

Ch 2: Problems

2.1) Verify that $\omega_{\rho\sigma} = -\omega_{\sigma\rho}$ follows from

$$g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \Lambda^\nu{}_\sigma = g_{\rho\sigma}.$$

Solution

$$\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu + \omega^\mu{}_\nu$$

$$\Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \Lambda^\nu{}_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$(\delta^\mu{}_\rho + \omega^\mu{}_\rho)(\delta^\nu{}_\sigma + \omega^\nu{}_\sigma) g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$(\delta^\mu{}_\rho \delta^\nu{}_\sigma + \delta^\mu{}_\rho \omega^\nu{}_\sigma + \omega^\mu{}_\rho \delta^\nu{}_\sigma + \omega^\mu{}_\rho \omega^\nu{}_\sigma) g_{\mu\nu}$$

$$= g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$g_{\rho\sigma} + \omega_{\rho\sigma} + \omega_{\sigma\rho} + O(\omega^2) = g_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\therefore \omega_{\rho\sigma} = -\omega_{\sigma\rho}$$

2.2) Verify that $U(\lambda)^{-1} M^{\mu\nu} U(\lambda) = \Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \Lambda^\nu{}_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma}$ follows from $U(\lambda)^{-1} U(\lambda') U(\lambda) = U(\lambda^{-1} \lambda' \lambda)$.

Solution

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1} U(\Lambda') U(\Lambda) = U(\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda' \Lambda)$$

$$\Lambda' = 1 + \omega$$

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} \right) U(\Lambda) = U(\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda' \Lambda)$$

$$U(\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda' \Lambda) = U(\Lambda^{-1} (1 + \omega) \Lambda)$$

$$= U(1 + \Lambda^{-1} \omega \Lambda)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} (\Lambda^{-1} \omega \Lambda)_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} (\Lambda^{-1})^\rho_\mu \omega_{\rho\sigma} \Lambda^\sigma_\nu M^{\mu\nu}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \Lambda^\rho_\mu \Lambda^\sigma_\nu \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\mu\nu}$$

$$1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\mu\nu} U(\Lambda)^{-1} M^{\mu\nu} U(\Lambda)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \Lambda^\rho_\mu \Lambda^\sigma_\nu \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} \underbrace{U(\Lambda)^{-1} M^{\mu\nu} U(\Lambda)}_{\text{antisym. in } \mu, \nu} = \Lambda^\rho_\mu \Lambda^\sigma_\nu \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\mu\nu}$$

antisym. in μ, ν

$$= \omega_{\mu\nu} \underbrace{\Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma}}_{\text{antisym. in } \mu, \nu}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (\Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma} - \Lambda^\nu_\rho \Lambda^\mu_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma})$$

$-M^{\sigma\rho}$

$$+ \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma}$$

$$= \omega_{\mu\nu} \underbrace{\Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma}}_{\text{antisym. in } \mu, \nu}$$

already antisym.

$$\therefore U(\Lambda)^{-1} M^{\mu\nu} U(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma}$$

$$2.3) \text{ Verify that } [M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i\hbar [g^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)]$$

$-(\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)$ follows from $U(\Lambda)^{-1} M^{\mu\nu} U(\Lambda)$

$$= \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma}.$$

Solution

$$U(\lambda)^{-1} M^{\mu\nu} U(\lambda) = \Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \Lambda^\nu{}_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma}\right) M^{\mu\nu} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma}\right)$$

$$= (\delta^\mu{}_\rho + \omega^\mu{}_\rho)(\delta^\nu{}_\sigma + \omega^\nu{}_\sigma) M$$

$$(M^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma} M^{\mu\nu}) (1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma})$$

$$= (\delta^\mu{}_\rho + \omega^\mu{}_\rho)(M^{\rho\nu} + \omega^\nu{}_\sigma M^{\rho\sigma})$$

$$M^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} [M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] + O(\omega^2)$$

$$= M^{\mu\nu} + \omega^\nu{}_\sigma M^{\mu\sigma} + \omega^\mu{}_\rho M^{\rho\nu} + O(\omega^2)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} [M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}]}_{\text{already antisym.}} = \omega^\nu{}_\sigma M^{\mu\sigma} + \omega^\mu{}_\rho M^{\rho\nu}$$

already antisym.

in ρ, σ

$$= \omega_{\rho\sigma} g^{\rho\nu} M^{\mu\sigma} + \underbrace{\omega^\mu{}_\sigma}_{\omega_{\rho\sigma} g^{\rho\mu}} M^{\sigma\nu}$$

$$= \omega_{\rho\sigma} \underbrace{(g^{\rho\nu} M^{\mu\sigma} + g^{\rho\mu} M^{\sigma\nu})}_{-(\rho\leftrightarrow\sigma)}$$

Solution
follows from
antisymmetrize wrt ρ, σ

$$= \omega_{\rho\sigma} \frac{1}{2} (g^{\rho\nu} M^{\mu\sigma} + g^{\rho\mu} M^{\sigma\nu} - g^{\sigma\nu} M^{\mu\rho} - g^{\sigma\mu} M^{\rho\nu})$$

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = -i\hbar (g^{\rho\nu} M^{\mu\sigma} + g^{\rho\mu} M^{\sigma\nu} - g^{\sigma\nu} M^{\mu\rho} - g^{\sigma\mu} M^{\rho\nu})$$

Shorthand notation:

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = -i\hbar (g^{\nu\rho} M^{\mu\sigma} - g^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\nu\sigma} M^{\mu\rho} + g^{\mu\sigma} M^{\nu\rho})$$

$$= i\hbar (g^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\nu\rho} M^{\mu\sigma} - g^{\mu\sigma} M^{\nu\rho} - g^{\nu\sigma} M^{\mu\rho})$$

$$= i\hbar [g^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)] - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)$$

2.4) Verify that

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

$$[J_i, K_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} K_k$$

$$[K_i, K_j] = -i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

19

follows from $[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i\hbar [g^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)] - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)$.

Solution

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} = \delta_{il} \delta_{jm} \delta_{kn} + \delta_{im} \delta_{jn} \delta_{ke} + \delta_{in} \delta_{je} \delta_{km} - \delta_{im} \delta_{je} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jn} \delta_{km} - \delta_{in} \delta_{jm} \delta_{kl}$$

$$M^{jk} = \epsilon_{ijk} J_i$$

For the rest see code-1.

2.5) Verify that $[p^\mu, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i\hbar [g^{\mu\rho} p^\sigma - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)]$

follows from $U(\Lambda)^{-1} p^\mu U(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\mu_\nu p^\nu$.

Solution

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1} p^\mu U(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\mu_\nu p^\nu$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma}\right) p^\mu \left(1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma}\right)$$

$$= (\delta^\mu_\nu + \omega^\mu_\nu) p^\nu$$

$$\left(p^\mu - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma} p^\mu\right) \left(1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma}\right) = p^\mu + \omega^\mu_\nu p^\nu$$

20

$$p^\mu + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{p\sigma} [p^\mu, M^{\rho\sigma}] + O(\omega^2) = p^\mu + \omega^\mu{}_\nu p^\nu$$

$$\frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{p\sigma} [p^\mu, M^{\rho\sigma}] = \underbrace{\omega_{p\sigma} g^{\mu\rho} \delta^\sigma{}_\nu}_\text{already antisym.} p^\nu$$

already antisym.

in p, σ

$$= \omega_{p\sigma} g^{\mu\rho} p^\nu$$

antisymmetrize wrt p, σ

$$= \omega_{p\sigma} \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\rho} p^\sigma - g^{\mu\sigma} p^\rho)$$

$$[p^\mu, M^{\rho\sigma}] = -i\hbar (g^{\mu\rho} p^\sigma - g^{\mu\sigma} p^\rho)$$

Shorthand notation:

$$[p^\mu, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i\hbar [g^{\mu\sigma} p^\rho - (p \leftrightarrow \sigma)]$$

2.6) Verify that

$$[J_i, H] = 0$$

$$[J_i, p_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} p_k$$

$$[K_i, H] = i\hbar p_i$$

$$[K_i, p_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij} H$$

21

follows from $[p^\mu, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i\hbar [g^{\mu\rho} p^\sigma - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)]$

Solution See code-2.

2.7) What property should be attributed to the translation operator, $T(a)$, that could be used to prove

$$[p_i, p_j] = 0 = [p_i, H]$$

Solution These two can be summarized as

$$[p_\mu, p_\nu] = 0$$

This can be obtained if successive translations commute:

$$[T(a), T(b)] = 0$$

asimal translation:

$$\left[1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} p_a, 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} p_b \right] = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 a^\mu b^\nu}_{\neq 0} \underbrace{[p_\mu, p_\nu]}_{:= 0} = 0$$

2.8) a) let $\Lambda = 1 + \omega$ in $U(\Lambda)^{-1} \varphi(x) U(\Lambda)$
 $= \varphi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$ and show that

$$[\varphi(x), M^{\mu\nu}] = L^{\mu\nu} \varphi(x)$$

where

$$L^{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{i} (x^\mu \partial^\nu - x^\nu \partial^\mu)$$

- b) Show that $[[\varphi(x), M^{\mu\nu}], M^{\rho\sigma}] = [L^{\mu\nu}, L^{\rho\sigma}] \varphi(x)$.
- c) Prove the Jacobi identity,

$$[[A, B], C] + [[B, C], A] + [[C, A], B] = 0$$

Hint: Write out all the commutators.

- d) Use your results from parts (b) and (c)
to show that

$$[\varphi(x), [M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}]] = [L^{\mu\nu}, L^{\rho\sigma}] \varphi(x)$$

- e) Simplify the right-hand side of the
above result as much as possible.

f) Use your results from point (e) to verify

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i\hbar [g^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)] - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)$$

up to the possibility of a term on the right-hand side that commutes w/ $\varphi(x)$ and its derivatives. (Such a term, called a central charge, in fact does not arise for the Lorentz algebra.)

Solution

a) $U(\lambda)^{-1} \varphi(x) U(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda^{-1}x)$

$$\left(1 - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu}\right) \varphi(x) \left(1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu}\right)$$

$$= \varphi(x - \omega x)$$

$$= \varphi(x) - (\omega x)^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi(x)$$

$$= \varphi(x) - \omega^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu \partial_\mu \varphi(x)$$

$$\left(\varphi - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} \varphi\right) \left(1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu}\right)$$

$$= \varphi - \omega_{\mu\nu} x^\nu \partial^\mu \varphi$$

$$\varphi + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\mu\nu} [\varphi, M^{\mu\nu}] + O(\omega^2) = \varphi - \omega_{\mu\nu} x^\nu \partial^\mu \varphi$$

$$\frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\mu\nu} [\varphi, M^{\mu\nu}] = -\omega_{\mu\nu} x^\nu \partial^\mu \varphi$$

already antisym
in μ, ν

antisymmetrize
wrt μ, ν

$$= -\omega_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{2} (x^\nu \partial^\mu - x^\mu \partial^\nu) \varphi$$

$$= \omega_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{2} (x^\mu \partial^\nu - x^\nu \partial^\mu) \varphi$$

$$[\varphi, M^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{\hbar}{i} (x^\mu \partial^\nu - x^\nu \partial^\mu) \varphi$$

$$L^{\mu\nu} := \frac{\hbar}{i} (x^\mu \partial^\nu - x^\nu \partial^\mu)$$

$$\text{cf. } [x, p] = i\hbar = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \Rightarrow [f(x), p] = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x)$$

representation of
p in position space

$\therefore -L^{\mu\nu}$ is the representation of $M^{\mu\nu}$ when
acting on a scalar.

b) $L^{\mu\nu}$ and $M^{\mu\nu}$ can be concluded to commute because one is the representation of the other in a certain space.

$$\begin{aligned} [[\varphi(x), M^{\mu\nu}], M^{\rho\sigma}] &= [L^{\mu\nu} \varphi(x), M^{\rho\sigma}] \\ &= L^{\mu\nu} [\varphi(x), M^{\rho\sigma}] \\ &= L^{\mu\nu} L^{\rho\sigma} \varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

c) $[[A, B], C] + [[B, C], A] + [[C, A], B] \stackrel{?}{=} 0$

$$[AB - BA, C] + [BC - CB, A] + [CA - AC, B] \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

$$ABC - CAB - BAC + CBA + BCA - ABC - CBA$$

$$+ ACB + CAB - BCA - ACB + BAC \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

$0 = 0$ identically

$$\begin{aligned} d) [[\varphi(x), [M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}]] &= [\varphi(x), M^{\mu\nu} M^{\rho\sigma} - M^{\rho\sigma} M^{\mu\nu}] \\ &= M^{\mu\nu} [\varphi(x), M^{\rho\sigma}] + [\varphi(x), M^{\mu\nu}] M^{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad - M^{\rho\sigma} [\varphi(x), M^{\mu\nu}] - [\varphi(x), M^{\rho\sigma}] M^{\mu\nu} \\ &= M^{\mu\nu} L^{\rho\sigma} \varphi(x) + L^{\mu\nu} \varphi(x) M^{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad - M^{\rho\sigma} L^{\mu\nu} \varphi(x) - L^{\rho\sigma} \varphi(x) M^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$$= M^{\mu\nu} [L^{\rho\sigma}\varphi(x) + L^{\mu\nu}[L^{\rho\sigma}\varphi(x) + M^{\rho\sigma}\varphi(x)] \\ - M^{\rho\sigma} L^{\mu\nu}\varphi(x) - L^{\rho\sigma}[L^{\mu\nu}\varphi(x) + M^{\mu\nu}\varphi(x)]]$$

Noting that M and L commute, we have

$$[\varphi(x), [M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}]] = L^{\mu\nu} L^{\rho\sigma}\varphi(x) - L^{\rho\sigma} L^{\mu\nu}\varphi(x) \\ = [L^{\mu\nu}, L^{\rho\sigma}]\varphi(x)$$

e) $L^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{i}(x^\mu \partial^\nu - x^\nu \partial^\mu)$

$$= x^\mu p^\nu - x^\nu p^\mu$$

$$[L^{\mu\nu}, L^{\rho\sigma}] = [x^\mu p^\nu - x^\nu p^\mu, x^\rho p^\sigma - x^\sigma p^\rho] \\ = [x^\mu p^\nu, x^\rho p^\sigma] - [x^\mu p^\nu, x^\sigma p^\rho] \\ - [x^\nu p^\mu, x^\rho p^\sigma] + [x^\nu p^\mu, x^\sigma p^\rho] \\ =: A^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - A^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} - A^{\nu\mu\rho\sigma} + A^{\nu\mu\sigma\rho}$$

$$A^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} := [x^\mu p^\nu, x^\rho p^\sigma]$$

$$= x^\mu [p^\nu, x^\rho p^\sigma] + [x^\mu, x^\rho p^\sigma] p^\nu$$

27

$$= x^\mu x^\rho [p^\nu, p^\sigma] + x^\mu [p^\nu, x^\rho] p^\sigma$$

$$+ x^\rho [x^\mu, p^\sigma] p^\nu + [x^\mu, x^\rho] p^\sigma p^\nu$$

$$[x^\mu, p^\nu] = x^\mu p^\nu - p^\nu x^\mu$$

$$= x^\mu p^\nu - (p^\nu x^\mu) - x^\mu p^\nu$$

$$= -(p^\nu x^\mu)$$

$$= -\frac{\hbar}{i} \partial^\nu x^\mu$$

$$= i\hbar g^{\mu\nu}$$

$$A^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = x^\mu (-i\hbar g^{\nu\rho}) p^\sigma + x^\rho (i\hbar g^{\mu\sigma}) p^\nu$$

$$= -i\hbar g^{\nu\rho} x^\mu p^\sigma + i\hbar g^{\mu\sigma} x^\rho p^\nu$$

$$[L^{\mu\nu}, L^{\rho\sigma}] = -i\hbar g^{\nu\rho} x^\mu p^\sigma + i\hbar g^{\mu\sigma} x^\rho p^\nu$$

$$+ i\hbar g^{\nu\sigma} x^\mu p^\rho - i\hbar g^{\mu\rho} x^\sigma p^\nu$$

$$+ i\hbar g^{\mu\rho} x^\nu p^\sigma - i\hbar g^{\nu\sigma} x^\rho p^\mu$$

$$- i\hbar g^{\mu\sigma} x^\nu p^\rho + i\hbar g^{\nu\rho} x^\sigma p^\mu$$

$$= -i\hbar g^{\nu\rho} (x^\mu p^\sigma - x^\sigma p^\mu) - i\hbar g^{\mu\sigma} (-x^\rho p^\nu + x^\nu p^\rho)$$

$$+ i\hbar g^{\nu\sigma} (x^\mu p^\rho - x^\rho p^\mu) + i\hbar g^{\mu\rho} (-x^\sigma p^\nu + x^\nu p^\sigma)$$

$$= i\hbar [g^{\mu\rho} L^{\nu\sigma} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)] - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)$$

$\therefore L$ satisfies the same commutation as M , as expected — expected because L is just a representation of M on scalars.

f) L and M satisfy the same self-commutation. \exists no central-charge term.

2.9) let us write

$$\Lambda^\rho{}_\tau = S^\rho{}_\tau + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\mu\nu} (S^\mu{}_\nu)^\rho{}_\tau$$

where

$$(S^\mu{}_\nu)^\rho{}_\tau := \frac{i}{\hbar} (g^{\mu\rho} S^\nu{}_\tau - g^{\nu\rho} S^\mu{}_\tau)$$

are matrices which constitute the vector representation of the Lorentz generators.

a) let $\Lambda = 1 + \omega$ in

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1} \partial^\mu \varphi(x) U(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \bar{\partial}^\rho \varphi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$$

and show that

$$[\partial^\mu \varphi(x), M^{\mu\nu}] = L^{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \varphi(x) + (S^\mu{}_\nu)^\rho{}_\tau \bar{\partial}^\tau \varphi(x)$$

29

b) Show that the matrices $S_V^{\mu\nu}$ must have the same commutation relations as the operators $M^{\mu\nu}$. Hint: See the previous problem.

c) For a rotation by an angle θ about the z axis, we have

$$\Lambda^\mu_{\ \nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Show that

$$\Lambda = e^{-i\theta S_V^{12}/\hbar}$$

d) For a boost by rapidity in the z direction, we have

$$\Lambda^\mu_{\ \nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\eta) & 0 & 0 & \sinh(\eta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh(\eta) & 0 & 0 & \cosh(\eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

Show that

$$\Lambda = e^{i\eta S_V^{30}/\hbar}$$

Solution

$$a) \quad U(\lambda)^{-1} \partial^\mu \varphi(x) U(\lambda) = \lambda^\mu_{\rho} \bar{\partial}^\rho \varphi(\lambda^{-1}x)$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma}\right) \partial^\mu \varphi \left(1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma}\right)$$

$$= \lambda^\mu_{\rho} (\lambda^{-1})^\rho_{\sigma} \bar{\partial}^\sigma \varphi(x - \omega x) \underbrace{\varphi - (\omega x) \cdot \partial \varphi}_{\varphi - (x^\rho \partial_\rho \varphi)}$$

$$\partial^\mu \varphi + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} [\partial^\mu \varphi, M^{\rho\sigma}] + O(\omega^2)$$

$$= \partial^\mu (\varphi - \omega^\rho_{\sigma} x^\sigma \partial_\rho \varphi)$$

$$\frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} [\partial^\mu \varphi, M^{\rho\sigma}] \underbrace{=} -\omega_{\rho\sigma} \partial^\mu (x^\sigma \partial^\rho \varphi)$$

already antisym.

in ρ, σ

$$= -\omega_{\rho\sigma} \underbrace{(g^{\mu\rho} \partial^\sigma \varphi + x^\sigma \partial^\mu \partial^\rho \varphi)}_{\text{antisymmetrizing wrt } \rho, \sigma}$$

antisymmetrizing wrt ρ, σ

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\omega_{\rho\sigma} \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\rho} \partial^\rho \varphi - g^{\mu\rho} \partial^\sigma \varphi \\
&\quad + \eta^\sigma \partial^\mu \partial^\rho \varphi - \eta^\rho \partial^\mu \partial^\sigma \varphi) \\
&= -\omega_{\rho\sigma} \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\rho} s_\tau^{\rho\tau} - g^{\mu\rho} s_\tau^{\sigma\tau} \\
&\quad + \eta^\sigma \partial^\rho s_\tau^{\mu\tau} - \eta^\rho \partial^\sigma s_\tau^{\mu\tau}) \partial^\tau \varphi \\
&= -\omega_{\rho\sigma} \frac{i}{2\hbar} [-(S_V^{\rho\sigma})^\mu_\tau - L^{\rho\sigma} s_\tau^{\mu\tau}] \partial^\tau \varphi \\
&= \omega_{\rho\sigma} \frac{i}{2\hbar} [L^{\rho\sigma} s_\tau^{\mu\tau} + (S_V^{\rho\sigma})^\mu_\tau] \partial^\tau \varphi \\
&[\partial^\mu \varphi, M^{\rho\sigma}] = L^{\rho\sigma} \partial^\mu \varphi + (S_V^{\rho\sigma})^\mu_\tau \partial^\tau \varphi
\end{aligned}$$

b) By virtue of the representation argument, we expect for S_V to be a representation of M when acting on vectors $\therefore S$ should satisfy the same self-anticommutation, just like L does.

c) Mathematica code for the matrices S_V^{12} and S_V^{30} : See code-3.

$$S_V^{12} = i\hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i\hbar \sigma^{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda S_V^{12}} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} (S_V^{12})^k \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(i\hbar\lambda)^k}{k!} (\sigma^{12})^k, \quad \lambda = -\frac{i\theta}{\hbar} \end{aligned}$$

$$(\sigma^{12})^2 = \text{diag}(0, -1, -1, 0) =: -\hat{1}$$

$$(\sigma^{12})^3 = -\sigma^{12}$$

$$(\sigma^{12})^4 = \hat{1}$$

$$(\sigma^{12})^5 = \sigma^{12}$$

$$(\sigma^{12})^6 = -\hat{1}$$

$$(\sigma^{12})^7 = -\sigma^{12}$$

$$\dots$$

$$(\sigma^{12})^k = \begin{cases} i^k \hat{1}, & k \text{ even, } k > 0 \\ -i^{k+1} \sigma^{12}, & k \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

33

$$e^{iS_V^{12}} = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} \left[i\hbar \left(-\frac{i\theta}{\hbar} \right) \right]^k (\sigma^{12})^k$$

$\underbrace{\theta^k}$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\theta^k}{k!} (\sigma^{12})^k$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\theta^{2k}}{(2k)!} (\sigma^{12})^{2k} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\theta^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} (\sigma^{12})^{2k-1}$$

$\underbrace{i^{2k} \hat{1}} \quad \underbrace{-i^{2k} \sigma^{12}}$

Do the sums using Mathematica:

$$e^{-iS_V^{12} \theta/\hbar} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

d)

$$S_V^{30} = -i\hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i\hbar \sigma^{30}$$

$$(\sigma^{30})^2 = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) =: \hat{1}$$

$$(\sigma^{30})^3 = \sigma^{30}$$

$$(\sigma^{30})^4 = \hat{1}$$

...

$$(\sigma^{30})^k = \begin{cases} \sigma^{30}, & k \text{ odd} \\ \hat{1}, & k \text{ even, } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e^{\lambda S_V^{30}} = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-i\hbar\lambda)^k}{k!} (\sigma^{30})^k, \quad \lambda = \frac{i\eta}{\hbar}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\eta^{2k}}{(2k)!} \hat{1} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\eta^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} \sigma^{30}$$

Do the sum using mathematica:

$$e^{i\eta S_V^{30}/\hbar} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\eta) & 0 & 0 & \sinh(\eta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh(\eta) & 0 & 0 & \cosh(\eta) \end{pmatrix}$$