

CS201: Discrete Math for Computer Science
2025 Spring Semester Written Assignment #1
Due: 23:55 on Mar. 17th, 2025, please submit through
Blackboard

Please answer questions in English. Using any other language will lead to a zero point.

Q. 1. Let p, q be the propositions

p : You get 100 marks on the final.

q : You get an A in this course.

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

- (a) You do not get 100 marks on the final.
- (b) You get 100 marks on the final, but you do not get an A in this course.
- (c) You will get an A in this course if you get 100 marks on the final.
- (d) If you do not get 100 marks on the final, then you will not get an A in this course.
- (e) Getting 100 marks on the final is sufficient for getting an A in this course.
- (f) You get an A in this course, but you do not get 100 marks on the final.
- (g) Whenever you get an A in this course, you got 100 marks on the final.

Solution:

- (a) $\neg p$
- (b) $p \wedge \neg q$
- (c) $p \rightarrow q$
- (d) $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
- (e) $p \rightarrow q$
- (f) $q \wedge \neg p$

(g) $q \rightarrow p$

□

Q. 2. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.

(a) $(p \oplus q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$

(b) $(p \leftrightarrow q) \oplus (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$

Solution: The details are omitted. The final results are given as follows:

| | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|---|
| | p | q | $(p \oplus q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$ |
| | T | T | T |
| (a) | T | F | F |
| | F | T | F |
| | F | F | T |

| | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|---|
| | p | q | $(p \leftrightarrow q) \oplus (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$ |
| | T | T | T |
| (b) | T | F | T |
| | F | T | T |
| | F | F | T |

□

Q. 3. “Logic is difficult or not many students like logic.”

“If mathematics is easy, then logic is not difficult.”

Which of the following are valid conclusions?

- (a) That mathematics is not easy, if many students like logic.
- (b) That not many students like logic, if mathematics is not easy.
- (c) That mathematics is not easy or logic is difficult.
- (d) That logic is not difficult or mathematics is not easy.
- (e) That if not many students like logic, then either mathematics is not easy or logic is not difficult.

Solution:

“Logic is difficult or not many students like logic.” That is $p \vee \neg q$, where p = “Logic is difficult”, q = “many students like logic”

“If mathematics is easy, then logic is not difficult.” That is $r \rightarrow \neg p$, where r = “mathematics is easy”

Then express the conclusions:

- (a) $q \rightarrow \neg r$. That is $\neg q \vee \neg r$. When p is true, r must be false according to $r \rightarrow \neg p$. When p is false, then q must be false according to $p \vee \neg q$. Thus $q \rightarrow \neg r$ is true.
- (b) $\neg r \rightarrow \neg q$. When p, q are true and r is false, both prerequisites are satisfied, while $\neg r \rightarrow \neg q$ is false.
- (c) $r \rightarrow \neg p$. When p is false, q is false and r is true, $p \vee \neg q$ and $r \rightarrow \neg p$, however $r \rightarrow \neg p$ is false.
- (d) $\neg p \vee \neg r$. $\neg p \vee \neg r \leftrightarrow r \rightarrow \neg p$.
- (e) $\neg q \rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg r)$. Since $\neg p \vee \neg r$ is true, $\neg q \rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg r) = q \vee \text{T} = \text{T}$.

Q. 4. Determine whether the following statements are correct or incorrect. Explain your answer. Assume that p, q and r are logical propositions, x and y are real numbers, and m and n are integers.

- (1) $(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$ is a tautology.
- (2) $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$ and $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$ are equivalent.
- (3) Under the domain of all real numbers, the truth value of $\exists x \forall y (y \neq 0 \rightarrow xy = 1)$ is T.
- (4) Under the domain of all integers, the truth value of $\exists n \exists m (n^2 + m^2 = 5)$ is T.

Solution:

- (1) Incorrect. This can be proven using truth table.

Since the truth value of $(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$ is not always T, it is not a tautology. (Any proof is acceptable, as long as it explains that under some p and q , the truth value of $(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$ is false.)

| p | q | $\neg p$ | $p \rightarrow q$ | $\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$ | $\neg q$ | $(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$ |
|-----|-----|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--|
| T | T | F | T | F | F | T |
| T | F | F | F | F | T | T |
| F | T | T | T | T | F | F |
| F | F | T | T | T | T | T |

(2) Correct. This can be proven as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(p \vee q) \rightarrow r &\equiv \neg(p \vee q) \vee r && \text{(Useful Law)} \\
&\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee r && \text{(De Morgan's Law)} \\
&\equiv (\neg p \vee r) \wedge (\neg q \vee r) && \text{(Distributive Law)} \\
&\equiv (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) && \text{(Useful Law)}
\end{aligned}$$

Any proof that shows the equivalence is acceptable.

(3) Incorrect. This proposition means that there is a real number x for which $y \neq 0 \rightarrow xy = 1$ for every real number y . Consider an arbitrary x . Suppose $y_1 \neq 0$ and $xy_1 = 1$. Let $y_2 = 2y_1$. Then, $xy_2 = 2$, i.e., $y \neq 0 \rightarrow xy = 1$ does not hold for every y .

(4) Correct. When $n = 1$ and $m = 2$, $n^2 + m^2 = 5$.

Q. 5. For each of the following argument, determine whether it is valid or invalid. Explain using the validity of its argument form.

(1) Premise 1: If you did not finish your homework, then you cannot answer this question.

Premise 2: You finished your homework.

Conclusion: You can answer this question.

(2) Premise 1: If all students in this class has submitted their homework, then all students can get 100 in the final exam.

Premise 2: There is a student who did not submit his or her homework.

Conclusion: It is not the case that all student can get 100 in the final exam.

Solution:

- (1) Invalid. Let p denote “you finished your homework”. Let q denote “you can answer this question”. Thus, premises 1 and 2 can be represented as $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ and p , respectively. Conclusion can be represented as q . This argument form is not valid, since $((\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \wedge p) \rightarrow q$ is not a tautology. This is because when p is T and q is F, the truth value of $((\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \wedge p) \rightarrow q$ is F.
- (2) Invalid. Consider the domain of this class. Let $P(x)$ denote “student x has submitted his or her homework”. Let $Q(x)$ denote “student x can get 100 in the final exam”. Premises 1 and 2 can be represented as $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x)$ and $\exists x(\neg P(x))$, respectively. The conclusion can be represented as $\neg \forall x Q(x)$. This argument form is not valid, since $((\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x)) \wedge \exists x(\neg P(x))) \rightarrow \neg \forall x Q(x)$ is not a tautology. Consider the case where both $\exists x(\neg P(x))$ and $\forall x Q(x)$ are T. Thus, $\forall x P(x)$ is F, since $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x(\neg P(x))$ is T. Hence, $((\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x)) \wedge \exists x(\neg P(x)))$ is T. However, since $\neg \forall x Q(x)$ is F, the entire proposition is F.

Q. 6. Suppose that p, q, r, s are all logical propositions. You are given the following statement

$$(\neg r \vee (p \wedge \neg q)) \rightarrow (r \wedge p \wedge \neg q)$$

Prove that this implies $r \vee s$ using logical equivalences and rules of inference.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\neg r \vee (p \wedge \neg q)) \rightarrow (r \wedge p \wedge \neg q) \\
 \equiv & \neg(\neg r \vee (p \wedge \neg q)) \vee (r \wedge p \wedge \neg q) && \text{Useful} \\
 \equiv & (r \wedge \neg(p \wedge \neg q)) \vee (r \wedge p \wedge \neg q) && \text{De Morgan's} \\
 \equiv & (r \wedge (\neg p \vee q)) \vee (r \wedge p \wedge \neg q) && \text{De Morgan's} \\
 \equiv & (r \wedge (\neg p \vee q)) \vee (r \wedge (p \wedge \neg q)) && \text{Associative} \\
 \equiv & r \wedge ((\neg p \vee q) \vee (p \wedge \neg q)) && \text{Distributive} \\
 \equiv & r \wedge ((\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg(\neg(p \wedge \neg q))) && \text{Double negation} \\
 \equiv & r \wedge ((\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg(\neg p \vee q)) && \text{De Morgan's} \\
 \equiv & r \wedge T && \text{Negation} \\
 \equiv & r && \text{Identity} \\
 \rightarrow & r \vee s && \text{Addition}
 \end{aligned}$$

Q. 7. Use logical equivalences to prove the following statements.

- (a) $\neg(p \oplus q)$ and $p \leftrightarrow q$ are equivalent.
- (b) $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \neg q$ is a tautology.
- (c) $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow ((r \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q))$ is a tautology.

Solution:

- (a) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \neg(p \oplus q) \\
 \equiv & \neg((p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) && \text{Definition} \\
 \equiv & \neg(p \wedge \neg q) \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q) && \text{De Morgan} \\
 \equiv & (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee \neg q) && \text{De Morgan} \\
 \equiv & (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p) && \text{Useful} \\
 \equiv & p \leftrightarrow q && \text{Definition}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, they are equivalent.

- (b) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \neg q \\
 \equiv & \neg\neg(p \rightarrow q) \vee \neg q && \text{Useful} \\
 \equiv & (p \rightarrow q) \vee \neg q && \text{Double negation} \\
 \equiv & (\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg q && \text{Useful} \\
 \equiv & \neg p \vee (q \vee \neg q) && \text{Associative} \\
 \equiv & T && \text{Domination}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is a tautology.

(c) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow ((r \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)) \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg(\neg r \vee p) \vee (\neg r \vee q)) \quad \text{Useful} \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee ((r \wedge \neg p) \vee (\neg r \vee q)) \quad \text{De Morgan} \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee ((r \vee (\neg r \vee q)) \wedge (\neg p \vee (\neg r \vee q))) \quad \text{Distributive} \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (((r \vee \neg r) \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee (\neg r \vee q))) \quad \text{Associative} \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee ((T \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee (\neg r \vee q))) \quad \text{Complement} \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (T \wedge (\neg p \vee (\neg r \vee q))) \quad \text{Identity} \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \vee (\neg r \vee q)) \quad \text{Identity} \\
& \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee ((\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg r) \quad \text{Associative} \\
& \equiv (\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \vee q)) \vee \neg r \quad \text{Associative} \\
& \equiv T \vee \neg r \quad \text{Complement} \\
& \equiv T \quad \text{Identity.}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is a tautology.

□

Q. 8. Let $C(x)$ be the statement “ x has a cat”, let $D(x)$ be the statement “ x has a dog” and let $F(x)$ be the statement “ x has a ferret.” Express each of these sentences in terms of $C(x)$, $D(x)$, $F(x)$, quantifiers, and logical connectives. Let the domain consist of all students in your class.

- (a) A student in your class has a cat, a dog, and a ferret.
- (b) All students in your class have a cat, a dog, or a ferret.
- (c) Some student in your class has a cat and a ferret, but not a dog.
- (d) No student in your class has a cat, a dog, and a ferret.
- (e) For each of the three animals, cats, dogs, and ferrets, there is a student in your class who has this animal as a pet.

Solution:

(a) $\exists x(C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x))$

- (b) $\forall x(C(x) \vee D(x) \vee F(x))$
- (c) $\exists x(C(x) \wedge F(x) \wedge \neg D(x))$
- (d) $\neg \exists x(C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x))$
- (e) $(\exists x C(x)) \wedge (\exists x D(x)) \wedge (\exists x F(x))$

□

Q. 9. Prove that if $p \wedge q$, $p \rightarrow \neg(q \wedge r)$, $s \rightarrow r$, then $\neg s$.

Solution:

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| (1) | $p \wedge q$ | Premise |
| (2) | p | Simplication of (1) |
| (3) | $p \rightarrow \neg(q \wedge r)$ | Premise |
| (4) | $\neg(q \wedge r)$ | Modens ponens (2) (3) |
| (5) | $\neg q \vee \neg r$ | De Morgan |
| (6) | q | Simplication of (1) |
| (7) | $\neg r$ | Disjunctive syllogism (6) (5) |
| (8) | $s \rightarrow r$ | Premise |
| (9) | $\neg s$ | Modus tollens (7) (8) |

□

Q. 10. (a) Give the negation of the statement

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (n^3 + 6n + 5 \text{ is odd} \Rightarrow n \text{ is even}).$$

- (b) Either the original statement in (a) or its negation is true. Which one is it and explain why?

Solution:

- (a) The negation is

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{N} (n^3 + 6n + 5 \text{ is odd} \wedge n \text{ is odd}).$$

(b) If n is odd then $n^3 + 6n + 5$ is even because n^3 is then odd and $6n$ is then even. Therefore, the original statement is true.

□

Q. 11. Give a direct proof that: Let a and b be integers. If $a^2 + b^2$ is even, then $a + b$ is even.

Solution: Observe that $a^2 + b^2 = (a + b)^2 - 2ab$. Thus, $(a + b)^2$ has the same parity as $a^2 + b^2$. So $(a + b)^2$ is even. Then $a + b$ is also even.

□

Q. 12. Prove that $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is irrational.

Solution: Suppose that $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is the rational number p/q , where p and q are positive integers with no common factors. Cubing both sides, we have $2 = p^3/q^3$, or $p^3 = 2q^3$. Thus p^3 is even. Since the product of odd number is odd, this means that p is even, so we can write $p = 2k$ for some integer k . We then have $q^3 = 4k^3$. Since q^3 is even, q must be even. We have now seen that both p and q are even, a contradiction.

□