# Homework 2

### Kevin Guillen

MATH 103A — Complex Analysis — Spring 2022

#### Problem 2.1

(a) Prove that

$$\arg zw = \arg z + \arg w = \{(\operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w) + 2k\pi \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

*Proof.* We know from class, specifically Proposition 3.1 (1), that  $\arg zw = \arg z + \arg w$ . So all we want to show now is that

$$\arg z + \arg w = \{(\operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w) + 2k\pi \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$$

We will do this by showing each set is contained in the other. First let us take an element a in arg z and an element b in argw. We compute their sum to be,

$$a + b = \operatorname{Arg} z + 2\pi k \operatorname{Arg} w + 2\pi l$$
 
$$k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$= \operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w + 2\pi (k + l)$$

we know  $\mathbb{Z}$  is closed under addition so  $k+l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Meaning then that a+b is an element of  $\{(\operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w) + 2k\pi \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Recall though a and b were abitrary though so we have,

$$\arg z + \arg w \subseteq \{(\operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w) + 2k\pi \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{1}$$

Now let c be an element of  $\{(\operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w) + 2k\pi \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , we know then it is of the form

$$c = \operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w + 2\pi k$$
  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

we always have though that k = k + 0 meaning the above can be expressed as,

$$c = \operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w + 2\pi k = \operatorname{Arg} z + 2pi0 + \operatorname{Arg} w + 2\pi k$$

which is clear then that c is the sum of some element in  $\arg z$  plus some element in  $\arg w$ . Meaning,

$$\{(\operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w) + 2k\pi \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \operatorname{arg} z + \operatorname{arg} w. \tag{2}$$

Finally, (1) and (2) together give us the desired equality.

(b) Show that if  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} w > 0$ , then  $\operatorname{Arg}(zw) = \operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w$ .

*Solution.* We know z and w are of the form  $re^{i\theta}$  and  $se^{i\phi}$  respectively, and that their product is simply  $rse^{i(\theta+\phi)}$ . Meaning  $Arg\,zw=\theta+\phi$ , but notice  $Arg\,z=\theta\in(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2})$  and  $Arg\,w=\phi\in(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2})$ . Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Arg} zw = \operatorname{Arg} z + \operatorname{Arg} w$$

I know this is wrong, I'm just not sure how to incorporate the fact that  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  are in  $(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2})$ 

### Problem 2.2

(a) Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Using the principle of mathematical induction, show that the following formula holds for all integers  $n \ge 1$ 

$$1+z+z^2+\cdots+z^n=\frac{1-z^{n+1}}{1-z}.$$

*Proof.* First, we show that the formula holds for n=1 by working out the LHS and RHS of the formula. We see the LHS is,

$$1+z$$

the RHS works out to be,

$$\frac{1-z^2}{1-z} = \frac{(1-z)(1+z)}{1-z} = 1+z.$$

Therefore the formula holds for n = 1.

We assume the formula holds for all n < k.

Using this assumption we now show the formula holds for n = k through the following,

$$\underbrace{\frac{1+z+z^2+\dots+z^{k-1}}{assumption}}_{assumption} + z^k = \underbrace{\frac{1-z^k}{1-z}}_{formula} + z^k$$

$$= \frac{1-z^k}{1-z} + \frac{z^k(1-z)}{1-z}$$

$$= \frac{1-z^k+z^k-z^{k+1}}{1-z}$$

$$= \frac{1-z^{k+1}}{1-z}$$

We see through induction then that the formula holds for all integers  $n\geqslant 1$  as desired.

(b) If  $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n$  are the *distinct*  $n^{th}$  roots of unity, show that, using (a),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_i = 0.$$

*Proof.* We recall we can express the  $n^{th}$  roots of unity in terms of the principal root and roots of unity. We first recall that,

$$\beta_0 = \sqrt[n]{|\alpha|} e^{i\frac{\operatorname{Arg}\alpha}{n}}$$

so we can rewrite the given summation as,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i = \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \beta_0 \zeta_n^k \qquad \qquad \beta_0 \text{ is a constant}$$
 
$$\beta_0 \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \zeta_n^k.$$
 Giving us something we can finally apply (a) to and get,

$$\beta_0 \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \zeta_n^k = \beta_0 \left( \frac{1 - (\zeta_n)^n}{1 - \zeta_n} \right).$$

Recall though that  $\zeta_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ , so the above becomes,

Recall though that 
$$\zeta_n=e^{-n}$$
, so the above becomes, 
$$\beta_0\left(\frac{1-(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}})^n}{1-\zeta_n}\right)=\beta_0\left(\frac{1-(e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{n}})}{1-\zeta_n}\right)$$
 
$$=\beta_0\left(\frac{1-(e^{2\pi i})}{1-\zeta_n}\right)$$
 Euler's Identity:  $e^{2\pi i}=1$  
$$=\beta_0\left(\frac{0}{1-\zeta_n}\right)$$
 
$$=0.$$
 Therefore  $\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i=0$ , as desired.

(c) We compute the following sum of real numbers

$$\cos\frac{\pi}{7} + \cos\frac{3\pi}{7} + \cos\frac{5\pi}{7} \tag{\dagger}$$

(i) Let  $w = e^{\frac{\pi i}{7}}$ . What is Re w and  $w^7$ ? Furthermore, rewrite (†) as

$$Re(w^{a_1} + w^{a_2} + w^{a_3})$$
, for some  $0 \le a_i < 7$ .

*Solution.* We use Euler's formula to obtain that  $\operatorname{Re} w = \cos(\frac{\pi}{7})$ . Now we see  $w^7$  is,

$$w^7 = (e^{\frac{\pi i}{7}})^7 = e^{\frac{\pi i 7}{7}}$$
  
=  $e^{\pi i}$   
= -1.

So it is clear that we can rewrite  $\dagger$  as the desired equation using  $a_1=1$ ,  $a_2=3$ , and  $a_2=5$ , to get,

$$Re(w^{1} + w^{3} + w^{5}) = \cos\frac{\pi}{7} + \cos\frac{3\pi}{7} + \cos\frac{5\pi}{7}$$

Letting z = w we see we get,

(ii) Replacing z by -z in (a), find a formula for

$$\frac{z^7+1}{z+1}$$

Use this to deduce an identity involving w and its powers.

*Proof.* In this case we have n = 6 and z = -z, we apply (a) to see the formula for the given equation is just,

$$1 + (-z) + (-z)^{2} + (-z)^{3} + (-z)^{4} + (-z)^{5} + (-z)^{6} = \frac{1 - (-z)^{7}}{1 - (-z)}$$

$$= \frac{1 - (-1)^{7} z^{7}}{1 + z}$$

$$= \frac{1 - (-1) z^{7}}{1 + z}$$

$$1 - z + z^{2} - z^{3} + z^{4} - z^{5} + z^{6} = \frac{z^{7} + 1}{z + 1}$$

Let us consider then when we have z = w we get,

$$1 - w + w^{2} - w^{3} + w^{4} - w^{5} + w^{6} = \frac{w^{7} + 1}{w + 1}$$
 using (i)  
$$= \frac{-1 + 1}{w + 1}$$
  
$$= 0$$

Giving us an identity for w,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{6} (-w)^k = 0$$

(iii) Using the identity you found in (iii), conclude that

$$w^{a_1} + w^{a_2} + w^{a_3} = \frac{1}{1 - w}$$

where the  $\alpha_i$ 's are the numbers you found in (ii).

*Solution.* Let us expand the summation in our identity from the previous part to obtain,

$$1 - w + w^{2} - w^{3} + w^{4} - w^{5} + w^{6} = 0$$

$$(1 + w^{2} + w^{4} + w^{6}) - (w + w^{3} + w^{5}) = 0$$

$$1 + w^{2} + w^{4} + w^{6} = w + w^{3} + w^{5} \quad \text{Let } w^{2} = z$$

$$1 + z + z^{2} + z^{3} = w + w^{3} + w^{5} \quad \text{Apply (a) to LHS}$$

$$\frac{1 - z^{4}}{1 - z} = w + w^{3} + w^{5} \quad z = w^{2}$$

$$\frac{1 - (w^{2})^{4}}{1 - w^{2}} = w + w^{3} + w^{5}$$

$$\frac{1 - w^{8}}{(1 - w)(1 + w)} = w + w^{3} + w^{5}$$

$$\frac{1 - w^{7}w}{(1 - w)(1 + w)} = w + w^{3} + w^{5} \quad \text{apply (i) to } w^{7}$$

$$\frac{1 + w}{(1 - w)(1 + w)} = w + w^{3} + w^{5}$$

$$\frac{1}{(1 - w)} = w + w^{3} + w^{5}.$$

Recall though that  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = 3$ , and  $a_3 = 5$  giving us the desired result.  $\Box$ 

### (iv) Finally compute (†).

*Solution*. Recall  $w=e^{\frac{\pi * i}{7}}$ , but from class we can use Euler's formula to express it as  $w=\cos\frac{\pi}{7}+i\sin\frac{\pi}{7}$ . Plugging this into what we showed in the last part,

$$\frac{1}{1-\cos\frac{\pi}{7}-\sin\frac{\pi}{7}}. (3)$$

To help compute it though we have,

$$\frac{1}{z} = \frac{\overline{z}}{|z|^2}.$$

Applying this to (3) we get,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{1-\cos\frac{\pi}{7} - i\sin\frac{\pi}{7}} &= \frac{1-\cos\frac{\pi}{7} + i\sin\frac{\pi}{7}}{\left(\sin^2\frac{\pi}{7}\right) + \left(1-\cos\frac{\pi}{7}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{1-\cos\frac{\pi}{7} + i\sin\frac{\pi}{7}}{2-2\cos\frac{\pi}{7}} \\ &= \frac{1-\cos\frac{\pi}{7} + i\sin\frac{\pi}{7}}{2(1-\cos\frac{\pi}{7})} + i\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{7}}{2(1-\cos\frac{\pi}{7})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{7}}{2(1-\cos\frac{\pi}{7})}. \end{split}$$

Recall though  $\dagger$  was simply the real component of this, so  $\dagger = \frac{1}{2}$ 

### Problem 2.3

(a) Recall that a set is open if every point of the set is an interior point. Prove that a set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is open if and only if it does not contain any of its boundary points; that is,  $\partial U \cap U = \emptyset$ . Then deduce that the complement of a closed set is open.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assuming that U is an open set, that means by definition every point in U is an interior point. If there existed a point p in U that was a boundary point, we know from class that means for all  $\epsilon > 0$  the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of p contains points in U and points not in U. Such a p in U would contradict the fact that U is open, since every point in an open set is an interior point, that means there is supposed to exist an  $\epsilon$  for p such that all points in the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of p are contained in U. Therefore if U is open, it does not contain any of its boundary points.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assuming that U does not contain any of its boundary points that means then U<sup>c</sup> contains it's boundary points. Which is to say that  $\partial U \subseteq U^c$  which from class we know that means U<sup>c</sup> is closed, and by Definition 4.1 its complement is open, but  $(U^c)^c = U$ , therefore U is open, if it does not contain any of its boundary points.

We have shown both directions meaning we have a set U is open if and only if it does not contain any of its boundary points.

(b) Prove that an open disk  $D_{\varepsilon}(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| < \varepsilon\}$  is a domain; that is, a non-empty open and connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* (non-empty) We show this is non-empty by simply considering  $z_0$  which is in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $|z_0 - z_0| = |0| = 0 < \varepsilon$  since by definition  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

(connected) To show that the open disk is connected we only need 1 line segment. Given any two points p and q in  $D_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$  we know we have the line,

$$f(x) = p + x(q - p)$$

for  $x \in [0, 1]$ . What we have to show now though is that ALL points in this line are indeed in the open disk, which is just showing

$$|f(x)-z_0|<\varepsilon$$
.

So let us expand the LHS of the inequality,

$$\begin{split} |f(x) - z_0| &= |p + x(q - p) - z_0| \\ &= |p + xq - xp - z_0| \\ &= |(1 - x)p + xq - z_0| \\ &= |(1 - x)p - z_0 + xq + xz_0 - xz_0| \\ &= |(1 - x)(p - z_0) + x(q - z_0)| \end{split} \quad \text{triangle identity}$$

$$\leqslant (1-x) |p-z_0| + x |q-z_0| \qquad p \text{ and } q \text{ are points in the disk}$$
 
$$\leqslant (1-x)\varepsilon + x\varepsilon$$
 
$$= \varepsilon$$
 
$$|f(x)-z_0| \leqslant \varepsilon$$
 we see that all the points of  $f(x)$  do indeed lie in the  $D_\varepsilon(z_0)$ . All this together shows that  $D_\varepsilon(z_0)$  is a domain

**Problem 2.4** Let  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  be a complex function, and suppose  $z_0$  is an accumulation point of G. Show that

$$\lim_{z\to z_0}\mathsf{f}(z)=w_0\quad\text{if and only if}\quad \lim_{z\to z_0}|\mathsf{f}(z)-w_0|=0.$$

Thereby deduce that

$$\lim_{z\to z_0}\overline{\mathsf{f}(z)}=\overline{w}_0\quad\text{if and only if}\quad \lim_{z\to z_0}\mathsf{f}(z)=w_0.$$

**Problem 2.5** Compute the following limits and prove your claim by using only the  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  definition.

- (a)  $\lim_{z \to i} \overline{z}$
- (b)  $\lim_{z\to 1+\mathfrak{i}}z^2$

# **Collaborators:**

# **References:**

• [Book(s): Title, Author]

• [Online: Link]

• [Notes: Link]

Fin.