

# TOWARDS COMPUTATIONAL TOPOLOGICAL HYDRODYNAMICS

## RELAXATION, DYNAMO, FINITE ELEMENT EXTERIOR CALCULUS

**Kaibo Hu**


University of Oxford

Computational and applied mathematics PhD forum  
17 November 2025, Peking University



## MOTIVATION: STRUCTURE-PRESERVING DISCRETISATION

Fundamental question in plasma physics: given initial data, what does the system evolve to?  
 heating of solar corona, plasma equilibria (magnetic configurations) etc.



Energy decay

Magneto-friction (simplified MHD) :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B}_t - \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) &= 0, \\ \mathbf{j} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{B}, \\ \mathbf{u} &= \tau \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}.\end{aligned}$$

Helicity conservation

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{B}\|^2 = -\tau \|\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{j}\|^2.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}_m = 0, \quad \text{with } \mathcal{H}_m := \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, dx, \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}.$$




Figure. Helicity-preserving scheme






Figure. CG scheme (non-preserving)

- Topology-preserving discretization for the magneto-frictional equations arising in the Parker conjecture, M. He, P. E. Farrell, KH, B. Andrews, SISC (2025).

## IDEAL MAGNETIC RELAXATION



Eugene Parker

### Parker hypothesis (Still Open)

For “almost any initial data”, *the magnetic field develops tangential discontinuities (current sheet) during the relaxation to static equilibrium.*

## RELIABLE NUMERICAL COMPUTATION

Computation is used for computing gravitational wave templates , investigating magnetic configurations for fusion devices , designing quantum computing devices etc.

How confident are we in what we compute?

Key: *differential complexes and cohomology* encode fundamental structures in mathematical models.

# RELIABLE NUMERICAL COMPUTATION

Computation is used for computing gravitational wave templates , investigating magnetic configurations for fusion devices , designing quantum computing devices etc.

How confident are we in what we compute?

Key: *differential complexes and cohomology* encode fundamental structures in mathematical models.

$$\dots \longrightarrow V^{k-1} \xrightarrow{d^{k-1}} V^k \xrightarrow{d^k} V^{k+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\infty(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} C^\infty(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$d^0 := \text{grad}, \quad d^1 := \text{curl}, \quad d^2 := \text{div}.$$

- ▶ complex property:  $d^k \circ d^{k-1} = 0, \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(d^{k-1}) \subset \ker(d^k)$ ,  
 $\text{curl} \circ \text{grad} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\text{grad}) \subset \ker(\text{curl})$ ,  $\text{div} \circ \text{curl} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\text{curl}) \subset \ker(\text{div})$
- ▶ cohomology:  $\mathcal{H}^k := \ker(d^k)/\mathcal{R}(d^{k-1})$ ,  
 $\mathcal{H}^0 := \ker(\text{grad})$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^1 := \ker(\text{curl})/\mathcal{R}(\text{grad})$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^2 := \ker(\text{div})/\mathcal{R}(\text{curl})$
- ▶ exactness:  $\ker(d^k) = \mathcal{R}(d^{k-1})$ , i.e.,  $d^k u = 0 \Rightarrow u = d^{k-1} v$   
 $\text{curl } u = 0 \Rightarrow u = \text{grad } \phi$ ,  $\text{div } v = 0 \Rightarrow v = \text{curl } \psi$ .

# OUTLINE

<b>1</b>	<b>Finite element exterior calculus &amp; Relaxation . . . . .</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Dynamo . . . . .</b>	<b>19</b>

# FINITE ELEMENT EXTERIOR CALCULUS & RELAXATION

<b>1</b>	<b>Finite element exterior calculus &amp; Relaxation</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Dynamo</b>	<b>19</b>

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD): macroscopic description of plasma, an incompressible model

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) - R_e^{-1} \Delta \mathbf{u} - s \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla P &= \mathbf{f} \quad \text{momentum equation,} \\ \mathbf{j} - \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{Ampere's law,} \\ \partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{Faraday's law,} \\ R_m^{-1} \mathbf{j} - (\mathbf{E} + s \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{Ohm's law,} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 \quad \text{Gauss law,} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

initial conditions  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{x}),$

boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ :  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}.$

Three nonlinear terms:

fluid advection  $-\mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})$  (in the vorticity form)

Lorentz force  $-s \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$

magnetic advection  $-\nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B})$

For relaxation, we are interested in zero magnetic diffusion, nonzero fluid diffusion ( $R_m = \infty, R_e < \infty$ ).

# ENERGY STRUCTURES OF MHD

Energy dissipation or conservation:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_0^2 + \frac{S}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{B}\|_0^2 + R_e^{-1} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}\|_0^2 + S R_m^{-1} \|\boldsymbol{j}\|_0^2 = (\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{u}),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left( \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_0^2 + S \|\boldsymbol{B}\|_0^2 \right) + R_e^{-1} \int_0^T \|\nabla \boldsymbol{u}\|_0^2 d\tau + 2 S R_m^{-1} \int_0^T \|\boldsymbol{j}\|_0^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \|\boldsymbol{u}_0\|_0^2 + S \|\boldsymbol{B}_0\|_0^2 + R_e \int_0^T \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{-1}^2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

With  $\boldsymbol{f} = 0$ ,  $R_m^{-1} = 0$ , total energy is non-increasing. However, some key information is **not clear**:


- ▶ whether the total energy decays to zero?
- ▶ how does total energy split into the fluid part ( $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2$ ) + magnetic part ( $S \|\boldsymbol{B}\|^2$ )?

## HELICITY: FINE STRUCTURES

Magnetic helicity: for magnetic potential  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfying  $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ ,

$$\text{magnetic helicity } \mathcal{H}_m := \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, dx$$

- ▶ Idea started from Helmholtz & Kelvin.  
MHD: Woltjer's invariant, ideal fluid: Moffatt (giving the name).
- ▶ characterizing linking/knottedness of  $\mathbf{B}$ .  
Example:  $\mathcal{H}_\xi = 2I(C_1, C_2)Q_1 \cdot Q_2$ , where  $I$  is the Gauss linking number (topological quantity, =1 in the figure below).



Arnold, Khesin, *Topological methods in hydrodynamics*, 1999

Helicity = averaging asymptotic linking number (continuum version of linked tubes) (V.I. Arnold)

Cross helicity:

$$\text{cross helicity } \mathcal{H}_c := \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, dx$$

linking of vorticity and magnetic fields

## A TOPOLOGICAL MECHANISM

Arnold inequality (V.I. Arnold 1974): helicity provides lower bound for energy

$$\left| \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, dx \right| \leq C \int |\mathbf{B}|^2 \, dx$$




Vladimir I. Arnold

Proof. Cauchy-Schwarz  $|\int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, dx| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{L^2}$  + Poincaré inequality  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla \times \mathbf{A}\|_{L^2}$ .

Differential form point of view:  $\mathbf{A}$ : 1-form,  $\mathbf{B}$ : 2-form

$$\int \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{B} \leq C \int \mathbf{B} \wedge * \mathbf{B}$$

*Helicity, Topology*      *Energy, Geometry*



knots are topological barriers that prevent energy from dissipation

Fig: Pontin, Hornig, Living Rev. Sol. Phys. 2020.

Magnetic and cross helicity are conservative for ideal MHD ( $R_e^{-1} = R_m^{-1} = 0$ ).

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} dx = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{B} dx = 0.$$

**Proof** Advection of magnetic fields:

$$\mathbf{B}_t - \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 2 \frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) \stackrel{*}{=} 2 \int \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) = 2 \int \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0.$$

\*: integral by parts with vanishing boundary conditions.

Proof does not depend on  $\mathbf{u}$ . Magnetic helicity conserved even with fluid diffusion.

**Consequences:** consider a system with fluid diffusion ( $R_e < \infty$ ), without magnetic diffusion ( $R_m = \infty$ ). Energy may decay (due to fluid diffusion), but has a lower bound (by magnetic helicity, which remains constant). So topologically nontrivial initial data cannot evolve to a trivial stationary state. This provides a **topological constraint** for ideal magnetic relaxation.

Magnetic and cross helicity are conservative for ideal MHD ( $R_e^{-1} = R_m^{-1} = 0$ ).

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} dx = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{B} dx = 0.$$

**Proof** Advection of magnetic fields:

$$\mathbf{B}_t - \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 2 \frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) \stackrel{*}{=} 2 \int \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) = 2 \int \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0.$$

\*: integral by parts with vanishing boundary conditions.

Proof does not depend on  $\mathbf{u}$ . Magnetic helicity conserved even with fluid diffusion.

**Consequences:** consider a system with fluid diffusion ( $R_e < \infty$ ), without magnetic diffusion ( $R_m = \infty$ ). Energy may decay (due to fluid diffusion), but has a lower bound (by magnetic helicity, which remains constant). So topologically nontrivial initial data cannot evolve to a trivial stationary state. This provides a **topological constraint** for ideal magnetic relaxation.

But numerical computation may lose this topological mechanism due to discretization errors (therefore leading to **wrong solutions**)!

## TOWARDS *Computational Topological Hydrodynamics*

A subject back to Kelvin, Helmholtz, and more recently by Arnold, Moffatt, Sullivan...  
limited applications due to lack of topology-preserving algorithms



The Lord Kelvin



Hermann von Helmholtz




Vladimir Arnold



Keith Moffatt



Dennis Sullivan



*Direct computational assessment of Parker's hypothesis brings a number of challenges. Foremost among these is the requirement to precisely maintain the magnetic topology during the simulated evolution, i.e., precisely maintain the magnetic field line mapping between the two line-tied boundaries. ... In the following sections, two methods are described which seek to mitigate against these difficulties. However, in all cases the representation of current singularities remains problematic...*

— The Parker problem: existence of smooth force-free fields and coronal heating, Pontin, Hornig,  
Living Rev. Sol. Phys. 2020.

## STRUCTURE-PRESERVING MHD: LITERATURE

Existing numerical methods for magnetic relaxation: Lagrange method, issues with mesh deformation

- ▶ *Mimetic methods for Lagrangian relaxation of magnetic fields*, S.Candelaresi, D.Pontin, G.Hornig, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing (2014).

Structure-preserving discretization for MHD:

- ▶ energy conservation: e.g., Armero, Simo 1996
- ▶  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ : e.g., Brackbill, Barnes 1980
- ▶ helicity conservation: less attention, Liu,Wang 2004 (axisymmetric MHD flow, finite difference methods); Kraus,Maj 2017 (DEC, variational integrator), Sullivan 2018 ('Lattice hydrodynamics').

Helicity-preserving finite element for NS:


Rebholz 2007; Zhang, Palha, Gerritsma, Rebholz 2022 (dual field approach).

Helicity-preserving finite element for MHD:

**KH, Lee, Xu 2021**; Gawlik, Gay-Balmaz 2022; Laakmann, KH, Farrell 2023 (Hall MHD), Zhang, Palha, Brugnoli, Toshniwal, Gerritsma 2024.

The numerics below are based on the projection approach (Rebholz 2007, KH, Lee, Xu 2021).

## CANONICAL FINITE ELEMENTS FOR THE DE RHAM COMPLEX



Raviart-Thomas (1977), Nédélec (1980) in numerical analysis

"The main advantage of these finite elements is the possibility of approximating Maxwell's equations while exactly verifying one of the physical law." – J.C. Nédélec, Mixed Finite Elements in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (1980)

Bossavit (1988): differential forms and complex

"A rationale for the use of these special 'mixed' elements can be obtained if one expresses basic equations in terms of differential forms, instead of vector fields. ... Whitney forms were described in 1957, long before the use of finite elements."

– A. Bossavit, Whitney forms: a class of finite elements for three-dimensional computations in electromagnetism (1988)

Hiptmair (1999), Arnold, Falk, Winther (2006): systematic study, "Finite Element Exterior Calculus"

Finite element exterior calculus (FEEC): structure-preserving FEM

Discrete exterior calculus (DEC): defining spaces and operators on primal and dual meshes

Topological data analysis (TDA): cohomology and Hodge-Laplacian on graphs

Lim, Lek-Heng. "Hodge Laplacians on graphs." SIAM Review 62.3 (2020).

## WHY COMPLEXES MATTER?

Example: Gauss law in Maxwell equations.  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \implies \partial_t(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = 0$ .


Typical Galerkin schemes: Find  $\mathbf{E}_h \in Y_h$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_h \in Z_h$ , s.t.

$$(\partial_t \mathbf{B}_h, \mathbf{C}_h) + (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h, \mathbf{C}_h) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{C}_h \in Z_h.$$

Consequence:  $\partial_t \mathbf{B}_h + \mathbb{P} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h = 0$ , hence  $\partial_t(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_h) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbb{P} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h$ . Non-zero in general, up to discretization errors.

$\mathbb{P}$ :  $L^2$  projection from  $\nabla \times Y_h$  to  $Z_h$ .  $\mathbb{P} = I$  (hence  $\partial_t(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_h) = 0$ ) only if  $\nabla \times Y_h \subset Z_h$ .

Relations like  $\nabla \times Y_h \subset Z_h$  are encoded in differential complexes (homological algebra).



Using finite elements in complexes leads to constraint-preservation.

## DISCRETIZATION FOR MHD

**Choice of spaces:** all spaces in a finite element de Rham complex

- To preserve  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ , discretize  $\mathbf{B} \in H_0^h(\text{div})$ ,  $\mathbf{E} \in H_0^h(\text{curl})$ . Complex:  $\text{curl } H_0^h(\text{curl}) \subset H_0^h(\text{div})$ !
- key cancellation for the **magnetic helicity**: on the continuous level,

$$\int \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{A} = \int (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0.$$

On the discrete level, a natural mixed scheme yields

$$\int \nabla \times \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{A} = \int \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \int (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}} \mathbf{B} \neq 0,$$

$\mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}}$ :  $L^2$  projection to  $H_0^h(\text{curl})$ .

Fix: introduce  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}} \mathbf{B}$ , use  $\nabla \times \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H})$  in the scheme.

$$\int (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}} \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}} \mathbf{B} = 0.$$

- **cross helicity**: similar. Introduce  $\boldsymbol{\omega} := \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}} \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$ .
- Any time stepping that preserves quadratic invariants.

## NUMERICAL SCHEME (MAGNETO-FRICTION)

Apply the same idea of choosing finite elements in a de Rham complex and adding projections :

Find  $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{u}) \in H^h(\text{div}) \times H^h(\text{curl}) \times H^h(\text{curl}) \times H^h(\text{curl}) \times H^h(\text{div})$ , such that for any  $(\hat{\mathbf{B}}, \hat{\mathbf{E}}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}, \hat{\mathbf{j}}, \hat{\mathbf{u}})$  in the same space,

$$(\mathbf{B}_t, \hat{\mathbf{B}}) + (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \hat{\mathbf{B}}) = 0,$$

$$(\mathbf{E}, \hat{\mathbf{E}}) = -(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \hat{\mathbf{E}}),$$

$$(\mathbf{u}, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \tau(\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{H}, \hat{\mathbf{v}}),$$

$$(\mathbf{j}, \hat{\mathbf{j}}) = (\mathbf{B}, \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}),$$

$$(\mathbf{H}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}) = (\mathbf{B}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}).$$

$$\mathbf{B}_t + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}),$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \tau \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{H}),$$

$$\mathbf{j} = \nabla_h \times \mathbf{B},$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{P}\mathbf{B}.$$

Energy law

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{B}\|^2 = -\tau \|\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{j})\|^2.$$


Helicity conservation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0.$$

## NUMERICAL TEST: HOPF FIBRATION


$$\mathbf{B}_0 = \frac{4\sqrt{a}}{\pi(1+r^2)^3} (2y(y-xz), -2(x+yz), (-1+x^2+y^2-z^2))$$

Every single field line of this field is a perfect circle, and every single field line is linked with every other one.  
c.f. Smiet, C.B., Candelaresi, S. and Bouwmeester, D., 2017. Ideal relaxation of the Hopf fibration. Physics of Plasmas, 24(7).




**Figure.** Helicity-preserving scheme

$\tau = 10$ ,  $dt = 1$  and  $T = 1000$ .



**Figure.** CG scheme (non-preserving)



[show video]

## FULL MHD (KH, LEE, XU 2021)

Find  $(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B}, p) \in [H_0^h(\text{curl}, \Omega)]^5 \times H_0^h(\text{div}, \Omega) \times H_0^h(\text{grad})$  such that

$$(D_t \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{v}) + (\nabla p, \mathbf{v}) - S(\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}), \quad (1a)$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mu) - (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \mu) = 0, \quad (1b)$$

$$(\mathbf{u}, \nabla q) = 0, \quad (1c)$$

$$(D_t \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) + (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{C}) = 0, \quad (1d)$$

$$(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}) - (\mathbf{B}, \nabla \times \mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad (1e)$$

$$(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G}) = 0, \quad (1f)$$

$$(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}) - (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{F}) = 0, \quad (1g)$$

where  $D_t \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}^{new} - \mathbf{u}^{old})/\Delta t$ ,  $D_t \mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{B}^{new} - \mathbf{B}^{old})/\Delta t$  and other variables are average of new and old values (*time stepping: implicit mid-point*).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} &= -\mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}), \\ \boldsymbol{\omega} &= \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}}(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \\ \mathbf{j} &= \nabla_h \times \mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{H} = \mathbb{Q}_h^{\text{curl}} \mathbf{B}. \end{aligned}$$

## CONVERGENCE

Algorithms converge well for *smooth true solutions*.

### Theorem 1 (L. Beirão da Veiga, KH, L. Mascotto 2024<sup>1</sup>)

Consider sequences  $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}$  of shape-regular, quasi-uniform meshes. Let the true solution be sufficiently smooth. Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $h$  such that, for all  $t$  in  $(0, T]$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{e}_h^u(t)\|^2 + \|\mathbf{e}_h^B(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{e}_h^u(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{e}_h^j(s)\|^2 ds \leq C(\|\mathbf{e}_h^u(0)\|^2 + \|\mathbf{e}_h^B(0)\|^2 + h^{2(k+1)}).$$

The constant  $C$  includes regularity terms of the numerical solution, the shape-regularity parameter of the mesh, and the polynomial degree  $k$ .

**Further question:** What if the true solution is **nonsmooth**?

Onsager's conjecture; energy/helicity conservation may fail. But most FE preserves energy by definition.  
Where is the boundary of structure-preservation?

---

<sup>1</sup>L. Beirão da Veiga, KH, L. Mascotto, *Convergence analysis of a helicity-preserving finite element discretisation for an incompressible magnetohydrodynamics system*, arXiv (2024)

# DYNAMO

1	Finite element exterior calculus & Relaxation . . . . .	4
2	<b>Dynamo</b> . . . . .	<b>19</b>


## TOWARDS *Computational Topological Hydrodynamics*

Dynamo theory, another example:

mechanism of generation of magnetic fields in astrophysical objects  
(e.g., change of magnetic fields of stars and planets)

**Fast dynamo:** exponential growth of magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$   
First eigenvalue of **magnetic advection-diffusion** (given  $\mathbf{u}$ )

$$-\nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) - R_m^{-1} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \lambda \mathbf{B}.$$



Does there exist a divergence-free field  $\mathbf{u}$  on a manifold that is a fast kinematic dynamo?

# DYNAMO

V.I.Arnold, E.I.Korkina 1983 computation: ‘Galerkin methods’, magnetic Reynolds number  $R_m \leq 19$ .

**Are there spurious solutions like in Maxwell equations?**


V.I.Arnold, E.I.Korkina 1983 computation: ‘Galerkin methods’, magnetic Reynolds number  $R_m \leq 19$ .

## Are there spurious solutions like in Maxwell equations?

... **It is still unknown** whether this field (ABC flow) is a fast kinematic dynamo, e.g., whether an exponentially growing mode of  $B$  survives as  $R_m \rightarrow \infty$ .

...

Numerically, the kinematic fast dynamo problem is the first eigenvalue problem for matrices of the order of many million, even for reasonable Reynolds numbers (of the order of hundreds). The physically meaningful magnetic Reynolds numbers  $R_m$  are of order of magnitude  $10^8$ . **The corresponding matrices are (and will remain) beyond the reach of any computer.**



— *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*, V.I.Arnold, B.A.Khesin 2021.


V.I.Arnold, E.I.Korkina 1983 computation: ‘Galerkin methods’, magnetic Reynolds number  $R_m \leq 19$ .

## Are there spurious solutions like in Maxwell equations?

... **It is still unknown** whether this field (ABC flow) is a fast kinematic dynamo, e.g., whether an exponentially growing mode of  $B$  survives as  $R_m \rightarrow \infty$ .

...

Numerically, the kinematic fast dynamo problem is the first eigenvalue problem for matrices of the order of many million, even for reasonable Reynolds numbers (of the order of hundreds). The physically meaningful magnetic Reynolds numbers  $R_m$  are of order of magnitude  $10^8$ . **The corresponding matrices are (and will remain) beyond the reach of any computer.**



— *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*, V.I.Arnold, B.A.Khesin 2021.

## Is this true?

# MHD VIA DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

$$\underbrace{-\nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B})}_{\text{Lie derivative}} - \underbrace{R_m^{-1} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{B}}_{\text{Hodge Laplacian}} = \lambda \mathbf{B}$$

advection              diffusion

- ▶ Diffusion: Hodge Laplacian .  $\Delta_{HL} := d\delta + \delta d$  (diffusion)
- ▶ Lie Derivative: For vector field  $u$  on manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ . For a  $k$ -form  $\omega$ ,

$$L_u \omega = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi_\tau^* \omega - \omega}{\tau}$$

where flow  $\Phi(t, x)$  satisfies  $\partial_t \Phi = u(\Phi, t)$ ,  $\Phi(0, x) = x$ .

- ▶ Cartan's Magic Formula: For vector field  $\beta$ :

$$L_\beta^k = d^{k-1} i_\beta^k + i_\beta^{k+1} d^k$$



where  $i_\beta^k : \Lambda^k \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-1}$  is contraction.

$$0 \longleftarrow C^\infty(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\cdot \beta} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xleftarrow{\times \beta} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xleftarrow{\otimes \beta} C^\infty(\Omega) \longleftarrow 0.$$

$$\underbrace{L_u w}_{\text{Lie derivative}} + \underbrace{\Delta_{HL} w}_{\text{Hodge Laplacian}}$$

advection              diffusion

Numerical application: (semi-)Lagrange methods for MHD  
Heumann, Hiptmair, Xu 2009



'Fisherman derivative':  
sitting on boat, differentiating along  
the flow

## ADVECTION-DIFFUSION OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS: IN COORDINATES

$$\mathsf{L}_\beta w + \Delta_{H^L} w = f.$$

$$0 \longleftrightarrow C^\infty(\Omega) \xrightarrow[-\operatorname{div}]{\operatorname{grad}} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xrightarrow[-\operatorname{curl}]{\operatorname{curl}} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xrightarrow[-\operatorname{grad}]{\operatorname{div}} C^\infty(\Omega) \longleftrightarrow 0.$$

$$0 \longleftarrow C^\infty(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\cdot\beta} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xleftarrow{\times\beta} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xleftarrow{\otimes\beta} C^\infty(\Omega) \longleftarrow 0.$$

# ADVECTION-DIFFUSION OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS: IN COORDINATES

$$\mathcal{L}_\beta w + \Delta_{HL} w = f.$$

$$0 \longleftrightarrow C^\infty(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[\text{-- div}]{\text{grad}} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \qquad C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \qquad C^\infty(\Omega) \qquad 0$$

$$0 \longleftarrow C^\infty(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\cdot \beta} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \qquad C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \qquad C^\infty(\Omega) \qquad 0$$

$$(d^{k-1}i_\beta^k + i_\beta^{k+1}d^k)w + (d^{k-1}d_{k-1}^* + d_k^*d^k)w = f$$

$$\beta \cdot \nabla w - \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} w = f$$

scalar advection-diffusion.

# ADVECTION-DIFFUSION OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS: IN COORDINATES

$$\mathsf{L}_\beta w + \Delta_{HL} w = f.$$

$$0 \quad C^\infty(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[-\text{div}]{\text{grad}} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xrightleftharpoons[\text{curl}]{\text{curl}} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \quad C^\infty(\Omega) \quad 0$$

$$0 \quad C^\infty(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\cdot\beta} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xleftarrow{\times\beta} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \quad C^\infty(\Omega) \quad 0$$

$$(d^{k-1}i_\beta^k + i_\beta^{k+1}d^k)w + (d^{k-1}d_{k-1}^*)w + d_k^*d^k)w = f$$

$$\text{grad}(\beta \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \beta \times (\text{curl } \mathbf{A}) + (-\text{grad div} + \text{curl curl})\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{f}$$

advection-diffusion of magnetic potential.

## ADVECTION-DIFFUSION OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS: IN COORDINATES

$$\mathsf{L}_\beta w + \Delta_{HL} w = f.$$

$$0 \quad C^\infty(\Omega) \quad C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xrightarrow[\text{curl}]{\text{curl}} \textcolor{brown}{C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \xrightleftharpoons[-\text{grad}]{\text{div}} C^\infty(\Omega) \quad 0$$

$$0 \quad C^\infty(\Omega) \quad C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xleftarrow{\times \beta} C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xleftarrow{\otimes \beta} C^\infty(\Omega) \quad 0$$

$$(d^{k-1} i_{\mathbf{B}}^k + i_{\mathbf{B}}^{k+1} d^k)w + (d^{k-1} d_{k-1}^*)w + d_k^* d^k w = f$$

$$-\operatorname{curl}(\beta \times \mathbf{B}) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B})\beta + (\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B}) - (\operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div}) \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{f}$$

If imposing  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0$ :

$$-\operatorname{curl}(\beta \times \mathbf{B}) + \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{f}.$$

**magnetic advection-diffusion.**

## ADVECTION-DIFFUSION OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS: IN COORDINATES

$$\mathsf{L}_\beta w + \Delta_{HL} w = f.$$

$$0 \quad C^\infty(\Omega) \quad C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \quad C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xrightleftharpoons[-\text{grad}]{\text{div}} \textcolor{orange}{C^\infty(\Omega)} \xrightleftharpoons[]{} 0$$

$$0 \quad C^\infty(\Omega) \quad C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \quad C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \xleftarrow{\otimes \beta} C^\infty(\Omega) \xleftarrow[]{} 0$$

$$(d^{k-1} i_{\mathbf{B}}^k + i_{\mathbf{B}}^{k+1} d^k) w + (d^{k-1} d_{k-1}^* + d_k^* d^k) w = f$$

$$\text{div}(u\beta) - \text{div grad } u = f$$

Fokker-Planck type equation (transport of density)

# CONVERGENCE OF ADVECTION-DIFFUSION EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

Find  $\mathbf{B} \in V^k$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ :

$$(\delta\mathbf{B}, \delta\mathbf{C}) + (i_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{B}, \delta\mathbf{C}) = \lambda(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}), \quad \forall \mathbf{C} \in V^k$$

Find  $\mathbf{B} \in H(\text{curl})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ :

$$R_m^{-1}(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}, \nabla \times \mathbf{C}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}, \nabla \times \mathbf{C}) = \lambda(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}), \quad \forall \mathbf{C} \in H(\text{curl})$$

Bramble-Osborn Theory: Under assumptions

- *Solution operator  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is compact*

$$T : \mathbf{f} \mapsto \mathbf{B} \text{ solves } R_m^{-1}(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}, \nabla \times \mathbf{C}) - (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}, \nabla \times \mathbf{C}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{C}).$$

- $T_h : X_h \rightarrow X_h$  is compact and finite rank

$$\|T - T_h\| \rightarrow 0 \implies \text{convergence}$$



James Bramble



John Osborn

Application to MHD: Boils down to regularity of  $T : V_0 := T(L^2) \hookrightarrow \hookleftarrow H(\text{curl})$

Theorem [KH, Liang, Zerbinati]: For given smooth  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $V_0 \hookrightarrow \hookleftarrow H(\text{curl}) \implies$  eigenvalue convergence

Rayleigh quotient (min-max) fails due to non-self-adjoint advection, losing information (e.g., convergence of individual eigenvalues with multiplicity)

# WITTEN TRANSFORM: WHEN WIND IS POTENTIAL (GRADIENT)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{d} & \Lambda^k & \xrightarrow{d} & \Lambda^{k+1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots \\ & & \downarrow e^{\theta(x)} & & \downarrow e^{\theta(x)} & & \downarrow e^{\theta(x)} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{d_\theta} & \Lambda^k & \xrightarrow{d_\theta} & \Lambda^{k+1} \xrightarrow{d_\theta} \dots \end{array}$$

Diagram commutes:

$$e^{\theta(x)} d(e^{-\theta(x)} w) = -\nabla \theta \wedge w + dw.$$

gauge transform. Compare to covariant derivatives  $\nabla w = \partial w + \Gamma \cdot w$ .



Edward Witten

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longleftarrow & \Lambda^{k-1} & \xleftarrow{\delta} & \Lambda^k & \xleftarrow{\delta} & \Lambda^{k+1} \xleftarrow{\delta} \dots \\ & & \downarrow e^{\theta(x)} & & \downarrow e^{\theta(x)} & & \downarrow e^{\theta(x)} \\ \dots & \longleftarrow & \Lambda^{k-1} & \xleftarrow{\delta_\theta} & \Lambda^k & \xleftarrow{\delta_\theta} & \Lambda^{k+1} \xleftarrow{\delta_\theta} \dots \end{array}$$

$$\delta_\theta u := e^{-\theta(x)} \delta e^{\theta(x)} u = \iota_{\pm(d\theta)^\sharp} u + \delta u.$$

*Supersymmetry and Morse theory,  
Witten (1982) J. Diff. Geo.*

Witten deformation

Witten complex



Witten Laplacian

$$d\delta_{\pm\theta} + \delta_{\pm\theta} d = \Delta_{HL} + L_{\nabla\theta}$$

Hodge Laplacian on transformed coordinates    =    advection-diffusion

Numerical applications: stabilizing numerical oscillation    Brezzi,Marini,Pietra 1989 : exponential fitting, scalar problem; Wu,Xu 2018 : forms in 3D; Christiansen,Halvorsen,Sørensen 2014 : Petrov Galerkin

**Consequence 1:** for potential (gradient) winds, eigenvalues are real.



**Figure.** Eigenvalues for the toroidal surface with wind  $\mathbf{u}_1$  (non-gradient) and  $\mathbf{u}_2$  (gradient).

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 1), \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = (2 \cos(2x) \sin(2y), 2 \sin(2x) \cos(2y))$$

**Consequence 2:** improved estimates (essentially self-adjoint)

# GENERALIZING HODGE THEORY


## Theorem 2 (V. I. Arnold)

The number of linearly independent stationary  $k$ -forms is **not less than** the  $k$ -th betti number of the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Theorem 3 (V. I. Arnold)

If the diffusion coefficient  $R_m^{-1}$  is sufficiently large, then the number of linearly independent stationary  $k$ -forms is **equal to** the  $k$ -th betti number of the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ .


Test 1



$$b_0 = 1, b_1 = 1, b_2 = 0. \mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 1)$$

$R_m$	100	10	1	0.1
$\dim(\lambda_0)$	1	1	1	1

Test 2



$$b_0 = 1, b_1 = 2, b_2 = 1.  
$$\mathbf{u}_2 = (2 \cos(2x) \sin(2y), 2 \sin(2x) \cos(2y))$$$$

$R_m$	100	10	1	0.1
$\dim(\lambda_0)$	2	2	2	2

## BACK TO THE VERY FIRST ASSUMPTION...

*Numerically, the kinematic fast dynamo problem is the first eigenvalue problem for matrices of the order of many million, even for reasonable Reynolds numbers (of the order of hundreds). The physically meaningful magnetic Reynolds numbers  $R_m$  are of order of magnitude  $10^8$ . The corresponding matrices are (and will remain) beyond the reach of any computer.*

— *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*, V.I.Arnold, B.A.Khesin 2021.

## BACK TO THE VERY FIRST ASSUMPTION...

Numerically, the kinematic fast dynamo problem is the first eigenvalue problem for matrices of the order of many million, even for reasonable Reynolds numbers (of the order of hundreds). The physically meaningful magnetic Reynolds numbers  $R_m$  are of order of magnitude  $10^8$ . The corresponding matrices are (and will remain) beyond the reach of any computer.

— Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics, V.I.Arnold, B.A.Khesin 2021.

Eigenvalue analysis is often **misleading** for telling (in)stability.





Figure 14.1: Initial, transient, and asymptotic behavior of  $\|e^{tA}\|$  for a nonnormal matrix or operator  $A$ .

In **transient region** (better described by **pseudo-spectra**), non-linear effects become dominating, **Asymptotics** described by **eigenvalues never reached**.



# STRUCTURE-PRESERVING FE ALSO COMPUTES PSEUDO-SPECTRA



$$\sigma_\epsilon(\mathbf{A}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \|(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}\| > \epsilon^{-1}\}$$

$$\sigma_\epsilon(\mathbf{A}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : s_{\min}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{A}) < \epsilon\}$$

$s_{\min}$ : minimal singular value

## Theorem 4 (Zerbinati)


Finite element for pseudo-spectra converges.



Umberto Zerbinati,  
Mini-course Edinburgh 2025

$\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 1)$  non potential    $\mathbf{u}_2 = (2 \cos(2x) \sin(2y), 2 \sin(2x) \cos(2y))$  potential

## LAGRANGE ELEMENTS LEAD TO SPURIOUS MODES AGAIN



$$\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 1) \quad \text{non potential}$$

$\text{x}$ : from nodal elements     $\circ$ : Nédélec (FEEC)

## SUMMARY

### An exciting start...

FEEC for topological hydrodynamics

- ▶ Long-term evolution and rough solutions.
- ▶ Solvers.
- ▶ Nonlinear eigenvalue problems, pseudo-spectra...
- ▶ Turbulence.
- ▶ ...

## COLLABORATORS: ALGORITHMS & ANALYSIS



Jinchao Xu  
Penn State,  
KAUST



Yicong Ma  
Barclays



Xiaozhe Hu  
Tufts



Young-Ju  
Lee  
Texas



Patrick  
Farrell  
Oxford



Fabian  
Laakman  
ASML



Lourenco  
Beirão da  
Veiga  
Milano



Lorenzo  
Mascotto  
Milano

- ▶ *Stable finite element methods preserving  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  exactly for MHD models*, K. Hu, Y. Ma, J. Xu; Numerische Mathematik, 135(2), 371-396 (2017).      divergence-free preservation
- ▶ *Robust preconditioners for incompressible MHD models*, Y. Ma, K. Hu, X. Hu, J. Xu; Journal of Computational Physics, 316, 721-746 (2016).      preconditioning
- ▶ *Helicity-conservative finite element discretization for incompressible MHD systems*, K. Hu, Y.-J. Lee, J. Xu; Journal of Computational Physics (2021).      helicity preservation
- ▶ *Structure-preserving and helicity-conserving finite element approximations and preconditioning for the Hall MHD equations*, F. Laakmann, K. Hu, P. E. Farrell; Journal of Computational Physics (2023).      Hall MHD
- ▶ *Finite element exterior calculus for multiphysics problems*, K. Hu; Peking University (2017)      PhD thesis

## Collaborators: Relaxation



Mingdong  
He  
Oxford



Patrick  
Farrell  
Oxford



Boris  
Andrews  
Oxford

- *Topology-preserving discretization for the magneto-frictional equations arising in the Parker conjecture*, M. He, P. E. Farrell, K. Hu, B. D. Andrews; SISC (2025)

## Collaborators: Dynamo



Daniele Boffi  
KAUST



Yizhou Liang  
Oxford



Stefano  
Zampini  
KAUST



Umberto  
Zerbinati  
Oxford



Jindong Wang  
KAUST,  
upcoming  
Newton Fellow

- *FEEC for dynamo*, D. Boffi, K. Hu, Y. Liang, S. Zampini, U. Zerbinati; in preparation

- *Pseudospectra of advection-diffusion of differential forms*, D. Boffi, K. Hu, U. Zerbinati; in preparation

## Acknowledgement

Royal Society University Research Fellowship (URF\R1\221398)

ERC starting grant (101164551): **GeoFEM** (Geometric Finite Element Methods)