

117 - SS2 - MP3 - August 13th, 2021

- [1] Let V and W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces (of any dimension) and $f : V \rightarrow W$ a linear transformation. Show that the induced map $\bar{f} : V/\ker(f) \rightarrow W$ in the following diagram is injective:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V/\ker(f) \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ & & W \end{array}$$

where $\pi(v) = v + \ker(f)$ and $f = \bar{f} \circ \pi$.

Proof. Take $v_1 + \ker(f)$ and $v_2 + \ker(f)$ in $V/\ker(f)$ such that $v_1 + \ker(f) \neq v_2 + \ker(f)$. The induced map $\bar{f}(v)$ is simply $\bar{f}(v + \ker(f)) = f(v)$. So assuming

$$\bar{f}(v_1 + \ker(f)) = \bar{f}(v_2 + \ker(f))$$

we get the following,

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_1 + \ker(f)) &= f(v_2 + \ker(f)) \\ f(v_1 + \ker(f)) - f(v_2 + \ker(f)) &= 0 \\ f((v_1 - v_2) + \ker(f)) &= 0 \\ &\rightarrow v_1 = v_2 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction since we said they were not equal. Thus the induced map is indeed injective. \square

- [2] Let V be a \mathbb{F} -vector space of dimension n . Suppose that $m < n$ and that $y_1, \dots, y_m \in V^*$.

- (a) Prove that there exists a non-zero vector $x \in V$ such that $[x, y_j] = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. What does this result say about the solutions of linear equations?

Proof. We can prove this using the rank-nullity theorem from linear algebra. To apply it we will first define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : V &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m \\ x &\mapsto (y_1(x), \dots, y_m(x)) \end{aligned}$$

We are given that the dimension of V is n , we know from class that the dimension of \mathbb{F}^m is simply m . We also know that $m < n$, recall the rank-nullity theorem

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\mathbb{F}^m) + \dim(\ker(\phi))$$

thus by the rank nullity theorem we know that the kernel of ϕ is non trivial. Therefore there exists a non-zero vector $x \in V$ such that $[x, y_j] = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ \square

- (b) Under what conditions on the scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{F}$ is it true that there exists a vector $x \in V$ such that $[x, y_j] = \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$? What does this result say about the solutions of linear equations?
- [3] Provide an example of a \mathbb{F} -vector space V with three \mathbb{F} -vector subspaces U , W_1 , and W_2 such that $U \oplus W_1 = U \oplus W_2$, but $W_1 \neq W_2$. Note that this means that there is no cancellation law for direct sums. What is the geometric picture corresponding to this situation?
- [4] Given a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space V , form the direct sum $W = V \oplus V^*$, and prove that the correspondence $(x, y) \rightarrow (y, x)$ is an isomorphism between W and W^* .
- [5] Let U and V be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. A bilinear form $\omega : U \oplus V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is *degenerate* if, as a function of one of its two arguments, it vanishes identically for some non-zero value of its other argument; otherwise it is *non-degenerate*.
- (a) Give an example of a degenerate bilinear form (not identically zero) on the \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$.
- (b) Give an example of a non-degenerate bilinear form on the \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$.
- [6] Does there exist a \mathbb{F} -vector space V and a bilinear form $\omega : V \oplus V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that ω is not identically zero, but $\omega(x, x) = 0$ for every $x \in V$?
- [7] Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ and $\{e'_1, e'_2, e'_3\}$ be the standard bases for the \mathbb{R} -vector spaces \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 , respectively, where $e_i = (\delta_{1i}, \delta_{2i})$ and $e'_i = (\delta_{1i}, \delta_{2i}, \delta_{3i})$ for δ_{pq} representing the Kronecker delta. Given that $x = (1, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $y = (1, 1, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, find the coordinates of $x \otimes y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ with respect to the standard product basis $\{e_i \otimes e'_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$.
- [8] Let \mathcal{S}_k represent the permutation group on k elements.
- (a) Prove that if $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_k$, then there exists a unique $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_k$ such that $\sigma\pi = \tau$.
- (b) Prove that if $\sigma, \tau, \pi \in \mathcal{S}_k$ such that $\pi\sigma = \pi\tau$, then $\sigma = \tau$.
- [9] Let \mathcal{S}_k represent the permutation group on k elements. Prove that every permutation in \mathcal{S}_k is the product of transpositions of the form $(j, j+1)$, where $1 \leq j < k$. Is this factorization unique?

[10] Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space.

- (a) A bilinear form $b_1 : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is called *symmetric* if $b_1(v, w) = b_1(w, v)$. Similarly, a bilinear form $b_2 : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is called *skew-symmetric* if $b_2(v, w) = -b_2(w, v)$. Prove that any bilinear form $\omega : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ can be written as a sum of symmetric and skew-symmetric bilinear forms. You may assume that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$.
- (b) What if $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ in part (a)? Does the decomposition of ω into symmetric and skew-symmetric bilinear forms no longer work?
- (c) For a field \mathbb{F} with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ it is known that skew-symmetric and alternating bilinear forms are the same. If instead we consider $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ then symmetric and skew-symmetric bilinear forms are the same, and since alternating bilinear forms are skew-symmetric no matter the characteristic of a field it follows that alternating bilinear forms are symmetric. Is it true that all symmetric bilinear forms on a field of characteristic 2 are alternating?
- (d) A 2-tensor $x_1 \otimes y_1 \in V \otimes V$ is called *symmetric* if $x_1 \otimes y_1 = y_1 \otimes x_1$. Similarly, a 2-tensor $x_2 \otimes y_2 \in V \otimes V$ is called *skew-symmetric* if $x_2 \otimes y_2 = -y_2 \otimes x_2$. Prove that $V \otimes V = \text{Sym}^2(V) \oplus \text{Skew}^2(V)$, where $\text{Sym}^2(V)$ and $\text{Skew}^2(V)$ represent the symmetric and skew-symmetric 2-tensors on V , respectively. You may assume that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$.
- (e) What if $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ in part (c)? Does the decomposition of $V \otimes V$ no longer hold true?