

Towards Verifying the Bitcoin-S Library

Ramon Boss, Kai Brännler, Anna Doukma

Bern University of Applied Sciences

July 16, 2020

Table of Contents

- ① Bitcoin-S
- ② Stainless
- ③ Rewriting Bitcoin-S for Stainless
- ④ Bugs We Found

Table of Contents

- 1 Bitcoin-S
- 2 Stainless
- 3 Rewriting Bitcoin-S for Stainless
- 4 Bugs We Found

Bitcoin-S

```
1  val privKey = ECPrivateKey.freshPrivateKey
2  val spk = P2PKHScriptPubKey(
3      pubKey = privKey.publicKey
4  )
5
6  val amount = Satoshis(Int64(10000))
7
8  val utxo = TransactionOutput(
9      currencyUnit = amount,
10     scriptPubKey = spk
11 )
12
13 val tx = BaseTransaction(
14     version = Int32.one,
15     inputs = List.empty,
16     outputs = List(utxo),
17     lockTime = UInt32.zero
18 )
```

Table of Contents

- ① Bitcoin-S
- ② **Stainless**
- ③ Rewriting Bitcoin-S for Stainless
- ④ Bugs We Found

Stainless: Example

```
1  def factorial(n: Int): Int = {
2      require(n >= 0)
3      if (n == 0) {
4          1
5      } else {
6          n * factorial(n - 1)
7      }
8  } ensuring(res => res >= 0)
```

Stainless: Example

Stainless output for the factorial function

```
[ Info ] - Now solving 'postcondition' VC for factorial @10:3...
[ Info ] - Result for 'postcondition' VC for factorial @10:3:
[Warning ] => INVALID
[Warning ] Found counter-example:
[Warning ] n: Int -> 17
[ Info ]
[ Info ] stainless summary
[ Info ]
[ Info ] factorial postcondition          valid from cache      src/TestFactorial.scala:10:3  1.055
[ Info ] factorial postcondition          invalid              U:smt-z3 src/TestFactorial.scala:10:3  7.861
[ Info ] factorial precondition (call factorial(n - 1)) valid from cache      src/TestFactorial.scala:15:11 1.054
[ Info ]
[ Info ]
[ Info ] total: 3   valid: 2   (2 from cache) invalid: 1   unknown: 0   time: 9.970
[ Info ]
```

Pure Scala

- Stainless works on a subset of Scala called *Pure Scala*
- It's essentially algebraic datatypes (case classes) and pure functions
- Some things that it does not include:
 - inheritance by objects
 - abstract type members
 - inner classes in case objects
 - ...

Table of Contents

- ① Bitcoin-S
- ② Stainless
- ③ Rewriting Bitcoin-S for Stainless
- ④ Bugs We Found

Inheriting Objects

This:

```
1 object Satoshi extends BaseNumbers[Satoshi] {  
2   val zero = Satoshi(Int64.zero)  
3   val one = Satoshi(Int64.one)  
4 }
```

Becomes this:

```
1 case object Satoshi extends BaseNumbers[Satoshi] {  
2   val zero = Satoshi(Int64.zero)  
3   val one = Satoshi(Int64.one)  
4 }
```

Abstract Type Members

This:

```
1 sealed abstract class CurrencyUnit {  
2     type A  
3  
4     protected def underlying: A  
5 }  
6  
7 sealed abstract class Satoshi extends CurrencyUnit {  
8     override type A = Int64  
9 }
```

Becomes this:

```
1 sealed abstract class CurrencyUnit {  
2     protected def underlying: Int64  
3 }  
4  
5 sealed abstract class Satoshi extends CurrencyUnit
```

Missing Bitwise &-Function on BigInt

This:

```
1 sealed abstract class Number {  
2   def andMask: BigInt  
3   def checkResult(result: BigInt): BigInt = {  
4     require((result & andMask) == result)  
5     result  
6   }  
7 }
```

Becomes this:

```
1 sealed abstract class Number {  
2   // removed redundant checkResult function  
3 }
```

Specification and Stainless Output

```
1 def +(c: CurrencyUnit): CurrencyUnit = {
2   require(c.satoshis == Satoshis.zero)
3   Satoshis(
4     satoshis.underlying + c.satoshis.underlying
5   )
6 } ensuring(res => res.satoshis == this.satoshis)
```

```
[ Info ] - Now solving 'postcondition' VC for + @9:3...
[ Info ] - Result for 'postcondition' VC for + @9:3:
[ Info ] => VALID
```

stainless summary

+ precondition valid U:smt-z3 verified/currency/CurrencyUnits.scala:9:3 1.451

total: 1 valid: 1 (0 from cache) invalid: 0 unknown: 0 time: 1.451

Table of Contents

- ① Bitcoin-S
- ② Stainless
- ③ Rewriting Bitcoin-S for Stainless
- ④ Bugs We Found

Bug 1: Function checkTransaction is too restrictive

▼ 4		core/src/main/scala/org/bitcoins/core/script/interpreter/ScriptInterpreter.scala	
⚡		@@ -779,8 +779,8 @@ sealed abstract class ScriptInterpreter {	
779	779	val totalSpentByOutputs: CurrencyUnit =	
780	780	outputValues.fold(CurrencyUnits.zero) (_ + _)	
781	781	val allOutputsValidMoneyRange = validMoneyRange(totalSpentByOutputs)	
782	-	val prevOutputTxIds = transaction.inputs.map(_.previousOutput.txId)	
783	-	val noDuplicateInputs = prevOutputTxIds.distinct.size == prevOutputTxIds.size	
	782	+ val prevOutputs = transaction.inputs.map(_.previousOutput)	
	783	+ val noDuplicateInputs = prevOutputs.distinct.size == prevOutputs.size	
784	784		
785	785	val isValidScriptSigForCoinbaseTx = transaction.isCoinbase match {	
786	786	case true =>	
⚡			

Bug 2: Function checkResult is buggy (and redundant)

22 core/src/main/scala/org/bitcoins/core/number/NumberType.scala

```
@@ -37,10 +37,10 @@ sealed abstract class Number[T <: Number[T]]
```

```
  37 37 /** Factory function to create the underlying T, for instance a UInt32 */
```

```
  38 38 def apply: A => T
```

```
  39 39
```

```
  40 - override def +(num: T): T = apply(checkResult(underlying + num.underlying))
```

```
  41 - override def -(num: T): T = apply(checkResult(underlying - num.underlying))
```

```
  42 - override def *(factor: BigInt): T = apply(checkResult(underlying * factor))
```

```
  43 - override def *(num: T): T = apply(checkResult(underlying * num.underlying))
```

```
  40 + override def +(num: T): T = apply(underlying + num.underlying)
```

```
  41 + override def -(num: T): T = apply(underlying - num.underlying)
```

```
  42 + override def *(factor: BigInt): T = apply(underlying * factor)
```

```
  43 + override def *(num: T): T = apply(underlying * num.underlying)
```

```
  44 44
```

```
  45 45 override def compare(num: T): Int = underlying compare num.underlying
```

```
  46 46
```



```
@@ -64,20 +64,10 @@ sealed abstract class Number[T <: Number[T]]
```

```
  64 64 )
```

```
  65 65 }
```

```
  66 66
```

```
  67 - def |(num: T): T = apply(checkResult(underlying | num.underlying))
```

```
  68 - def &(num: T): T = apply(checkResult(underlying & num.underlying))
```

```
  67 + def |(num: T): T = apply(underlying | num.underlying)
```

```
  68 + def &(num: T): T = apply(underlying & num.underlying)
```

```
  69 69 def unary_- : T = apply(-underlying)
```

```
  70 70
```

```
  71 - /**
```

```
  72 -   * Checks if the given result is within the range
```

```
  73 -   * of this number type
```

```
  74 -   */
```

```
  75 - private def checkResult(result: BigInt): A = {
```

```
  76 -   require((result & andMask) == result,
```

```
  77 -     "Result was out of bounds, got: " + result)
```

```
  78 -   result
```

```
  79 - }
```

```
  80 -
```


Conclusion

Lessons learned

- Even verifying very simple properties requires significantly rewriting existing Scala code
- This is true even for purely functional code
- We can't really verify existing code – really we verify a re-implementation of it
- But attempting to verify has been very useful for finding bugs