```
Corentz invaniance
  Lorentz transformation:
        \bar{\chi}^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{1}, \chi^{\nu}
 1 includes ordinary spatial rotations:
        \Lambda^{\circ}_{0} = 1, \Lambda^{\circ}_{i} = \Lambda^{i}_{0} = 0, \Lambda^{i}_{i} = R_{ij} : R^{T}R = 1
Set of all haventz transformations => group
        \Lambda^{\mu} \rho \Lambda^{\sigma} \sigma g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\sigma}
       \Lambda_{\nu\rho} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\sigma} = 9_{\rho\sigma}
       12 P 12 = gp = 8 P =
   \therefore \Lambda_{\nu}^{\rho} = (\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho}_{\nu}
 osimal hoventy transformations:
       \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \omega^{\mu}_{\nu}
       \Lambda^{\mu} \rho \Lambda^{\nu} \sigma g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\sigma}
       (S^{\mu}_{\rho} + \omega^{\mu}_{\rho})(S^{\nu}_{\sigma} + \omega^{\nu}_{\sigma})g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\sigma}
       (8468,2+846m,2+m62,2+m6m,2) ams = 362
       g_{\rho\sigma} + w_{\rho\sigma} + w_{\sigma\rho} + O(w^2) = g_{\rho\sigma}
       w = 0 · · · · : ∃ only 6 independent ossimal Lorentz transformations
  3 rotations and 3 boosts:
      w_{ij} = - \varepsilon_{ijk} \hat{n}_k \delta \theta
     wio = ni sy
      80: assmal rotation
      Sy: ossimal rapidity
 Only proper borentz transformations can be reached by compounding
  asimal ones:
     (\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho}_{\nu} = \Lambda_{\nu}^{\rho} \implies \det(\Lambda)^{-1} = \det(\Lambda)
                                         \Rightarrow \det(\Lambda)^2 = 1
                                         \Rightarrow det(\Lambda) = ±1
       det(\Lambda) = 1: proper
       det(\Lambda) = -1 : improper
 Proper Corentz transformations => subgroup
 Another subgroup: Orthochronous: 100 > 1
      9 m 1 p 1 = 9pr
      g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{0} \Lambda^{\nu}_{0} = -1
      g_{00} \wedge^{0} \wedge^{0} \wedge^{0} + g_{ij} \wedge^{i} \wedge^{j} = -1
     -\left(\Lambda^{0}_{0}\right)^{2}=-1-\Lambda^{i}_{0}\Lambda^{i}_{0}
      (\Lambda^0_0)^2 = 1 + \Lambda^i_0 \Lambda^i_0
      \Lambda^{\circ}_{0} = \pm \sqrt{1 + \Lambda^{i}_{0} \Lambda^{i}_{0}}
    \therefore \Lambda^0_0 \geqslant 1 or \Lambda^0_0 \leq -1
  Since \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8^{\mu}_{\nu} + \omega^{\mu}_{\nu} and \omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}, it is orthochronous.
  Product of two orthochronous transformations is also orthochronous:
  borentz transformations that can be near ched by compounding assimal
  ones are both proper and orthochronous and they form a subgroup.
  Two discrete transformations that take us out of this subgroup: parity
  and time reversal.
        P^{\mu}_{\nu} = (P^{-1})^{\mu}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : orthorhonous, improper \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}
        T^{\mu}_{\nu} = (T^{-1})^{\mu}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}: non-orthochronous, improper
   Lorentz invaniance = under proper orthochromous subgroup
  In quentum meany, symmetries are represented by unitary
  (antiunitary) operators: we associate a unitary U(1) to each
  proper orthochronous 1.
         U(\Lambda'\Lambda) = U(\Lambda')U(\Lambda)
  Recall the general structure from QM:
         U = 1+;GE
         utu = 1
        Gt = G : generator of symmetry
       U = 1 + \frac{i}{2h} \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu}
antisymmetric

antisymmetric
 Here,
       U(\Lambda)^{-1}U(\Lambda^{2})U(\Lambda) = U(\Lambda^{-1}\Lambda^{2}\Lambda)
       \Lambda' = 1 + \omega
      \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{2\hbar}\omega_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu}\right)\mathcal{U}(\Lambda)=\mathcal{U}(\Lambda^{-1}\Lambda'\Lambda)
      \mathcal{U}(\Lambda^{-1}\Lambda'\Lambda) = \mathcal{U}(\Lambda^{-1}(1+\omega)\Lambda)
                           = \mathcal{U} \left( 1 + \Lambda^{-1} \omega \Lambda \right)
                          =1+\frac{i}{2t}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\omega\Lambda\right)_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu}
                          =1+\frac{i}{2\hbar}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{\mu}^{\rho}\omega_{\rho\sigma}\Lambda^{\sigma}\nu M^{\mu\nu}
                          = 1 + i 1 p 1 o wpo M M2
        1+ i w 2(1)-1 M M2 U(1) = 1+ i 1 p 1 v wpo M M2
       \omega_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} M^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \Lambda^{\rho}_{\mu} \Lambda^{\sigma}_{\nu} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\mu\nu}
             already antisym. in \mu, \nu
                                                   = \omega_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\rho} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\sigma} M^{\rho \nu}
                                                     1 (1 Mp 12 Mpg - 12 14 5 Mpg)
2 - M GP
                                                                                 + 1 h 12 M po
                                                    = WMD 1 M P 1 D M PE
                                                              already antisym. in M, v
    \therefore \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\rho} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\sigma} \mathcal{M}^{\rho\sigma}
 : each index gets its own loventz transformation.
       U(\Lambda)^{-1} p^{\mu} U(\Lambda) = \Lambda^{\mu} v p^{\nu}
       \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} T^{\mu\nu\rho...} \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\mu'} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\nu'} \Lambda^{\rho}_{\rho'} ... T^{\mu'\nu'\rho'...}
      21(1)-1 MM 21(1) = 1Mp 12 Mpo
      \left(1-\frac{i}{2\hbar}\omega_{\rho\sigma}M^{\rho\sigma}\right)M^{\mu\nu}\left(1+\frac{i}{2\hbar}\omega_{\rho\sigma}M^{\rho\sigma}\right)=\left(8^{\mu}_{\rho}+\omega^{\mu}_{\rho}\right)\left(8^{\nu}_{\sigma}+\omega^{\nu}_{\sigma}\right)M^{\rho\sigma}
      \left(M^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma} M^{\mu\nu}\right) \left(1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma}\right) = \left(8^{\mu}_{\rho} + \omega^{\mu}_{\rho}\right) \left(M^{\rho\nu} + \omega^{\nu}_{\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma}\right)
      M^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{p\sigma} \left[ M^{\mu\nu}, M^{p\sigma} \right] + O(\omega^2) = M^{\mu\nu} + \omega^{\nu}_{\sigma} M^{\mu\sigma} + \omega^{\mu}_{p} M^{p\nu} + O(\omega^2)
      \frac{1}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} \left[ M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma} \right] = \omega^{\nu} \sigma M^{\mu\sigma} + \omega^{\mu} \rho M^{\rho\nu}
              alreedy antisym. in p,5
                                                     = wpo g pu M M + W M o M o v
                                                                               \omega_{05} g
                                                    = wpa (gpv Whe + gph Mars)
                                                               antisymmetrize wrt P, 5
                                                    = wpo 1/2 (gpv Mpt + gpm Mov - gov Mpp - gom Mpv)
  [Mm, Mpe] = -: tr (g pr Mme + g pm Mor - g or Mmp - g on Mpr)
                             = -it ( gro Mha - gho Wro - dra Who + dha Wrb)
                            = it (g mp Mrg - gre Mrg - gramse - gramme)
                            = ; \hbar \left( g^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \right) - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)
 Useful identity:
        Eijk Elmn = Sil Sjm Skn + Sim Sjn Skl + Sin Sje Skm - Sim Sje Skn - Sie Sjn Skm - Sin Sjm Skl
 let
         J_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} M^{jk} \iff M^{jk} = \epsilon_{ijk} J_i : angular momentum
         Ki = Mio : boost
  See vode - 1:
        [Ji, Ji] = it Eijk Jk: usual angular momentum commutator
       [ Ji, Kj] = it E ijk Kk: boost is a vector
       [K;, Kj] = - it E ijk Jk: two successive boosts give rotation.
       \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} p^{M} \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \Lambda^{M} v p^{N}
      \left(1-\frac{1}{2\hbar}\omega_{\rho\sigma}M^{\rho\sigma}\right)p^{\mu}\left(1+\frac{1}{2\hbar}\omega_{\rho\sigma}M^{\rho\sigma}\right)=\left(S^{\mu}\upsilon+\omega^{\mu}\upsilon\right)p^{\upsilon}
      \left(\begin{array}{ccc} p^{\mu} - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma} & p^{\mu} \end{array}\right) \left(1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega_{\rho\sigma} M^{\rho\sigma} \right) = p^{\mu} + \omega^{\mu} v p^{\nu}
       P^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \omega_{\rho\sigma} \left[ p^{\mu}, M^{\rho\sigma} \right] + O(\omega^2) = p^{\mu} + \omega^{\mu} \upsilon p^{\nu}
     \frac{1}{2h} \omega_{\rho\sigma} \left( p^{\mu}, M^{\rho\sigma} \right) = \omega_{\rho\sigma} g^{\mu\rho} S^{\sigma} \nu p^{\nu}
            already antisym. in po
                                                    = wpa ghbba
                                                         antisymmetrize wrt p, o
                                                   = \omega_{\rho\sigma} \frac{1}{2} \left( g^{\mu\rho} \rho^{\sigma} - g^{\mu\sigma} \rho^{\rho} \right)
     [pr, Mp] = -ih (grp go - gropp)
                         = it (g pp - (p es o))
 See code-2:
       [J_i, H] = 0
       [J_i, p_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} p_k
      [K_i, H] = ih_i
      (Ki, pi] = it Sij H
 Obviously
       [p;,p;]=0
       [p;, H] = 0
All these commutators of J, K, p, and It form the Lie algebra of
me Poincaré group.
 What happens to a quantum scalar field, \varphi(n), under borentz?
        e \psi(\vec{n}, b) e \psi(\vec{n}, t)
 Generalize:
        e^{-ipn/\hbar} \varphi(0) e^{ipn/\hbar} = \varphi(n)
       T(a) := e ipa/h : spacetime translation
       T(n) \varphi(0) T(n)^{-1} = \varphi(n) = \varphi(0+n)
       T(a)\varphi(x)T(a)^{-1} = \varphi(x+a) \mid a \rightarrow -a
       T(-a)\varphi(n)T(-a)^{-1} = \varphi(n-a)
      T(a)^{-1}\varphi(n)T(a)=\varphi(n-a)
 assimal translation:
      T(a) = 1 - \frac{1}{h} pa
  Expectation:
       \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} \varphi(n) \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \varphi(\Lambda^{-1} n)
  Derivatives:
         \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} \partial^{\mu} \varphi(\pi) \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \Lambda^{\mu} \bar{\partial}^{\nu} \varphi(\bar{\pi})
         7: derivative wrt 7
        \mathcal{U}(\Lambda)^{-1} \partial^2 \varphi(n) \mathcal{U}(\Lambda) = \bar{\partial}^2 \varphi(\bar{n})
: KG is lorentz-inv.: \left(-\partial^2 + \frac{m^2c^2}{t^2}\right) \varphi(n) = 0
```