

Ch 3 : Problems

3.1) Derive

$$[a(\vec{k}), a(\vec{k}')] = 0$$

$$[a^+(\vec{k}), a^+(\vec{k}')] = 0$$

$$[a(\vec{k}), a^+(\vec{k}')] = (2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$$

from

$$a(\vec{k}) = i \int d^3n e^{-ikn} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_n \varphi(n)$$

$$\pi(n) = \dot{\varphi}(n)$$

$$[\varphi(\vec{n}, t), \varphi(\vec{n}', t)] = 0$$

$$[\pi(\vec{n}, t), \pi(\vec{n}', t)] = 0$$

$$[\varphi(\vec{n}, t), \pi(\vec{n}', t)] = i \delta^3(\vec{n} - \vec{n}')$$

Solution — See code-2.

3.2) Use the commutation relations of a and a^+ to show explicitly that a state of the form

$$|k_1 \dots k_n\rangle = a^+(\vec{k}_1) \dots a^+(\vec{k}_n) |0\rangle$$

is an eigenstate of the hamiltonian

$$H = \int d\vec{k} \omega a^+(\vec{k}) a(\vec{k})$$

w/ eigenvalue $\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n$. The vacuum is annihilated by $a(\vec{k})$, $a(\vec{k})|0\rangle = 0$,

and we take $S_0 = E_0$.

Solution See code-3.

3.3) use $U(1)^{-1} \varphi(x) U(1) = \varphi(1^{-1}x)$ to show that

$$U(1)^{-1} a(\vec{k}) U(1) = a(1^{-1}\vec{k})$$

$$U(1)^{-1} a^+(\vec{k}) U(1) = a^+(1^{-1}\vec{k})$$

and hence that

$$U(1) |k_1 \dots k_n\rangle = |\Lambda k_1 \dots \Lambda k_n\rangle$$

where $|k_1 \dots k_n\rangle = a^+(\vec{k}_1) \dots a^+(\vec{k}_n) |0\rangle$ is a state of n particles w/ momenta k_1, \dots, k_n .

Solution

$$\varphi(x) = \int \tilde{dk} [a(\vec{k}) e^{ikx} + a^+(\vec{k}) e^{-ikx}]$$

$$U(1)^{-1} \varphi(x) U(1) = \int \tilde{dk} [U(1)^{-1} a(\vec{k}) U(1) e^{ikx} + U(1)^{-1} a^+(\vec{k}) U(1) e^{-ikx}]$$

$$= \varphi(1^{-1}x)$$

$$= \int \tilde{dk} [a(\vec{k}) e^{\underbrace{ik1^{-1}x}_{e^{i\lambda k x}}} + a^+(\vec{k}) e^{\underbrace{-ik1^{-1}x}_{e^{-i\lambda k x}}}] \Big|_{k \rightarrow 1^{-1}\vec{k}}$$

$$= \int \tilde{dk} [a(1^{-1}\vec{k}) e^{ikx} + a^+(1^{-1}\vec{k}) e^{-ikx}]$$

$$\therefore U(1)^{-1} a(\vec{k}) U(1) = a(1^{-1}\vec{k})$$

$$U(1)^{-1} a^+(\vec{k}) U(1) = a^+(1^{-1}\vec{k})$$

$$U(1) |k_1 \dots k_n \rangle = U(1) a^+(\vec{k}_1) \dots a^+(\vec{k}_n) |0\rangle$$

$$= U(1) a^+(\vec{k}_1) U(1)^{-1} U(1) \dots U(1)^{-1} U(1)$$

$$\times a^+(\vec{k}_n) U(1)^{-1} U(1) |0\rangle$$

claim : $U(1)|0\rangle = |0\rangle$.

Proof: The ground state is the state of null* momentum, which transform trivially. Moreover, from elementary quantum mechanics, we know that the ground state should be unique. If $p^\mu = 0$ transforms to $\bar{p}^\mu = 0$ in all frames, and if the ground state is unique, then it means that the ground state is invariant under Lorentz transformation.

qed.

$$\therefore U(1)|k_1 \dots k_n\rangle = a^+(1\vec{k}_1) \dots a^+(n\vec{k}_n) |0\rangle$$

3.4) Recall that $T(a)^{-1}\varphi(x)T(a) = \varphi(x-a)$

where $T(a) := e^{-ipa}$ is the spacetime translation operator and p^0 is identified

as the hamiltonian, H .

a) Let a^μ be consimal. Derive an expression for $[\varphi(n), p^\mu]$.

b) Show that the time component of your result is equivalent to the Heisenberg equation of motion, $i\dot{\varphi} = [\varphi, H]$.

c) For a field, use the Heisenberg EOM to derive the Klein-Gordon equation.

d) Define a spatial momentum operator

$$\vec{P} := -\int d^3n \pi(n) \vec{\nabla} \varphi(n)$$

Use the canonical commutation relations to show that \vec{P} obeys the relation you derived in part (a).

e) Express \vec{P} in terms of $a(\vec{k})$ and $a^\dagger(\vec{k})$.

Solution

$$a) T(a)^{-1} \varphi(x) T(a) = \varphi(x-a)$$

$$(1 + i p^\mu a_\mu) \varphi(x) (1 - i p^\mu a_\mu)$$

$$= \varphi(x) - a_\mu \partial^\mu \varphi(x)$$

$$\cancel{\varphi(x) - i a_\mu \varphi(x) p^\mu + i a_\mu p^\mu \varphi(x) + O(a^2)}$$

$$= \varphi(x) - a_\mu \partial^\mu \varphi(x)$$

$$\cancel{+ i a_\mu [\varphi(x), p^\mu] = \cancel{+ a_\mu \partial^\mu \varphi(x)}}$$

$$[\varphi(x), p^\mu] = -i \partial^\mu \varphi(x)$$

$$b) [\varphi(x), p^0] = -i \underbrace{\partial^0 \varphi(x)}_{-\dot{\varphi}}$$

$$\therefore [\varphi(x), H] = i \dot{\varphi}(x)$$

c) $\dot{\varphi} = \pi$

$$; \dot{\varphi} = ;\pi = [\varphi, H]$$

$$;\ddot{\pi} = ;\ddot{\varphi} = [\pi, H] = ;\nabla^2 \varphi - ;m^2 \varphi \quad (\text{see code-4})$$

$$\underbrace{\ddot{\varphi} - \nabla^2 \varphi + m^2 \varphi}_{{= -\partial^2 \varphi}} = 0$$

$$(-\partial^2 + m^2) \varphi = 0$$

d) $[\varphi(x), \vec{p}] = -i \vec{\nabla} \varphi(x) \quad (\text{see code-5})$

From part (a),

$$[\varphi(x), p^\mu] = -i \partial^\mu \varphi(x)$$

$$\therefore [\varphi(x), p^i] = -i \partial^i \varphi(x) = (-i \vec{\nabla} \varphi(x))_i$$

e) $\vec{P} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \vec{k}}_{\text{total zero-point}} + \int d\vec{k} \vec{k} a^+(\vec{k}) a(\vec{k})$

momentum of
all oscillations

$$\text{cf. } H = V \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} w + \int \tilde{dk} w a(\vec{k}) a(\vec{k}) \quad (\text{p. 13})$$

3.5) Consider a complex (that is, nonhermitian) scalar field φ w/ lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = -\partial^\mu \varphi^+ \partial_\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^+ \varphi + \mathcal{L}_0.$$

- a) Show that φ obeys the KG equation.
- b) Treat φ and φ^+ as independent fields and find the conjugate momentum for each. Compute the hamiltonian density in terms of these conjugate momenta and the fields themselves (but not their time derivatives).
- c) Write the mod expansion of φ as

$$\varphi(x) = \int \tilde{dk} [a(\vec{k}) e^{ikx} + b^+(\vec{k}) e^{-ikx}]$$

- Express $a(\vec{k})$ and $b(\vec{k})$ in terms of φ and φ^+ and their time derivatives.

- d) Assuming canonical commutation relations for the fields and their conjugate momenta, find the commutation relations obeyed by $a(\vec{k})$ and $b(\vec{k})$ and their hermitian conjugates.
- e) Express the hamiltonian in terms of $a(\vec{k})$ and $b(\vec{k})$ and their hermitian conjugates. What value must \mathcal{H}_0 have in order for the ground state to have zero energy?

Solution

a) Euler-Lagrange EOM for φ^+ :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi^+} - \partial^\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial^\mu \varphi^+)} = 0$$

$$-m^2\varphi - \partial^\mu(-\partial_\mu \varphi) = 0$$

$$(-\partial^2 + m^2)\varphi = 0$$

24

$$\begin{aligned}
 b) \quad \mathcal{L} &= -\partial^\mu \varphi^+ \partial_\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^+ \varphi + \mathcal{L}_0 \\
 &= -\partial^0 \varphi^+ \partial_0 \varphi - \partial^i \varphi^+ \partial_i \varphi - m^2 \varphi^+ \varphi + \mathcal{L}_0 \\
 &= \dot{\varphi}^+ \dot{\varphi} - \vec{\nabla} \varphi^+ \cdot \vec{\nabla} \varphi - m^2 \varphi^+ \varphi + \mathcal{L}_0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = \dot{\varphi}^+$$

$$\pi^+ = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}^+} = \dot{\varphi}$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi \dot{\varphi} + \pi^+ \dot{\varphi}^+ - \mathcal{L}$$

$$= \pi \cancel{\pi^+} + \pi^+ \pi - \cancel{\pi \pi^+} + \vec{\nabla} \varphi^+ \cdot \vec{\nabla} \varphi$$

$$+ m^2 \varphi^+ \varphi - \mathcal{L}_0$$

$$= \pi^+ \pi + \vec{\nabla} \varphi^+ \cdot \vec{\nabla} \varphi + m^2 \varphi^+ \varphi - \mathcal{L}_0$$

$$c) \quad \varphi(x) = \int d\vec{k} [\alpha(\vec{k}) e^{ikx} + \beta^+(\vec{k}) e^{-ikx}]$$

This is not so much different from what we have done in the chapter. We can directly quote our results for α and β :

$$a(\vec{k}) = \int d^3x e^{-ikx} (\omega \varphi + i\dot{\varphi})$$

The only difference between a and b is
 $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi^+$:

$$b(\vec{k}) = \int d^3x e^{-ikx} (\omega \varphi^+ + i\dot{\varphi}^+)$$

d) Assumptions:

$$[\varphi(\vec{x}, t), \pi(\vec{y}, t)] = i\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$

$$[\varphi^+(\vec{x}, t), \pi^+(\vec{y}, t)] = i\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$

w/ all other commutators being zero.

See code-7:

$$[a(\vec{k}), a^+(\vec{k}')] = (2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$$

$$[b(\vec{k}), b^+(\vec{k}')] = (2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$$

and all other commutators are zero.

e) $H = \int d^3x H(x)$

$$= -\Omega_0 V + 2V \int \tilde{dk} \omega^2 + \int \tilde{dk} [a^+(\vec{k})a(\vec{k}) + b^+(\vec{k})b(\vec{k})]$$

(see code-8) $\therefore \Omega_0 = 2 \int \tilde{dk} \omega^2 = 2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega} \omega^2 = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \omega$