Assignment 3 Solutions

Hesipeng

June 8, 2025

Exercise 1 (Decision Tree)

1. Initial Entropy of "Appealing"

Given the dataset with 10 samples (5 "Yes" and 5 "No"), the initial entropy is calculated as:

$$H(S) = -\sum_{i=1}^{c} p_i \log_2 p_i = -\left(\frac{5}{10} \log_2 \frac{5}{10} + \frac{5}{10} \log_2 \frac{5}{10}\right) = 1$$

2. Information Gain for "Taste"

The information gain when splitting on "Taste" is:

Table 1: Entropy calculation for each taste value

Taste	Count	Yes/No	Entropy
Salty	3	0/3	0
Sweet	4	3/1	1
Sour	3	3/0	0

$$H(S|Taste) = \frac{3}{10} \times 0 + \frac{4}{10} \times 1 + \frac{3}{10} \times 0 = 0.4$$

$$IG(Taste) = H(S) - H(S|Taste) = 1 - 0.4 = 0.6$$

3. Decision Tree Structure

The decision tree can be represented as:

Root (Taste)
Salty → No
Sour → Yes
Sweet
Small → Yes
Large → No

Exercise 2 (k-Nearest-Neighbors)

1. Expected MSE for 1-NN

For 1-NN, the training error is always 0:

$$MSE_{train} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = 0$$

2. Expected MSE for Zero Predictor

For the zero predictor:

$$MSE_{train} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - 0)^2 = Var(y) = 4$$

3. Expected LOO-CV MSE for Algorithm NN

For each left-out point, the prediction comes from its nearest neighbor (which is independent since y's are independent):

$$MSE_{LOO} = \frac{1}{10000} \sum_{k=1}^{10000} E[(y_k - y_j)^2] = E[y_k^2] + E[y_j^2] = 4 + 4 = 8$$

4. Expected LOO-CV MSE for Algorithm Zero

Same as training MSE since predictions don't depend on the data:

$$MSE_{LOO} = 4$$

Exercise 3 (Naive Bayes)

Given the query point (x = 1, y = 0, z = 0), we calculate: Total samples: 6

$$P(U=0) = \frac{3}{6} = 0.5$$
 $P(U=1) = \frac{3}{6} = 0.5$

For U = 0 (3 samples):

$$P(x=1|U=0) = \frac{2}{3}$$
 $P(y=0|U=0) = \frac{1}{3}$ $P(z=0|U=0) = \frac{2}{3}$

For U = 1 (3 samples):

$$P(x=1|U=1) = \frac{1}{3}$$
 $P(y=0|U=1) = \frac{1}{3}$ $P(z=0|U=1) = \frac{1}{3}$

$$\begin{split} P(U=0|x=1,y=0,z=0) &\propto P(U=0) \cdot P(x=1|U=0) \cdot P(y=0|U=0) \cdot P(z=0|U=0) \\ &= 0.5 \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{2}{3} \\ &= \frac{0.5 \times 4}{27} = \frac{2}{27} \end{split}$$

$$P(U = 1|x = 1, y = 0, z = 0) \propto P(U = 1) \cdot P(x = 1|U = 1) \cdot P(y = 0|U = 1) \cdot P(z = 0|U = 1)$$

$$= 0.5 \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3}$$

$$= \frac{0.5 \times 1}{27} = \frac{1}{54}$$

Normalization factor =
$$\frac{2}{27} + \frac{1}{54} = \frac{5}{54}$$

$$P(U = 0|x = 1, y = 0, z = 0) = \frac{\frac{2}{27}}{\frac{5}{54}} = \frac{4}{5}$$

$$P(U = 1|x = 1, y = 0, z = 0) = \frac{\frac{1}{54}}{\frac{5}{54}} = \frac{1}{5}$$

The predicted probability is:

$$P(U = 0|x = 1, y = 0, z = 0) = \boxed{\frac{4}{5}}$$

Exercise 4 (SVM)

1. Support Vectors when C=0

When C = 0, all points become support vectors:

Number of SVs = 7 (all data points)

2. Support Vectors when $C \rightarrow \infty$

When $C \to \infty$, only the boundary points are support vectors:

Number of SVs = 2 (points at the decision boundary)

3. Kernel Properties

Proof of Symmetry. For any kernel K and vectors $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j$:

$$K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \langle \phi(\mathbf{x}_i), \phi(\mathbf{x}_j) \rangle = \langle \phi(\mathbf{x}_j), \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \rangle = K(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_i)$$

Proof of PSD. For any vector \mathbf{v} :

$$\mathbf{v}^T A \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i,j} v_i K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) v_j$$
$$= \left\| \sum_i v_i \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \right\|^2 \ge 0$$

Exercise 5 (Error bound for 1-nearest-neighbor method)

We aim to prove the error bound for 1-nearest-neighbor classification:

$$E_{S \sim P^n} \mathcal{E}(f^{1NN}) \le 2\mathcal{E}(f^*) + c E_{S \sim P^n} E_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_X} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})}\|$$

Proof

Proof. We decompose the error of the 1-NN classifier as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}(f^{1NN}) = \mathbf{E}_{(\mathbf{X},Y)\sim P} \mathbf{1}_{Y \neq f^{1NN}(\mathbf{X})}$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}\sim p_X} \mathbf{E}_{Y|\mathbf{X}} [\mathbf{1}_{Y \neq y_{\pi_S(\mathbf{X})}}]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}\sim p_Y} [\eta(\mathbf{x})(1 - y_{\pi_S(\mathbf{X})}) + (1 - \eta(\mathbf{x}))y_{\pi_S(\mathbf{X})}]$$

The Bayes error is:

$$\mathcal{E}(f^*) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X} \sim p_X}[\min(\eta(\mathbf{x}), 1 - \eta(\mathbf{x}))]$$

Now consider the excess error:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}_{(\mathbf{X},Y)\sim P}[\mathbf{1}_{Y\neq y_{\pi_{S}(\mathbf{x})}} - \mathbf{1}_{Y\neq f^{*}(\mathbf{x})}] \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}\sim p_{X}}[\eta(\mathbf{x})(1 - 2y_{\pi_{S}(\mathbf{x})}) + (1 - \eta(\mathbf{x}))(2y_{\pi_{S}(\mathbf{x})} - 1)]\mathbf{1}_{f^{*}(\mathbf{x})\neq y_{\pi_{S}(\mathbf{x})}} \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}\sim p_{X}}[|2\eta(\mathbf{x}) - 1|\mathbf{1}_{f^{*}(\mathbf{x})\neq y_{\pi_{S}(\mathbf{x})}}] \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lipschitz condition $|\eta(\mathbf{x}) - \eta(\mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})})| \le c ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})}||$, we have: When $f^*(\mathbf{x}) \ne y_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})}$:

$$|2\eta(\mathbf{x}) - 1| \le |2\eta(\mathbf{x}) - 2\eta(\mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})})| + |2\eta(\mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})}) - 1|$$

$$\le 2c||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})}|| + 1_{f^*(\mathbf{x}) \ne f^*(\mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})})}$$

The second term contributes exactly $\mathcal{E}(f^*)$ when $f^*(\mathbf{x}) \neq f^*(\mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})})$. Therefore:

$$E_{S \sim P^n} \mathcal{E}(f^{1NN}) \leq \mathcal{E}(f^*) + E_{S \sim P^n} E_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_X} [|2\eta(\mathbf{x}) - 1| 1_{f^*(\mathbf{x}) \neq y_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})}}]
\leq \mathcal{E}(f^*) + E_{S \sim P^n} E_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_X} [2c \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})}\| + 1_{f^*(\mathbf{x}) \neq f^*(\mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})})}]
\leq 2\mathcal{E}(f^*) + 2c E_{S \sim P^n} E_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_X} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\pi_S(\mathbf{x})}\|$$

This completes the proof.

Interpretation

The bound shows that the 1-NN error is bounded by:

- Twice the Bayes error (the irreducible error)
- A term proportional to the expected nearest neighbor distance

The constant c represents the smoothness of the conditional probability function $\eta(\mathbf{x})$. Smoother problems (smaller c) will have better 1-NN performance.