

Thm. A PID is a UFD.

Proof: Let D be a PID, then each irreducible of D is a prime.

We only need to prove that each non-invertible elt of D is a product of finitely many irreducibles. (factor chain's uniqueness is guaranteed by 'prime').

Suppose a non-inv. elt. a is not a prod. of finitely many irr.

Then $a = a_1 b_1$ s.t. a_1 is not irr. (a_1, b_1 both non-inv.).

$a_1 = a_2 b_2$ a_2 is not irr.

$a_2 = a_3 b_3$ a_3 is not irr.

\vdots

\vdots

$a_i = a_{i+1} b_{i+1}$ a_{i+1} is not irr.

\vdots

Hence $(a) < (a_1) < (a_2) < \dots < (a_i) < (a_{i+1}) < \dots$

Let $I = (a) \cup (a_1) \cup \dots \cup (a_i) \cup \dots$

Then I is an ideal of D . Since D is a PID, $I = (b)$ and $b \in (a_i)$ for some i .

Thus $I = (b) \leq (a_i) < (a_{i+1}) < I$. a contradiction.

So each non-inv. elt of D is a prod. of finitely many irr.

Then D is a UFD. \square

Upshot: UFD: ① irreducible \equiv prime.

② each elt is a prod of finitely many irr.

Euclidean Domain

Recall: $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ is not a UFD. as $6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{5}) \cdot (1 - \sqrt{5})$.

How about $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{11}]$?

Def: Let D be an integral domain. A map

$$v: D \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$$

is called a valuation if for any elts $x, y \in D$ with $y \neq 0$,

there exist $q, r \in D$ s.t. $x = qy + r$, with $r = 0$ or $v(r) < v(y)$.

(can apply Euclidean algorithm in D).

Def. (ED). An ID is called a Euclidean domain if it has a valuation

Ex. $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is a ED, with the degree being the valuation.

② \mathbb{Z} is a ED.

Thm. A ED is a PID and a UFD.

Proof: Let D be a ED and let I be an ideal. ($I = \{0\}$ trivial. Let $I \neq \{0\}$).

Take $\underbrace{b \in I}_{\text{non-zero}}$ s.t. $v(b)$ is the smallest. Then $I = (b)$.

In fact, let $a \in I$. Then $a, b \in I$ and there exist $q, r \in D$ s.t. $a = qb + r$.

where $r=0$ or $v(r) < v(b)$. So $r=0$ and $a = qb$, i.e. $a \in (b)$. So $(b) = I$.

And D is a PID, and a UFD.

□.

Let $J = \mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a+bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Claim: J is a ED.

Proof of claim: Let $v(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2$. we need to prove v is a valuation.

Let $x, y \in D$ with $y \neq 0$. Write $\frac{x}{y} = t + si$ with $t, s \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $|t - q_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $|s - q_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Then, letting $q = q_1 + q_2 i$, we have

$$v\left(\frac{x}{y} - q\right) = v((t - q_1) + (s - q_2)i) = (t - q_1)^2 + (s - q_2)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

So $r := x - qy$ is s.t.

$$v(r) = v(x - qy) = v\left(y\left(\frac{x}{y} - q\right)\right) \leq v(y) v\left(\frac{x}{y} - q\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} v(y) < v(y).$$

i.e. $x = qy + r$ with $v(r) < v(y)$, and v is indeed a valuation.

So J is a ED, a PID and a UFD.

□.

Upshot: $\text{ED} \rightarrow \text{PID} \rightarrow \text{UFD}$.

Polynomial rings over UFD

Let R be a UFD, and $f(x) \in R[x]$.

Def. ① the greatest common divisor of the coefficients of $f(x)$ is called the capacity of $f(x)$, denoted by $c(f)$.

Eg. $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$. $c(f) = \gcd(a_0, \dots, a_n)$.

② If $c(f) = 1$, then $f(x)$ is called primitive.

Lemma (Gauss Lemma). Let $f, g \in R[x]$, then $c(fg) = c(f) c(g)$.

If f, g primitive, so is fg .

Proof of lemma: Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$. $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_mx^m$.

Let $h(x) = f(x)g(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{m+n}x^{m+n}$.

Then $c_{i+j} = a_ib_j + a_{i-1}b_{j+1} + \dots + a_0b_{i+j} + a_{i+1}b_{j-1} + \dots + a_{i+j}b_0$.

Let p be a prime s.t. $c(f)_p = p^k$, $c(g)_p = p^l$.

Then $p^{k+l} \mid c(h)$. So $c(fg) \geq c(f) c(g)$.

The following argument shows $c(fg) = c(f) c(g)$.

Assume f, g are prime. Suppose $p \mid c(fg)$, then there exist $i (0 \leq i \leq n)$ and $j (0 \leq j \leq m)$ s.t.

• p divides a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1} but $p \nmid a_i$.

• p divides b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{j-1} but $p \nmid b_j$.

Then $c_{i+j} = a_ib_j + a_{i-1}b_{j+1} + \dots + a_0b_{i+j} + a_{i+1}b_{j-1} + \dots + a_{i+j}b_0$ (for this i and j).

is such that $p \nmid a_ib_j$ but $p \mid (a_{i-1}b_{j+1} + \dots)$. So $p \nmid c_{i+j}$.

which contradicts the assumption that $p \mid c(fg)$. So fg is primitive. \square .

Lemma. R : UFD.

Let K be the fraction field of R , $f(x) \in R[x]$, $\deg f(x) \geq 1$.

Then $f(x) \in R[x]$ is irreducible iff $f(x)$ is irreducible in $K[x]$.

Proof of lemma: Let $f(x)$ be irr. in $R[x]$.

Suppose $f(x)$ is reducible in $K[x]$. i.e. $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ with $g, h \in K[x]$.

Then, there exist $r, s \in R$ such that $rg(x), sh(x) \in R[x]$.

$(g(x) = \frac{a_0}{b_0} + \frac{a_1}{b_1}x + \dots + \frac{a_n}{b_n}x^n, a_i, b_i \in R, \text{ Let } r = b_0b_1 \dots b_n. \text{ then } rg \in R[x]).$

So $rsf(x) = r g(x) \cdot s h(x)$ in $R[x]$.

Let $a = c(r g(x))$, $b = c(s h(x))$. Then $rg(x) = a g_1(x)$, $sh(x) = b h_1(x)$.

where $g_1(x), h_1(x)$ primitive. Thus

$$rsf(x) = r g(x) \cdot s h(x) = a g_1(x) \cdot b h_1(x) = ab g_1(x) h_1(x).$$

Since $f(x)$ is irreducible in $R[x]$, we have

$$c(rs \cdot f(x)) = c(ab \cdot g_1(x) h_1(x)) = ab.$$

Thus, $rs = abu$ with u invertible. (f primitive?).

So $f(x) = (u g_1(x)) (h_1(x))$ in $R[x]$. a contradiction.

So $f(x)$ is irreducible in $K[x]$. \square

Eg. $\mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$.

$$x^2 - 2 \rightarrow x^2 - 2 \rightarrow (x - \sqrt{2})(x + \sqrt{2}).$$

Thm. If R is a UFD, then so is $R[x]$.

Proof: Let $f \in R[x]$ of $\deg n$. Then

• f is a prod. of finitely many polys of $\deg \geq 1$.

Thus, we only need to prove $\text{irr.} \equiv \text{prime}$.

Suppose f is irr. and $f | gh$, Then $f(x) g_1(x) = g(x) h(x)$.

If $\deg f = 0$, then $f(x) = a \mid c(g) c(h)$.

As R is a UFD, $a \mid g(x)$ or $a \mid h(x)$, i.e. $f(x)$ is a prime T.B.C.