

# ADA Mini HW #6

Student Name: 林楷恩

Student ID: b07902075

- (1) Consider the 2 endpoints of the longest path, each of them must be either a root with only one child or a leaf, or we can extend the longest path by the endpoints' parent/child. And because there is only one root, we have 2 cases:
  - (a) one of the endpoints is a root with only one child, while the other one is a leaf, then the height is  $x \geq \lceil \frac{x}{2} \rceil$  because any root-to-leaf path's length cannot exceed  $x$  or a contradiction happens.
  - (b) both of the endpoints are leaves, then we can split the longest path into 2 components where each of them belongs to exactly one root-to-leaf path. Let the lengths of them be  $\ell$  and  $x - \ell$ , then we have at least one root-to-leaf path with length  $\geq \max(\ell, x - \ell)$ , which  $\geq \lceil \frac{x}{2} \rceil$  for all possible  $\ell$ . Thus, the height is at least  $\lceil \frac{x}{2} \rceil$ .
- (2) Proof by Contradiction:
 

Assume  $v \notin S$ , then the longest path lies in a subtree formed by one of the children of  $v$ , let this child node denoted as  $u$ . By the statement in question (1), the height of this subtree  $h \geq \lceil \frac{x}{2} \rceil$ . We can see that any root-to-leaf path which does not pass  $u$  should not have length  $\geq \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$  ( $\leq \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor - 1$ ), or we can construct a longest path( $\lceil \frac{x}{2} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor = x$ ) which passes  $v$  by combining this path with the path from  $v$  to the deepest leaf in the subtree of  $u$ . By the above observation, the height of this tree(denoted by  $H$ ) is determined by the height of the subtree contains the longest path  $\implies H = h + 1 \geq \lceil \frac{x}{2} \rceil + 1$ .

Now, we can construct a better rooted tree by changing the root from  $v$  to  $u$ . After changing, the height of the subtree which contains the longest path decreases by 1( $h' = h - 1$ ), while all the other subtrees' height increase by 1 but still less than or equal to  $\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$ . The new tree's height  $H' = h' + 1 = H - 1$ , which is always less than  $H$ .  $\implies \text{contradiction} \implies v \in S$
- (3) If  $v$  is the middle vertex of one of the longest simple paths, then  $v$  splits this path into 2 root-to-leaf paths whose lengths are  $\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$  and  $\lceil \frac{x}{2} \rceil$ . And for the other root-to-leaf paths, their length should less than or equal to  $\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$ , or we can construct a longer path( $> x$ ). Thus, the height of the tree  $= \lceil \frac{x}{2} \rceil$ , which is the lower bound proved in question (1), so it must be an answer to the problem.