

- Recall ($\gg=$) and return

```
return v >>= \x -> m      = m[x:=v]
m >>= \x -> return x      = m
(m >>= \x -> n) >>= \y -> o = m >>= \x -> (n >>= \y -> o)
(m >>= f) >>= g            = m >>= (\x -> (f x >>= g))
```

- Anything that is a monad is an applicative, is a functor
 - E.g. `[1..3] >>= return . (+1)`
 - is `[(+1)] <*> [1..3]`
 - is `fmap (+1) [1..3]`

Monad Operations

```
class Applicative m => Monad m where
  (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
  (>>)  :: m a -> m b -> m b
  return :: a -> m a
```

- return takes a value and returns it inside the structure (like pure)
- \gg (sequencing operator) sequences two actions while discarding any resulting value of the first action
- $\gg=$ is the bind operator (the special part of monads)
 - Take a structure of a and a function `a -> m b` creating a structure of b from a and return a structure of b

- Monads change the structure involved via a function
-

Concat, Join and Bind

- Functors:

```
andOne x = [x,1]
andOne 10      -- [10,1]
fmap andOne [4,5,6] -- [[4,1],[5,1],[6,1]]
```

- What if we only wanted a list, not a list of lists?
- I.e. > concat \$ fmap andOne [4,5,6]

```
concat :: Foldable t => t [a] -> [a]  --- or just concat : [[a]] -> [a]
```

- Monads generalises concat

```
import Control.Monad (join) -- defines: join :: Monad m => m (m a) -> m a
```

- Now bind (like >=>) is

```
bind :: Monad m => m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
bind a b = join (fmap b a)
```

- E.g. bind [1..3] andOne or [1..3] >=> addOne
-

Maybe

```

data MyMaybe a = MyNothing | MyJust a deriving Show

safeLog :: (Floating a, Ord a) => a -> MyMaybe a
safeLog x | x > 0 = MyJust (log x)
          | otherwise = MyNothing

instance Functor MyMaybe where
  fmap f MyNothing = MyNothing
  fmap f (MyJust a) = MyJust (f a)
  -- fmap log (MyJust 10) or fmap log MyNothing

instance Applicative MyMaybe where
  pure x = MyJust x
  (<*>) MyNothing _ = MyNothing
  (<*>) _ MyNothing = MyNothing
  (<*>) (MyJust f) (MyJust x) = MyJust (f x)
  -- (MyJust log) <*> (MyJust 10) or (MyJust log) <*> MyNothing

instance Monad MyMaybe where
  MyNothing >=> f = MyNothing
  (MyJust x) >=> f = f x
  return      = MyJust
  -- (MyJust 10) >=> safeLog or (MyJust (-10)) >=> safeLog

```

Programming Paradigms

- **Imperative:** Use statements, e.g. assignment statements
 - Explicitly change the state / the memory of the computer
- **Logic:** Use terms of mathematical logic (mainly first-order logic)
 - Reasoning with relations

- **Functional:** Evaluate mathematical functions
 - Define functions without side-effects / no state
 - **Object-Oriented:** associate behaviour with data-structures (objects), belonging to classes, structured into a hierarchy
 - Change the state of objects, communicate between objects
 - Most programming languages use multiple paradigms, but have a preference
-

The Imperative Paradigm

- Computers have re-usable memory that can change state
 - Imperative languages are explicitly based on von Neumann-Zuse computer architectures
 - Statements affect the state of the machine
 - Mathematically this means a sequence of values is associated with a variable/state x
 - $[x(1), \dots, x(t), \dots]$ where t is a discrete time variable
 - Becomes increasingly complicated as the state-changes
 - Imperative languages can relatively easily be translated into efficient machine-code
 - They are considered to be highly efficient
 - Many people find the imperative paradigm quite natural
 - Such languages do have functional features (e.g. arithmetic expression, etc.)
-

The Logic Paradigm

- Logical paradigm focuses on predicate logic

- Basic concept is a relation
 - Useful for problems where it is no obvious what the functions should be
 - Search and construct valid relations
 - To answer questions
 - Mathematical logic plays key role in computation, since Boolean logic is basis of the design of logic circuits
 - Note, first-order logic is incomplete
 - Of course, we can create a functional definition of a logic evaluation procedure
-

The Functional Paradigm

- Emphasis on evaluating mathematical functions
 - Combined into expressions
 - Based on lambda calculus
 - While common in other languages, pure functional languages have no side-effects
 - Makes proving correctness simple
 - E.g. $f(x) * f(x) == f(x)^2$ (not true if f has side-effects)
 - Often functional programs are less efficient compared to imperative programs
 - Recursion can be expensive (but does not have to be)
 - Absence of side-effects requires more memory (hard to determine which memory can be reused)
-

The Object-Oriented Paradigm

- OO associates data with behaviour to create objects

- Methods change the state of objects (not a procedure operating on data)
 - Objects have a class indicating their behaviour
 - Often classes have a hierarchy to group behaviour and enable inheritance
 - Behaviour is encapsulated to allow only legitimate enquiries
 - Based on **closures**
 - An association of behaviour (i.e. some code) with data
 - Data can only be accessed by passing appropriate arguments
 - Often implies modelling the world according to objects
 - Ignores how data is processed and leads to inefficient code
-

The Object-Oriented Paradigm

- Focus is on the data, not functions
 - $f(x, y, z)$ can be thought of as $(x, y, z).f()$
 - But side-effects are allowed in OO
- Message-passing sub-paradigm
 - `send OBJECT MESSAGE` instead of `OBJECT.MESSAGE()`
 - Useful for communication in networks
 - Single dispatch: not so useful to send messages to multiple objects
- Distributed function definition sub-paradigm
 - Functions are defined in multiple places
 - Objects have methods with the same names
 - Overloading