MA204: Mathematical Statistics

Suggested Solutions to Assignment 4

4.1 Proof. Define a new random variable $W = S_1^2/n_1 + S_2^2/n_2$. Since

$$W = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1(n_1 - 1)} \cdot \frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2(n_2 - 1)} \cdot \frac{(n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{\sigma_2^2}$$

$$\hat{=} a_1 \chi_1^2 + a_2 \chi_2^2$$

is a linear combination of two independent chi-squared random variables, where $\chi_k^2 \sim \chi^2(f_k)$, $f_k = n_k - 1$, k = 1, 2, we could approximate W/g by a chi-squared distribution with f degrees of freedom, i.e.,

$$\frac{W}{g} \sim \chi^2(f) \quad \text{or} \quad a_1 \chi_1^2 + a_2 \chi_2^2 \sim g \cdot \chi^2(f). \tag{4.1}$$

To determine the g and f, let the corresponding means and variances in both sides of (4.1) be equal, i.e.,

$$a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 = g f$$
 and $a_1^2 \cdot 2f_1 + a_2^2 \cdot 2f_2 = g^2 \cdot 2f$. (4.2)

We obtain

$$g = \frac{a_1^2 f_1 + a_2^2 f_2}{a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2}$$

and

$$f = \frac{(a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2)^2}{a_1^2 f_1 + a_2^2 f_2} = \frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1}\right)^2 \frac{1}{n_1 - 1} + \left(\frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{n_2 - 1}}.$$
 (4.3)

From the definition of T_{Welch} , we have

$$T_{\text{Welch}} = \frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - \mu_1 + \mu_2)/\sqrt{\sigma_1^2/n_1 + \sigma_2^2/n_2}}{\sqrt{W}/\sqrt{\sigma_1^2/n_1 + \sigma_2^2/n_2}}$$

$$= \frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{W/(a_1f_1 + a_2f_2)}}$$

$$\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{\frac{W}{g}/f}}$$

$$\stackrel{\dot{=}}{=} \frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{\chi^2(f)/f}}$$

$$\sim t(f).$$

Finally, since f is a function of both σ_1^2 and σ_2^2 , we replace σ_k^2 in (4.3) by S_k^2 (k = 1, 2) and obtain the estimate of f, denoted by

$$\nu = \frac{\left(\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{S_1^2}{n_1}\right)^2 \frac{1}{n_1 - 1} + \left(\frac{S_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{n_2 - 1}}.$$

4.2 Solution. (a) Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. Note that $E(X) = \text{Var}(X) = \lambda$, by the Central Limit Theorem (Theorem 2.9),

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \xrightarrow{L} Z \sim N(0, 1).$$

Therefore, for large n, we have

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr(|Z| \leqslant z_{\alpha/2}) = \Pr\left\{ \left| \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right| \leqslant z_{\alpha/2} \right\}.$$

Now n = 100, $\bar{X}_n = 6.25$, $\alpha = 0.05$, $z_{0.025} = 1.96$, an approximate and equal-tail 95% CI of λ is determined by

$$\left| \frac{10(6.25 - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right| \leqslant 1.96$$

or

$$\lambda^2 - 12.5384\lambda + 39.0625 \leqslant 0.$$

There are two roots

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{12.5384 - \sqrt{12.5384^2 - 4 \times 39.0625}}{2} = 5.7789$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{12.5384 + \sqrt{12.5384^2 - 4 \times 39.0625}}{2} = 6.7595.$$

Finally, an approximate and equal-tail 95% CI of α is given by [5.7789, 6.7595].

(b) The shortest Wilson CI for the parameter λ in a Poisson distribution is constructed as follows. Suppose that we have n random samples X_1, \ldots, X_n from Poisson(λ), and want to construct a $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ CI for λ . According to the Central Limit Theorem, we have

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \stackrel{\text{L}}{\to} N(0, 1), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Let $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha$ so that $\alpha_2 = \alpha - \alpha_1$. Approximately, we obtain

$$\Pr\left(-z_{\alpha_1} \leqslant \frac{\bar{X} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \leqslant z_{\alpha - \alpha_1}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

If $-z_{\alpha_1} \leqslant \frac{\bar{X}-\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \leqslant 0$, then $\lambda \geq \bar{X}$ and

$$\bar{X} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{2n} - z_{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{2n} + z_{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}}.$$

Taking them together, we have

$$\bar{X} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{2n} + z_{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}}.$$

Similarly, if $0 \leqslant \frac{\bar{X} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \leqslant z_{\alpha - \alpha_1}$, we have

$$\bar{X} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{2n} - z_{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X}.$$

Thus, $-z_{\alpha_1} \leqslant \frac{\bar{X}-\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \leqslant z_{\alpha-\alpha_1}$ if and only if

$$\bar{X} + \frac{z_{\alpha - \alpha_1}^2}{2n} - z_{\alpha - \alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha - \alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{2n} + z_{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}}.$$

Therefore,

CI is a matrix.

$$\left[\bar{X} + \frac{z_{\alpha - \alpha_1}^2}{2n} - z_{\alpha - \alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha - \alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}}, \bar{X} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{2n} + z_{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}} \right]$$

is a $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ CI for λ with length

$$l(\alpha_1) = \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2 - z_{\alpha-\alpha_1}^2}{2n} + z_{\alpha-\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha-\alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}} + z_{\alpha_1} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n} + \frac{z_{\alpha_1}^2}{4n^2}}.$$

The grid-point method can be used to find the shortest $l(\alpha_1)$ on $[0, \alpha]$. The corresponding R code is as follows.

```
function (x, alpha, error = 0.00001)
{

# Shortest.Wilson.CI.for.Poisson(x, alpha, error=0.00001)
# -------
# Let X_1, ..., X_n ~iid Poisson(lambda),
# Aim: To find 100(1-alpha)% shortest Wilson CI for lambda,
# Input:
# x = a sequence of sample values,
# alpha = size, usually 0.05.
# error = increment of searching alpha_1, default is 0.00001
# Output:
```

```
# CI[1, ]: Lower & upper bounds, and the length of
           the equal-tail CI (i.e. alpha1=alpha/2)
# CI[2, ]: Lower & upper bounds, and the shortest
           length of the CI for lambda
n \leftarrow length(x)
xbar <- sum(x)/n
alpha1 <- seq(0, alpha, error)</pre>
z1 <- qnorm(alpha1)</pre>
z2 <- qnorm(1-alpha+alpha1)</pre>
LB <- xbar + z2^2/2/n - z2*sqrt(xbar/n+z2^2/(4*n*n))
UB <- xbar + z1^2/2/n - z1*sqrt(xbar/n+z1^2/(4*n*n))
length <- UB - LB
item <- order(length)[1]</pre>
length.alpha1 <- length(alpha1)</pre>
CI <- matrix(0, 3, 4)
CI[1, ] <- c(alpha1[length.alpha1/2+1], LB[length.alpha1/2+1],</pre>
UB[length.alpha1/2 + 1], length[length.alpha1/2 + 1])
CI[2, ] <- c(alpha1[item], LB[item], UB[item], length[item])</pre>
Min <- 0
Max <- alpha
alpha_1 \leftarrow (Max + Min)/2
while(Max-Min > error){
    z1 <- qnorm(alpha_1)</pre>
    z2 <- qnorm(1-alpha+alpha_1)</pre>
    a1 <- (xbar/n+z1^2/4/n^2)^0.25
    a2 <- (xbar/n+z2^2/4/n^2)^0.25
    test <- \exp(-z1*z1/2)/(a1-z1/(2*n*a1))^2
    test <- test - \exp(-z2*z2/2)/(a2-z2/(2*n*a2))^2
```

```
if(test<=0) Min <- alpha_1 else Max <- alpha_1
    alpha_1 <- (Max + Min)/2
}
z1 <- qnorm(alpha_1)
z2 <- qnorm(1-alpha+alpha_1)
L_B <- xbar + z2^2/2/n - z2*sqrt(xbar/n+z2^2/(4*n*n))
U_B <- xbar + z1^2/2/n - z1*sqrt(xbar/n+z1^2/(4*n*n))
CI[3, ] <- c(alpha_1, L_B, U_B, U_B - L_B)
dimnames(CI) <- list(c("Equal-tail CI: ",
"Shortest CI (Grid-Point): ", "Shortest CI (Bisection): "),
c("alpha1", "Lower.Bound", "Upper.Bound", "UB.minus.LB" ))
return (CI)
}</pre>
```

4.3 Solution. (a) When $\sigma = \sigma_0$ is known, from (4.4) of Chapter 4, we know that

$$\left[\bar{X} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \bar{X} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}\right] = [-2.0925, \ 2.8425]$$

is a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the mean μ , where n=4, $\alpha=0.1,\ z_{\alpha/2}=z_{0.05}=1.645,\ \sigma_0=3,\ {\rm and}$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{3.3 - 0.3 - 0.6 - 0.9}{4} = 0.375.$$

(b) When σ is unknown, from (4.6) of Chapter 4, we know that

$$\left[\bar{X} - t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n - 1\right)\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \bar{X} + t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n - 1\right)\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}\right] = [-1.937, 2.687]$$

is a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the mean μ , where $\bar{X}=0.375,\ n=4,\ t(\alpha/2,n-1)=t(0.05,3)=2.3534,$ and

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}} = \sqrt{3.863} = 1.965.$$

4.4 Solution. Since σ^2 is unknown, from (4.6) of Chapter 4, we know that

$$\left[\bar{X} - t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n - 1\right) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \bar{X} + t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n - 1\right) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$
$$= \left[\bar{X} - t(0.05, n - 1) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \bar{X} + t(0.05, n - 1) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

is a 90% CI for the mean μ . The length of the CI is

$$L = 2t(0.05, n - 1)\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0.95 & = & \Pr(L \leqslant \sigma/5) \\ & = & \Pr\left\{2t(0.05, n-1)\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \leqslant \frac{\sigma}{5}\right\} \\ & = & \Pr\left\{4t^2(0.05, n-1)\frac{S^2}{n} \leqslant \frac{\sigma^2}{25}\right\} \\ & = & \Pr\left\{\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \leqslant \frac{n(n-1)}{100 \times t^2(0.05, n-1)}\right\} \\ & = & \Pr\left\{\chi^2(n-1) \leqslant \frac{n(n-1)}{100 \times t^2(0.05, n-1)}\right\} \end{array}$$

or

$$0.05 = \Pr\left\{\chi^{2}(n-1) \geqslant \frac{n(n-1)}{100 \times t^{2}(0.05, n-1)}\right\}$$
$$= \Pr\left\{\chi^{2}(n-1) \geqslant \chi^{2}(0.05, n-1)\right\}.$$

Therefore, the sample size n should satisfy

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{100 \times t^2(0.05, n-1)} = \chi^2(0.05, n-1).$$

When n = 309.228, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{n(n-1)}{100 \times t^2(0.05, n-1)} - \chi^2(0.05, n-1) \right| \leqslant 0.00002.$$

Then, n = 309.

4.5 Solution. Because

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \sim N_2 \begin{pmatrix} \mu_A \\ \mu_B \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A^2 & \rho \sigma_A \sigma_B \\ \rho \sigma_A \sigma_B & \sigma_B^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$D = A - B \sim N(\mu_A - \mu_B, \sigma^2)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 - 2\rho\sigma_A\sigma_B$ is unknown. The objective is to find a 95% CI for $\mu_A - \mu_B$.

Now the random sample of D is: 6, 8, -2, 2, 7, 11, 1, 13. The sample mean $\bar{D}=5.75$ and

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (D_i - \bar{D})^2} = \sqrt{26.2143} = 5.12.$$

Since σ^2 is unknown, from (4.6) of Chapter 4 (page 167), we know that

$$\left[\bar{D} - t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n - 1\right) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \bar{D} + t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n - 1\right) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

$$= \left[5.75 - t(0.025, 7) \frac{5.12}{\sqrt{8}}, \ 5.75 + t(0.025, 7) \frac{5.12}{\sqrt{8}}\right]$$

$$= \left[5.75 - 2.3646 \times \frac{5.12}{\sqrt{8}}, \ 5.75 + 2.3646 \times \frac{5.12}{\sqrt{8}}\right]$$

$$= \left[1.4696, \ 10.0304\right].$$

is a 95% CI for the difference $\mu_A - \mu_B$.

4.6 Solution. (a) When $f(x;\theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} \cdot I_{(0,1)}(x)$, we have

$$F(x; \theta) = \int_0^x \theta t^{\theta - 1} dt = x^{\theta}, \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

From (4.3) of Chapter 4, we have

$$-2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log(X_{i}^{\theta}) = -2\theta\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log(X_{i}) \sim \chi^{2}(2n).$$

Thus, $-2\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(X_i)$ is a pivotal quantity. A $100(1-\alpha)\%$ equal-tail CI of θ can be constructed based on

$$1 - \alpha$$

$$= \Pr\left\{ \chi^{2}(1 - \alpha/2, 2n) \leqslant -2\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(X_{i}) \leqslant \chi^{2}(\alpha/2, 2n) \right\}$$

$$= \Pr\left\{ \frac{\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha/2, 2n)}{-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(X_{i})} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \frac{\chi^{2}(\alpha/2, 2n)}{-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(X_{i})} \right\}.$$
where $-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(X_{i}) > 0$ since $0 < X_{i} < 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(b) Let $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha$ so that $\alpha_2 = \alpha - \alpha_1$. The $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ shortest CI of θ can be constructed based on

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\left\{ \chi^{2}(1 - \alpha_{2}, 2n) \leqslant -2\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(X_{i}) \leqslant \chi^{2}(\alpha_{1}, 2n) \right\}$$
$$= \Pr\left\{ \frac{\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha_{2}, 2n)}{-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(X_{i})} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \frac{\chi^{2}(\alpha_{1}, 2n)}{-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(X_{i})} \right\}.$$

The width of this CI is

$$l(\alpha_1) = \frac{\chi^2(\alpha_1, 2n)}{-2\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)} - \frac{\chi^2(1 - \alpha_2, 2n)}{-2\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)}$$
$$= \frac{\chi^2(\alpha_1, 2n) - \chi^2(1 - \alpha + \alpha_1, 2n)}{-2\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)}$$

Thus, we can find α_1^* numerically such that

$$l(\alpha_1^*) = \min_{\alpha_1 \in [0,\alpha]} l(\alpha_1)$$
 or $\alpha_1^* = \arg\min_{\alpha_1 \in [0,\alpha]} l(\alpha_1)$.

Therefore, The $100(1-\alpha)\%$ shortest CI of θ is

$$\left[\frac{\chi^2(1-\alpha+\alpha_1^*,2n)}{-2\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)}, \frac{\chi^2(\alpha_1^*,2n)}{-2\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)}\right].$$

4.7 Solution. (a) We know from Example 4.1 that $2\theta n\bar{X}$ is a pivotal quantity, and

$$[L_p, U_p] = \left[\frac{\chi^2 (1 - \alpha/2, 2n)}{2n\bar{X}}, \frac{\chi^2 (\alpha/2, 2n)}{2n\bar{X}} \right]$$
$$= \left[\frac{9.591}{20 \times 55.087}, \frac{34.170}{20 \times 55.087} \right] = [0.00871, 0.03101]$$

is an exact 95% equal-tail CI for θ .

(b) An exact 95% equal-tail CI for $1/\theta$ is

$$\left[\frac{2n\bar{X}}{\chi^2(\alpha/2,2n)}, \frac{2n\bar{X}}{\chi^2(1-\alpha/2,2n)}\right] = [32.24766, 114.8106].$$

This interval is obviously quite wide, reflecting substantial variability in breakdown times and a small sample size.

4.8 Solution. From (1.42) of Lecture Notes Chapter 1, we know that the mgf of $U \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ is

$$M_U(t) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta - t}\right)^{\alpha}, \quad t < \beta.$$

Since $\chi^2(1) = \text{Gamma}(1/2, 1/2)$, then the mgf of $V \sim \chi^2(1)$ is $M_V(t) = [1/(1-2t)]^{1/2}$. Thus, we only need to prove that the mgf of $Y = \lambda(X-\mu)^2/(\mu^2X)$ is

$$M_Y(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - 2t}}, \quad t < 0.5.$$

(a) Let $\mu = \sqrt{a}$ and $\lambda = 2ab$, where a > 0 and b > 0, then we have

$$Y = \frac{2b}{X}(X^2 - 2\mu X + \mu^2) = -4b\sqrt{a} + 2b(X + a/X).$$
 (4.4)

Using (3.15) and (3.16) in Suggested Solutions to Assignment 3, we obtain

$$M_{Y}(t) = E(e^{tY}) \stackrel{(4.4)}{=} e^{-4bt\sqrt{a}} E[e^{2bt(X+a/X)}]$$

$$\stackrel{(3.15)}{=} e^{-4bt\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{\frac{ab}{\pi}} e^{2b\sqrt{a}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2bt(x+a/x)} x^{-3/2} e^{-b(x+a/x)} dx$$

$$= e^{-4bt\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{\frac{ab}{\pi}} e^{2b\sqrt{a}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-3/2} e^{-(b-2bt)(x+a/x)} dx$$

$$\stackrel{(3.16)}{=} e^{-4bt\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{\frac{ab}{\pi}} e^{2b\sqrt{a}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a(b-2bt)}} e^{-2(b-2bt)\sqrt{a}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-2t}}, \quad t < 0.5.$$

(b) The formula (3.15) in Suggested Solutions to Assignment 3 says that the pdf of $X \sim \mathrm{IG}(\mu, \mu^3/\lambda)$ can be rewritten as

$$\sqrt{\frac{ab}{\pi}} e^{2b\sqrt{a}} \cdot x^{-3/2} e^{-b(x+a/x)}, \quad x > 0.$$
 (4.5)

The joint density of $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathrm{IG}(\mu, \mu^3/\lambda)$ can be factorized into

$$f(x_1, ..., x_n; a, b)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{ab}{\pi}} e^{2b\sqrt{a}} \cdot x_i^{-3/2} e^{-b(x_i + a/x_i)} \right\}$$

$$= \left(\frac{ab}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} e^{2nb\sqrt{a}} e^{-b(t_1 + at_2)} \times (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{-3/2},$$

where $t_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ and $t_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{-1}$, so that (T_1, T_2) are jointly sufficient statistics of (a, b) or (μ, λ) , where $T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $T_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{-1}$.

(c) If $\lambda = \lambda_0$ is known, the joint density of $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathrm{IG}(\mu, \mu^3/\lambda_0)$ can be factorized into

$$f(x_1, ..., x_n; \mu)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi}} x_i^{-3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda}{2\mu^2 x_i} (x_i - \mu)^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$\propto e^{-\lambda_0 t_1/(2\mu^2) + n\lambda_0/\mu} \times (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{-3/2} e^{-\lambda_0 t_2/2}.$$

Therefore, T_1 is sufficient for μ .

(d) From Q3.18(c), we obtain

$$T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim IG(\mu^*, \mu^{*3}/\lambda^*) = IG(n\mu, n\mu^3/\lambda_0),$$

where $\mu^* = n\mu$ and $\lambda^* = n^2\lambda_0$. From Q4.8(a), we have

$$P = \frac{\lambda^* (T_1 - \mu^*)^2}{\mu^{*2} T_1} = \frac{\lambda_0 (T_1 - n\mu)^2}{\mu^2 T_1} \sim \chi^2(1),$$

i.e., P is a pivotal quantity. Let $\alpha = 0.05$,

$$a_1 = \chi^2(1 - \alpha/2, 1) - \frac{n^2 \lambda_0}{T_1}$$
 and $b_1 = \chi^2(\alpha/2, 1) - \frac{n^2 \lambda_0}{T_1}$,

then the equal-tail 95% CI of μ is given by

$$1 - \alpha$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr} \left\{ \chi^{2} (1 - \alpha/2, 1) \leqslant \frac{\lambda_{0} (T_{1} - n\mu)^{2}}{\mu^{2} T_{1}} \leqslant \chi^{2} (\alpha/2, 1) \right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr} \left\{ \chi^{2} (1 - \alpha/2, 1) \leqslant \frac{\lambda_{0} (T_{1} - 2n\mu)}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{n^{2} \lambda_{0}}{T_{1}} \leqslant \chi^{2} (\alpha/2, 1) \right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr} \left\{ a_{1} \leqslant \frac{\lambda_{0} (T_{1} - 2n\mu)}{\mu^{2}} \leqslant b_{1} \right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr} \left(a_{1} \mu^{2} \leqslant \lambda_{0} T_{1} - 2n\lambda_{0} \mu \leqslant b_{1} \mu^{2} \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Pr} (L \leqslant \mu \leqslant U).$$

4.9 Solution. (a) Let $X \sim CS(m, p, \lambda)$, then the pmf of X is

$$\Pr(X = x) = \Pr(X_1 + X_2 = x)$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 0} \Pr(X_1 = k) \cdot \Pr(X_1 + X_2 = x | X_1 = k)$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 0} \Pr(X_1 = k) \cdot \Pr(X_2 = x - k | X_1 = k)$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 0} \Pr(X_1 = k) \cdot \Pr(X_2 = x - k | X_1 = k)$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 0} \Pr(X_1 = k) \cdot \Pr(X_2 = x - k)$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 0} \binom{m}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{m - k} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{x - k} e^{-\lambda}}{(x - k)!},$$

for $x = 0, 1, ..., \infty$, where m is a known positive integer, $p \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda > 0$.

(b) Since $X = X_1 + X_2$, we obtain

$$E(X) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) = mp + \lambda \stackrel{\circ}{=} \mu$$

and

$$Var(X) = Var(X_1) + Var(X_2) = mp(1-p) + \lambda = \mu - mp^2$$

(c) Define $\bar{X}_n = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, we have

$$E(\bar{X}_n) = E(X_1) = \mu$$
 and $Var(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\mu - mp^2}{n}$.

From the Central Limit Theorem, we obtain

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - E(\bar{X}_n)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\bar{X}_n)}} = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sqrt{(\mu - mp^2)/n}} \xrightarrow{D} Z \sim N(0, 1), \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

An approximate $100(1-\alpha)\%$ CI for the mean μ is given by

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\left\{ \left| \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sqrt{(\mu - mp^2)/n}} \right| \leqslant z_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$= \Pr\left\{ \frac{n(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2}{\mu - mp^2} \leqslant z_{\alpha/2}^2 \right\}$$

$$= \Pr\left\{ \mu^2 - (2\bar{X}_n + z_*)\mu + \bar{X}_n^2 + mp^2 z_* \leqslant 0 \right\}$$

$$= \Pr(L \leqslant \mu \leqslant U),$$

where $z_* = z_{\alpha/2}^2/n$,

$$L = \frac{2\bar{X}_n + z_* - \sqrt{z_*^2 + 4z_*(\bar{X}_n - mp^2)}}{2} \text{ and }$$

$$U = \frac{2\bar{X}_n + z_* + \sqrt{z_*^2 + 4z_*(\bar{X}_n - mp^2)}}{2}.$$

4.10 Solution. (a) The cdf of X_1 with density

$$f(x;\mu) = \frac{1}{\sigma_0} e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma_0}} \exp(-e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma_0}}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma_0 > 0,$$

is given by

$$F(x; \mu) = \exp\left(-e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma_0}}\right).$$

(b) From (4.3), we have

$$-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log F(X_i; \mu) = 2e^{\mu/\sigma_0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-X_i/\sigma_0} \sim \chi^2(2n),$$

so that the 100(1 - $\alpha)\%$ equal-tail CI of μ is given by

$$1 - \alpha$$

$$= \Pr\left\{\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha/2; 2n) \leqslant 2e^{\mu/\sigma_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-x_{i}/\sigma_{0}} \leqslant \chi^{2}(\alpha/2; 2n)\right\}$$

$$= \Pr\left\{\frac{\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha/2; 2n)}{2\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-x_{i}/\sigma_{0}}} \leqslant e^{\mu/\sigma_{0}} \leqslant \frac{\chi^{2}(\alpha/2; 2n)}{2\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-x_{i}/\sigma_{0}}}\right\}$$

$$= \Pr(L \leqslant \mu \leqslant U),$$

where

$$L = \sigma_0 \log \left[\frac{\chi^2 (1 - \alpha/2; 2n)}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-x_i/\sigma_0}} \right] \quad \text{and}$$

$$U = \sigma_0 \log \left[\frac{\chi^2 (\alpha/2; 2n)}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-x_i/\sigma_0}} \right].$$

4.11 Solution. The result in Q3.20(a) of Assignment 3 states that

$$Y_i = X_i^2 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\theta), \text{ where } \theta = 1/(2\sigma^2).$$

From Example 4.1 of the textbook "Mathematical Statistics", we have

$$2\theta n \bar{Y} \sim \chi^2(2n)$$
, where $\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{n}$.

Thus, by using the equal-tail method, we have

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\left\{\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha/2, 2n) \leqslant 2\theta n \bar{Y} \leqslant \chi^{2}(\alpha/2, 2n)\right\}$$

$$= \Pr\left\{\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha/2, 2n) \leqslant \frac{n\bar{Y}}{\sigma^{2}} \leqslant \chi^{2}(\alpha/2, 2n)\right\}$$

$$= \Pr\left\{\frac{n\bar{Y}}{\chi^{2}(\alpha/2, 2n)} \leqslant \sigma^{2} \leqslant \frac{n\bar{Y}}{\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha/2, 2n)}\right\},$$

that is,

$$[L_p, U_p] = \left[\frac{n\bar{Y}}{\chi^2(\alpha/2, 2n)}, \frac{n\bar{Y}}{\chi^2(1 - \alpha/2, 2n)} \right]$$

is a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ CI for σ^2 .

4.12 Solution. (a) The joint density of X_1, \ldots, X_n is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 < x_i < \theta) = \theta^{-n} I(\theta > x_{(n)}) \times 1.$$

Factorization Theorem indicates that $X_{(n)}$ is a sufficient statistic of θ .

(b) From Example 2.16 of the textbook "Mathematical Statistics" on page 89, we know that the cdf of $X_{(n)}$ is

$$F_{X_{(n)}}(x) = 0 \cdot I(x \le 0) + \frac{x^n}{\theta^n} \cdot I(0 < x < \theta) + 1 \cdot I(x \ge \theta).$$

Thus, the cdf of $U = X_{(n)}/\theta$ is

$$F_U(u) = \Pr(U \le u) = \Pr(X_{(n)} \le u\theta) = F_{X_{(n)}}(u\theta)$$

= $0 \cdot I(u \le 0) + u^n \cdot I(0 < u < 1) + 1 \cdot I(u \ge 1),$

and the pdf of U is

$$f_U(u) = nu^{n-1}, \quad 0 < u < 1,$$

indicating that $U \sim \text{Beta}(n, 1)$. Since U is a function of both the sufficient statistic $X_{(n)}$ and the parameter θ , and the distribution of U does not depend on θ , U is a pivotal quantity.

(c) To find the upper $(\alpha/2)$ -th quantile $h_{\alpha/2}$ of the distribution of U, we use

$$\Pr(U > h_{\alpha/2}) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad 1 - F_U(h_{\alpha/2}) = \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

to obtain

$$h_{\alpha/2} = (1 - \alpha/2)^{1/n}. (4.6)$$

Thus, $h_{1-\alpha/2} = (\alpha/2)^{1/n}$.

(d) The $100(1-\alpha)\%$ equal-tail CI for θ is

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr(h_{1-\alpha/2} \leqslant U \leqslant h_{\alpha/2})$$

$$= \Pr\left(h_{1-\alpha/2} \leqslant \frac{X_{(n)}}{\theta} \leqslant h_{\alpha/2}\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{X_{(n)}}{h_{\alpha/2}} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \frac{X_{(n)}}{h_{1-\alpha/2}}\right).$$

$$(4.7)$$

- (e) From the given data, we have n = 5, $\max(x_1, ..., x_5) = 4.2$, $1 \alpha = 0.95$, $\alpha/2 = 0.025$, and the 95% equal-tail CI for θ is [4.22, 8.78].
- (f) Let h_{α_2} be the upper α_2 -th quantile of the distribution of $U = X_{(n)}/\theta$, from (4.6), we obtain $h_{\alpha_2} = (1 \alpha_2)^{1/n}$.

Define $\alpha_1 = \alpha - \alpha_2$. Similarly, we have $h_{1-\alpha_1} = (\alpha_1)^{1/n} = (\alpha - \alpha_2)^{1/n}$. Similar to (4.7)–(4.8), the 100(1 – α)% CI for θ is

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr(h_{1-\alpha_1} \leqslant U \leqslant h_{\alpha_2})$$

$$= \Pr\left(h_{1-\alpha_1} \leqslant \frac{X_{(n)}}{\theta} \leqslant h_{\alpha_2}\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(\frac{X_{(n)}}{h_{\alpha_2}} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \frac{X_{(n)}}{h_{1-\alpha_1}}\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left\{\frac{X_{(n)}}{(1-\alpha_2)^{1/n}} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \frac{X_{(n)}}{(\alpha-\alpha_2)^{1/n}}\right\}. \quad (4.9)$$

The width of this CI is

$$w(\alpha_2) = X_{(n)} \left[\frac{1}{(\alpha - \alpha_2)^{1/n}} - \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_2)^{1/n}} \right],$$

which is an increasing function of α_2 , since

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w(\alpha_2)}{\mathrm{d}\alpha_2} = \frac{X_{(n)}}{n} \left[\frac{1}{(\alpha - \alpha_2)^{1+1/n}} - \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_2)^{1+1/n}} \right] > 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Note that $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha$, then $w(\alpha_2)$ will arrive it minimum at $\alpha_2 = 0$. From (4.9), thus, the shortest $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CI of θ is $[X_{(n)}, X_{(n)}\alpha^{-1/n}]$.

4.13 Solution. (a) Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x; \lambda)$, where

$$f(x; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{e^{\lambda} - 1} e^{\lambda x}, \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1, \ \lambda > 0.$$

The likelihood function of λ is

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda}{e^{\lambda} - 1} e^{\lambda x_i} = \frac{\lambda^n}{(e^{\lambda} - 1)^n} e^{\lambda n\bar{x}},$$

where $\bar{x} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$. The log-likelihood function of λ is

$$\ell(\lambda) = n \log \lambda - n \log(e^{\lambda} - 1) + \lambda n \bar{x},$$

so that

$$\ell'(\lambda) = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \frac{ne^{\lambda}}{e^{\lambda} - 1} + n\bar{x}$$
 and $\ell''(\lambda) = -\frac{n}{\lambda^2} + \frac{ne^{\lambda}}{(e^{\lambda} - 1)^2}$.

Newton's method to calculate the MLE of λ is to update

$$\lambda^{(t+1)} = \lambda^{(t)} - \frac{\ell'(\lambda^{(t)})}{\ell''(\lambda^{(t)})}, \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(b) The cdf of X is

$$F(x;\lambda) = \int_0^x \frac{\lambda e^{\lambda t}}{e^{\lambda} - 1} dt = \frac{1}{e^{\lambda} - 1} e^{\lambda t} \Big|_0^x = \frac{e^{\lambda x} - 1}{e^{\lambda} - 1} \cdot I(0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1).$$

(c) From (4.3) of the Textbook, we have

$$-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log F(X_i; \lambda) = -2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(\frac{e^{\lambda X_i} - 1}{e^{\lambda} - 1}\right) \sim \chi^2(2n). (4.11)$$

Define $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^{\mathsf{T}}$,

$$u_i(\lambda|X_i) \triangleq \frac{e^{\lambda X_i} - 1}{e^{\lambda} - 1} \in (0, 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and}$$

$$u(\lambda|\mathbf{X}) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log[u_i(\lambda|X_i)]. \tag{4.12}$$

Given all $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$, in the follows, we can show that $u(\lambda|\mathbf{X})$ is a monotonic decreasing function of λ or

$$u'(\lambda|\mathbf{X}) \leqslant 0. \tag{4.13}$$

From (4.11), we obtain

$$-2u(\lambda|\mathbf{X}) \stackrel{(4.12)}{=} -2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log[u_i(\lambda|X_i)] \sim \chi^2(2n), \qquad (4.14)$$

which can be used to construct $100(1-\alpha)\%$ CIs of λ as

$$1 - \alpha$$

$$= \Pr\left\{\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha_{1}, 2n) \leqslant -2u(\lambda | \mathbf{X}) \leqslant \chi^{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{1}, 2n)\right\}$$

$$= \Pr\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^{2}(1 - \alpha_{1}, 2n) \geqslant u(\lambda | \mathbf{X}) \geqslant -\frac{1}{2}\chi^{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{1}, 2n)\right\},$$

$$(4.15)$$

where $\alpha_1 \in (0, \alpha)$, $\chi^2(\alpha, 2n)$ denotes the upper α -th quantile of the $\chi^2(2n)$ distribution satisfying

$$\Pr\{\chi^2(2n) > \chi^2(\alpha, 2n)\} = \alpha.$$

From (4.15), it is clear that the explicit solutions of the CIs of λ cannot be calculated directly. Given α , denoting $100(1-\alpha)\%$ CIs of λ by $[\lambda_L(\alpha_1), \lambda_U(\alpha_1)]$, we have

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr\{\lambda_L(\alpha_1) \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \lambda_U(\alpha_1)\}$$

$$\stackrel{(4.13)}{=} \Pr\{u(\lambda_L(\alpha_1)|\mathbf{X}) \geqslant u(\lambda|\mathbf{X}) \geqslant u(\lambda_U(\alpha_1)|\mathbf{X})\}. (4.16)$$

By comparing (4.16) with (4.15), we obtain

$$u(\lambda_L(\alpha_1)|\mathbf{X}) + \frac{1}{2}\chi^2(1 - \alpha_1, 2n) = 0$$
 and
$$u(\lambda_U(\alpha_1)|\mathbf{X}) + \frac{1}{2}\chi^2(\alpha - \alpha_1, 2n) = 0.$$
 (4.17)

Hence, given $\{\alpha, \alpha_1\}$, solving the lower-bound $\lambda_L(\alpha_1)$ and the upper-bound $\lambda_U(\alpha_1)$ can be reduced to finding the roots of the non-linear equation

$$u(\lambda | \boldsymbol{X}) + c_1 = 0, \tag{4.18}$$

corresponding to $c_1 = 0.5\chi^2(1 - \alpha_1, 2n)$ and $c_1 = 0.5\chi^2(\alpha - \alpha_1, 2n)$. In particular, let $\alpha_1 = \alpha/2$.

<u>Proof of (4.13)</u>. If $X_i = 0$, then $u_i(\lambda | X_i) = 0$ so that $\log[u_i(\lambda | X_i)]$ and $u(\lambda | \mathbf{X})$ have no definition. If $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = n$ (i.e., all $X_i = 1$), then $u_i(\lambda | X_i) = 1$ so that $\log[u_i(\lambda | X_i)] = 0$ and $u(\lambda | \mathbf{X}) = 0$.

First we assume that each X_i belongs to (0,1). We only need to show that $u'_i(\lambda|X_i) \triangleq du_i(\lambda|X_i)/d\lambda < 0$ for all i = 1, ..., n. In fact,

$$u_i'(\lambda|X_i) < 0 \iff X_i e^{\lambda X_i} (e^{\lambda} - 1) - e^{\lambda} (e^{\lambda X_i} - 1) < 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (1 - X_i)(e^{\lambda} - 1) > e^{\lambda(1 - X_i)} - 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow z(e^{\lambda} - 1) > e^{\lambda z} - 1,$$

where $z = 1 - X_i \in (0,1)$. By applying the Taylor expansion of $\exp(\cdot)$ around 0, we have

$$z(e^{\lambda} - 1) = \lambda z + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 z + \frac{1}{6}\lambda^3 z + \cdots \text{ and}$$
$$e^{\lambda z} - 1 = \lambda z + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 z^2 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda^3 z^3 + \cdots.$$

Note that $z>z^r$ for any positive integer r, we obtain $z(\mathrm{e}^\lambda-1)>\mathrm{e}^{\lambda z}-1.$

Next, if $X_i = 1$, then $u_i'(\lambda|X_i) = 0$; however, as long as $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \neq n$, then $u'(\lambda) < 0$ is still true. Thus $u(\lambda|\mathbf{X})$ is a monotonic decreasing function of λ .