


# Discrete Mathematics for Computer Science

## Lecture 8: Number Theory

Dr. Ming Tang

Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
Southern University of Science and Technology (SUSTech)  
Email: tangm3@sustech.edu.cn

# Number Theory



Number Theory: divisibility and modular arithmetic,  
integer representations, primes, greatest common divisors, ...

# Representations of Integers

We may use **decimal** (base 10), **binary**, **octal**, **hexadecimal**, or other notations to represent integers.

Let  $b > 1$  be an integer. Then if  $n$  is a positive integer, it can be expressed uniquely in the form

$$n = a_k b^k + a_{k-1} b^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 b + a_0,$$

where  $k$  is nonnegative,  $a_k$ 's are nonnegative integers less than  $b$ . The representation of  $n$  is called the **base- $b$  expansion** of  $n$  and is denoted by  $(a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_1 a_0)_b$ .

# Base- $b$ Expansions

From binary, octal, hexadecimal expansions **to the decimal expansion**:

## Example

$$\diamond (101011111)_2 = 2^8 + 2^6 + 2^4 + 2^3 + 2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0 = 351$$

$$\diamond (7016)_8 = 7 \cdot 8^3 + 1 \cdot 8 + 6 = 3598$$

Conversions between binary and octal (or hexadecimal) expansions:

## Example

$$\diamond (101011111)_2 = (\underline{101}\overline{011111}) = (537)_8$$

$$\begin{aligned}\diamond (7016)_8 &= (\underline{111}\overline{000001}\overline{110})_2 \\ &= (\underline{111}\overline{00000}\underline{1110})_2 = (E0E)_{16}\end{aligned}$$

# Base-b Expansions

From decimal expansion to the base- $b$  expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}n &= a_k b^k + a_{k-1} b^{k-1} + a_{k-2} b^{k-2} + \cdots + a_2 b^2 + a_1 b + a_0 \\&= b(a_k b^{k-1} + a_{k-1} b^{k-2} + a_{k-2} b^{k-3} + \cdots + a_2 b + a_1) + a_0 \\&= b(b(a_k b^{k-2} + a_{k-1} b^{k-3} + a_{k-2} b^{k-4} + \cdots + a_2) + a_1) + a_0 \\&= \cdots\end{aligned}$$

- Divide  $n$  by  $b$  to obtain  $n = bq_0 + a_0$ , with  $0 \leq a_0 < b$
- The remainder  $a_0$  is the rightmost digit in the base- $b$  expansion of  $n$ . Then divide  $q_0$  by  $b$  to get  $q_0 = bq_1 + a_1$  with  $0 \leq a_1 < b$ ;
- $a_1$  is the second digit from the right; continue by successively dividing the quotients by  $b$  until the quotient is 0

# Base- $b$ Expansions

```
procedure base  $b$  expansion( $n, b$ : positive integers with  $b > 1$ )  
   $q := n$   
   $k := 0$   
  while ( $q \neq 0$ )  
     $a_k := q \bmod b$   
     $q := q \operatorname{div} b$   
     $k := k + 1$   
  return( $a_{k-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0$ ) { ( $a_{k-1} \dots a_1 a_0$ ) $_b$  is base  $b$  expansion of  $n$  }
```

# Base-b Expansions

**Example:** Find the hexadecimal expansion of  $(177130)_{10}$ .

**Solution:** First divide 177130 by 16 to obtain

$$177130 = 16 \cdot 11070 + 10.$$

Successively dividing quotients by 16 gives

$$11070 = 16 \cdot 691 + 14,$$

$$691 = 16 \cdot 43 + 3,$$

$$43 = 16 \cdot 2 + 11,$$

$$2 = 16 \cdot 0 + 2.$$

The successive remainders that we have found, 10, 14, 3, 11, 2. It follows that  $(177130)_{10} = (2B3EA)_{16}$ .

# Binary Addition of Integers

$$a = (a_{n-1}a_{n-2}\dots a_1a_0)_2, \quad b = (b_{n-1}b_{n-2}\dots b_1b_0)_2$$

```
procedure add(a, b: positive integers)
{the binary expansions of a and b are  $(a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_0)_2$  and  $(b_{n-1}, b_{n-2}, \dots, b_0)_2$ , respectively}
c := 0
for j := 0 to n - 1
    d :=  $\lfloor (a_j + b_j + c)/2 \rfloor$ 
    sj :=  $a_j + b_j + c - 2d$ 
    c := d
sn := c
return(s0, s1, ..., sn) {the binary expansion of the sum is  $(s_n s_{n-1}, \dots, s_0)_2$ }
```

$O(n)$  bit additions



# Algorithm: Binary Multiplication of Integers

$$a = (a_{n-1}a_{n-2}\dots a_1a_0)_2, b = (b_{n-1}b_{n-2}\dots b_1b_0)_2$$

$$ab = a(b_02^0 + b_12^1 + \dots + b_{n-1}2^{n-1}) = a(b_02^0) + a(b_12^1) + \dots + a(b_{n-1}2^{n-1})$$

```
procedure multiply(a, b: positive integers)
{the binary expansions of a and b are  $(a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_0)_2$  and  $(b_{n-1}, b_{n-2}, \dots, b_0)_2$ , respectively}
for j := 0 to n - 1
    if bj = 1 then cj = a shifted j places
    else cj := 0
{c0, c1, ..., cn-1 are the partial products}
p := 0
for j := 0 to n - 1
    p := add(p, cj)
return p {p is the value of ab}
```

$$\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ \times 101 \\ \hline 110 \\ 000 \\ 110 \\ \hline 11110 \end{array}$$

$O(n^2)$  shifts and  $O(n^2)$  bit additions



# Algorithm: Computing div and mod

Compute  $q = a \text{ div } d$  and  $r = a \text{ mod } d$ :

```
procedure division algorithm (a: integer, d: positive integer)
   $q := 0$ 
   $r := |a|$ 
  while  $r \geq d$ 
     $r := r - d$ 
     $q := q + 1$ 
  if  $a < 0$  and  $r > 0$  then
     $r := d - r$ 
     $q := -(q+1)$ 
  return ( $q, r$ ) {  $q = a \text{ div } d$  is the quotient,  $r = a \text{ mod } d$  is the remainder }
```

$O(q \log a)$  bit operations.

## Algorithm: Binary Modular Exponentiation

Compute  $b^n \bmod m$ : Let  $n = (a_{k-1} \dots a_1 a_0)_2$ .

$$b^n = b^{a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 \cdot 2 + a_0} = b^{a_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1}} \dots b^{a_1 \cdot 2} \cdot b^{a_0}$$

Recall that

$$ab \bmod m = ((a \bmod m)(b \bmod m)) \bmod m.$$

Successively finds  $b \bmod m$ ,  $b^2 \bmod m$ ,  $b^4 \bmod m$ , . . . ,  $b^{2^{k-1}} \bmod m$ , and multiplies together the terms  $b^{2^j}$ , where  $a_j = 1$ .

```
procedure modular_exponentiation( $b$ : integer,  $n = (a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \dots a_1a_0)_2$ ,  $m$ : positive integers)
 $x := 1$ 
 $power := b \bmod m$ 
for  $i := 0$  to  $k - 1$ 
    if  $a_i = 1$  then  $x := (x \cdot power) \bmod m$ 
     $power := (power \cdot power) \bmod m$ 
return  $x$  { $x$  equals  $b^n \bmod m$ }
```

# Algorithm: Binary Modular Exponentiation


Use the algorithm to find  $3^{644} \bmod 645$ :

```
procedure modular_exponentiation(b: integer,  $n = (a_{k-1}a_{k-2}\dots a_1a_0)_2$ , m: positive integers)
  x := 1
  power := b mod m
  for i := 0 to k - 1
    if  $a_i = 1$  then x := (x · power) mod m
    power := (power · power) mod m
  return x {x equals  $b^n \bmod m$ }
```

The algorithm initially sets  $x = 1$  and  $power = 3 \bmod 645 = 3$ . The binary expansion of 644 is  $(1010000100)_2$ . Here are the steps used:

$i = 0$ : Because  $a_0 = 0$ , we have  $x = 1$  and  $power = 3^2 \bmod 645 = 9 \bmod 645 = 9$ ;  
 $i = 1$ : Because  $a_1 = 0$ , we have  $x = 1$  and  $power = 9^2 \bmod 645 = 81 \bmod 645 = 81$ ;  
 $i = 2$ : Because  $a_2 = 1$ , we have  $x = 1 \cdot 81 \bmod 645 = 81$  and  $power = 81^2 \bmod 645 = 6561 \bmod 645 = 111$ ;  
 $i = 3$ : Because  $a_3 = 0$ , we have  $x = 81$  and  $power = 111^2 \bmod 645 = 12,321 \bmod 645 = 66$ ;  
 $i = 4$ : Because  $a_4 = 0$ , we have  $x = 81$  and  $power = 66^2 \bmod 645 = 4356 \bmod 645 = 486$ ;  
 $i = 5$ : Because  $a_5 = 0$ , we have  $x = 81$  and  $power = 486^2 \bmod 645 = 236,196 \bmod 645 = 126$ ;  
 $i = 6$ : Because  $a_6 = 0$ , we have  $x = 81$  and  $power = 126^2 \bmod 645 = 15,876 \bmod 645 = 396$ ;  
 $i = 7$ : Because  $a_7 = 1$ , we find that  $x = (81 \cdot 396) \bmod 645 = 471$  and  $power = 396^2 \bmod 645 = 156,816 \bmod 645 = 81$ ;  
 $i = 8$ : Because  $a_8 = 0$ , we have  $x = 471$  and  $power = 81^2 \bmod 645 = 6561 \bmod 645 = 111$ ;  
 $i = 9$ : Because  $a_9 = 1$ , we find that  $x = (471 \cdot 111) \bmod 645 = 36$ .

# This Lecture



Number Theory: divisibility and modular arithmetic, integer representations, **primes and greatest common divisors**, linear congruences,

...

# Primes

An integer  $p$  that is greater than 1 is called a **prime** if the **only** positive factors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ .

- Note: factor is a number that divides another number, leaving no remainder.

A positive integer that is greater than 1 and is **not prime** is called **composite**.

**Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic:** Every integer greater than 1 can be written **uniquely** as a **prime** or as **the product of two or more primes** where the prime factors are written in order of **nondecreasing** size.

# Primes and Composites

How to determine whether a number is a prime or a composite?

**Approach 1:** test if each number  $x < n$  divides  $n$ .

**Approach 2:** test if each **prime** number  $x < n$  divides  $n$ .

**Approach 3:** test if each **prime** number  $x \leq \sqrt{n}$  divides  $n$ .

# Primes and Composites

If  $n$  is composite, then  $n$  has a **prime divisor** less than or equal to  $\sqrt{n}$ .

**Proof:** If  $n$  is composite, then it has a positive integer factor  $a$  such that  $1 < a < n$  by definition. This means that  $n = ab$ , where  $b$  is an integer greater than 1.

Assume that  $a > \sqrt{n}$  and  $b > \sqrt{n}$ . Then,  $ab > n$ , which leads to a contradiction. So either  $a \leq \sqrt{n}$  or  $b \leq \sqrt{n}$ .

Thus,  $n$  has a divisor less than  $\sqrt{n}$ .

By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, this divisor is either prime, or is a product of primes. In either case,  $n$  has a prime divisor less than  $\sqrt{n}$ .



# Primes

There are infinitely many primes.

**Proof:** We will prove this theorem using a proof by contradiction. We assume that there are only finitely many primes,  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ . Let

$$Q = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1.$$

By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic,  $Q$  is prime or else it can be written as the product of two or more primes.

However, none of the primes  $p_j$  divides  $Q$ , for if  $p_j | Q$ , then  $p_j$  divides  $Q - p_1 p_2 \dots p_n = 1$ .

- Note: Let  $a, b, c$  be integers. If  $a | b$  and  $a | c$ , then  $a | (b + c)$ .

Hence, there is a prime not in the list  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ . This prime is either  $Q$ , if it is prime, or a prime factor of  $Q$ .

This is a contradiction because we assumed that we have listed all primes. Consequently, there are infinitely many primes.



# Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers, not both 0. The **largest** integer  $d$  such that  $d|a$  and  $d|b$  is called the **greatest common divisor** of  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted by  $\gcd(a, b)$ .

**Example:** What is the greatest common divisor of 24 and 36?

$$\gcd(24, 36) = 12.$$

Integers  $a$  and  $b$  are **relatively prime** if their greatest common divisor is 1.

**Example:** Are integers 17 and 22 relatively prime? Yes, because

$$\gcd(17, 22) = 1.$$

# Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

A systematic way to find the gcd is factorization.

Let  $a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n}$  and  $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_n^{b_n}$ . Then,

$$\gcd(a, b) = p^{\min(a_1, b_1)} p^{\min(a_2, b_2)} \dots p^{\min(a_n, b_n)}$$

# Least Common Multiple (LCM)

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be positive integers. The **least common multiple** of  $a$  and  $b$  is the **smallest positive integer** that is divisible by both  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted by  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ .

We can also use **factorization** to find the lcm.

Let  $a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n}$  and  $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_n^{b_n}$ . Then,

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = p^{\max(a_1, b_1)} p^{\max(a_2, b_2)} \dots p^{\max(a_n, b_n)}.$$

# Euclidean Algorithm

Computing the **greatest common divisor** of two integers directly from the prime factorizations can be **time consuming** since we need to find all factors of the two integers.

Luckily, we have an efficient algorithm, called **Euclidean algorithm**. This algorithm has been known since ancient times and named after the ancient Greek mathematician Euclid.

# Euclidean Algorithm

For two integers 287 and 91, we want to find  $\gcd(287, 91)$ .

$$\text{Step 1: } 287 = 91 \cdot 3 + 14$$

$$\text{Step 2: } 91 = 14 \cdot 6 + 7$$

$$\text{Step 3: } 14 = 7 \cdot 2 + 0$$

$$\gcd(287, 91) = \gcd(91, 14) = \gcd(14, 7) = 7$$

# The Euclidean Algorithm in Pseudocode

## ALGORITHM 1 The Euclidean Algorithm.

**procedure**  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ : positive integers)

$x := a$

$y := b$

**while**  $y \neq 0$

$r := x \bmod y$

$x := y$

$y := r$

**return**  $x$  {gcd( $a, b$ ) is  $x$ }

$$\text{Step 1: } 287 = 91 \cdot 3 + 14$$

$$\text{Step 2: } 91 = 14 \cdot 6 + 7$$

$$\text{Step 3: } 14 = 7 \cdot 2 + 0$$

The number of divisions required to find  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is  $O(\log b)$ , where  $a \geq b$ .

(Mathematical induction.)

# Validity of Euclidean Algorithm

**Lemma:** Let  $a = bq + r$ , where  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $q$  and  $r$  are integers. Then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$ .

**Proof:** We will show that the common divisors of  $a$  and  $b$  are the same as the common divisors of  $b$  and  $r$ , which leads to  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$ .

- So suppose that  $d$  divides both  $a$  and  $b$ . Then it follows that  $d$  also divides  $a - bq = r$ . Hence, any common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  is also a common divisor of  $b$  and  $r$ .
- Suppose that  $d$  divides both  $b$  and  $r$ . Then  $d$  also divides  $bq + r = a$ . Hence, any common divisor of  $b$  and  $r$  is also a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Since they have the same set of common divisors, they have the same greatest common divisor, i.e.,  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$ .



# Validity of Euclidean Algorithm

Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are positive integers with  $a \geq b$ . Let  $r_0 = a$  and  $r_1 = b$ .

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= r_1 q_1 + r_2 & 0 \leq r_2 < r_1, \\ r_1 &= r_2 q_2 + r_3 & 0 \leq r_3 < r_2, \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ r_{n-2} &= r_{n-1} q_{n-1} + r_n & 0 \leq r_n < r_{n-1}, \\ r_{n-1} &= r_n q_n. \end{aligned}$$

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(r_0, r_1) = \dots = \gcd(r_{n-1}, r_n) = \gcd(r_n, 0) = r_n$$

- Note:  $r_n | r_n$  and  $r_n | 0$

# GCD as Linear Combinations

$\gcd(a, b)$  can be expressed as a linear combination with integer coefficients of  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Example:**  $\gcd(6, 14) = 2$ , and  $2 = (-2) \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 14$ .

**Bezout's Theorem:** If  $a$  and  $b$  are positive integers, then there exist integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that

$$\gcd(a, b) = sa + tb.$$

This equation is called Bezout's identity.

# GCD as Linear Combinations

We can use **extended Euclidean algorithm** to find Bezout's identity.

**Example:** Express  $\gcd(252, 198) = 18$  as a linear combination of 252 and 198.

**Solution:** To show that  $\gcd(252, 198) = 18$ , the Euclidean algorithm uses these divisions:

$$252 = 1 \cdot 198 + 54$$

$$198 = 3 \cdot 54 + 36$$

$$54 = 1 \cdot 36 + 18$$

$$36 = 2 \cdot 18.$$

Substituting the above expressions:

$$18 = 54 - 1 \cdot 36 = 54 - 1 \cdot (198 - 3 \cdot 54) = 4 \cdot 54 - 1 \cdot 198.$$

$$18 = 4 \cdot (252 - 1 \cdot 198) - 1 \cdot 198 = 4 \cdot 252 - 5 \cdot 198.$$



# Corollaries of Bezout's Theorem

**Lemma:** If  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  are positive integers such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $a|bc$ , then  $a|c$ .

**Proof:** Since  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , by Bezout's Theorem there exist  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $1 = sa + tb$ . This yields  $c = sac + tbc$ .

Since  $a|bc$ , we have  $a|tbc$ . Then, since  $a|sac$ , we have  $a|(sac + tbc)$ , i.e.,  $a|c$ .

**Lemma:** If  $p$  is prime and  $p|a_1a_2\dots a_n$ , then  $p|a_i$  for some  $i$ .

# Uniqueness of Prime Factorization

**Theorem:** A prime factorization of a positive integer, where the primes are in nondecreasing order, is **unique**.

**Proof** (by contradiction): Suppose that the positive integer  $n$  can be written as a product of primes in two distinct ways:

$$n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_s \text{ and } n = q_1 q_2 \dots q_t$$

Remove all common primes from the factorizations to get

$$p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \dots p_{i_u} = q_{j_1} q_{j_2} \dots q_{j_v}$$

Thus,  $p_{i_1} \mid q_{j_1} q_{j_2} \dots q_{j_v}$ . It then follows that  $p_{i_1}$  divides  $q_{j_k}$  for some  $k$ , contradicting the assumption that  $p_{i_1}$  and  $q_{j_k}$  are distinct primes.

# Dividing Congruences by an Integer

**Theorem:** Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Let  $a, b, c$  be integers. If  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$  and  $\gcd(c, m) = 1$ , then  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .

**Example:**

- $14 \equiv 8 \pmod{6}$ , but  $7 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{6}$
- $14 \equiv 8 \pmod{3}$ , and  $7 \equiv 4 \pmod{3}$

**Proof:** Since  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ , we have  $m \mid ac - bc$ , i.e.,  $m \mid c(a - b)$ . Because  $\gcd(c, m) = 1$ , it follows that  $m \mid a - b$ .

# Mersenne Primes

Prime numbers of the form  $2^p - 1$ , where  $p$  is a prime.

- $2^2 - 1 = 3$ ,  $2^3 - 1 = 7$ ,  $2^5 - 1 = 31$ ,  $2^7 - 1 = 127$  are Mersenne primes.
- $2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \cdot 89$  is not a Mersenne prime.
- The largest known prime numbers are Mersenne primes.

## Largest Known Prime, 49th Known Mersenne Prime Found!

**January 7, 2016** — GIMPS celebrated its 20th anniversary with the discovery of the largest known prime number,  $2^{74,207,281}-1$ .

## 50th Known Mersenne Prime Found!

**January 3, 2018** — Persistence pays off. Jonathan Pace, a GIMPS volunteer for over 14 years, discovered the 50th known Mersenne prime,  $2^{77,232,917}-1$  on December 26, 2017. The prime number is calculated by multiplying together 77,232,917 twos, and then subtracting one. It weighs in at [23,249,425 digits](#), becoming the largest prime number known to mankind. It bests the [previous record prime](#), also discovered by GIMPS, by 910,807 digits.

## 51st Known Mersenne Prime Found!

**December 21, 2018** — The [Great Internet Mersenne Prime Search \(GIMPS\)](#) has discovered the largest known prime number,  $2^{82,589,933}-1$ , having [24,862,048 digits](#). A computer volunteered by Patrick Laroche from Ocala, Florida made the find on December 7, 2018. The new prime number, also known as [M82589933](#), is calculated by multiplying together 82,589,933 twos and then subtracting one. It is more than one and a half million digits larger than the [previous record prime number](#).



**SUSTech**

Southern University  
of Science and  
Technology


# Conjectures about Primes

**Goldbach's Conjecture** ( $1 + 1$ ): Every even integer  $n > 2$ , is the sum of two primes.

**Twin-prime Conjecture:** There are infinitely many twin primes (i.e., pairs of primes that differ by 2).



# This Lecture



Number Theory: divisibility and modular arithmetic, integer representations, primes, greatest common divisors, **linear congruences**



**SUSTech**

Southern University  
of Science and  
Technology

# Linear Congruences

A congruence of the form  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer,  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, and  $x$  is a variable, is called a linear congruence.

The solutions to a linear congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  are all integers  $x$  that satisfy the congruence.

Systems of linear congruences have been studied since ancient times.

今有物不知其数 三三数之剩二 五五数之剩三 七七数之剩二 问物几何

About 1500 years ago, the Chinese mathematician Sun-Tsu asked: “There are certain things whose number is unknown. When divided by 3, the remainder is 2; when divided by 5, the remainder is 3; when divided by 7, the remainder is 2. What will be the number of things?”

# Modular Inverse

An integer  $\bar{a}$  such that  $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  is said to be an **inverse** of  $a$  modulo  $m$ .

One method of solving linear congruences makes use of an inverse  $a$  modulo  $m$  (if it exists):

From  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , it follows that  $\bar{a}ax \equiv \bar{a}b \pmod{m}$ .

Note that  $\bar{a}ax \pmod{m} = ((\bar{a}a \pmod{m})(x \pmod{m})) \pmod{m} = x \pmod{m}$ .

Thus,  $x \pmod{m} = \bar{a}ax \pmod{m} = \bar{a}b \pmod{m}$ , which implies that

$$x \equiv \bar{a}b \pmod{m}.$$

When does an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  exist?

## Inverse of $a$ modulo $m$

**Theorem:** If  $a$  and  $m$  are relatively prime integers and  $m > 1$ , then an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  exists. The inverse is unique modulo  $m$ . That is,

- there is a unique positive integer  $\bar{a}$  less than  $m$  that is an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  and
- every other inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$  is congruent to  $\bar{a}$  modulo  $m$ .)

**Proof:** Since  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ , there are integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that

$$sa + tm = 1.$$

Hence  $sa + tm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Since  $tm \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , it follows that  $sa \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . This means that  $s$  is an inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$ .

### How to prove the uniqueness of the inverse?

Suppose that  $b$  and  $c$  are both inverses of  $a$  modulo  $m$ . Then  $ba \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  and  $ca \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Hence,  $ba \equiv ca \pmod{m}$ .

Because  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$  it follows that  $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$ .

# How to find inverses?

Using **extended Euclidean algorithm**:

**Example:** Find an inverse of 101 modulo 4620. That is, find  $\bar{a}$  such that  $\bar{a} \cdot 101 \equiv 1 \pmod{4620}$ .

|                            |   |
|----------------------------|---|
|                            | $1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$   |
| $4620 = 45 \cdot 101 + 75$ | $1 = 3 - 1 \cdot (23 - 7 \cdot 3) = -1 \cdot 23 + 8 \cdot 3$            |
| $101 = 1 \cdot 75 + 26$    | $1 = -1 \cdot 23 + 8 \cdot (26 - 1 \cdot 23) = 8 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot 23$ |
| $75 = 2 \cdot 26 + 23$     | $1 = 8 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot (75 - 2 \cdot 26) = 26 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot 75$ |
| $26 = 1 \cdot 23 + 3$      | $1 = 26 \cdot (101 - 1 \cdot 75) - 9 \cdot 75$                          |
| $23 = 7 \cdot 3 + 2$       | $\quad = 26 \cdot 101 - 35 \cdot 75$                                    |
| $3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$        | $1 = 26 \cdot 101 - 35 \cdot (4620 - 45 \cdot 101)$                     |
| $2 = 2 \cdot 1$            | $\quad = -35 \cdot 4620 + 1601 \cdot 101$                               |

That  $-35 \cdot 4620 + 1601 \cdot 101 = 1$  tells us that  $-35$  and  $1601$  are Bezout coefficients of 4620 and 101. We have

$$1 \pmod{4620} = 1601 \cdot 101 \pmod{4620}$$



**SUSTech**

Southern University  
of Science and  
Technology

Thus, 1601 is an inverse of 101 modulo 4620.

# Using Inverses to Solve Congruences

Solve the congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  by multiplying both sides by  $\bar{a}$ .

**Recall:** From  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , it follows that  $\bar{a}ax \equiv \bar{a}b \pmod{m}$ .

Note that  $\bar{a}ax \pmod{m} = ((\bar{a}a \pmod{m})(x \pmod{m})) \pmod{m} = x \pmod{m}$ .

Thus,  $x \pmod{m} = \bar{a}ax \pmod{m} = \bar{a}b \pmod{m}$ , which implies that

$$x \equiv \bar{a}b \pmod{m}.$$

**Example:** What are the solutions of the congruence  $3x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ ?

**Solution:** We found that  $-2$  is an inverse of  $3$  modulo  $7$ . Multiply both sides of the congruence by  $-2$ . Since  $-8 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ , we have  $x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ , namely,  $6, 13, 20, \dots$  and  $-1, -8, \dots$ .

# Number of Solutions to Congruences

The previous approach (based on the inverse of  $a$  modulo  $m$ ) works for only the scenario with  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ .

**Theorem\*:** Let  $\gcd(a, m) = d$ . Let  $m' = m/d$  and  $a' = a/d$ . The congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has solutions **if and only if**  $d \mid b$ .

- If  $d \mid b$ , then there are exactly  $d$  solutions, where by “solution” we mean a congruence class mod  $m$
- If  $x_0$  is a solution, then the other solutions are given by  $x_0 + m', x_0 + 2m', \dots, x_0 + (d - 1)m'$ .

## Proof:

“only if”: Let  $x_0$  be a solution, then  $ax_0 - b = km$ . Thus,  $ax_0 - km = b$ . Since  $d \mid ax_0 - km$ , we must have  $d \mid b$ .

“if”: Suppose that  $d \mid b$ . Let  $b = kd$ . Since  $\gcd(a, m) = d$ , there exist integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $d = as + mt$ . Multiplying both sides by  $k$ .

Then,  $b = ask + mtk$ . Let  $x_0 = sk$ . Then  $ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .



**SUSTech**

Southern University  
of Science and  
Technology

# Number of Solutions to Congruences

**Theorem\*:** Let  $\gcd(a, m) = d$ . Let  $m' = m/d$  and  $a' = a/d$ . The congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has solutions **if and only if**  $d \mid b$ .

- If  $d \mid b$ , then there are exactly  $d$  “solutions”, where by “solution” we mean a congruence class mod  $m$ .
- If  $x_0$  is a solution, then the other solutions are given by  $x_0 + m', x_0 + 2m', \dots, x_0 + (d - 1)m'$ .

## Proof:

“The number of solutions is  $d$ ”: Consider two solutions  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ .  $ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $ax_1 \equiv b \pmod{m}$  imply that  $m \mid a(x_1 - x_0)$  and  $m' \mid a'(x_1 - x_0)$ . This implies further that  $x_1 = x_0 + km'$ .

To finish the proof, observe that as  $k$  runs through the values  $0, 1, \dots, d - 1$  (the residues mod  $d$ ), the congruence classes  $[x_0 + (m/d)k]_m$  run through all the solutions.



# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Systems of linear congruences have been studied since ancient times.

今有物不知其数 三三数之剩二 五五数之剩三 七七数之剩二 问物几何

About 1500 years ago, the Chinese mathematician Sun-Tsu asked: “There are certain things whose number is unknown. When divided by 3, the remainder is 2; when divided by 5, the remainder is 3; when divided by 7, the remainder is 2. What will be the number of things?”

- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$
- $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$
- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Theorem** (The Chinese Remainder Theorem): Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers greater than 1 and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  arbitrary integers. Then, the system

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

...

$$x \equiv a_n \pmod{m_n}$$

has a unique solution modulo  $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_n$ .

(That is, there is a solution  $x$  with  $0 \leq x < m$ , and all other solutions are congruent modulo  $m$  to this solution.)

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

**Proof:** To show such a solution exists: Let  $M_k = m/m_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_n$ . Thus,  $M_k = m_1 \dots m_{k-1} m_{k+1} \dots m_n$ .

Since  $\gcd(m_k, M_k) = 1$ , there is an integer  $y_k$ , an inverse of  $M_k$  modulo  $m_k$ , such that  $M_k y_k \equiv 1 \pmod{m_k}$ . Let

$$x = a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \dots + a_n M_n y_n.$$

It is checked that  $x$  is a solution to the  $n$  congruences:

$$x \pmod{m_k} = (a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \dots + a_n M_n y_n) \pmod{m_k}$$

Since  $M_k = m/m_k$ , we have  $x \pmod{m_k} = a_k M_k y_k \pmod{m_k}$ . Since  $M_k y_k \equiv 1 \pmod{m_k}$ , we have  $a_k M_k y_k \pmod{m_k} = a_k \pmod{m_k}$ . Thus,

$$x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}.$$

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

How to prove the **uniqueness** of the solution modulo  $m$ ?

**Proof:** Suppose that  $x$  and  $x'$  are both solutions to all the congruences. As  $x$  and  $x'$  give the same remainder, when divided by  $m_k$ , their difference  $x - x'$  is a multiple of each  $m_k$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

As  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers, their product  $m$  divides  $x - x'$ , and thus  $x$  and  $x'$  are congruent modulo  $m$ , i.e.,  $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$ .

This implies that given a solution  $x$  with  $0 \leq x < m$ , all other solutions are congruent modulo  $m$  to this solution.

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem: Example

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$

- ① Let  $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$ ,  $M_1 = m/3 = 35$ ,  $M_2 = m/5 = 21$ , and  $M_3 = m/7 = 15$ .
- ② Compute the inverse of  $M_k$  modulo  $m_k$ :
  - ▶  $35 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$   $y_1 = 2$
  - ▶  $21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$   $y_2 = 1$
  - ▶  $15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$   $y_3 = 1$
- ③ Compute a solution  $x$ :
$$x = 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 15 \cdot 1 \equiv 233 \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$$
- ④ The solutions are all integers  $x$  that satisfy  $x \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$ .



**SUSTech**

Southern University  
of Science and  
Technology

## Back Substitution

We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  by back substitution.

**Example:**

$$(1) \ x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$(2) \ x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$$

$$(3) \ x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$$

According to (1),  $x = 5t + 1$ , where  $t$  is an integer.

Substituting this expression into (2), we have  $5t + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ , which means that  $t \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . Thus,  $t = 6u + 5$ , where  $u$  is an integer.

Substituting  $x = 5t + 1$  and  $t = 6u + 5$  into (3), we have  $30u + 26 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ , which implies that  $u \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ . Thus,  $u = 7v + 6$ , where  $v$  is an integer.

Thus, we must have  $x = 210v + 206$ . Translating this back into a congruence,

$$x \equiv 206 \pmod{210}.$$

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

What if  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  are positive integers greater than 1, but they are **not** pairwise relatively prime?

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

...

$$x \equiv a_n \pmod{m_n}$$

**Translate** these congruence into a set of congruence that together are equivalent to the given congruence:

For  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}$ , suppose  $m_k$  can be written as  $m_k = b_k^1 b_k^2 \cdot b_k^r$ , where  $b_k^1, b_k^2, \dots, b_k^r$  are all primes. Then,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}$  is equivalent to the following set of congruence:

$$x \equiv a_k \pmod{b_k^1}$$

$$x \equiv a_k \pmod{b_k^2}$$

...

$$x \equiv a_k \pmod{b_k^r}$$


# Modular Arithmetic in CS

Modular arithmetic and congruencies are used in CS:

- Pseudorandom number generators
- Hash functions
- Cryptography



# Next Lecture



**SUSTech**

Southern University  
of Science and  
Technology