
MA204: Mathematical Statistics

Tutorial 6

T6.1 UMVUE and Efficient Estimator

6.1.1 UMVUE

An estimator of the parameter $\theta \in \Theta$ is called a *uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator* (UMVUE) if it is unbiased and has the smallest variance among all unbiased estimators of θ .

6.1.2 Efficient estimator

An unbiased estimator for θ is an *efficient estimator* if it has variance equal to the Cramér–Rao lower bound.

6.1.3 Their relationship

Obviously, an efficient estimator for θ is a UMVUE for θ . However, a UMVUE for θ does not necessarily imply that it is an efficient estimator for θ .

Example T6.1 (A special beta distribution). Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Beta}(\theta, 1)$ with pdf $f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} I(0 < x < 1)$, where $\theta > 0$.

- (a) Find the MLE of the mean parameter $\mu = \theta/(\theta + 1)$.
- (b) Prove that the cdf of the population r.v. $X \sim f(x; \theta)$ is $F(x; \theta) = x^\theta$ for $x \in (0, 1)$.
- (c) Define $T \triangleq -\sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i$. Prove that T/n is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta) = 1/\theta$.
- (d) Find the Fisher information $I_n(\theta)$.

- (e) Prove that T/n is an efficient estimator of $\tau(\theta)$; hence T/n is the unique UMVUE for $\tau(\theta)$.

Solution: (a) [see **Example 3.29** on the page 152 of the Textbook] The likelihood function of θ is $L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta x_i^{\theta-1}$, so that the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\theta) = n \log \theta + (\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i.$$

Let $0 = \ell'(\theta) = n/\theta + \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i$, the MLE of θ is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{-\sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i} = \frac{n}{T}.$$

Thus, the MLE of μ is

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta} + 1} = \frac{n}{n + T}.$$

- (b) The cdf of X is

$$F(x; \theta) = \int_0^x f(t; \theta) dt = \int_0^x \theta t^{\theta-1} dt = \int_0^x dt^\theta = t^\theta \Big|_0^x = x^\theta, \quad x \in (0, 1). \quad (6.1)$$

- (c) From (4.3) on page 165 of the Textbook, we have

$$-2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log F(X_i; \theta) \sim \chi^2(2n)$$

for any continuous cdf; hence

$$-2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log F(X_i; \theta) \stackrel{(6.1)}{=} -2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i = 2\theta T \sim \chi^2(2n). \quad (6.2)$$

We have $E(2\theta T) = 2n$, i.e.,

$$E\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta} = \tau(\theta), \quad (6.3)$$

indicating that T/n is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta) = 1/\theta$.

On the other hand, from (6.2), $\text{Var}(2\theta T) = 2 \times 2n$, i.e.,

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n\theta^2}. \quad (6.4)$$

In particular, in (6.3) and (6.4) let $n = 1$, we obtain

$$E(-\log X_1) = \frac{1}{\theta} = \tau(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(-\log X_1) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}. \quad (6.5)$$

(d) Let $X \sim f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$, $x \in (0, 1)$. Then, from (3.24) in the Textbook, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\theta) &= E\left\{\frac{d \log f(X; \theta)}{d\theta}\right\}^2 = E\left(\frac{1}{\theta} + \log X\right)^2 = E[-\log X_1 - \tau(\theta)]^2 \\ &\stackrel{(6.5)}{=} \text{Var}(-\log X_1) \stackrel{(6.5)}{=} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

while the method on page 152 of the Textbook is much easier; and hence

$$I_n(\theta) = nI(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

(e) Now, T/n is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, and

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) \stackrel{(6.4)}{=} \frac{1}{n\theta^2} = \frac{\{\tau'(\theta)\}^2}{I_n(\theta)},$$

i.e., the variance attains the CR lower bound. Then T/n is an efficient estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, and hence T/n is the unique UMVUE for $\tau(\theta)$. ||

T6.2 Sufficiency

6.2.1 Definition

Let $\mathbf{x} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top$ be their realizations. A statistic $T(\mathbf{x})$ is a *sufficient statistic* of θ if the conditional distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n , given $T = t$, does not depend on θ for any value of t . For discrete cases, this means

$$\Pr\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta \mid T(\mathbf{x}) = t\} = h(\mathbf{x})$$

does not depend on θ .

6.2.2 Factorization theorem

A statistic $T(\mathbf{x})$ is a sufficient statistic of θ if and only if the joint pdf (or pmf) can be written in the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x}); \theta) \times h(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $h(\mathbf{x})$ does not depend on θ , $g(T; \theta)$ is a function of both T and θ , and it depends on x_1, \dots, x_n only through T .

6.2.3 p -parameter exponential family

For the **one-parameter exponential family**, please see Exercise 3.19 on page 161 of the Textbook. Let $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)^\top \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}$ be a parameter vector. If a pmf or pdf $f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ can be expressed as

$$f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = a(\boldsymbol{\theta})b(x) \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^p c_j(\boldsymbol{\theta})d_j(x) \right], \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

for some specific functions $a(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)$, $b(\cdot)$, $c_j(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)$ and $d_j(\cdot)$, then $f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is said to belong to the p -parameter exponential family.

- (a) Show that the pdf of $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ belongs to the two-parameter exponential family.
- (b) Show that the pdf of $\text{Beta}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ belongs to the two-parameter exponential family.
- (c) Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta})$, where $f(x; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ belongs to the p -parameter exponential family. Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n d_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n d_p(X_i)$ is a set of jointly sufficient statistics of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Example T6.2 (Revisited **28.1• Remarks on Example 3.28**). One student asked me that since $\mathcal{U} = \{\hat{\theta}: E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta\}$, why $\#\mathcal{U} = 1$? For example, let $W_i \triangleq E(X_i|T)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ and $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for θ , we have

$$E(W_i) = E(X_i) = \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(W_i) \leq \text{Var}(X_i) = \theta(1 - \theta).$$

Clearly, W_i is a function of T and W_i is an unbiased estimator of θ , so all $W_i \in \mathcal{U}$. Similarly, we have $E[(X_i + X_j)/2|T] \in \mathcal{U}$, and so on. How to explain these phenomena?

Solution: From Example 3.22 on pages 140–141, we have

$$\Pr\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | T = t\} = 1 / \binom{n}{t}, \quad t = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad x_i \in \{0, 1\},$$

so that

$$1 = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}} \binom{n}{x_1 + \dots + x_n}^{-1}. \quad (6.6)$$

We can show that

$$X_i | (T = t) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(t/n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (6.7)$$

or $X_i | T \sim \text{Bernoulli}(T/n) = \text{Bernoulli}(\bar{X})$. Thus,

$$W_i = E(X_i | T) = \bar{X} \quad \text{and} \quad E[(X_i + X_j)/2 | T] = \bar{X},$$

so $\mathcal{U} = \{\bar{X}\}$ and $\#\mathcal{U} = 1$.

Proof of (6.7): We only prove that $X_n | (T = t) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(t/n)$. Since X_n only takes value 0 or 1, we only need to prove

$$\Pr(X_n = 1 | T = t) = t/n = \bar{x}. \quad (6.8)$$

Now, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr\{X_n = x_n = 1 | T = t\} \\ &= \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}} \Pr\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | T = t\} \\ &= \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}} \binom{n}{x_1 + \dots + x_n}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}} \binom{n}{x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} + 1}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \{0,1\}} \left[\frac{n(n-1)!}{(x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} + 1)!(n-1-x_1-\dots-x_{n-1})} \right]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} + 1}{n} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \{0,1\}} \binom{n-1}{x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}}^{-1} \\
&\stackrel{(6.6)}{=} \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} + x_n}{n} \cdot 1 \\
&= \bar{x},
\end{aligned}$$

implying (6.8). ||

Example T6.3 (Revisited Example 3.21). One student asked me that since $\mathcal{U} = \{\hat{\theta}: E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta\}$, why $\#\mathcal{U} = 1$? For example, define $W_i \triangleq E(X_i|T)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\theta)$ and $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for θ , we have

$$E(W_i) = E(X_i) = \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(W_i) \leq \text{Var}(X_i) = \theta.$$

Clearly, W_i is a function of T and W_i is an unbiased estimator of θ , so all $W_i \in \mathcal{U}$. Similarly, we have $E[(X_i + X_j)/2|T] \in \mathcal{U}$, and so on. How to explain these phenomena?

Solution: From Example 3.21 on page 140, we have

$$\Pr\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | T = t\} = \binom{t}{x_1, \dots, x_n} \cdot \frac{1}{n^t},$$

i.e.,

$$(X_1, \dots, X_n) | (T = t) \sim \text{Multinomial}(t; 1/n, \dots, 1/n).$$

Thus, $X_i | (T = t) \sim \text{Binomial}(t, 1/n)$ and $W_i = E(X_i|T) = T/n = \bar{X}$. so $\mathcal{U} = \{\bar{X}\}$ and $\#\mathcal{U} = 1$. ||

T6.3 Completeness

6.3.1 Definition

A statistic $T(\mathbf{x})$ is said to be *complete* if

$$E[h(T)] = 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Pr\{h(T) = 0\} = 1, \forall \theta \in \Theta,$$

where the function $h(T)$ is a statistic.

6.3.2 Lehmann–Scheffé theorem

Let $T(\mathbf{x})$ be a complete sufficient statistic of θ . If $g(T)$ is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then $g(T)$ is the unique UMVUE for $\tau(\theta)$.

Example T6.4 (An exponential distribution). Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the exponential distribution with density

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x}, \quad x > 0, \theta > 0.$$

- (a) Show that $T = T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic of θ .
- (b) Show that T is complete for θ .
- (c) Prove that \bar{X} is the unique UMVUE for $\tau_1(\theta) = 1/\theta$.
- (d) Find the unique UMVUE for θ .
- (e) Find the unique UMVUE for $\tau_2(\theta) = e^{-K\theta} = \Pr(X_1 > K)$, where $K > 0$ is a given constant.

Solution: (a) The joint pdf of X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta e^{-\theta x_i} = \theta^n e^{-\theta T} \times 1,$$

therefore, T is sufficient for θ , and $T \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta)$.

(b) Assume that a function $h(T)$ satisfies

$$E[h(T)] = \int_0^\infty h(t) \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} t^{n-1} e^{-\theta t} dt = 0$$

for $\theta > 0$ iff

$$\int_0^\infty h(t) t^{n-1} e^{-\theta t} dt = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta > 0.$$

Since $t^{n-1}e^{-\theta t} > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and $\theta > 0$, we have $h(t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$ and hence T is complete for θ .

(c) $E(T) = n/\theta$ so that $E(T/n) = E(\bar{X}) = 1/\theta = \tau_1(\theta)$. Thus, according to the Lehmann–Scheffé theorem, \bar{X} is the unique UMVUE for $\tau_1(\theta) = 1/\theta$.

(d) To find the UMVUE of θ , one might suspect that the estimator is of the form c/T , where c is a constant which may depend on n . Now we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{c}{T}\right) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{c}{t} \cdot \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} t^{n-1} e^{-\theta t} dt = \frac{c\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty t^{n-2} e^{-\theta t} dt \\ &= \frac{c\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\theta^{n-1}} = \frac{c\theta}{n-1} = \theta, \end{aligned}$$

if let $c = n - 1$. Thus, $(n - 1)/T$ is an unbiased estimator of θ , so $(n - 1)/T$ the unique UMVUE of θ for $n > 1$.

(e) Similar to Example 3.20 in the Textbook, we can construct a Bernoulli random variable $\xi \triangleq I(X_1 > K)$, so we have

$$E(\xi) = \Pr(X_1 > K) = e^{-K\theta} = \tau_2(\theta),$$

i.e., ξ is an unbiased estimator of $\tau_2(\theta)$. Based on (3.30) on page 146 of the Textbook, we know that

$$g(T) \triangleq E[\xi|T]$$

is also an unbiased estimator of $\tau_2(\theta)$. Thus, according to the Lehmann–Scheffé theorem, $g(T)$ is the unique UMVUE for $\tau_2(\theta)$.

Finding $g(T)$: In the follows, we want to find

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= E[\xi|T=t] = \Pr(\xi=1|T=t) \\ &= \Pr(X_1 > K|T=t) = \int_K^\infty f_{X_1|T=t}(x_1|t)dx_1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

where $f_{X_1|T=t}(x_1|t)$ denotes the conditional density of X_1 given $T=t$.

Note that the definition of a density is

$$f(x) = F'(x) = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x + \Delta x) - F(x)}{\Delta x} = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Pr(x < X \leq x + \Delta x)}{\Delta x},$$

approximately, we have $f(x)\Delta x \approx \Pr(x < X \leq x + \Delta x)$.

Let $\text{Gamma}(\cdot|n, \theta)$ denote the pdf of $\text{Gamma}(n, \theta)$ distribution, then,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_1|T=t}(x_1|t)\Delta x_1 &= \frac{f_{X_1, T=t}(x_1, t)\Delta x_1 \Delta t}{f_T(t)\Delta t} \\ &\approx \frac{\Pr(x_1 < X_1 \leq x_1 + \Delta x_1, t < T \leq t + \Delta t)}{\text{Gamma}(t|n, \theta)\Delta t} \\ &= \frac{\Pr(x_1 < X_1 \leq x_1 + \Delta x_1) \cdot \Pr(t - x_1 < \sum_{i=2}^n X_i \leq t - x_1 + \Delta t)}{\text{Gamma}(t|n, \theta)\Delta t} \\ &= \frac{\theta e^{-\theta x_1} \Delta x_1 \cdot \text{Gamma}(t - x_1|n - 1, \theta)\Delta t}{[1/\Gamma(n)]\theta^n t^{n-1} e^{-\theta t} \Delta t} \\ &= \frac{\theta e^{-\theta x_1} \cdot [1/\Gamma(n - 1)]\theta^{n-1} (t - x_1)^{n-2} e^{-\theta(t-x_1)} \Delta x_1 \Delta t}{[1/\Gamma(n)]\theta^n t^{n-1} e^{-\theta t} \Delta t} \\ &= (n - 1) \cdot \frac{(t - x_1)^{n-2}}{t^{n-1}} \Delta x_1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

for $x_1 < t$ and $n > 1$. Thus, (6.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &\stackrel{(6.9)}{=} \int_K^\infty f_{X_1|T=t}(x_1|t)dx_1 \stackrel{(6.10)}{=} \int_K^t (n - 1) \cdot \frac{(t - x_1)^{n-2}}{t^{n-1}} dx_1 \\ &= \frac{n - 1}{t^{n-1}} \int_K^t (t - x_1)^{n-2} dx_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} \int_0^{t-K} (n-1)y^{n-2} dy \quad [\text{let } y = t - x_1] \\
&= \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} \cdot y^{n-1} \Big|_0^{t-K} = (1 - K/t)^{n-1} \cdot I(t > K),
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$g(T) = (1 - K/T)^{n-1} \cdot I(T > K).$$

||

Example T6.5 (A geometric distribution). Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the geometric distribution with density

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta(1 - \theta)^x, \quad x = 0, 1, \dots, \infty; 0 < \theta < 1.$$

- (a) Show that $T = T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic of θ .
- (b) Show that $T \sim \text{NBinomial}(n, \theta)$, whose density is

$$g(t; \theta) = \binom{n+t-1}{t} \theta^n (1 - \theta)^t, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, \infty.$$

Hint: For a positive integer n ,

$$(x + a)^{-n} = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} (-1)^y \binom{n+y-1}{y} x^y a^{-n-y}, \quad \text{for } |x| < a.$$

- (c) Show that T is complete for θ .
- (d) Find the UMVUE for $\tau(\theta) = (1 - \theta)/\theta$ by the Lehmann–Scheffé Theorem. Show that it is also an efficient estimator for $\tau(\theta)$.
- (e) Show that $I_{\{0\}}(X_j)$ is an unbiased estimator for θ .
- (f) Find the UMVUE for θ .

Solution: (a) The joint pmf of X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(1 - \theta)^{x_i} = \theta^n (1 - \theta)^T \times 1,$$

indicating that T is sufficient for θ .

(b) The mgf of X_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is

$$\begin{aligned} M_{X_i}(t) &= E(e^{tX_i}) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{tx} \theta (1-\theta)^x \\ &= \theta \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} [e^t(1-\theta)]^x = \frac{\theta}{1 - e^t(1-\theta)}, \quad t < -\log(1-\theta). \end{aligned}$$

So the mgf of T is

$$M_T(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t) = \left[\frac{\theta}{1 - e^t(1-\theta)} \right]^n, \quad t < -\log(1-\theta).$$

On the other hand, let $Y \sim \text{NBinomial}(n, \theta)$. The mgf of Y is

$$\begin{aligned} M_Y(t) &= E(e^{tY}) = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{ty} \binom{n+y-1}{y} \theta^n (1-\theta)^y \\ &= \theta^n \times \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+y-1}{y} [e^t(1-\theta)]^y = \theta^n \times [1 - e^t(1-\theta)]^{-n} \\ &= \left[\frac{\theta}{1 - e^t(1-\theta)} \right]^n, \quad t < -\log(1-\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $M_T(t) = M_Y(t)$, $T(\mathbf{x}) \sim \text{NBinomial}(n, \theta)$.

(c) Assume that $E[h(T)] = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} h(t) \binom{n+t-1}{t} \theta^n (1-\theta)^t = 0,$$

or

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+t-1}{t} h(t) (1-\theta)^t = 0, \quad 0 < \theta < 1. \quad (6.11)$$

The equation (6.11) is a polynomial of $(1-\theta)$ and $(1-\theta)$ must be nonzero. The fact that it equals to zero implies that all its coefficients are zero, i.e.,

$$\binom{n+t-1}{t} h(t) = 0, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, \infty.$$

Hence, $h(T) = 0$, i.e. $\Pr\{h(T) = 0\} = 1$. Therefore, $T(\mathbf{x})$ is complete for θ .

(d) We have

$$E(T) = \left. \frac{dM_T(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{ne^t\theta^n(1-\theta)}{[1-e^t(1-\theta)]^{n+1}} \right|_{t=0} = n \cdot \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} = n \cdot \tau(\theta).$$

Denote $\bar{X} = T(\mathbf{x})/n$. It implies that $E(\bar{X}) = \tau(\theta)$, and thus \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator for $\tau(\theta)$. Because $T(\mathbf{x})$ is sufficient and complete, according to the Lehmann–Scheffé Theorem, \bar{X} is the unique UMVUE for $\tau(\theta) = (1-\theta)/\theta$.

\bar{X} is an efficient estimator for $\tau(\theta)$: To prove that \bar{X} is an efficient estimator for $\tau(\theta)$, we need to show that $\text{Var}(\bar{X})$ equals to the Cramér–Rao lower bound. Since

$$E(T^2) = \left. \frac{d^2M_T(t)}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{ne^t\theta^n(1-\theta)[1-ne^t(1-\theta)]}{[1-e^t(1-\theta)]^{n+2}} \right|_{t=0} = \frac{n(1-\theta)[1-n(1-\theta)]}{\theta^2},$$

we have

$$\text{Var}(T) = E(T^2) - [E(T)]^2 = \frac{n(1-\theta)}{\theta^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\text{Var}(T)}{n^2} = \frac{1-\theta}{n\theta^2}.$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = \log f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = n \log \theta + T \log(1-\theta).$$

Thus, the Fisher information is

$$I_n(\theta) = E \left[-\frac{d^2\ell(\theta; \mathbf{x})}{d\theta^2} \right] = E \left[\frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{T}{(1-\theta)^2} \right] = \frac{n}{\theta^2(1-\theta)}.$$

Since $\tau'(\theta) = -1/\theta^2$, the Cramér–Rao lower bound is

$$v(\theta) = \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_n(\theta)} = \frac{1-\theta}{n\theta^2}.$$

Since $\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = v(\theta)$, \bar{X} is an efficient estimator for $\tau(\theta) = (1-\theta)/\theta$.

(e) Let

$$I_{\{0\}}(X_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X_j = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From the pmf of X_j , we obtain that

$$E[I_{\{0\}}(X_j)] = 1 \times \Pr(X_j = 0) = f(0; \theta) = \theta.$$

Therefore, $I_{\{0\}}(X_j)$ is an unbiased estimator for θ .

(f) Let

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \quad \text{and} \quad T_{-j} = \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^n X_i = T - X_j.$$

From (b), we know that $T \sim \text{NBinomial}(n, \theta)$ and $T_{-j} \sim \text{NBinomial}(n-1, \theta)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X_j = 0 \mid T = t) &= \frac{\Pr(X_j = 0, T = t)}{\Pr(T = t)} \\ &= \frac{\Pr(X_j = 0, T - X_j = T_{-j} = t)}{\Pr(T = t)} \\ &= \frac{\Pr(X_j = 0) \cdot \Pr(T_{-j} = t)}{\Pr(T = t)} \quad [\text{since } X_j \text{ and } T_{-j} \text{ are independent}] \\ &= \frac{\theta \cdot \binom{n+t-2}{t} \theta^{n-1} (1-\theta)^t}{\binom{n+t-1}{t} \theta^n (1-\theta)^t} = \frac{n-1}{t+n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$g(T) = E[I_{\{0\}}(X_j) \mid T] = \Pr\{X_j = 0 \mid T\} = \frac{n-1}{T+n-1}.$$

Since $E[g(T)] = E\{E[I_{\{0\}}(X_j) \mid T]\} = E[I_{\{0\}}(X_j)] = \theta$, $g(T)$ is an unbiased estimator for θ . Because T is sufficient and complete, according to the Lehmann–Scheffé Theorem,

$$g(T) = \frac{n-1}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + n-1}$$

is the unique UMVUE for θ .

||