

## Right Triangles Program 3 - Test Plan

---

### Program Overview

---

Counts right triangles from a set of 2D points using direction vectors.  
Uses PointStore abstraction to support text and binary input formats.

### Files:

- Triangles.java: Single-process baseline
- ProcessTriangles.java: Multi-process coordinator (uses pipes for IPC)
- ThreadTriangles.java: Multi-thread version (uses shared memory)
- SingleProcessTriangleCounter.java: Child process worker
- PointStore.java: Storage interface
- TextPointStore.java: Text-encoded point reader
- BinPointStore.java: Binary-encoded point reader (memory-mapped I/O)
- TrianglesUtils.java: Shared algorithm ( $O(n^2)$ )

Exit Codes: 1 = usage error, 2 = file error, 3 = format error

### Test Cases

---

#### Functional Tests (Text Encoding):

Test File	Points	Expected	Description
single_right_triangle.txt	3	1	Minimum valid case
square_points.txt	4	4	Square corners
test_spec_list.txt	5	4	Professor's test
test_long_list.txt	5000	32909	Large dataset

#### Functional Tests (Binary Encoding):

Test File	Points	Expected	Description
single_right_triangle.dat	3	1	Binary version of 3-point case

### Running Tests

---

#### Compile:

```
javac com/tryright/*.java
```

#### Single-process:

```
java com.tryright.Triangles test/<testfile>
```

#### Multi-process:

```
java com.tryright.ProcessTriangles test/<testfile> <num_processes>
```

#### Multi-thread:

```
java com.tryright.ThreadTriangles test/<testfile> <num_threads>
```

Example:

```
java com.tryright.ProcessTriangles test/test_long_list.txt 8
java com.tryright.ThreadTriangles test/test_long_list.txt 8
java com.tryright.Triangles test/single_right_triangle.dat
```

Performance Results - test\_long\_list.txt (5000 points)

-----  
Tested on Windows (8 logical cores)

Workers	Process (s)	Thread (s)	Thread Speedup
1	8.59	8.38	1.02x
2	4.68	4.53	1.03x
4	3.10	2.95	1.05x
8	3.22	2.41	1.34x
16	3.72	2.75	1.35x
32	3.97	2.76	1.44x

Analysis

-----  
Threads outperform processes at higher worker counts due to:

1. No JVM startup overhead per worker
2. No pipe overhead (processes still pay per-child startup and I/O)
3. Direct shared memory access vs IPC

At low worker counts (1-2), overhead differences are minimal.

At higher counts (8+), threads show 30-45% improvement.

Processes degrade at high worker counts (e.g. 32 processes = 13.3s vs 4.5s for threads on 5000 points) due to cumulative JVM startup and pipe overhead. Threads remain flat because shared memory has no per-worker IPC cost.

Optimal configuration: 8 threads (matches CPU logical cores, best performance)

Binary Format Verification

-----  
Binary input files (.dat) are parsed using BinPointStore and MMIO. Results are verified by comparing .dat output to the equivalent .txt tests (single\_right\_triangle, test\_spec\_list, test\_long\_list).

Binary vs Text (8 Threads)

-----  
Results from ThreadTriangles with 8 threads on Windows:

Test File Pair	Expected	TXT Time (ms)	DAT Time (ms)
test_spec_list (.txt/.dat)	4	571.55	569.57
test_long_list (.txt/.dat)	32909	2868.18	2792.53