
MA204: Mathematical Statistics

Tutorial 1

T1.1 Mutual Independency \Rightarrow Pairwise Independency

1.1.1 Independency for events

Three events, \mathbb{A}_1 , \mathbb{A}_2 and \mathbb{A}_3 are mutually independent *if and only if* (iff)

- (1) $\Pr(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2) = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2)$, i.e., \mathbb{A}_1 and \mathbb{A}_2 are independent;
- (2) $\Pr(\mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_3) = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3)$, i.e., \mathbb{A}_2 and \mathbb{A}_3 are independent;
- (3) $\Pr(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_3) = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3)$, i.e., \mathbb{A}_1 and \mathbb{A}_3 are independent;
- (4) $\Pr(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_3) = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3)$. ■

Example T1.1 (Pairwise independent but not mutually independent). Give an example such that \mathbb{A}_1 , \mathbb{A}_2 and \mathbb{A}_3 are pairwise independent but not mutually independent.

Solution: Suppose a box contains 4 tickets labeled as $\{112, 121, 211, 222\}$. Let's choose one ticket at random, and consider the following three events:

$$\mathbb{A}_1 = \{1 \text{ occurring at the first place}\},$$

$$\mathbb{A}_2 = \{1 \text{ occurring at the second place}\},$$

$$\mathbb{A}_3 = \{1 \text{ occurring at the third place}\}.$$

So we obtain

$$\Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2 = \{112\}, \quad \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2) = \frac{1}{4} = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2),$$

$$\mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_3 = \{211\}, \quad \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_3) = \frac{1}{4} = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2) \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3),$$

$$\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_3 = \{121\}, \quad \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_3) = \frac{1}{4} = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3),$$

we have the conclusion that \mathbb{A}_1 , \mathbb{A}_2 and \mathbb{A}_3 are pairwise independent. On the other hand, note that $\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_3 = \emptyset$. then,

$$\Pr(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_3) = 0 \neq \frac{1}{8} = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3).$$

So \mathbb{A}_1 , \mathbb{A}_2 and \mathbb{A}_3 are not mutually independent. ||

Example T1.2 (Not pairwise independent but satisfying condition (4)). Give an example satisfying

$$\Pr(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_3) = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3)$$

but \mathbb{A}_1 , \mathbb{A}_2 and \mathbb{A}_3 are not pairwise independent.

Solution: Toss two different standard dice. The sample space \mathbb{S} of the outcomes consists of all the ordered pairs:

$$\mathbb{S} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, 6) \\ (2, 1), (2, 2), \dots, (2, 6) \\ (3, 1), (3, 2), \dots, (3, 6) \\ (4, 1), (4, 2), \dots, (4, 6) \\ (5, 1), (5, 2), \dots, (5, 6) \\ (6, 1), (6, 2), \dots, (6, 6) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Each point in \mathbb{S} has a probability of $1/36$. Consider the following three events:

$$\mathbb{A}_1 = \{\text{first die shows 1 or 2 or 3}\},$$

$$\mathbb{A}_2 = \{\text{first die shows 3 or 4 or 6}\},$$

$$\mathbb{A}_3 = \{\text{sum of two faces is 9}\}.$$

So we have

$$\Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3) = \Pr\{(3, 6), (4, 5), (5, 4), (6, 3)\} = \frac{1}{9}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2 = \{(3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (3, 5), (3, 6)\}$, so

$$\Pr(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6} \neq \frac{1}{4} = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2).$$

That is, \mathbb{A}_1 , \mathbb{A}_2 , and \mathbb{A}_3 are not pairwise independent. However, $\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_3 = \{(3, 6)\}$, we obtain

$$\Pr(\mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_3) = \frac{1}{36} = \Pr(\mathbb{A}_1) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_2) \times \Pr(\mathbb{A}_3) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{9}. \quad \parallel$$

1.1.2 Independency for random variables

Example T1.3 (Mutual independence implying pairwise independence). Let three continuous random variables X_1, X_2, X_3 be independent, i.e.,

$$F_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = F_1(x_1) \times F_2(x_2) \times F_3(x_3), \quad (\text{T1.1})$$

where $F_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is the joint cdf of $(X_1, X_2, X_3)^\top$ and $F_i(\cdot)$ is the cdf of X_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Then X_1, X_2, X_3 are pairwise independent.

Proof: Note that $F_3(\infty) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{12}(x_1, x_2) &= \Pr(X_1 \leq x_1, X_2 \leq x_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} f_{12}(x, y) \, dx dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{123}(x, y, z) \, dz \right] \, dx dy \\ &= \Pr(X_1 \leq x_1, X_2 \leq x_2, X_3 \leq \infty) \\ &= F_{123}(x_1, x_2, \infty) \stackrel{(\text{T1.1})}{=} F_1(x_1) \times F_2(x_2) \times F_3(\infty) \\ &= F_1(x_1) \times F_2(x_2), \end{aligned}$$

indicating that $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2$. By symmetry, we can prove that $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_3$ and $X_2 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_3$. \square

Example T1.4 (Discrete r.v.'s: Pairwise independence not implying mutual independence).

Let $X, Y \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$ and $Z = X + Y - 2XY$. Show that X, Y, Z are pairwise independent but not mutually independent.

Proof: (i) We first show that $Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$. Note that X, Y take values 0 and 1, then $Z = Y \cdot I(X = 0) + (1 - Y) \cdot I(X = 1)$ only takes 0 and 1. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(Z = 1) &= \Pr(X + Y - 2XY = 1) = \Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) + \Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) \\ &= \Pr(X = 1) \times \Pr(Y = 0) + \Pr(X = 0) \times \Pr(Y = 1) \\ &= 0.5 \times 0.5 + 0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.5, \end{aligned}$$

indicating that $Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$.

(ii) We second show that $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Z$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X = 0, Z = 0) &= \Pr(X = 0, X + Y - 2XY = 0) = \Pr(X = 0, Y = 0) \\ &= 0.5 \times 0.5 = \Pr(X = 0) \times \Pr(Z = 0), \\ \Pr(X = 0, Z = 1) &= \Pr(X = 0, X + Y - 2XY = 1) = \Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) \\ &= 0.5 \times 0.5 = \Pr(X = 0) \times \Pr(Z = 1), \\ \Pr(X = 1, Z = 0) &= \Pr(X = 1, X + Y - 2XY = 0) = \Pr(X = 1, Y = 1) \\ &= 0.5 \times 0.5 = \Pr(X = 1) \times \Pr(Z = 0), \\ \Pr(X = 1, Z = 1) &= \Pr(X = 1, X + Y - 2XY = 1) = \Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) \\ &= 0.5 \times 0.5 = \Pr(X = 1) \times \Pr(Z = 1), \end{aligned}$$

indicating that $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Z$. By symmetry, we can prove that $Y \perp\!\!\!\perp Z$. Therefore, X, Y, Z are pairwise independent.

(iii) **Three discrete r.v.'s X, Y, Z are said to be mutually independent** if for all choices of x_i, y_j, z_k , we have

$$\Pr(X = x_i, Y = y_j, Z = z_k) = \Pr(X = x_i) \times \Pr(Y = y_j) \times \Pr(Z = z_k).$$

In this example, it is easy to verify that

$$\Pr(X = 1, Y = 1, Z = 1) = 0 \neq \frac{1}{8} = \Pr(X = 1) \times \Pr(Y = 1) \times \Pr(Z = 1),$$

implying that X, Y, Z cannot be mutually independent r.v.'s. \square

Example T1.5 (Continuous r.v.'s: Pairwise independence not implying mutual independence). For three continuous r.v.'s X_1, X_2, X_3 , let $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2$, $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_3$ and $X_2 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_3$. Given an example such that

$$f_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq f_1(x_1) \times f_2(x_2) \times f_3(x_3),$$

where $f_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is the joint pdf of $(X_1, X_2, X_3)^\top$ and $f_i(\cdot)$ is the pdf of X_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Solution: Let the joint pdf of the random vector $\mathbf{x} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)^\top$ be defined by

$$f_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3) \cdot I(\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where $\phi(x) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi})e^{-x^2/2}$ denotes the pdf of $N(0, 1)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top$, $\mathbb{D} \triangleq \cup_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{D}_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_1 &= \{\mathbf{x}: x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0\}, \\ \mathbb{D}_2 &= \{\mathbf{x}: x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, x_3 \geq 0\}, \\ \mathbb{D}_3 &= \{\mathbf{x}: x_1 < 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 < 0\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbb{D}_4 &= \{\mathbf{x}: x_1 \geq 0, x_2 < 0, x_3 < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_1 &= \{(x_1, x_3)^\top: x_1 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0\}, \\ \mathbb{P}_2 &= \{(x_1, x_3)^\top: x_1 < 0, x_3 \geq 0\}, \\ \mathbb{P}_3 &= \{(x_1, x_3)^\top: x_1 < 0, x_3 < 0\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbb{P}_4 &= \{(x_1, x_3)^\top: x_1 \geq 0, x_3 < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

(i) We first prove that $f_{13}(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_1)\phi(x_3)$ for all $x_1, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$.

Case 1: If $(x_1, x_3)^\top \in \mathbb{P}_1 \cup \mathbb{P}_3$, we have

$$f_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3) \cdot I(x_2 \geq 0)$$

so that

$$f_{13}(x_1, x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_2 = \phi(x_1)\phi(x_3) \int_0^{\infty} 2\phi(x_2) dx_2 = \phi(x_1)\phi(x_3).$$

Case 2: If $(x_1, x_3)^\top \in \mathbb{P}_2 \cup \mathbb{P}_4$, we have

$$f_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3) \cdot I(x_2 < 0)$$

so that

$$f_{13}(x_1, x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_2 = \phi(x_1)\phi(x_3) \int_{-\infty}^0 2\phi(x_2) dx_2 = \phi(x_1)\phi(x_3).$$

By combining Case 1 and Case 2, we have

$$f_{13}(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_1)\phi(x_3)$$

for all $x_1, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, indicating that $X_1, X_3 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$.

(ii) Similarly, we can prove that $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$, and $X_2, X_3 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$. However, X_1, X_2, X_3 are not mutually independent standard normal r.v.'s, since $f_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)$ for any $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. ||

T1.2 Expectation, Variance, Quantile, Median, Chebyshev's and Jensen's Inequalities

1.2.1 Expectation, variance, quantile and median

- Let X be a discrete (or continuous) r.v. with pmf (or pdf) $f(x)$, and $g(x)$ be an arbitrary function. Then $g(X)$ is also a r.v. and the expectation of $g(X)$ is defined by

$$E[g(X)] = \begin{cases} \sum g(x)f(x), & \text{if } X \text{ is discrete,} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f(x) dx, & \text{if } X \text{ is continuous,} \end{cases}$$

provided that $E[|g(X)|] < \infty$.

- The *expectation* and *variance* of X are defined by

$$\mu \triangleq E(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 \triangleq \text{Var}(X) = E(X - \mu)^2 = E(X^2) - \mu^2.$$

- If X is continuous, then the q -th quantile of X , denoted by ξ_q , is defined as the smallest real number ξ satisfying $F(\xi_q) = \Pr(X \leq \xi_q) = q$ or

$$\xi_q = F^{-1}(q), \quad q \in (0, 1). \quad (\text{T1.2})$$

Especially, $\xi_{0.5} \triangleq \text{med}(X)$ is the median of X , satisfying

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\text{med}(X)} f(x) \, dx = 0.5 = \int_{\text{med}(X)}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx.$$

For example, if $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $\text{med}(X) = \mu$. In fact, for any density which is symmetric on the population mean μ , then $\text{med}(X) = \mu$.

- If X is discrete, the median of X satisfies

$$\Pr\{X \leq \text{med}(X)\} \geq 0.5 \quad \text{and} \quad \Pr\{X \geq \text{med}(X)\} \geq 0.5. \quad (\text{T1.3})$$

Example T1.6 (Exponential distribution). Let X follow the exponential distribution with pdf $f(x) = \beta e^{-\beta x}$ for $x \geq 0$ and $\beta > 0$. Find ξ_q and $\text{med}(X)$ of X .

Solution: The cdf of X is $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) \, dt = 1 - e^{-\beta x}$ for $x \geq 0$. Let $F(\xi_q) = q$, then

$$\xi_q = F^{-1}(q) = -\frac{\log(1 - q)}{\beta}, \quad 0 \leq q < 1.$$

Thus, $\text{med}(X) = \xi_{0.5} = \log(2)/\beta$. ||

Example T1.7 (Standard Laplace distribution). Let X follow the standard Laplace distribution (or the double exponential distribution) with pdf $f(x) = 0.5e^{-|x|}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R} \triangleq (-\infty, \infty)$. Find ξ_q and $\text{med}(X)$ of X .

Solution: The cdf of X is

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt = \begin{cases} 0.5e^x, & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 1 - 0.5e^{-x}, & \text{if } x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $F(\xi_q) = q$, then

$$\xi_q = F^{-1}(q) = \begin{cases} \log(2q), & \text{if } 0 < q < 0.5, \\ -\log\{2(1-q)\}, & \text{if } 0.5 \leq q < 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\text{med}(X) = \xi_{0.5} = 0$. ||

Example T1.8 (Finite discrete distribution). Find the median $\text{med}(X)$ of the discrete random variable X with pmf

X	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$p_i = \Pr(X = i + 1)$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{2}{36}$	$\frac{3}{36}$	$\frac{4}{36}$	$\frac{5}{36}$	$\frac{6}{36}$	$\frac{5}{36}$	$\frac{4}{36}$	$\frac{3}{36}$	$\frac{2}{36}$	$\frac{1}{36}$

for $i = 1, \dots, 11$.

Solution: We have $\text{med}(X) = 7$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X \leq 7) &= \frac{1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6}{36} = \frac{21}{36} \approx 0.583 \geq 0.5 \quad \text{and} \\ \Pr(X \geq 7) &= \frac{6 + 5 + 4 + 3 + 2 + 1}{36} = \frac{21}{36} \approx 0.583 \geq 0.5. \end{aligned}$$

||

1.2.2 Chebyshev's inequality

Let X be an r.v. and c be a positive constant, then $\Pr(|X - \mu| \geq c\sigma) \leq 1/c^2$.

Example T1.9 (Uniform distribution). Let the pdf of X be given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, & \text{if } -\sqrt{3} < x < \sqrt{3}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Calculate $\Pr(|X| \geq \frac{3}{2})$.
- (b) Check the answer by the Chebyshev inequality.

Solution: (a) According to definition, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr\left(|X| \geq \frac{3}{2}\right) &= \Pr\left(X \geq \frac{3}{2} \text{ or } X \leq -\frac{3}{2}\right) = 1 - \Pr\left(-\frac{3}{2} \leq X \leq \frac{3}{2}\right) \\
 &= 1 - \int_{-3/2}^{3/2} f(x) \, dx = 1 - \int_{-3/2}^{3/2} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \, dx \\
 &= 1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{3}{2} - \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) \right] = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \approx 0.134.
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) The mean and variance of X are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu &= E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) \, dx = \int_{-\sqrt{3}}^{\sqrt{3}} \frac{x}{2\sqrt{3}} \, dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \\
 \sigma^2 &= E(X^2) - \mu^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 f(x) \, dx - 0 = \int_{-\sqrt{3}}^{\sqrt{3}} \frac{x^2}{2\sqrt{3}} \, dx = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

We want to check if

$$\Pr(|X - \mu| \geq c\sigma) = \Pr(|X| \geq c) \leq \frac{1}{c^2}$$

for some positive constant c . In fact, from (a), we have $\Pr(|X| \geq 3/2) \approx 0.134$. For $c = 3/2$,

$$\Pr\left(|X| \geq \frac{3}{2}\right) \approx 0.134 \leq \frac{1}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2} \approx 0.44,$$

so the Chebyshev inequality holds. ||

1.2.3 Convex function

Example T1.10 (Equivalence of two definitions of a convex function). Show that the two definitions (see page 20 of the Textbook) of a convex function are equivalent.

Proof: Let $g(x)$ be a convex function defined on $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If $g''(x) \geq 0$, by applying the second-order Taylor expansion of $g(x)$ around $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= g(x_0) + (x - x_0)g'(x_0) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^2 \underbrace{g''(x^*)}_{\geq 0} \\ &\geq g(x_0) + (x - x_0)g'(x_0) \triangleq \ell(x), \end{aligned}$$

where x^* is a point between x and x_0 , $\ell(x)$ is the tangent line going through the point $(x_0, g(x_0))$. \square

1.2.4 Jensen's inequality

Example T1.11 (Equivalent statements for a convex function). If g is a twice differentiable function defined on a convex set \mathbb{S} , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) g is convex.
- (2) $g''(x) \geq 0$.
- (3) $\forall x, x_0 \in \mathbb{S}$, we have $g(x) \geq g(x_0) + (x - x_0)g'(x_0)$, which is called the *supporting hyperplane inequality*.
- (4) $\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we have $g[\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2] \leq \alpha g(x_1) + (1 - \alpha)g(x_2)$.
- (5) Let $\alpha_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, then $g(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g(x_i)$, which is called the *discrete version* of Jensen's inequality.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (5). It is equivalent to deriving (5) from (1.23) in Textbook. Define a discrete random variable X as follows:

X	$x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n$
$\Pr(X = x_i)$	$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_n$

where the probabilities $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$. It is clear that

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \Pr(X = x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \quad (\text{T1.4})$$

and

$$E\{g(X)\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g(x_i). \quad (\text{T1.5})$$

Therefore, Eqn (1.23) implies

$$g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\right) \stackrel{(\text{T1.4})}{=} g(E(X)) \leq E\{g(X)\} \stackrel{(\text{T1.5})}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g(x_i).$$

(5) \Rightarrow (4). Simply taking $n = 2$ in (5), we obtain (4).

(4) \Rightarrow (3). We rewrite $g(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) \leq \alpha g(x_1) + (1 - \alpha)g(x_2)$ as

$$\frac{g(x_2 + \alpha(x_1 - x_2)) - g(x_2)}{\alpha} \leq g(x_1) - g(x_2),$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Without loss of generality, let $x_1 \neq x_2$ and let $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$(x_1 - x_2) \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x_2 + \alpha(x_1 - x_2)) - g(x_2)}{\alpha(x_1 - x_2)} \leq g(x_1) - g(x_2),$$

or $(x_1 - x_2)g'(x_2) \leq g(x_1) - g(x_2)$, which implies (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (2). From (3), we have

$$g(x) \geq g(x + \varepsilon) - \varepsilon g'(x + \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad g(x + \varepsilon) \geq g(x) + \varepsilon g'(x),$$

so that

$$\varepsilon g'(x + \varepsilon) \geq g(x + \varepsilon) - g(x) \quad \text{and} \quad -\varepsilon g'(x) \geq g(x) - g(x + \varepsilon).$$

By combining the two inequalities, we obtain

$$\varepsilon g'(x + \varepsilon) - \varepsilon g'(x) \geq 0. \quad (\text{T1.6})$$

Therefore,

$$g''(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{g'(x + \varepsilon) - g'(x)}{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon g'(x + \varepsilon) - \varepsilon g'(x)}{\varepsilon^2} \stackrel{(\text{T1.6})}{\geq} 0,$$

which implies (2). □

T1.3 Conditional Expectation and Conditional Variance

1.3.1 Conditional expectation

Let X and Y be r.v.'s and $f(x|y)$ be the conditional pmf (or pdf) of X given $Y = y$. Then the conditional expectation of $g(X)$ given $Y = y$ is:

$$E[g(X)|Y = y] = \begin{cases} \sum_x g(x)f(x|y), & \text{if } X \text{ is discrete,} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f(x|y) dx, & \text{if } X \text{ is continuous.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $E[g(X)|Y]$ is a function of the r.v. Y and we can similarly define the *conditional expectation* and *conditional variance* as in the unconditional case. ■

Example T1.12 (Distribution in square). Suppose that the conditional pdf of (X, Y) given the r.v. Z is

$$f(x, y|z) = [z + (1 - z)(x + y)]I_{(0,1)}(x)I_{(0,1)}(y),$$

for $0 < z < 2$, and the density of Z is $f(z) = \frac{1}{2}I_{(0,2)}(z)$, where $I_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$ denotes the indicator function, i.e., $I_{\mathbb{A}}(x) = 1$ if $x \in \mathbb{A}$ and $I_{\mathbb{A}}(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \mathbb{A}$.

- (a) Find the expectation $E(X + Y)$.
- (b) Determine whether X and Y are independent or not.
- (c) Determine whether X and Z are independent or not.

Solution: (a) Note that $E(X + Y) = E[E(X + Y|Z)]$. We first calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & E(X + Y|Z = z) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x + y)[z + (1 - z)(x + y)]I_{(0,1)}(x)I_{(0,1)}(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (x + y)[z + (1 - z)(x + y)] dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 xz + yz + (1-z)x^2 + 2(1-z)xy + (1-z)y^2 \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{x^2 z}{2} + xyz + \frac{(1-z)x^3}{3} + (1-z)x^2 y + (1-z)xy^2 \right] \Big|_0^1 dy \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{2+z}{6} + y + (1-z)y^2 \, dy \\
&= \left[\frac{(2+z)y}{6} + \frac{y^2}{2} + \frac{(1-z)y^3}{3} \right] \Big|_0^1 = \frac{7-z}{6},
\end{aligned}$$

so that $E(X+Y|Z) = (7-Z)/6$. Since $E(Z) = 1$, we have

$$E(X+Y) = E[E(X+Y|Z)] = \frac{7-E(Z)}{6} = 1.$$

(b) Since

$$f(x, y, z) = f(x, y|z)f(z) = \frac{1}{2}[z + (1-z)(x+y)]I_{(0,1)}(x)I_{(0,1)}(y)I_{(0,2)}(z),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y, z) \, dz \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}[z + (1-z)(x+y)]I_{(0,1)}(x)I_{(0,1)}(y)I_{(0,2)}(z) \, dz \\
&= \int_0^2 \frac{1}{2}[z + (1-z)(x+y)]I_{(0,1)}(x)I_{(0,1)}(y) \, dz \\
&= \frac{1}{2}I_{(0,1)}(x)I_{(0,1)}(y) \int_0^2 x + y + (1-x-z)z \, dz \\
&= \frac{1}{2}I_{(0,1)}(x)I_{(0,1)}(y) \left[(x+y)z + \frac{(1-x-y)z^2}{2} \right] \Big|_0^2 = I_{(0,1)}(x)I_{(0,1)}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_{(0,1)}(x) I_{(0,1)}(y) \, dy \\
&= \int_0^1 I_{(0,1)}(x) \, dy = I_{(0,1)}(x), \\
f(y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_{(0,1)}(x) I_{(0,1)}(y) \, dx \\
&= \int_0^1 I_{(0,1)}(y) \, dx = I_{(0,1)}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $f(x, y) = f(x)f(y)$, i.e., X and Y are independent.

(c) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x, z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y, z) \, dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} [z + (1 - z)(x + y)] I_{(0,1)}(x) I_{(0,1)}(y) I_{(0,2)}(z) \, dy \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} [z + (1 - z)(x + y)] I_{(0,1)}(x) I_{(0,2)}(z) \, dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2} I_{(0,1)}(x) I_{(0,2)}(z) \int_0^1 z + (1 - z)x + (1 - z)y \, dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2} I_{(0,1)}(x) I_{(0,2)}(z) \left[yz + (1 - z)xy + \frac{(1 - z)y^2}{2} \right] \Big|_0^1 \\
&= \frac{1 + 2x + z - 2xz}{4} I_{(0,1)}(x) I_{(0,2)}(z) \\
&\neq f(x)f(z) = \frac{1}{2} I_{(0,1)}(x) I_{(0,2)}(z),
\end{aligned}$$

then, X and Z are not independent.

||

1.3.2 Calculation formulae of expectation and variance

It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= E[E(X|Y)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(X|Y=y)f(y) dy, \\ \text{Var}(X) &= E[\text{Var}(X|Y)] + \text{Var}[E(X|Y)]. \end{aligned}$$

■

Example T1.13 (Mixture distribution). Let $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$, $U \sim U(0, 1)$, and U be independent of (X_1, X_2) . Define $Z = UX_1 + (1 - U)X_2$.

- (a) Find the conditional distribution of Z given $U = u$.
- (b) Find $E(Z)$ and $\text{Var}(Z)$.
- (c) Find the distribution of Z .

Solution: (a) $Z|(U = u) = uX_1 + (1 - u)X_2 \sim N(0, u^2 + (1 - u)^2)$. Hence,

$$Z|U \sim N(0, U^2 + (1 - U)^2)$$

so that $E(Z|U) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(Z|U) = U^2 + (1 - U)^2$.

(b) Method I: We need to use the following conclusion that $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y$ iff for any functions $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$, $f(X) \perp\!\!\!\perp g(Y)$. Since $Z = UX_1 + (1 - U)X_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z) &= E[UX_1 + (1 - U)X_2] = E(UX_1) + E[(1 - U)X_2] \\ &= E(U)E(X_1) + E(1 - U)E(X_2) = 0, \\ E(Z^2) &= E[U^2X_1^2 + (1 - U)^2X_2^2 + 2U(1 - U)X_1X_2] \\ &= E(U^2)E(X_1^2) + E[(1 - U)^2]E(X_2^2) + 2E[U(1 - U)]E(X_1)E(X_2) \\ &= E(U^2) + E[(1 - U)^2] + 0 = \int_0^1 u^2 du + \int_0^1 (1 - u)^2 du \\ &= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Method II: $E(Z) = E[E(Z|U)] = 0$ and

$$\text{Var}(Z) = E[\text{Var}(Z|U)] + \text{Var}[E(Z|U)] = E(U^2) + E[(1-U)^2] + 0 = \frac{2}{3}.$$

(c) Method I: Let $N(x|\mu, \sigma^2)$ denote the pdf of $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. The pdf of Z is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_Z(z) &= \int_0^1 f_{(Z,U)}(z, u) \, du = \int_0^1 f_{(Z|U)}(z|u) \cdot f_U(u) \, du \\ &= \int_0^1 N(z|0, u^2 + (1-u)^2) \, du. \end{aligned}$$

Method II: Let $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2}$ be the pdf of $N(0, 1)$. The cdf of Z is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(z) &= \Pr(Z \leq z) \\ &= \int_0^1 \Pr(Z \leq z | U = u) \cdot f_U(u) \, du \\ &= \int_0^1 \Pr\left(\frac{Z}{\sqrt{u^2 + (1-u)^2}} \leq \frac{z}{\sqrt{u^2 + (1-u)^2}} \middle| U = u\right) \, du \\ &= \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{z/\sqrt{u^2 + (1-u)^2}} \phi(x) \, dx \right\} \, du. \end{aligned}$$

||

1.3.3 Calculation of probability via expectation

For convenience, the indicator function $I_{\mathbb{A}}(x)$ can be alternatively denoted by $I(x \in \mathbb{A})$. Let X be a r.v., then $I_{\mathbb{A}}(X) = I(X \in \mathbb{A})$ is a Bernoulli r.v., i.e.

$I_{\mathbb{A}}(X)$	0	1
Probability	$1 - \Pr(\mathbb{A})$	$\Pr(\mathbb{A})$

or $I_{\mathbb{A}}(X) = I(X \in \mathbb{A}) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\Pr(\mathbb{A}))$. According to that the expectation of the Bernoulli distribution is equal to its success probability, we have

$$E[I_{\mathbb{A}}(X)] = E[I(X \in \mathbb{A})] = \Pr(\mathbb{A}) = \Pr(X \in \mathbb{A}), \quad (\text{T1.7})$$

which is the formula (1.32) on page 24 of the textbook. ■

Example T1.14 (The second definition of expectation for a positive r.v.). For a continuous positive r.v. X , its expectation can be defined by

$$E(X) = \int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X > x) \, dx.$$

Solution: Method I: Noting the following identity

$$X = \int_0^X dx = \int_0^{\infty} I(x < X) \, dx,$$

and taking expectations on both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= E \left[\int_0^{\infty} I(x < X) \, dx \right] \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} E[I(X > x)] \, dx \\ &\stackrel{(\text{T1.7})}{=} \int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X > x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Method II: Note that $x = \int_0^x dy$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \int_0^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, dx = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_0^x dy \right) \cdot f_X(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_0^x f_X(x) \, dy \right) \, dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_y^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx \right) \, dy \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X > y) \, dy = \int_0^{\infty} \Pr(X > x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

||