

Spin-statistics theorem

$$H_0 = \int d\vec{k} \; w \; a^\dagger(\vec{k}) \; a(\vec{k})$$

$$w = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$$

$$[a(\vec{k}), a(\vec{k}')]_{\mp} = 0$$

$$[a^\dagger(\vec{k}), a^\dagger(\vec{k}')]_{\mp} = 0$$

$$[a(\vec{k}), a^\dagger(\vec{k}')]_{\mp} = (2\pi)^3 \; 2w \; \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$$

Consider adding terms to the hamiltonian that will result in local, Lorentz-inv interactions. Let

$$\varphi^+(\vec{x}, 0) := \int d\vec{k} \; e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \; a(\vec{k})$$

$$\varphi^-(\vec{x}, 0) := \int d\vec{k} \; e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \; a^\dagger(\vec{k})$$

Time-evolve w/ H_0 :

$$\varphi^+(\vec{x}, t) = e^{iH_0 t} \varphi^+(\vec{x}, 0) e^{-iH_0 t} = \int d\vec{k} \; e^{ikx} \; a(\vec{k})$$

$$\varphi^-(\vec{x}, t) = e^{iH_0 t} \varphi^-(\vec{x}, 0) e^{-iH_0 t} = \int d\vec{k} \; e^{-ikx} \; a^\dagger(\vec{k})$$

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi^+(x) + \varphi^-(x) : \text{usual hermitian free field}$$

Under proper orthochronous Lorentz transf:

$$\mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} \varphi(x) \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \varphi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$$

We have shown that

$$\mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} a(\vec{k}) \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = a(\Lambda^{-1}\vec{k})$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} a^\dagger(\vec{k}) \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = a^\dagger(\Lambda^{-1}\vec{k})$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} \varphi^\pm(x) \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \varphi^\pm(\Lambda^{-1}x)$$

$\therefore \varphi^+$ and φ^- are Lorentz scalars. We will then have local, Lorentz-inv interactions if we take the interaction Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_I to be a hermitian function of φ^+ and φ^- .

Transition amplitude T_{if} from $|i\rangle$ at $t = -\infty$ to $|f\rangle$ at $t = +\infty$:

$$T_{if} = \langle f | T \; e^{-i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \; H_I(t)} | i \rangle$$

$$H_I(t) := e^{iH_0 t} \; H_I \; e^{-iH_0 t} : \text{perturbing hamiltonian in the interaction pic}$$

$$H_I : \text{interaction hamiltonian in Schrödinger pic}$$

$$T : \text{time-ordering symbol}$$

Key point: For T_{if} to be Lorentz inv, time-ordering must be frame-independent. Time-ordering of two spacetime points x and x' is frame-independent if their separation is timelike,

$$(x - x')^2 < 0$$

Spacelike-separated x and x' can have different frames \therefore we require

$$[\mathcal{H}_I(x), \mathcal{H}_I(x')] = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad (x - x')^2 > 0$$

Obviously, $[\varphi^+(x), \varphi^+(x')]_{\mp} = 0 = [\varphi^-(x), \varphi^-(x')]_{\mp}$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x')]_{\mp} &= \int d\vec{k} \; d\vec{k}' \; e^{ikx} \; e^{-ik'x'} \; [a(\vec{k}), a^\dagger(\vec{k}')]_{\mp} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2w} \; \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3 2w'} \; e^{ikx - ik'x'} \; (2\pi)^3 2w \; \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \\ &= \int \frac{d^3k \; d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3 2w'} \; e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - i\vec{k}' \cdot \vec{x}'} \; e^{-i\omega t + i\omega' t'} \; \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \\ &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2w} \; e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')} \; e^{-i\omega(t - t')} \quad \ominus \end{aligned}$$

Go to a frame w/ $t - t' = 0$ s.t. $(x - x')^2 = -(t - t')^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')^2 = r^2 > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\ominus \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2w} \; e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dq \; q^2 \underbrace{\int_{-1}^1 d\psi \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \; e^{iqr\psi}}_{2\pi} \; \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2 + m^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{iqr} \; (e^{iqr} - e^{-iqr}) = \frac{1}{iqr} \; 2i \sin(qr) = \frac{2}{qr} \sin(qr) \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dq \; q^2 \; \frac{2}{qr} \sin(qr) \; 2\pi \; \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2 + m^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r} \int_0^\infty dq \; \frac{q \sin(qr)}{\sqrt{q^2 + m^2}}, \quad p := \frac{q}{m} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r} \int_0^\infty dp \; m \; \frac{mp \sin(pmr)}{\sqrt{m^2 p^2 + m^2}} \\ &= \frac{m}{4\pi^2 r} \int_0^\infty dt \; \frac{t \sin(mrt)}{\sqrt{t^2 + 1}}, \quad t = \sinh(u), \; dt = \cosh(u) du, \; \int_0^\infty \rightarrow \int_0^\infty \\ &= \frac{m}{4\pi^2 r} \int_0^\infty \cosh(u) du \; \frac{\sinh(u) \sin(mr \sinh(u))}{\sqrt{\sinh(u)^2 + 1}} \\ &= \frac{m}{4\pi^2 r} \int_0^\infty du \sinh(u) \sin(mr \sinh(u)) \end{aligned}$$

See functions.wolfram.com/Bessel-TypeFunctions/BesselK/07/01/01/0005:

$$K_\nu(x) = \operatorname{cosec}\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) \int_0^\infty dt \; \sin(x \sinh(t)) \; \sinh(\nu t)$$

$$\therefore \int_0^\infty du \sinh(u) \sin(mr \sinh(u)) = \frac{K_1(mr)}{\operatorname{cosec}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}$$

$$= K_1(mr)$$

$$\therefore [\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x')]_{\mp} = \frac{m}{4\pi^2 r} \; K_1(mr)$$

$$=: C(r)$$

Note that $C(r) > 0 \; \forall r > 0$. For small m , $K_1(mr) = \frac{1}{mr} + O(mr)^0$, so even for $m = 0$, we have $mr K_1(mr) = 1$ and hence

$$[\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x')]_{\mp} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r^2} \quad (m=0)$$

which is never 0 $\therefore \mathcal{H}_I(x)$, involving both φ^+ and φ^- , will not satisfy

$$[\mathcal{H}_I(x), \mathcal{H}_I(x')] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x - x')^2 > 0$$

generically.

To resolve the problem, try using a particular linear combo of φ^+ and φ^- :

$$\varphi_\lambda(x) := \varphi^+(x) + \lambda \varphi^-(x)$$

$$\varphi_\lambda^\dagger(x) := \varphi^-(x) + \lambda^* \varphi^+(x)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi_\lambda(x), \varphi_\lambda^\dagger(x')]_{\mp} &= [\varphi^+(x), \lambda \varphi^-(x'), \varphi^-(x') + \lambda^* \varphi^+(x')]_{\mp} \\ &= [\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x')]_{\mp} + \lambda^* [\varphi^+(x), \varphi^+(x')]_{\mp} + \lambda [\varphi^-(x), \varphi^-(x')]_{\mp} + |\lambda|^2 [\varphi^-(x), \varphi^+(x')]_{\mp} \\ &= C(r) + |\lambda|^2 (\mp C(r)) \\ &= (1 \mp |\lambda|^2) C(r) \\ [\varphi_\lambda(x), \varphi_\lambda(x')]_{\mp} &= [\varphi^+(x) + \lambda \varphi^-(x), \varphi^+(x') + \lambda \varphi^-(x')]_{\mp} \\ &= \lambda [\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x')]_{\mp} + \lambda [\varphi^-(x), \varphi^+(x')]_{\mp} \\ &= \lambda [C(r) \mp C(r)] \\ &= \lambda (1 \mp 1) C(r) \end{aligned}$$

If we want $\varphi_\lambda(x)$ to either commute or anticommute w/ both $\varphi_\lambda(x')$ and $\varphi_\lambda^\dagger(x')$ at spacelike separations, then we must choose $|\lambda| = 1$

and commutators. Only then, we can find a suitable $\mathcal{H}_I(x)$ by making it a hermitian function of $\varphi_\lambda(x)$.

But this has simply returned us to the theory of a real scalar \therefore for $\lambda = e^{i\alpha}$, $e^{-i\alpha/2} \varphi_\lambda(x)$ is hermitian. In fact, if we make the replacements $a(\vec{k}) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha/2} a(\vec{k})$ and $a^\dagger(\vec{k}) \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha/2} a^\dagger(\vec{k})$, then the commutation relations of a and a^\dagger are unchanged, and $e^{-i\alpha/2} \varphi_\lambda(x) = \varphi(x) = \varphi^+(x) + \varphi^-(x) \therefore$ our attempt to start w/ a and a^\dagger as fundamental objects has simply led us back to the real, commuting, scalar field $\varphi(x)$ as the fundamental object.

Consider again $\varphi(x)$ as fundamental, w/ a Lagrangian given by some function of Lorentz scalars $\varphi(x)$ and $\partial^\mu \varphi \partial_\mu \varphi$. Then, quantization will result in $[\varphi(x), \varphi(x')]_{\mp} = 0$ for $t = t'$. If we choose anticommutators, then $\varphi^2 = 0 = (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2$, resulting in a trivial \mathcal{L} that is at most linear in φ and independent of $\dot{\varphi}$. This does not lead to the correct physics.

The situation generalizes to fields of higher spin, in any number of spacetime dimensions. One choice of quantization always leads to a trivial \mathcal{L} , so this choice is not allowed. The allowed choice is always commutators for fields of integer spin and anticommutators for fields of half-integer spin.