

语言与边界

Language and Boundaries

摘要 · Abstract

中：

本文不讨论语言如何表达意义，而讨论语言何时失效。

当语言无法继续切割责任、因果与决策边界时，系统仍会运行，但将进入不可回滚状态。

本文只做一件事：标定语言的边界。

EN:

This article does not ask how language conveys meaning,
but when language necessarily fails.

When language can no longer cut responsibility, causality, and decision boundaries,
the system continues to operate—yet becomes irreversible.

This text does only one thing: it marks the boundary of language.

一、语言不是无限的工具

I. Language Is Not an Infinite Tool

中：

语言常被误解为“表达无限可能的手段”。

事实相反。

语言存在的前提，是世界可以被切割。

当世界不再可切割时，语言不是不够用，
而是不该再被使用。

EN:

Language is often mistaken as a tool for expressing infinite possibilities.

The opposite is true.

Language exists only where the world can be cut.

When the world is no longer divisible,
language does not become insufficient—
it becomes illegitimate.

二、语言失败，不等于系统停止

II. Language Failure Does Not Stop Systems

中：

语言失效时，系统不会停机。

责任不会消失，因果不会中断，结果仍会发生。

唯一消失的，是清晰的边界。

这正是危险所在。

EN:

When language fails, systems do not shut down.

Responsibility does not vanish.

Causality does not pause.

Outcomes still occur.

What disappears is the boundary.
That is where danger begins.

三、边界不是限制，而是可回滚性的前提 III. Boundaries Enable Rollback

中：
没有边界，就没有回滚。
没有回滚，就只能依赖英雄。
依赖英雄的系统，本质上已经崩溃。

如果一个决策只能靠理解、共情或道德高度来支撑，
那它已经越过了制度边界。

EN:
Without boundaries, there is no rollback.
Without rollback, only heroism remains.
A system that relies on heroes has already failed.

If a decision depends on understanding, empathy, or moral elevation,
it has crossed its institutional boundary.

四、语言的功能是切割，而不是说服 IV. Language Cuts, Not Persuades

中：
语言不是桥梁。
语言是刀。

它的功能不是让对方接受，
而是让系统知道：
这里不能再推进。

当语言被用来强行延续本应终止的过程，
语言本身就成了失控的一部分。

EN:
Language is not a bridge.
It is a blade.

Its function is not persuasion,
but to signal to the system:
progress must stop here.

When language is used to force continuation,
language itself becomes part of the runaway process.

五、拒绝无限责任，是合法行为 V. Refusing Infinite Responsibility Is Legitimate

中：
拒绝无限责任，不是拒绝责任。
而是拒绝一个无法被界定、无法被回滚的系统。

能够说清边界，
才拥有退出的正当性。

EN:
Refusing infinite responsibility is not refusal of responsibility.
It is refusal of an unbounded, irreversible system.

Only those who can define boundaries
possess legitimate exit rights.

结尾 · End Note

中：
我写到这里，不是因为问题结束了，
而是因为语言已经走到了它该停的地方。

EN:
I stop here not because the question is resolved,
but because language has reached its boundary.

中文：
作者：谢凯凡

本文为作者个人观点与表达，由作者本人负责。

English:
Author: Kaifanxie

This text represents the author's personal views and expressions, for which the author takes responsibility.

附录一：语言如何标定边界

Appendix I: How Languages Mark Boundaries

中：
同一现实，在不同语言中被切割为不同的边界形态。
边界不是被翻译出来的，而是被语言各自实现的。

EN:
The same reality is cut into different boundary forms by different languages.
Boundaries are not translated; they are implemented by each language.

一、英语（English）：以条件与可回滚性为边界
I. English: Boundaries as Conditions and Rollback

中：

英语天然擅长处理条件、例外与撤销。

边界通常以“是否满足操作条件”的形式出现。

一旦条件不成立，推进即被中止。

这是工程型系统最偏好的边界形式。

EN:

English naturally handles conditions, exceptions, and reversibility.

Boundaries are typically expressed as operational conditions.

Once conditions are no longer met, progression stops.

This makes English highly compatible with engineering-oriented systems.

二、中文（Chinese）：以关系张力为边界

II. Chinese: Boundaries as Relational Tension

中：

中文更倾向于连续、模糊、情境化的边界表达。

边界不是“停”，而是“再往前就不合适”。

这是一种张力型边界，而非裁断型边界。

EN:

Chinese favors continuous, context-dependent boundary expressions.

Boundaries are not framed as hard stops, but as moments where further progress becomes inappropriate.

This is a tension-based boundary, not a decisive one.

三、德语（Deutsch）：以结构完成为边界

III. German: Boundaries as Structural Closure

中：

德语倾向于将边界视为结构是否完成。

一旦结构闭合，继续即被视为错误。

边界以状态声明的形式出现，而非请求。

EN:

German treats boundaries as indicators of structural completion.

Once a structure is closed, continuation is considered invalid.

Boundaries are declared as states, not negotiated.

四、日语（日本語）：以可继续性为边界

IV. Japanese: Boundaries as Loss of Continuity

中：

日语很少直接宣告终止。

边界通过“难以继续”被显现。

这是对社会摩擦最低的一种边界实现。

EN:

Japanese rarely declares termination directly.
Boundaries emerge through the loss of continuity.

This minimizes social friction, but delays decisive stopping.

附录一收束句 · Closing Note

中：

语言不是世界的镜像，
而是系统选择的一种边界实现方式。

EN:

Language is not a mirror of the world,
but a chosen implementation of system boundaries.

附录二：古典语言中的边界实现

Appendix II: Boundary Implementations in Classical Languages

中：

古典语言关注“越界之后如何承受”。
现代系统需要“越界之前如何停下”。

EN:

Classical languages focus on how transgression is endured.
Modern systems require the ability to stop before transgression occurs.

五、梵语 (Saṃskṛta) : 以法 (Dharma) 为边界

V. Sanskrit: Boundaries as Dharma

中：

在梵语体系中，边界并非即时声明。
行为是否越界，由其是否偏离 Dharma 决定。

越界的后果通过因果结算，而非即时回滚。

EN:

In Sanskrit systems, boundaries are not immediately declared.
Actions are judged by alignment with Dharma.

Transgression is settled through karmic consequence, not rollback.

六、古希腊语 (Ἀρχαία Ἑλληνική) : 以限度 (μέτρον) 为边界

VI. Ancient Greek: Boundaries as Measure (μέτρον)

中：

古希腊语强调“人之限度”。
越界被视为 *ὕβρις*，其修正以悲剧形式出现。

边界通过毁灭性结果被显现。

EN:

Ancient Greek emphasizes human limits.
Transgression (*ὕβρις*) is corrected through tragic consequence.

Boundaries reveal themselves after collapse.

七、希伯来语 (עַבְרִית) : 以律法与盟约为边界

VII. Hebrew: Boundaries as Law and Covenant

中:

希伯来语中的边界是被命令的。

越界即违约，关系立即断裂。

回滚依赖赦免，而非制度机制。

EN:

In Hebrew systems, boundaries are commanded, not negotiated.

Transgression constitutes covenant breach and triggers immediate rupture.

Rollback depends on forgiveness, not mechanisms.

八、拉丁语 (Latina) : 以裁断与终止为边界

VIII. Latin: Boundaries as Decision and Termination

中:

拉丁语将“决定”视为切断。

一旦裁断，其他可能性即被关闭。

边界即合法终止。

EN:

Latin treats decision (decidere) as cutting off alternatives.

Once decided, other paths are invalidated.

Boundaries function as lawful termination.

免责申明 · Disclaimer

本文为作者基于系统、语言与边界机制的个人分析与建模性讨论。

文中观点不构成对任何个人、群体、组织、国家、宗教或意识形态的指控、评价或行动建议。

本文不提供政策主张、道德裁决或行为指引，
亦不构成法律、技术、伦理或决策建议。

文中所有分析仅用于探讨边界如何被语言与系统实现，
读者应自行判断其适用性，并自行承担使用或引用所产生的一切后果。

EN:

This text represents the author's personal analytical and modeling-based discussion of systems, language, and boundary mechanisms.

It does not constitute accusations, evaluations, or calls to action toward any individual, group, organization, nation, religion, or ideology.

This article offers no policy advocacy, moral judgment, or behavioral guidance, and does not constitute legal, technical, ethical, or decision-making advice.

All analyses herein are intended solely to examine how boundaries are implemented through language and systems.

Readers are responsible for assessing applicability and for any consequences arising from use or citation.

这只是当前状态，未来可能完全作废。

This is only the current state and may be completely discarded in the future.