

**Southern University of Science and Technology**  
**Department of Statistics and Data Science**

**MA204: Mathematical Statistics**  
**Date: 18 June 2025**

**Final Examination (Paper A)**  
**Time: 7:00 p.m. – 10:00 p.m.**

**(I) Acronyms:**

CI	confidence interval
iid	independently and identically distributed
$I(\cdot)$	indicator function
LRT	likelihood ratio test
mgf	moment generating function
MLE	maximum likelihood estimator
MPT	most powerful test
pdf/pmf	probability density/mass function
$\mathbb{R}$	real line, $(-\infty, \infty)$
r.v.	random variable
UMVUE	uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator
$z_\alpha, t(\alpha, \nu), \chi^2(\alpha, \nu)$	upper $\alpha$ -th quantile of $N(0, 1)$ , $t(0, 1, \nu)$ and $\chi^2(\nu)$
$f(\alpha, \nu_1, \nu_2)$	upper $\alpha$ -th quantile of $F(\nu_1, \nu_2)$

**(II) Commonly used pdfs or pmfs:**

- Gamma/Exponential distribution. The pdf of  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  is

$$\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}, \quad x > 0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0.$$

Its expectation and variance are  $E(X) = \alpha/\beta$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = \alpha/\beta^2$ , respectively. In particular,  $\text{Exponential}(\beta) = \text{Gamma}(1, \beta)$ .

- Inverse gamma distribution. The pdf of  $Y \sim \text{IGamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  is

$$\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\beta/y}, \quad y > 0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0.$$

- Laplace distribution. The pdf of  $X \sim \text{Laplace}(\mu, \sigma)$  is

$$\frac{1}{2\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - \mu|}{\sigma}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0.$$

- Geometric distribution. The pmf of  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(\theta)$  is

$$\Pr(X = x) = \theta(1 - \theta)^{x-1}, \quad x = 1, 2, \dots, \infty, \quad \theta \in (0, 1).$$

Its expectation and variance are  $E(X) = 1/\theta$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = (1 - \theta)/\theta^2$ , respectively.

**Answer ALL 6 questions. Marks are shown in square brackets**

1. [Total: 40 ms]. Directly give your answers to the following questions:
  - 1.1 Let two discrete r.v.'s  $X, Y \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$  with  $p = 0.5$  and define  $Z = X + Y - 2XY$ . The support of  $Z$  is \_\_\_\_\_ and the value of  $\Pr(Z = 1)$  is \_\_\_\_\_. [2 ms]
  - 1.2 Let  $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2$ . Define  $Y \triangleq a_1X_1 + a_2X_2$ , where  $a_1, a_2$  are two constants, then the distribution of  $Y$  is \_\_\_\_\_. [2 ms]
  - 1.3 (a) If  $X$  is a discrete r.v., what is the definition of the median of  $X$ , denoted by  $\text{med}(X)$ ? [1 mk]
   
(b) The pmf of  $X$  is defined by  $p_i = \Pr(X = i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ , where  $p_1 = 0.20$ ,  $p_2 = 0.15$ ,  $p_3 = 0.10$ ,  $p_4 = 0.05$ ,  $p_5 = 0.10$  and  $p_6 = 0.40$ . The median  $\text{med}(X)$  of  $X$  is \_\_\_\_\_. [2 ms]
  - 1.4 Let  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\beta)$ , then  $\Pr(X > t + s | X > s) = \_\_\_\_\_\_$ , where  $t$  and  $s$  are two positive real numbers. [2 ms]
  - 1.5 Given two conditional densities  $f_{(X|Y)}(x|y)$  and  $f_{(Y|X)}(y|x)$ , if the joint support is a product space, i.e.,  $\mathcal{S}_{(X,Y)} = \mathcal{S}_X \times \mathcal{S}_Y$ , then the sampling-wise formula for the marginal density  $f_X(x)$  is \_\_\_\_\_. [2 ms]
  - 1.6 (a) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U(0, \theta)$  (i.e., uniform distribution on  $(0, 1)$ ), where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Does the MLE of  $\theta$  exist? [1 mk]
   
(b) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U(0, \theta]$ , where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Then a sufficient statistic of  $\theta$  is \_\_\_\_\_ and the MLE of  $\theta$  is \_\_\_\_\_. [2 ms]
  - 1.7 Assume that we want to find the unique MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  of the concave log-likelihood function  $\ell(\theta)$  for  $\theta \in \Theta$ . What is Newton's method to iteratively calculate the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$ ? [2 ms]

- 1.8** Let  $X|(Y = y) \sim \text{Poisson}(y)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  with known  $\alpha (> 0)$  and  $\beta (> 0)$ . Then  $E(X) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ . [2 ms]
- 1.9** State the Lehmann–Scheffé theorem. [2 ms]
- 1.10** State the definition of a pivotal quantity. [2 ms]
- 1.11** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the critical region of a test for testing  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  against  $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$ . What are the definitions of the Type II error function  $\beta(\theta)$  and the power function  $p(\theta)$ ? [2 ms]
- 1.12** How to compare two given tests  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ? In other words, under what kind of conditions, we say that  $T_1$  is better than  $T_2$ . [2 ms]
- 1.13** State the Neyman–Pearson Lemma. [2 ms]
- 1.14** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma_0^2)$  with unknown  $\mu$  and known  $\sigma_0^2$ . Suppose that we want to test the null hypothesis  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  against  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ .
- The pivotal quantity  $Z = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  and the test statistic  $Z_0 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .
  - Under  $H_0$ , the distribution of  $Z_0$  is  $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .
  - The critical region of size  $\alpha$  for the test is  $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .
  - The corresponding  $p$ -value is  $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ . [4 ms]
- 1.15** Let 3.3,  $-0.3$ ,  $-0.6$ ,  $-0.9$  be a random sample from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
- If  $\sigma = 3$ , The 90% CI of  $\mu$ . [2 ms]
  - What would be the CI of  $\mu$  if  $\sigma$  were unknown? [2 ms]
- [Note:  $z_{0.05} = 1.645$ ,  $t(0.05, 3) = 2.3534$ ]
- 1.16** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Suppose that  $n = 12$ ,  $\bar{x} = 66.3$  and  $s = 8.4$ . Construct a 95% CI for  $\mu$ , where  $t(0.025, 11) = 2.201$ . [2 ms]
- 1.17** Given the null hypothesis  $H_0$ , the test statistic  $T$  and its observed value  $t_{\text{obs}}$ , what is the definition of the  $p$ -value for testing  $H_0$ ? [2 ms]
- 2.** [Total: 10 ms]. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_{n_1} \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_2} \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ , where  $n_1 = 18$ ,  $\bar{x} = 13.5$ ,  $s_1 = 5$ ;  $n_2 = 12$ ,  $\bar{y} = 9.5$ ,  $s_2 = 6$ ; and  $f(0.025, 11, 17) = 2.8696$ ,  $f(0.025, 17, 11) = 3.2816$ .
- 2.1** Show that  $f(1 - \alpha/2, \nu_1, \nu_2) = f^{-1}(\alpha/2, \nu_2, \nu_1)$ . [6 ms]
- 2.2** Construct and compute a 95% CI for  $\sigma_1/\sigma_2$ . [4 ms]

3. [Total: 18 ms]. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Geometric}(\theta)$  and define  $\mathbf{X} \triangleq (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$ .

3.1 Show that  $\bar{X} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic of  $\theta$ . [3 ms]

3.2 Let the prior distribution of  $\theta$  be  $U(0, 1)$ . Find the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  and the Bayesian estimator of  $\theta$ . [5 ms]

3.3 Suppose that among 100 people who win in a certain lottery, the number of tickets each person purchases up to and including the first winning ticket shows the following frequency distribution:

Number of tickets purchased ( $i$ )	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
Frequency of people ( $N_i$ )	46	27	14	6	5	1	1	100

Does the number of tickets purchased by each person follow a geometric distribution at the significance level of 0.05? [Note:  $\chi^2(0.05, 3) = 7.81$ ,  $\chi^2(0.05, 4) = 9.49$ ,  $\chi^2(0.05, 5) = 11.07$ ]. [10 ms]

4. [Total: 20 ms]. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ .

4.1 Show that  $\bar{X} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is an efficient estimator of  $\lambda^{-1}$ . [5 ms]

4.2 Find a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  equal-tail CI for  $\lambda$ . [5 ms]

4.3 Find the MPT of size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0: \lambda = \lambda_0$  vs  $H_1: \lambda = \lambda_1 (> \lambda_0)$ . [10 ms]

5. [Total: 12 ms]. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ . Find the LRT of size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0: \lambda \leq \lambda_0$  versus  $H_1: \lambda > \lambda_0$ .

6. [Bonus question, Total: 5 ms]. Let  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\tau \sim \text{IGamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 1/2$ , and  $Z \perp\!\!\!\perp \tau$ . Define

$$X = \mu + \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\tau}},$$

find the distribution of  $X$ .

\*\*\*\*\* END OF THE PAPER A \*\*\*\*\*

## 1. Suggested Solutions.

### 1.1 The support of $Z$ is $\{0, 1\}$ . $Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$ .

**Solution:** Note that  $X, Y$  take values 0 and 1, then

$$Z = Y \cdot I(X = 0) + (1 - Y) \cdot I(X = 1)$$

only takes 0 and 1. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(Z = 1) &= \Pr(X + Y - 2XY = 1) = \Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) + \Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) \\ &= \Pr(X = 1) \times \Pr(Y = 0) + \Pr(X = 0) \times \Pr(Y = 1) \\ &= 0.5 \times 0.5 + 0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.5, \end{aligned}$$

indicating that  $Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$ . ||

### 1.2 $Y \sim N(a_1\mu_1 + a_2\mu_2, a_1^2\sigma_1^2 + a_2^2\sigma_2^2)$ . ||

### 1.3 **Solution:** (a) The median of $X$ satisfies

$$\Pr\{X \leq \text{med}(X)\} \geq 0.5 \quad \text{and} \quad \Pr\{X \geq \text{med}(X)\} \geq 0.5.$$

See page 18 of the Textbook.

(b) We have  $\text{med}(X) = 4$  because

$$\Pr(X \leq 4) = 0.20 + 0.15 + 0.10 + 0.05 = 0.50 \geq 0.5 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Pr(X \geq 4) = 0.05 + 0.10 + 0.40 = 0.55 \geq 0.5.$$

Alternatively, We have  $\text{med}(X) = 5$  because

$$\Pr(X \leq 5) = 0.20 + 0.15 + 0.10 + 0.05 + 0.10 = 0.60 \geq 0.5 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Pr(X \geq 5) = 0.10 + 0.40 = 0.50 \geq 0.5.$$

Similar to **Q1.16 in Assignment 1**. ||

**1.4 Solution:** Let  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\beta)$ , then the cdf of  $X$  is  $F_X(x) = 1 - \exp(-\beta x)$  so that the survival function  $S_X(x) = \Pr(X > x) = e^{-\beta x}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X > t + s | X > s) &= \frac{\Pr(X > t + s)}{\Pr(X > s)} \\ &= \frac{S_X(t + s)}{S_X(s)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\beta(t+s)}}{e^{-\beta s}} \\ &= e^{-\beta t}. \end{aligned}$$

**1.5 Solution:** See 42.5• **The sampling-wise formula** on page 42.  
The sampling-wise formula is

$$f_X(x) \propto \frac{f_{(X|Y)}(x|y_0)}{f_{(Y|X)}(y_0|x)},$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{S}_X$  and an arbitrarily fixed  $y_0 \in \mathcal{S}_Y$ . ||

**1.6 Solution:** (a) The MLE of  $\theta$  **does not exist**.

(b) The likelihood function of  $\theta$  is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 < x_i \leq \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I(0 < x_{(n)} \leq \theta) \cdot 1,$$

According to the factorization theorem, we know that  $X_{(n)} = \max(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a sufficient estimator of  $\theta$ . Note that  $L(\theta)$  is an decreasing function of  $\theta$  over the interval  $[x_{(n)}, \infty)$ , thus,  **$X_{(n)}$  is the MLE of  $\theta$** .

See **Example 3.3** on pages 108–109 of the textbook. ||

**1.7 Solution:** Newton's method to iteratively calculate the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  of the equation  $\ell'(x) = 0$  is

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} - \frac{\ell'(\theta^{(t)})}{\ell''(\theta^{(t)})}, \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty. \quad ||$$

**1.8 Solution:** (i) The expectation and variance of  $X$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= E[E(X|Y)] = E(Y) = \alpha/\beta \triangleq \mu, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Var}(X) &= E[\text{Var}(X|Y)] + \text{Var}[E(X|Y)] \\ &= E(Y) + \text{Var}(Y) = \alpha/\beta + \alpha/\beta^2 = \mu(1 + 1/\beta). \end{aligned}$$

**1.9 Solution:** See **Theorem 3.7** on page 149.

Let  $T(\mathbf{x})$  be a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . If  $g(T)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , then  $g(T)$  is the unique UMVUE for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

**1.10 Solution:** See **Definition 4.1** on page 164.

Assume that  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x; \theta)$  and  $T = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a sufficient statistic of  $\theta$ . Let  $P = P(T, \theta)$  be a function of  $T$  and  $\theta$ . If the distribution of  $P$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , then  $P$  is called a *pivotal quantity*.

**1.11 Solution:** (i) The Type II error function is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\theta) = \Pr(\text{Type II error}) &= \Pr(\text{accepting } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is false}) \\ &= \Pr(\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}' | \theta \in \Theta_1), \end{aligned}$$

which is a function of  $\theta$  defined in  $\Theta_1$ , where  $\mathbf{x} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$ .

(ii) The power function is defined by

$$p(\theta) = \Pr(\text{rejecting } H_0 | \theta) = \Pr(\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C} | \theta). \quad \parallel$$

**1.12** If  $\alpha_{T_1}(\theta), \alpha_{T_2}(\theta) \leq \alpha^*$  **and**  $\beta_{T_1}(\theta) \leq \beta_{T_2}(\theta)$ , then  $T_1$  is better than  $T_2$ , where  $\alpha^*$  ( $0 < \alpha^* < 1$ ) is a preassigned (small) level.

Alternatively, if  $p_{T_1}(\theta) \geq p_{T_2}(\theta)$ , then  $T_1$  is better than  $T_2$ . ||

**1.13 Solution:** See Lemma 5.1 on pages 194 of the Textbook.

Assume that  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x; \theta)$ . Let the likelihood function be  $L(\theta) = L(\theta; \mathbf{x})$ . Then a test  $\varphi$  with critical region

$$\mathbb{C} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top : \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} \leq k \right\}$$

and size  $\alpha$  is the most powerful test of size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  against  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ , where  $k$  is a value determined by the size  $\alpha$ . ||

**1.14 Solution:** (i) The pivotal quantity is

$$Z \triangleq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma_0 / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1),$$

where  $\bar{X} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . The test statistic is

$$Z_0 \triangleq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma_0 / \sqrt{n}}.$$

(ii) When  $H_0$  is true, we obtain  $Z_0 \sim N(0, 1)$ .

(iii) The critical regions of size  $\alpha$  for the test is  $\mathbb{C} = \{\mathbf{x}: |z_0| \geq z_{\alpha/2}\}$ , where

$$z_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma_0 / \sqrt{n}} \quad \text{with } \bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}.$$

and  $z_\alpha$  denotes the upper  $\alpha$ -th quantile of  $N(0, 1)$ .

(iv) The corresponding  $p$ -value can be calculated by

$$p\text{-value} = 2 \Pr(Z \geq |z_0|).$$
||

**1.15 Solution:** (a) When  $\sigma = \sigma_0$  is known, from (4.4) of Chapter 4, we know that

$$\left[ \bar{X} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}} \right] = [-2.0925, 2.8425]$$



is a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  CI for the mean  $\mu$ , where  $n = 4$ ,  $\alpha = 0.1$ ,  $z_{\alpha/2} = z_{0.05} = 1.645$ ,  $\sigma_0 = 3$ , and

$$\bar{X} = \frac{3.3 - 0.3 - 0.6 - 0.9}{4} = 0.375.$$

(b) When  $\sigma$  is unknown, from (4.6) of Chapter 4, we know that

$$\left[ \bar{X} - t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1\right) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1\right) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right] = [-1.937, 2.687]$$

is a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  CI for the mean  $\mu$ , where  $\bar{X} = 0.375$ ,  $n = 4$ ,  $t(\alpha/2, n-1) = t(0.05, 3) = 2.3534$ , and

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}} = \sqrt{3.863} = 1.965. \quad \parallel$$

**1.16 Solution:** Since  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  are a pair of joint sufficient statistics for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , we have a pivotal quantity

$$P = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{S} \sim t(n-1),$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr \left\{ -t(\alpha/2, n-1) \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{S} \leq t(\alpha/2, n-1) \right\} = 1 - \alpha,$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr \left( \bar{X} - t(\alpha/2, n-1) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + t(\alpha/2, n-1) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = 1 - \alpha,$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr \left( \bar{X} - t(0.025, n-1) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + t(0.025, n-1) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = 0.95.$$

Therefore, a 95% CI for  $\mu$  is given by

$$\left[ 66.3 - 2.201 \frac{8.4}{\sqrt{12}}, 66.3 + 2.201 \frac{8.4}{\sqrt{12}} \right] = [61.0, 71.6]. \quad \parallel$$

**1.17 Solution:** The  $p$ -value (or probability value) is defined as the probability, under the null hypothesis  $H_0$ , the test statistic  $T$  is equal to or more extreme than the observed value  $t_{\text{obs}}$ ; i.e.,

$$\Pr(T \text{ is equal to or more extreme than the observed value } t_{\text{obs}} | H_0). \quad \parallel$$

## 2. Solution.

**2.1** See [10.1•](#) on pages 173–174. Note that

$$\frac{1}{F(\nu_2, \nu_1)} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{\frac{\chi^2(\nu_2)/\nu_2}{\chi^2(\nu_1)/\nu_1}} = \frac{\chi^2(\nu_1)/\nu_1}{\chi^2(\nu_2)/\nu_2} \stackrel{d}{=} F(\nu_1, \nu_2). \quad (1)$$

— On the one hand, the definition of  $f(\alpha/2, \nu_2, \nu_1)$  indicates that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} = \Pr\{F(\nu_2, \nu_1) > f(\alpha/2, \nu_2, \nu_1)\},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} &= \Pr\{F(\nu_2, \nu_1) \leq f(\alpha/2, \nu_2, \nu_1)\} \\ &= \Pr\left\{\frac{1}{F(\nu_2, \nu_1)} \geq f^{-1}(\alpha/2, \nu_2, \nu_1)\right\} \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \Pr\{F(\nu_1, \nu_2) \geq f^{-1}(\alpha/2, \nu_2, \nu_1)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

— On the other hand, the definition of  $f(1 - \alpha/2, \nu_1, \nu_2)$  means that

$$1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} = \Pr\{F(\nu_1, \nu_2) > f(1 - \alpha/2, \nu_1, \nu_2)\}. \quad (3)$$

— By comparing (3) with (2), we immediately obtain the needed result.

**2.2** Define  $\nu_i = n_i - 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $f(1 - \alpha/2, \nu_1, \nu_2) = f^{-1}(\alpha/2, \nu_2, \nu_1)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_1^2/\sigma_1^2}{S_2^2/\sigma_2^2} &\sim F(\nu_1, \nu_2), \\ \Rightarrow \Pr\left\{f(1 - \alpha/2, \nu_1, \nu_2) \leq \frac{S_1^2/\sigma_1^2}{S_2^2/\sigma_2^2} \leq f(\alpha/2, \nu_1, \nu_2)\right\} &= 1 - \alpha \\ \Rightarrow \Pr\left\{\frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \cdot f^{-1}(\alpha/2, \nu_1, \nu_2) \leq \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \leq \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \cdot f^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2, \nu_1, \nu_2)\right\} &= 1 - \alpha \\ \Rightarrow \Pr\left\{\frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \cdot f^{-1}(\alpha/2, \nu_1, \nu_2) \leq \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \leq \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \cdot f(\alpha/2, \nu_2, \nu_1)\right\} &= 1 - \alpha \\ \Rightarrow \Pr\left\{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \cdot f^{-1}(0.025, \nu_1, \nu_2)} \leq \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \cdot f(0.025, \nu_2, \nu_1)}\right\} &= 0.95. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a 95% CI for  $\sigma_1/\sigma_2$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} \cdot f^{-1}(0.025, 17, 11)}, \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} \cdot f(0.025, 11, 17)} \right] \\ = & \left[ \sqrt{\frac{5^2}{6^2} \cdot 3.2816^{-1}}, \sqrt{\frac{5^2}{6^2} \cdot 2.8696} \right] = [0.4600, 1.4117]. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Solution.

**3.1** The joint density of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \theta^n (1 - \theta)^{n\bar{x} - n} \times 1, \quad x_i = 1, 2, \dots, \infty,$$

so that  $\bar{X}$  is a sufficient statistic of  $\theta$  based on the factorization theorem.

**3.2** Since  $\theta \sim U(0, 1)$ , the posterior density of  $\theta$  is

$$p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \propto \theta^n (1 - \theta)^{n\bar{x} - n},$$

so that  $\theta | \mathbf{x} \sim \text{Beta}(n + 1, n\bar{x} - n + 1)$ , where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top$ . Therefore,

$$E(\theta | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{n + 1}{n\bar{x} + 2}$$

is the Bayesian estimate of  $\theta$ , and  $(n + 1)/(n\bar{X} + 2)$  is the Bayesian estimator of  $\theta$ .

**3.3** We wish to test

$H_0$  : The distribution is Geometric( $\theta$ )      against

$H_1$  : The distribution is not Geometric( $\theta$ ).

Under  $H_0$ , the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}} = \frac{100}{204} \approx 0.49.$$

Now

$$\hat{p}_{i0} = p_{i0}(\hat{\theta}) = \hat{\theta}(1 - \hat{\theta})^{i-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 6, \quad \hat{p}_{7,0} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^6 \hat{p}_{i0},$$

and  $n = 100$ , we obtain

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	$7(\geq 7)$
$N_i$	46	27	14	6	5	1	1
$\hat{p}_{i0}$	0.4902	0.2499	0.1274	0.0650	0.0331	0.0169	0.0176
$n\hat{p}_{i0}$	49.0196	24.9904	12.7402	6.4950	3.3112	1.6881	1.7556

Those classes with expected frequencies less than 5 should be combined with the adjacent class. Therefore, we combine the last 3 classes, and the revised table is

$i$	1	2	3	4	5( $\geq 5$ )
$N_i$	46	27	14	6	7
$\hat{p}_{i0}$	0.4902	0.2499	0.1274	0.0650	0.0675
$n\hat{p}_{i0}$	49.0196	24.9904	12.7402	6.4950	6.7548

So we have

$$\hat{Q}_{100} = \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{(N_i - n\hat{p}_{i0})^2}{n\hat{p}_{i0}} = 0.1739 < \chi^2(0.05, 5 - 1 - 1) = 7.81.$$

Thus, we cannot reject  $H_0$  when the significance level is 0.05.

#### 4. Solution.

**4.1** Let  $f(x; \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  and  $\tau(\lambda) = 1/\lambda$ . The Fisher information is

$$I_n(\lambda) = nE \left\{ \frac{d \log f(X; \lambda)}{d\lambda} \right\}^2 = nE \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} - X \right)^2 = n\text{Var}(X) = \frac{n}{\lambda^2}.$$

The CR lower bound is

$$\frac{[\tau'(\lambda)]^2}{I_n(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{n\lambda^2}.$$

Since

$$E(\bar{X}) = E(X_1) = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \tau(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n\lambda^2}$$

i.e.,  $\bar{X}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\lambda)$  and its variance reaches the CR lower bound. Hence,  $\bar{X}$  is an efficient estimator of  $\lambda^{-1}$ .

**4.2** See **Example 4.1 on page 164** of Textbook Chapter 4. From  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ , we have  $n\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda)$ , and

$$2\lambda n\bar{X} \sim \text{Gamma}(2n/2, 1/2) = \chi^2(2n),$$

so that  **$2\lambda n\bar{X}$  is a pivotal quantity**. Thus, using the equal-probability (or equal-tail) method, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha &= \Pr \left\{ \chi^2(1 - \alpha/2, 2n) \leq 2\lambda n\bar{X} \leq \chi^2(\alpha/2, 2n) \right\} \\ &= \Pr \left\{ \frac{\chi^2(1 - \alpha/2, 2n)}{2n\bar{X}} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{\chi^2(\alpha/2, 2n)}{2n\bar{X}} \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$[L_p, U_p] = \left[ \frac{\chi^2(1 - \alpha/2, 2n)}{2n\bar{X}}, \frac{\chi^2(\alpha/2, 2n)}{2n\bar{X}} \right]$$

is a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  exact CI for  $\lambda$ .

**4.3** We consider a test of size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0: \lambda = \lambda_0$  versus  $H_1: \lambda = \lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ . The likelihood function is

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda \exp(-\lambda x_i) = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).$$

Then

$$\frac{L(\lambda_0)}{L(\lambda_1)} = \frac{\lambda_0^n \exp(-\lambda_0 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)}{\lambda_1^n \exp(-\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)} = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_1}\right)^n \exp\left\{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\} \leq k$$

is equivalent to

$$\bar{x} \leq \frac{\log(k)}{n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_0)} + \frac{\log(\lambda_1/\lambda_0)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0} \triangleq c,$$

when  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ . To determine  $c$ , we noted that

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &\stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda) \\ \Rightarrow n\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i &\sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda) \\ \Rightarrow 2\lambda n\bar{X} &\sim \text{Gamma}\left(n, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \chi^2(2n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \Pr(\bar{X} \leq c \mid \lambda = \lambda_0) = \Pr(2\lambda n\bar{X} \leq 2\lambda nc \mid \lambda = \lambda_0) \\ &= \Pr(\chi^2(2n) \leq 2\lambda_0 nc) = 1 - \Pr(\chi^2(2n) \geq 2\lambda_0 nc) \\ \Rightarrow 1 - \alpha &= \Pr(\chi^2(2n) \geq 2\lambda_0 nc) \\ \Rightarrow 2\lambda_0 nc &= \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n) \\ \Rightarrow c &= \frac{\chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n)}{2\lambda_0 n}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Neyman–Pearson Lemma, a test  $\varphi$  with critical region

$$\mathbb{C} = \left\{ \mathbf{x}: \bar{x} \leq \frac{\chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n)}{2\lambda_0 n} \right\}$$

is the MPT of size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0: \lambda = \lambda_0$  versus  $H_1: \lambda = \lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ . ||

5. **Solution.** Note that  $\Theta_0 = (0, \theta_0]$  and  $\Theta_1 = (\theta_0, \infty)$ , then  $\Theta = (0, \infty) = \Theta^*$ .

Step 1: Calculate  $\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ . The likelihood function is given by

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta e^{-\theta x_i} = \theta^n e^{-\theta n \bar{x}}$$

so that  $\bar{X} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic of  $\theta$ . The log-likelihood function is  $\ell(\theta) = n \log \theta - \theta n \bar{x}$ . We have

$$\ell'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - n\bar{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell''(\theta) = -n\theta^{-2} < 0 \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

Hence,  $\ell(\theta)$  is strictly concave and has the maximum at  $\hat{\theta} = 1/\bar{x}$ . On the other hand, the restricted MLE  $\hat{\theta}^R$  of  $\theta$  in  $\Theta_0$  is given by

$$\hat{\theta}^R = \begin{cases} 1/\bar{x}, & \text{if } \theta_0 \geq 1/\bar{x}, \\ \theta_0, & \text{if } \theta_0 < 1/\bar{x}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\max_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta) = L(\hat{\theta}) = (1/\bar{x})^n e^{-n},$$

and

$$\max_{\theta \leq \theta_0} L(\theta) = L(\hat{\theta}^R) = \begin{cases} L(\hat{\theta}) = (1/\bar{x})^n e^{-n}, & \text{if } \theta_0 \geq 1/\bar{x}, \\ L(\theta_0) = \theta_0^n e^{-\theta_0 n \bar{x}}, & \text{if } \theta_0 < 1/\bar{x}, \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \theta_0 \geq 1/\bar{x}, \\ \frac{\theta_0^n e^{-\theta_0 n \bar{x}}}{(1/\bar{x})^n e^{-n}}, & \text{if } \theta_0 < 1/\bar{x}. \end{cases}$$

Step 2: Find the critical region  $\mathbb{C}$ . The LRT of size  $\alpha$  has the critical region

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C} &= \{\mathbf{x}: \theta_0 < 1/\bar{x} \quad \text{and} \quad (\theta_0 \bar{x})^n e^{-\theta_0 n \bar{x} + n} \leq \lambda_\alpha\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{x}: \theta_0 \bar{x} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\theta_0 \bar{x})^n e^{-n(\theta_0 \bar{x} - 1)} \leq \lambda_\alpha\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{x}: 0 < y < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad y^n e^{-n(y-1)} \leq \lambda_\alpha\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{x}: 0 < y < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h(y) \leq \lambda_\alpha\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where  $y \doteq \theta_0 \bar{x} > 0$  and  $h(y) \doteq y^n e^{-n(y-1)}$ .



Step 2(a): Check if or not  $h(y)$  is log-concave. Define

$$H(y) \triangleq \log\{h(y)\} = n \log(y) - n(y - 1).$$

Letting  $H'(y) = n/y - n = 0$ , we obtain  $y = 1$ . In addition

$$H''(y) = -ny^{-2} < 0.$$

Therefore,  $h(y)$  is strictly log-concave and has a maximum at  $y = 1$ .

Step 2(b): Find an equivalent  $\mathbb{C}$  involving  $\bar{X}$  and  $k$ . Hence  $0 < y < 1$  and  $h(y) \leq \lambda_\alpha$  if and only if  $y \leq k$ , where  $k$  is a constant satisfying  $0 < k < 1$ . Thus, (4) becomes

$$\mathbb{C} = \{\mathbf{x}: \theta_0 \bar{x} \leq k\},$$

where  $0 < k < 1$ .

Step 2(c): Find the constant  $k$ . We know that

$$2\theta n \bar{X} \sim \chi^2(2n).$$

Then  $k$  can be determined by the size

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \Pr(\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C} | \theta) \\ &= \sup_{\theta \leq \theta_0} \Pr(\theta_0 \bar{X} \leq k | \theta) \\ &= \sup_{\theta \leq \theta_0} \Pr(2\theta n \bar{X} \leq 2\theta n k / \theta_0 | \theta) \\ &= \max_{\theta \leq \theta_0} \Pr\{\chi^2(2n) \leq 2\theta n k / \theta_0 | \theta\} \\ &= \Pr\{\chi^2(2n) \leq 2nk\}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\{\chi^2(2n) > 2nk\} = \Pr\{\chi^2(2n) > \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n)\},$$

we obtain  $2nk = \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n)$  or  $k = \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n)/2n$ . The null hypothesis  $H_0$  is rejected when  $\bar{X} \leq \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n)/(2n\theta_0)$ . ||

6. **Solution.** From  $X = \mu + \tau^{-1/2}Z$ , we obtain  $X|\tau \sim N(\mu, \tau^{-1}\sigma^2)$ . Thus, the pdf of  $X$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_X(x) &= \int_0^\infty f_\tau(\tau) \cdot f_{X|\tau}(x|\tau) d\tau \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \text{IGamma}(\tau|1, 1/2) \cdot N(\tau|\mu, \tau^{-1}\sigma^2) d\tau \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} \tau^{-2} e^{-1/(2\tau)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{\tau(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] d\tau \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_0^\infty \tau^{-3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{\tau(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] d\tau \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-\mu|}{\sigma}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0, \quad (6)$$

indicating that  $X \sim \text{Laplace}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where we only need to prove the following integral identity: For any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$F(z) \triangleq \int_0^\infty \tau^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{\tau z^2}{2}\right) d\tau = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-|z|}. \quad (7)$$

**Case 1:**  $z = 0$ . The identity (7) reduces to

$$F(0) \triangleq \int_0^\infty \tau^{-3/2} e^{-1/(2\tau)} d\tau = \sqrt{2\pi}. \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** From

$$1 = \int_0^\infty \text{IGamma}(\tau|\alpha, \beta) d\tau = \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \tau^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\beta/\tau} d\tau,$$

we have

$$\int_0^\infty \tau^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\beta/\tau} d\tau = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha}. \quad (9)$$

By setting  $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$ , we obtain

$$F(0) = \int_0^\infty \tau^{-3/2} e^{-1/(2\tau)} d\tau \stackrel{(9)}{=} \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\sqrt{1/2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{1/2}} = \sqrt{2\pi}. \quad \parallel$$

**Case 2:**  $z \geq 0$ . The identity (7) reduces to

$$F(z) = \int_0^\infty \tau^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{\tau z^2}{2}\right) d\tau = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-z}. \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} F'(z) &\triangleq \frac{dF(z)}{dz} = \int_0^\infty \tau^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{\tau z^2}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{-\tau \times 2z}{2} d\tau \\ &= -z \int_0^\infty \tau^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{\tau z^2}{2}\right) d\tau \quad \left[\text{Let } y = \frac{1}{\tau z^2}\right] \\ &= -z \int_\infty^0 (yz^2)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{yz^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2y}\right) \cdot z^{-2}(-1)y^{-2} dy \\ &= - \int_0^\infty y^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2y} - \frac{yz^2}{2}\right) dy \\ &= -F(z), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{d \log F(z)}{dz} = [\log F(z)]' = -1.$$

Thus, there exists a constant  $c_0$  such that

$$\log F(z) = -z + c_0 \quad \text{or} \quad F(z) = e^{-z+c_0}. \quad (11)$$

From (8), we obtain

$$\sqrt{2\pi} = F(0) \stackrel{(11)}{=} e^{c_0} \Rightarrow F(z) = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-z}. \quad \parallel$$

**Case 3:**  $z < 0$ . The proof is similar. \parallel